Let $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ be a random sample from a distribution with pdf $f(x; \theta)$.

Let $\widehat{\theta}_n$ be an MLE for θ .

Under certain "regularity conditions" such as those needed for the CRLB.

- $\hat{\theta}_n$ exists and is unique.
- $\widehat{\theta}_n \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow} \theta$. We say that $\widehat{\theta}_n$ is a consistent estimator of θ .

• $\widehat{\theta}_n$ is an asymptotically unbiased estimator of θ .

i.e.
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} E[\hat{\theta}_n] = \theta$$

• $\hat{\theta}_n$ is asymptotically efficient.

i.e.
$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\text{CRLB}_{\theta}}{\text{Var}[\hat{\theta}_n]}=1$$

• $\hat{\theta}_{n} \sim N(\theta, CRLB_{\theta})$

$$\frac{\hat{\theta}_{n} - \theta}{\sqrt{CRLB_{\theta}}} \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0, 1)$$

Example: (verifications)

$$X_1, X_2, ..., X_n \sim \exp(\text{rate} = \lambda)$$

We have seen that the MLE for λ is

Existence and uniqueness



Example: (continued)

We have seen that

$$E[\hat{\lambda}] = \frac{n}{n-1}\lambda$$

which goes to λ as $n \to \infty$.

Asymptotically unbiased



Example: (continued)

We have seen that $\overline{X} \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow} E[X_1] = 1/\lambda$

Is it true that

$$\widehat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{X} \rightarrow \frac{1}{1/\lambda} = \lambda \qquad ?$$

Suppose that $\{X_n\}$ and $\{Y_n\}$ be sequences of random variables such that $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ and $Y_n \xrightarrow{P} Y$ for random variables X and Y.

Then

$$-X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{P} X + Y$$

X_nY_n
$$\xrightarrow{P}$$
 XY

•
$$X_n/Y_n \xrightarrow{P} X/Y$$
 (if $P(Y \neq 0) = 1$)

•
$$g(X_n) \xrightarrow{P} g(X)$$
 (for g continuous)

Thus,

Using
$$g(x) = 1/x$$
, we do have that $\overline{X} \stackrel{P}{\to} E[X_1] = 1/\lambda$

implies that

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{X} \xrightarrow{P} \frac{1}{1/\lambda} = \lambda$$

Consistent



We saw that the CRLB for λ is

$$CRLB_{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda^{2}}{n}$$

$$Var[\widehat{\lambda}] = Var\left[\frac{1}{\overline{X}}\right]$$

$$= E\left[\left(\frac{1}{\overline{X}}\right)^{2}\right] - \left(E\left[\frac{1}{\overline{X}}\right]\right)^{2}$$

$$\mathsf{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{\overline{\mathsf{X}}}\right)^2\right] = \mathsf{E}\left[\frac{\mathsf{n}^2}{\mathsf{Y}^2}\right] \quad \text{where} \quad \mathsf{Y} \sim \Gamma(\alpha,\beta)$$

$$= n^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^2} f_Y(y) dy = n \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \lambda^n y^{n-1} e^{-\lambda y} dy$$

$$= n \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \lambda^{n} y^{n-3} e^{-\lambda y} dy \qquad \text{looks Like a}$$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \lambda^{n} y^{n-3} e^{-\lambda y} dy \qquad \text{looks Like a}$$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(n-2, \lambda)} \rho df$$

$$= n^2 \lambda^2 \frac{\Gamma(n-2)}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-2)} \lambda^{n-2} y^{n-3} e^{-\lambda y} dy$$

$$= \frac{n^2}{(n-1)(n-2)} \lambda^2$$

$$\operatorname{Var}\left[\frac{1}{\overline{X}}\right] = \operatorname{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{\overline{X}}\right)^{2}\right] - \left(\operatorname{E}\left[\frac{1}{\overline{X}}\right]\right)^{2}$$

$$= \frac{n^2}{(n-1)(n-2)} \lambda^2 - \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \lambda^2\right)$$

$$= \frac{n^2}{(n-1)^2(n-2)} \lambda^2$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{CRLB}_{\theta}}{\mathsf{Var}[\widehat{\theta}_{\mathsf{n}}]} = \frac{\frac{\lambda^2}{\mathsf{n}}}{\frac{\mathsf{n}^2\lambda^2}{(\mathsf{n}-1)^2(\mathsf{n}-2)}} = \frac{(\mathsf{n}-1)^2(\mathsf{n}-2)}{\mathsf{n}^3} \to 1$$

Asymptotically Efficient



as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Recall the Weak Law of Large Numbers where we showed that $\overline{X} \stackrel{P}{\to} \mu$.

We used:

- Chebyshev's inequality
- the fact that \overline{X} is an unbiased estimator of the mean μ
- the fact that $Var[X] \rightarrow 0$

The exact same proof can be used to show the following.

If $\widehat{\theta}_{\rm n}$ is an unbiased estimator of θ

and if
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \text{Var}[\hat{\theta}_n] = 0$$
,

then
$$\hat{\theta}_n \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow} \theta$$
.

Using the generalized Markov inequality, we can show that this actually holds when "unbiased" is replaced by "asymptotically unbiased".

We can use this to show, for example, that if $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n \sim \text{unif}(0, \theta)$,

The maximum

$$Y_n = \max(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$$

is a consistent estimator of θ .

$$X_1, X_2, ..., X_n \sim unif(0, \theta)$$

$$Y_n = \max(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$$

What is the distribution of Y?

$$P(Y_n \le y) = P(\max(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n \le y)$$

$$= P(X_1 \le y, X_2 \le y, ..., X_n \le y)$$

$$X_1, X_2, ..., X_n \sim unif(0, \theta)$$

$$Y_n = \max(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{Y}_{\mathsf{n}} & \leq \mathsf{y}) \\ & = \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{X}_{1} \leq \mathsf{y}, \mathsf{X}_{2} \leq \mathsf{y},, \mathsf{X}_{\mathsf{n}} \leq \mathsf{y}) \\ & = \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{X}_{1} \leq \mathsf{y}) \, \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{X}_{2} \leq \mathsf{y}) \, \cdots \, \mathsf{P}(\mathsf{X}_{\mathsf{n}} \leq \mathsf{y}) \end{aligned}$$

$$= [P(X_1 \le y)]^n = \left[\frac{y}{\theta}\right]^n$$

for $0 \le y \le \theta$.

The pdf for $Y_n = max(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ is

$$f_{Y_n}(y) = \frac{d}{dy} F_{Y_n}(y) = \frac{d}{dy} \left[\frac{y}{\theta} \right]^n = \frac{n}{\theta^n} y^{n-1}$$

for $0 \le y \le \theta$.

The expected value of the maximum is then

$$E[Y_n] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f_{Y_n}(y) dy = \int_{0}^{\theta} \frac{n}{\theta^n} y^n dy = \frac{n}{n+1} \theta$$

$$X_1, X_2, ..., X_n \sim unif(0, \theta)$$

$$Y_n = \max(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$$

$$E[Y_n] = \frac{n}{n+1}\theta$$

Var[Y_n] =
$$\frac{1}{(n+1)^2(n+2)}\theta^2$$

Consistent

