

Two Sample Hypothesis Tests for Proportions

**Data Science for Quality Management:
Two Sample Hypothesis Testing
with Wendy Martin**

Learning objective:

Perform a statistical test for differences in proportions for both independent and dependent groups

Two Independent Sample Proportion Tests

- Example:
- Is there a difference in the proportion of bottles that are not properly filled as related to an “old” versus “new” filler valve design?

Testing Hypotheses for Proportions

- Fisher's Exact two sample proportion test (Independent Groups)
- McNemar's Test for Change (Dependent Groups)

Fisher's Exact Test – Assumptions

- The two processes from which the sample data are drawn are inherently independent in nature, and are both based upon the Bernoulli process
- The samples are randomly selected from the underlying processes being investigated

Fisher's Exact Test

•Hypotheses $H_0: \pi_1 = \pi_2$

$$H_1: \pi_1 \neq \pi_2$$

•p value
$$p = \frac{(a + b)! (c + d)! (a + c)! (b + d)!}{a! b! c! d! N!}$$

Fisher's Exact Test

Where a , b , c and d are frequencies (counts) in a 2x2 contingency table, and N is the total count

	Group 1	Group 2	Row Total
Pass	a	b	$a + b$
Fail	c	d	$c + d$
Column Total	$a + c$	$b + d$	$a + b + c + d = N$

Fisher's Exact Test Problem

- A systems engineer is anxious to determine whether two recently installed pieces of equipment are operating on an equivalent basis
- The machines are blow molders, and the canisters they produce are assessed on an attribute basis

Fisher's Exact Test Problem

- Specifically, each canister is evaluated only on a pass/fail basis.
- Nonconformities include leaks/doesn't leak and cracked/not cracked, etc.

Fisher's Exact Test Problem

- A random sample of 750 canisters is selected from the initial production run of each machine. The results were as follows.

$$p_1 = 0.18$$

$$p_2 = 0.12$$

$$n_1 = 750$$

$$n_2 = 750$$

- Test an appropriate hypothesis. Assume $\alpha = 0.01$.

Fisher's Exact Test Problem

- In RStudio

- > `proportion.test.twosample.exact.simple`

McNemar's Test for Change

- Suppose we test 100 randomly-selected units of product and find that 20% are defective. Then, imagine that we apply some type of treatment to the units; and on a post-test, we find again that 20% are defective.

McNemar's Test for Change

- It is possible that the 20 units that were defective originally were still defective.
- But it is also possible that the 20 units that were defective on the second test were a completely different set of 20 units! It makes a difference.

McNemar's Test for Change

- McNemar's Test employs two unique features for testing the difference between two dependent sample proportions:
 - A special fourfold (2x2) contingency table
 - A special-purpose chi-square (χ^2) test statistic (the approximate test).

McNemar's Test for Change

- McNemar's Test employs two unique features for testing the difference between two dependent sample proportions:
 - A special fourfold (2x2) contingency table
 - A special-purpose chi-square (χ^2) test statistic (the approximate test).

McNemar's Test for Change

$$H_0: \text{Pass}_1\text{Fail}_2 = \text{Fail}_1\text{Pass}_2$$

		After Condition	
		Pass	Fail
Before Condition	Pass	a	b
	Fail	c	d

where

$(a+b) + (c+d) = (a+c) + (b+d) = n$ = number of pairs of units evaluated and where $df = 1$

McNemar's Test for Change

- Test Statistic

$$\chi^2 = \frac{\{ABS(b - c) - 1\}^2}{b + c}$$

McNemar's Test for Change

Example

- An operations manager in a manufacturing plant wishes to determine whether a new maintenance procedure is likely to improve the repeatability of a particular test at a test station.

McNemar's Test for Change

Example

- They select a random sample of 120 electronically tuned radios, which contain nonconforming as well as conforming units at the same level as daily production levels.

McNemar's Test for Change

Example

- They select a random sample of 120 electronically tuned radios, which contain nonconforming as well as conforming units at the same level as daily production levels.
- The entire sample is then tested.

McNemar's Test for Change

Example

- The maintenance procedure is performed and the test is repeated on the same sample of 120 radios.

McNemar's Test for Change

Example

- In both tests, the radios are tested in a random order. They are also numbered with a unique identifier so the results of the two tests may be recorded for the proper units. Note that this is a repeated assessment on the same radios.

McNemar's Test for Change Example

- The summary data from the study appear as follows.

Number of Units	Status Before Maintenance	Status After Maintenance
4	Fail	Fail
4	Pass	Fail
56	Fail	Pass
56	Pass	Pass

McNemar's Test for Change Example

- Place these data in the proper cells of the 2x2 contingency table before we demonstrate the test.

		After Condition	
		Pass	Fail
Before Condition	Pass	56	4
	Fail	56	4

McNemar's Test for Change

Example

Create a vector of the frequencies (counts)	<code>ct<-(a,c,b,d)</code>
Create a 2x2 contingency table	<code>matrix(ct,nrow = 2)</code>
Perform McNemar's Test	<code>proportion.test.mcnemar.simple mcnemar.test</code>

Sources

- Luftig, J. An Introduction to Statistical Process Control & Capability. Luftig & Associates, Inc. Farmington Hills, MI, 1982