Particinant	Marked Unit	Category Code	Contextual Unit (Feature)	Contextual Unit (Logs)
Participant	didn't really care when I did this because I wasn't	<u> </u>	(reature)	Contextual Offic (LOgs)
P1	aware this was a thing	features	Contributor Revealable	
P1	no emotional attachment to this project	Contextual Considerations		
	Because contributors don't really help me to get	Control College attract		
P1	started with a project I guess only had some 80 lines and I thought to myself	Social Onboarding Experience towards (playful)	Potential Issue	
P1	'well, that's not that big in my opinion'	features	Revealable	
	I didn't really get the impression of the existance	.catares	Tre vedicable	
	of 'sub' packages, whatever they are supposed to	Experience towards (playful)	Overview Scene	
P1	be anyway	features	(Subpackages)	
	only check out npm packages when I actually			
P1	have to work with them, not randomly only because they are used in a project	Technical Onboarding		
F 1	because they are used in a project	Experience towards (playful)	Potential Issue	
P1	Not exactly sure what you mean with 'legacy' file		Revealable	
	actually interesting to get told about contributors			
	and see their faces. Not that it would really help			
D1	me getting into this project but yeah, gives it a more personal flavor	Social Onhograting		
P1	during each discovery was still a bit big to digest	Social Onboarding		
P1	in a playfull way I think	Attitude towards Playful elements		
	visualizations like images/icons rather than just	<i>'</i>		
	plain text and a sample of the code and a link to	Improvements for implemented		
P1	the actual file	features		
D1	or an icon I learned earlier this represents a	Improvements for implemented		
P1	contributor The texts where pretty repetitive and I stopped	features		
	reading after 'contributor' so I might've missed	Experience towards (playful)		
P1	any information that was unique to this slide	features		
				Can also be seen in the logs, No
				action at all in the beginning, until
	I was a bit confused because I didn't know what	Experience towards (playful)		companion message in both detail and overview scene (see logs lines 10-
P1	to do when I reached the first blank slide	features		63)
	to do timeea the mot shall since	Experience towards (playful)		
P1	helper on the bottom was quick to assist, though	features		
	elements disappear, I would make them fade out	Improvements for implemented		
P1	rather than just getting invisible instantly	features		
	impression of revealing areas better when the initial background would be dark and when you			
	trigger the sonar, everything inside the expanding			
	circle is bright. Maybe with some something	Improvements for implemented		
P1	visual in the background that gives some depth	features		
				Both companion helping messages
P1	came to help always at the right time. Good guy!	Experience towards (playful)		were shown, as seen in the logs (log lines 10, 60)
r1	To be honest, I don't really know. It strongly	Attitude towards Play in		iiies 10, 00)
P1	depends on the urgency to get familiar with a	Onboarding		
	Exploring something like in this example can be			
	frustrating if you really just want to get the gist of			
D4	something because you stumble accross so many	Attitude towards Play in		
P1	random things this kind of visualization isn't the right thing to	Onboarding Attitude towards Play in		
P1	learn about a coding project. At least not for me.	Onboarding		
P1	hadn't had too much of these occations yet	Organizational Onboarding		
	I set it up first to get a feeling for what I'm			
P1	dealing with (if possible) and than I dig deeper	Technical Onboarding		
P1	I talk to those who set up or work on the project if possible	Social Onboarding		
, 1	for my IDE, it's mostly stuff that helps visualize	Journ Official Units		
	things like pairs of brackets and key words or			
P1	variables vs functions and so on.	Technical Onboarding		
	I try to stick to conventions and write code that's			
P1	hopefully easy to understand with meaningful variable and function names	Technical Ophoarding		
. 1	I'd provide some extra goals, like the first 5	Technical Onboarding		
	questions about contributers I remember or not	Ideas on Playful Elements for		
P1	and so on so the explorer has something to aim	Onboarding		
	'quests' can be aimed to point out core concepts	Ideas on Playful Elements for		
P1	of the project and guide the participant towards	Onboarding		
P1	Gamification is great to learn things like coding itself, like exploring a story with command line	Ideas on Playful Elements for Onboarding		
. 1	Exploring something in a playful way also needs	Attitude towards Play in		
P1	to be done it each person's personal pace	Onboarding		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

	exploring something also needs time and is not fun if you are under preasure and you just want	Attitude towards Play in		
P1	to get the gist of something	Onboarding		
	As you did it in your example, I want to have the headspace to branch out and check out parts of the project that are maybe just nice to know but			
P1	necessarely helping me with actually working on the project	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding		
r I		Ideas on Playful Elements for		
P1		Onboarding		
	let the participant choose a goal and give him or her some sort of quest line with a bunch of sub	Ideas on Playful Elements for		
P1	goals to achieve	Onboarding		
	letting them to search for reusable components	-		
P1	which are used throughout the project to make	Ideas on Onboarding Floments		
PI	them aware they exist what dependencies a main component has. Then	Ideas on Onboarding Elements		
P1	you have just a portion of npm packages to digest	Ideas on Onboarding Elements		
	Serve the project guided and bit by bit, only	Idaaa ay Dlayfyl Elawayta fay		
P1		Ideas on Playful Elements for Onboarding		
		Experience towards (playful)		
P3	, , ,	features	Contributor Revealable	
	Anhang der Größe und Zeilenmenge hätte ich dem \"refactor-worthy\" aber in den meisten	Experience towards (playful)	Potential Issue	
Р3		features	Revealable	
	ungefähre Vorstellung davon bekommen, wie die			
P3	,	features Experience towards (playful)		
Р3		features		
	schön durch die Vorstellung der Contributors ein			
	paar Namen kennenzulernen, denen man im weiteren Verlauf des Projekt vermutlich häufiger	Experience towards (playful)		
Р3		features	Contributor Revealable	
	Mit der Vorstellung der großen \"refactor-			
P3		Experience towards (playful) features	Potential Issue Revealable	
13	Mir fehlte ein wenig das fachliche über das	reacures	Nevealable	
	Projekt. Was tut es? Wofür ist es gut? Nur anhand			
P3	des Codes ist das schwierig zu verstehen.	features		As seen in the logs, companion
Р3		Experience towards (playful) features		messages appeared in both scenes (lines 9040, 9090)
				Both messages seen, after that
	urch die Hilfen habe ich aber sehr schnell	Experience towards (playful)		clicking started as can be seen in logs (9040 and following, 9090 and
P3	verstanden, wie der Ablauf gedacht ist	features		following)
	Suchen der verschiedenen Punkte hat im ersten			
	Moment Spaß gemacht, wurde anschließend aber ein bisschen nervig (vor allem weil einige Punkte	Experience towards (playful)		
Р3	•	features		
		Experience towards (playful)		
P3	zu bedienen ist	C		
		features Experience towards (playful)		
Р3		features Experience towards (playful) features		
	Leider sagt er nichts, wenn man auf ihn klick Wiederholung der Hilfe oder irgendein Feedback	Experience towards (playful) features Improvements for implemented		
P3	Leider sagt er nichts, wenn man auf ihn klick Wiederholung der Hilfe oder irgendein Feedback wären nett gewesen.	Experience towards (playful) features		
	Leider sagt er nichts, wenn man auf ihn klick Wiederholung der Hilfe oder irgendein Feedback wären nett gewesen. ganz groben ersten Überblick über das Projekt zu verschaffen, würde ich so eine Visualisierung	Experience towards (playful) features Improvements for implemented		
	Leider sagt er nichts, wenn man auf ihn klick Wiederholung der Hilfe oder irgendein Feedback wären nett gewesen. ganz groben ersten Überblick über das Projekt zu verschaffen, würde ich so eine Visualisierung durchaus gut finden	Experience towards (playful) features Improvements for implemented features		
P3	Leider sagt er nichts, wenn man auf ihn klick Wiederholung der Hilfe oder irgendein Feedback wären nett gewesen. ganz groben ersten Überblick über das Projekt zu verschaffen, würde ich so eine Visualisierung durchaus gut finden Wenn ich an einem neuen Projekt anfange,	Experience towards (playful) features Improvements for implemented features Attitude towards Play in Onboarding		
P3	Leider sagt er nichts, wenn man auf ihn klick Wiederholung der Hilfe oder irgendein Feedback wären nett gewesen. ganz groben ersten Überblick über das Projekt zu verschaffen, würde ich so eine Visualisierung durchaus gut finden Wenn ich an einem neuen Projekt anfange,	Experience towards (playful) features Improvements for implemented features Attitude towards Play in		
P3	Leider sagt er nichts, wenn man auf ihn klick Wiederholung der Hilfe oder irgendein Feedback wären nett gewesen. ganz groben ersten Überblick über das Projekt zu verschaffen, würde ich so eine Visualisierung durchaus gut finden Wenn ich an einem neuen Projekt anfange, arbeite ich mich von außen immer weiter rein Ablauf des Codes anhand von konkreten Interaktionen mit dem Programm	Experience towards (playful) features Improvements for implemented features Attitude towards Play in Onboarding		
P3 P3 P3	Leider sagt er nichts, wenn man auf ihn klick Wiederholung der Hilfe oder irgendein Feedback wären nett gewesen. ganz groben ersten Überblick über das Projekt zu verschaffen, würde ich so eine Visualisierung durchaus gut finden Wenn ich an einem neuen Projekt anfange, arbeite ich mich von außen immer weiter rein Ablauf des Codes anhand von konkreten Interaktionen mit dem Programm Austausch mit erfahrenen Entwicklern finde ich	Experience towards (playful) features Improvements for implemented features Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Technical Onboarding Technical Onboarding		
P3	Leider sagt er nichts, wenn man auf ihn klick Wiederholung der Hilfe oder irgendein Feedback wären nett gewesen. ganz groben ersten Überblick über das Projekt zu verschaffen, würde ich so eine Visualisierung durchaus gut finden Wenn ich an einem neuen Projekt anfange, arbeite ich mich von außen immer weiter rein Ablauf des Codes anhand von konkreten Interaktionen mit dem Programm Austausch mit erfahrenen Entwicklern finde ich	Experience towards (playful) features Improvements for implemented features Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Technical Onboarding		
P3 P3 P3	Leider sagt er nichts, wenn man auf ihn klick Wiederholung der Hilfe oder irgendein Feedback wären nett gewesen. ganz groben ersten Überblick über das Projekt zu verschaffen, würde ich so eine Visualisierung durchaus gut finden Wenn ich an einem neuen Projekt anfange, arbeite ich mich von außen immer weiter rein Ablauf des Codes anhand von konkreten Interaktionen mit dem Programm Austausch mit erfahrenen Entwicklern finde ich dabei sehr wichtig das durchgucken alter Issues und deren Lösungen finde ich immer sehr hilfreich	Experience towards (playful) features Improvements for implemented features Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Technical Onboarding Technical Onboarding		
P3 P3 P3 P3	Leider sagt er nichts, wenn man auf ihn klick Wiederholung der Hilfe oder irgendein Feedback wären nett gewesen. ganz groben ersten Überblick über das Projekt zu verschaffen, würde ich so eine Visualisierung durchaus gut finden Wenn ich an einem neuen Projekt anfange, arbeite ich mich von außen immer weiter rein Ablauf des Codes anhand von konkreten Interaktionen mit dem Programm Austausch mit erfahrenen Entwicklern finde ich dabei sehr wichtig das durchgucken alter Issues und deren Lösungen finde ich immer sehr hilfreich Entweder mir fällt selber ein Fehler/Verbesserung	Experience towards (playful) features Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Social Onboarding		
P3 P3 P3 P3	Leider sagt er nichts, wenn man auf ihn klick Wiederholung der Hilfe oder irgendein Feedback wären nett gewesen. ganz groben ersten Überblick über das Projekt zu verschaffen, würde ich so eine Visualisierung durchaus gut finden Wenn ich an einem neuen Projekt anfange, arbeite ich mich von außen immer weiter rein Ablauf des Codes anhand von konkreten Interaktionen mit dem Programm Austausch mit erfahrenen Entwicklern finde ich dabei sehr wichtig das durchgucken alter Issues und deren Lösungen finde ich immer sehr hilfreich	Experience towards (playful) features Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Social Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding		
P3 P3 P3 P3 P3 P3	Leider sagt er nichts, wenn man auf ihn klick Wiederholung der Hilfe oder irgendein Feedback wären nett gewesen. ganz groben ersten Überblick über das Projekt zu verschaffen, würde ich so eine Visualisierung durchaus gut finden Wenn ich an einem neuen Projekt anfange, arbeite ich mich von außen immer weiter rein Ablauf des Codes anhand von konkreten Interaktionen mit dem Programm Austausch mit erfahrenen Entwicklern finde ich dabei sehr wichtig das durchgucken alter Issues und deren Lösungen finde ich immer sehr hilfreich Entweder mir fällt selber ein Fehler/Verbesserung auf und ich möchte es umsetzen oder ich sehe Issues anderer Benutzer und möchte diese Lösen versuche ich mich von oben nach unten durch	Experience towards (playful) features Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Social Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding		
P3 P3 P3 P3 P3 P3	Leider sagt er nichts, wenn man auf ihn klick Wiederholung der Hilfe oder irgendein Feedback wären nett gewesen. ganz groben ersten Überblick über das Projekt zu verschaffen, würde ich so eine Visualisierung durchaus gut finden Wenn ich an einem neuen Projekt anfange, arbeite ich mich von außen immer weiter rein Ablauf des Codes anhand von konkreten Interaktionen mit dem Programm Austausch mit erfahrenen Entwicklern finde ich dabei sehr wichtig das durchgucken alter Issues und deren Lösungen finde ich immer sehr hilfreich Entweder mir fällt selber ein Fehler/Verbesserung auf und ich möchte es umsetzen oder ich sehe Issues anderer Benutzer und möchte diese Lösen versuche ich mich von oben nach unten durch den Code zu \"wühlen\" um irgendwann an der	Experience towards (playful) features Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Social Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding		
P3 P3 P3 P3 P3 P3	Leider sagt er nichts, wenn man auf ihn klick Wiederholung der Hilfe oder irgendein Feedback wären nett gewesen. ganz groben ersten Überblick über das Projekt zu verschaffen, würde ich so eine Visualisierung durchaus gut finden Wenn ich an einem neuen Projekt anfange, arbeite ich mich von außen immer weiter rein Ablauf des Codes anhand von konkreten Interaktionen mit dem Programm Austausch mit erfahrenen Entwicklern finde ich dabei sehr wichtig das durchgucken alter Issues und deren Lösungen finde ich immer sehr hilfreich Entweder mir fällt selber ein Fehler/Verbesserung auf und ich möchte es umsetzen oder ich sehe Issues anderer Benutzer und möchte diese Lösen versuche ich mich von oben nach unten durch den Code zu \"wühlen\" um irgendwann an der Stelle anzukommen, an der ich etwas	Experience towards (playful) features Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Social Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding		

	verzweige ich oft in die verschiedenen Bereiche		
	des Codes, die an dieser Stelle verwendet		
Р3	werden. So lerne ich nach und nach die Funktionen kennen und wie sie verwendet	Technical Onboarding	
13	Ich fände ein Beispiel-Issue spannend. Ein	recimical official and	
	Fehlverhalten, das einem präsentiert wird und		
	das man zu lösen hat. Das Beispiel-Issue sollte so		
	gewählt sein, dass man an vielen wichtigen		
P3	Stellen des Codes vorbeikommt	Ideas on Onboarding Elements	
	bevorzuge doch mehr den direkten Kontakt zu Kollegen/Contributors und das selbständige		
P3	Entdecken des Codes.	Social Onboarding	
	Für den allerersten Überblick und eventuell einer		
	fachlichen Vorstellung der Software finde ich	Attitude towards Play in	
Р3	spielerische Elemente durchaus angebracht	Onboarding	
	mehr bei den Open Source Projekten sehen, weil		
Р3	hier oft eine direkte und nahe Kommunikation mit anderen Entwicklern nur schwer möglich ist	Contextual Considerations	
13	Im Arbeitsumfeld sind Kollegen eher greifbar und	Contextual Considerations	
P3	bei Fragen ansprechbar	Contextual Considerations	
	Vielleicht mit einem Art Wettbewerb wer einen	Ideas on Playful Elements for	
P3	gewissen Workflow schneller durchgespielt hat	Onboarding	
P6	but many of the connections were overlapping, hard to see	Experience towards (playful) features	
	naid to see	Improvements for implemented	
P6	line/file size alone does not tell the whole story	features	
	Liked the connections and the overview map,	Experience towards (playful)	
P6	helped me see at a glance what is in the project	features	
	missed actual textual content of the project besides the previews, maybe include more of the	Improvements for implemented	
P6	contents of the underyling project	features	
. •	contents of the under jump project	Experience towards (playful)	
P6	Liked the little worm character, felt smooth	features	
		Improvements for implemented	
P6	would have liked more connection to the source	features	
P6	Helpful as far as indicating what I have done	Experience towards (playful) features	Companion
	not much interaction with it, could not click it	Experience towards (playful)	Companion
		, , , , , ,	
P6	besides messages	features	Companion
P6	would like to see it on projects of another	features	Companion
	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that	Attitude towards Play in	Companion
P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding	Companion
	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that	Attitude towards Play in	Companion
P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented	Companion
P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features Attitude towards Play in	Companion
P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features	Companion
P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git,	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features Attitude towards Play in	Companion
P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features Attitude towards Play in	Companion
P6 P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features Attitude towards Play in Onboarding	Companion
P6 P6 P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the project locally and try to build it if there is at any point problems I try to contact the person who wrote the code otherwise the	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Technical Onboarding	Companion
P6 P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the project locally and try to build it if there is at any point problems I try to contact the person who wrote the code otherwise the other team members already in the project	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features Attitude towards Play in Onboarding	Companion
P6 P6 P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the project locally and try to build it if there is at any point problems I try to contact the person who wrote the code otherwise the other team members already in the project Best case there is documentation for the initial	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Social Onboarding	Companion
P6 P6 P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the project locally and try to build it if there is at any point problems I try to contact the person who wrote the code otherwise the other team members already in the project	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Technical Onboarding	Companion
P6 P6 P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the project locally and try to build it if there is at any point problems I try to contact the person who wrote the code otherwise the other team members already in the project Best case there is documentation for the initial setup, but that is often missing	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Social Onboarding	Companion
P6 P6 P6 P6 P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the project locally and try to build it if there is at any point problems I try to contact the person who wrote the code otherwise the other team members already in the project Best case there is documentation for the initial setup, but that is often missing try to talk to project management to get to know the organizational structure  Navigation in code I do with IntelliJ and go-to-	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Social Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding	Companion
P6 P6 P6 P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the project locally and try to build it if there is at any point problems I try to contact the person who wrote the code otherwise the other team members already in the project  Best case there is documentation for the initial setup, but that is often missing try to talk to project management to get to know the organizational structure  Navigation in code I do with IntelliJ and go-to-definition most of the time	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Social Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding	Companion
P6 P6 P6 P6 P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the project locally and try to build it if there is at any point problems I try to contact the person who wrote the code otherwise the other team members already in the project Best case there is documentation for the initial setup, but that is often missing try to talk to project management to get to know the organizational structure  Navigation in code I do with IntelliJ and go-to-definition most of the time first step is always the documentation, if there	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Social Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding	Companion
P6 P6 P6 P6 P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the project locally and try to build it if there is at any point problems I try to contact the person who wrote the code otherwise the other team members already in the project  Best case there is documentation for the initial setup, but that is often missing try to talk to project management to get to know the organizational structure  Navigation in code I do with IntelliJ and go-to-definition most of the time	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding  Technical Onboarding	Companion
P6 P6 P6 P6 P6 P6 P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the project locally and try to build it if there is at any point problems I try to contact the person who wrote the code otherwise the other team members already in the project Best case there is documentation for the initial setup, but that is often missing try to talk to project management to get to know the organizational structure  Navigation in code I do with IntelliJ and go-to-definition most of the time first step is always the documentation, if there are problems I google or search through the issue	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Social Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding	Companion
P6 P6 P6 P6 P6 P6 P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the project locally and try to build it if there is at any point problems I try to contact the person who wrote the code otherwise the other team members already in the project Best case there is documentation for the initial setup, but that is often missing try to talk to project management to get to know the organizational structure  Navigation in code I do with IntelliJ and go-to-definition most of the time first step is always the documentation, if there are problems I google or search through the issue list of the package  For the source code, would have liked an approach that is near to the code, maybe within	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding	Companion
P6 P6 P6 P6 P6 P6 P6 P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the project locally and try to build it if there is at any point problems I try to contact the person who wrote the code otherwise the other team members already in the project Best case there is documentation for the initial setup, but that is often missing try to talk to project management to get to know the organizational structure  Navigation in code I do with IntelliJ and go-to-definition most of the time first step is always the documentation, if there are problems I google or search through the issue list of the package  For the source code, would have liked an approach that is near to the code, maybe within the IDE itself and more textual or a little helper	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Improvements for implemented	Companion
P6 P6 P6 P6 P6 P6 P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the project locally and try to build it if there is at any point problems I try to contact the person who wrote the code otherwise the other team members already in the project Best case there is documentation for the initial setup, but that is often missing try to talk to project management to get to know the organizational structure  Navigation in code I do with IntelliJ and go-to-definition most of the time first step is always the documentation, if there are problems I google or search through the issue list of the package  For the source code, would have liked an approach that is near to the code, maybe within the IDE itself and more textual or a little helper within the IDE acting playful	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding	Companion
P6 P6 P6 P6 P6 P6 P6 P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the project locally and try to build it if there is at any point problems I try to contact the person who wrote the code otherwise the other team members already in the project Best case there is documentation for the initial setup, but that is often missing try to talk to project management to get to know the organizational structure  Navigation in code I do with IntelliJ and go-to-definition most of the time first step is always the documentation, if there are problems I google or search through the issue list of the package  For the source code, would have liked an approach that is near to the code, maybe within the IDE itself and more textual or a little helper within the IDE acting playful project organization structure maybe a clearer	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Improvements for implemented features	Companion
P6 P6 P6 P6 P6 P6 P6 P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the project locally and try to build it if there is at any point problems I try to contact the person who wrote the code otherwise the other team members already in the project Best case there is documentation for the initial setup, but that is often missing try to talk to project management to get to know the organizational structure  Navigation in code I do with IntelliJ and go-to-definition most of the time first step is always the documentation, if there are problems I google or search through the issue list of the package  For the source code, would have liked an approach that is near to the code, maybe within the IDE itself and more textual or a little helper within the IDE acting playful	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Improvements for implemented features	Companion
P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the project locally and try to build it if there is at any point problems I try to contact the person who wrote the code otherwise the other team members already in the project Best case there is documentation for the initial setup, but that is often missing try to talk to project management to get to know the organizational structure  Navigation in code I do with IntelliJ and go-to-definition most of the time first step is always the documentation, if there are problems I google or search through the issue list of the package  For the source code, would have liked an approach that is near to the code, maybe within the IDE acting playful project organization structure maybe a clearer picture of who to talk to and communication with them as part of a game	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Social Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Improvements for implemented features  Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in	Companion
P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the project locally and try to build it if there is at any point problems I try to contact the person who wrote the code otherwise the other team members already in the project  Best case there is documentation for the initial setup, but that is often missing try to talk to project management to get to know the organizational structure  Navigation in code I do with IntelliJ and go-to-definition most of the time first step is always the documentation, if there are problems I google or search through the issue list of the package  For the source code, would have liked an approach that is near to the code, maybe within the IDE acting playful project organization structure maybe a clearer picture of who to talk to and communication with them as part of a game  do not think it can be efficient in day-to-day work	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Social Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Improvements for implemented features  Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in	Companion
P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the project locally and try to build it if there is at any point problems I try to contact the person who wrote the code otherwise the other team members already in the project Best case there is documentation for the initial setup, but that is often missing try to talk to project management to get to know the organizational structure  Navigation in code I do with IntelliJ and go-to-definition most of the time first step is always the documentation, if there are problems I google or search through the issue list of the package  For the source code, would have liked an approach that is near to the code, maybe within the IDE itself and more textual or a little helper within the IDE acting playful project organization structure maybe a clearer picture of who to talk to and communication with them as part of a game  do not think it can be efficient in day-to-day work maybe for looking at open source projects out of	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding	Companion
P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the project locally and try to build it if there is at any point problems I try to contact the person who wrote the code otherwise the other team members already in the project Best case there is documentation for the initial setup, but that is often missing try to talk to project management to get to know the organizational structure  Navigation in code I do with IntelliJ and go-to-definition most of the time first step is always the documentation, if there are problems I google or search through the issue list of the package  For the source code, would have liked an approach that is near to the code, maybe within the IDE itself and more textual or a little helper within the IDE acting playful project organization structure maybe a clearer picture of who to talk to and communication with them as part of a game  do not think it can be efficient in day-to-day work maybe for looking at open source projects out of interests	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Social Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Improvements for implemented features  Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in	Companion
P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the project locally and try to build it if there is at any point problems I try to contact the person who wrote the code otherwise the other team members already in the project Best case there is documentation for the initial setup, but that is often missing try to talk to project management to get to know the organizational structure  Navigation in code I do with IntelliJ and go-to-definition most of the time first step is always the documentation, if there are problems I google or search through the issue list of the package  For the source code, would have liked an approach that is near to the code, maybe within the IDE itself and more textual or a little helper within the IDE acting playful project organization structure maybe a clearer picture of who to talk to and communication with them as part of a game  do not think it can be efficient in day-to-day work maybe for looking at open source projects out of	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding	Companion
P6 P	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the project locally and try to build it if there is at any point problems I try to contact the person who wrote the code otherwise the other team members already in the project Best case there is documentation for the initial setup, but that is often missing try to talk to project management to get to know the organizational structure  Navigation in code I do with IntelliJ and go-to-definition most of the time first step is always the documentation, if there are problems I google or search through the issue list of the package  For the source code, would have liked an approach that is near to the code, maybe within the IDE itself and more textual or a little helper within the IDE acting playful project organization structure maybe a clearer picture of who to talk to and communication with them as part of a game  do not think it can be efficient in day-to-day work maybe for looking at open source projects out of interests maybe for young developers or people starting with development	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Improvements for implemented features  Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Contextual Considerations  Contextual Considerations  Improvements for implemented	Companion
P6	would like to see it on projects of another programming language\nespecially those that maybe are not as cleanly divided into sub would have also liked to see more of the structure of the single sub projects would probably still go at new projects in the IDE itself, seems more efficient at least for the source code  I try to get access to everything that I need (git, deployment server,) and then set up the project locally and try to build it if there is at any point problems I try to contact the person who wrote the code otherwise the other team members already in the project Best case there is documentation for the initial setup, but that is often missing try to talk to project management to get to know the organizational structure  Navigation in code I do with IntelliJ and go-to-definition most of the time first step is always the documentation, if there are problems I google or search through the issue list of the package  For the source code, would have liked an approach that is near to the code, maybe within the IDE itself and more textual or a little helper within the IDE acting playful project organization structure maybe a clearer picture of who to talk to and communication with them as part of a game  do not think it can be efficient in day-to-day work maybe for looking at open source projects out of interests maybe for young developers or people starting	Attitude towards Play in Onboarding Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Organizational Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Technical Onboarding  Improvements for implemented features  Improvements for implemented features  Attitude towards Play in Onboarding  Contextual Considerations  Contextual Considerations	Companion

	go all the way and create a 3D world from a	Ideas on Disvisul Floments for		
P6	project that you can go through, although that could be way too far from the project	Ideas on Playful Elements for Onboarding		
. •	Some code examples listed below looked to be	on boarding		
	refactor-worth. For example commented out			
	console logs or very long config values mapped to		Potential Issue	
P8	string in inline calculations. surprised that even a major project like	features	Revealable	
	ethereumis has the same problems like many			
	other projects like commented out code or		Potential Issue	
P8	console logs or very big util.ts files	Technical Onboarding	Revealable	
P8	Some basic information like GitHub stars would	Improvements for implemented features		
Po	be cool at the beginning	reatures		Also can be seen in the logs, on the
				overview no help was shown, but
	I needed some time and the help box to			then in detail scene it was (line
P8	understand, that I was supposed to click somewhere to reveal the information	Experience towards (playful) features		23471, companion message was
го	somewhere to reveal the information	reatures		closed)
				Relatively long sequence of reveal
	I had some problems catching the fast moving	Experience towards (playful)		clicks without clicking on an lement
P8	dots	features		(e.g. json lines 23613-23755)
	That was fun at first, but at the end it was not that much fun anymore to catch the dots,	Experience towards (playful)		
P8	because it was that easy	features		
	visualisation of all modules looked a bit chaotic.			
DO.	At first I thought, \"that should help me get a	Experience towards (playful)		
P8	better overview?!\"  It came up when I was the first time in a sub	features		
	module and didn't know what to do. There is was	Experience towards (playful)		Can be seen on line 23471 -
P8	very helpful	features	Companion	Companion was shown in submodule
	I don't think that I would prefer such a			
	game/interactive visualisation in contrast to just going throw the filestructure, because the game	Attitude towards Play in		
P8	seemed to be a bit random	Onboarding		
	I don't know if the modules I checked out are the	Improvements for implemented		
P8	most important ones or not	features		
P8	usually it's a coworker introducing someone new to the project	Social Onboarding		
F 0	I'm checking out a new github project, I just	Jocial Oliboarding		
	roam around and search for some modules and			
P8	files whose name seem important to me	Technical Onboarding		
P8	I just go through the file structure in GitHub and when I wanna dig deeper I open the project in	Technical Onboarding		
	There could be some moving dots from module	realiment emperium		
	to module, depending on how often the module	Improvements for implemented		
P8	gets imported into another module	features		
P8	recognise which module is used a lot and which not that often	Ideas on Onboarding Elements		
	some activity missing, many games have this	Ideas on Playful Elements for		
P8	discover, fight enemies concept	Onboarding		
DO.	This guide is good for discounting	Experience towards (playful)	Detail Scene (Within	
P8	This guide is good for discovering some minigames like fighting the bugs or	features Ideas on Playful Elements for	Subpackage)	
P8	something similar could make it more interesting	Onboarding		
	some videos of the commit history of the project	Ideas on Playful Elements for		
P8	like	Onboarding		
P8	In a work environment you are often applied to that project and there is someone who can	Contextual Considerations		
. •	In open source project often someone new just	The state of the s		
P8	joins randomly selfmotivated	Contextual Considerations		
DO	playful automatic introduction brings more	Contoytual Considerations		
P8	benefits for open source projects, than for work find x items of y\" feature (like the pigeons in	Contextual Considerations Ideas on Playful Elements for		
P8	gta4)	Onboarding		
	Some speaking character introducing with some	Ideas on Playful Elements for		
P8	basic information	Onboarding		
P8	Some character to follow, like a rabbit or something that you need to follow from module	Ideas on Playful Elements for Onboarding		
-	area constraints, so that you discover a certain			
	area, and after some tasks and knowladge gains	Ideas on Playful Elements for		
P8	you are allowed to discover a broader area	Onboarding  Experience towards (playful)		wont through protty fort and and
Р9	damit hab ich schon gearbeitet, hab aber nicht auf den link gklickt	Experience towards (playful) features	Package Revealable	went through pretty fast, not read too much in detail probably
	grundsätzlich find ichs vertretbar, dass man bei		Potential Issue	
P9	über 1000 zeilen etwas refactoren sollte	Technical Onboarding	Revealable	

	übersicht gut, dass man alle packages auf einem	Experience towards (playful)	
P9	platz sieht	features	Package Revealable
	mehr info zu den packages gewünscht, zusätzlich	Improvements for implemented	
P9	zu namen und größe, vielleicht direkt in der	features	
	infos je package ganz gut, dass man die direkt die		
	personen sieht mit dem was sie als letztes	Experience towards (playful)	
P9	gemacht haben	features	Package Revealable
	aufdecken war aber bisschen eintönig, da hätte	Improvements for implemented	
P9	mir was zusätzliches zu machen gefallen	features	
	fand die kleine animation mit dem auge ganz gut,	Experience towards (playful)	
P9	aber viel interaktion gab es nicht	features	Companion
	gut bei neuen projekten grade bei größeren, wo		
	es sonst schwierig is einen überblick zu		
	bekommen\nlinux-kernel würde mir einfallen,		
	also bei großen ganz bekannten projekte, bei		
	denen ich aber nicht unbedingt viel mit der		
P9	programmiersprache gemacht habe	Contextual Considerations	
	klone mir das projekt als erstes und öffne es dann		
	in meiner ide, da gehe ich dann vom main file		
	ausgehend in die unterfiles, je nachdem was ich		
P9	machen	Technical Onboarding	
	in einer session zusammen die arbeit am projekt		
	anzufangen um direkt einen ansprechpartner für		
P9	fragen zu haben	Social Onboarding	
	grade als einarbeitung in projekte, wo man		
P9	schwierig einen überblick bekommen kann	Contextual Considerations	
	vielleicht nicht das schnellste, aber um sich	Attitude towards Play in	
P9	spielerisch eine idee zu verschaffen eine gute	Onboarding	