

Questions on the underlying project					
	Do you remember any of the contributors of this project? Please name those that you remember, below.	What were the two largest packages of this monorepo (not the rpm packages, but the unistyle monorepo-its made out of)?	Which of the projects "sub"-packages were used most throughout the other subpackages?	Any rpm packages you're revisited in this project, that you can think of? Did you take a look at their respective websites?	Have you taken a look at any of the files that were potentially "legacy" files? If yes, did you agree on them being "refactor-worthy" or do you think they were fine as-is?
P1	Nope, not a single one. I stumbled upon one with only 3 or 4 letters and I can't remember it, either :D Well, I'm bad with names in general but I also didn't really care when I did this because I wasn't aware this was a thing? Since I have no emotional attachment to this project and didn't really know what I was going for other than just checking out what's actually going on here, I didn't waste any capacity in trying to remember names. Because contributors don't really help me to get started with a project I guess.	I think one with 51b and 500ish lines and one with 44b and 250ish lines. There was one described as pretty large that only had some 80 lines and I thought to myself "well, that's not that big in my opinion" :D	No clue. If this doesn't mean rpm packages I didn't really get the impression of the existence of "sub" packages, whatever they are supposed to be anyway	There was this merkle one. Well, that's the one I remember because of the politician :D Did have a quick look, yes, but didn't really check it out. I only check out rpm packages when I actually have to work with them, not randomly only because they are used in a project. Maybe/but that's an indicator I should change my approach towards projects haha	Not exactly sure what you mean with "legacy" file so I don't know how to answer this.
P2					
P3	Ich erinnere mich nur an astic. Ich bin im Namen merken aber generell sehr schlecht. Ich habe mir aber ein paar Profile angeguckt.	packages/client/packages/block	packages/common/bin mir nicht sicher, klingt für mich aber am logischsten	fs-extra: Kannste ich schon vorher. Habe aber nochmal auf der rpm-Seite nachgeschaut, ob es auch wirklich das ist, was ich kenne.increase-hash: Habe auf der rpm-Seite nachgeschaut, welche Hash-Algorithmen unterstützt werden, bin aus der Seite aber auf die Schnelle nicht sehr schlau geworden.	Nein, ich habe mir keine Datei angeguckt. Anhang der Größe und Zeilenmenge hätte ich dem "refactor-worthy!" aber in den meisten Fällen vermutlich zugestimmt.
P4	ein Kollege mit nem Bart, daran erinnere ich mich :)	packages/b/packages/common?77eblockchain weiß ich noch, das war aber eins der kleinsten	puh, gute Frage		Feedback: ich glaube, dass wenn man tiefer in dem Thema drin ist, das wirklich mega sinnvoll sein kann! Gefällt mir gut!
P5					
P6	vpulum I think was one of the first ones, the other ones I do not really remember	Should be block and client	Think client and common, but many of the connections were overlapping, hard to see	took a look at chalk, but I am not really into the rpm-ecosystem so could not take much from it	yes, seemed fair for the file I looked at - although linefile size alone does not tell the whole story
P7					
P8	s1ra1ndarjrn	withblockin	maybe util?	fs (does that even count as rpm package?)	just came across some files, that were bigger than usual and the hint to refactor them to keep them readable. in/some code examples listed below looked to be refactor-worth. For example commented out console logs or very long config values mapped to string in inline calculations.
P9	axic war glaube ich einer	block denke ich, aber nicht so genau hingeschaut, müsste ich nochmal sehen	block und client müssten das auch gewesen sein, aber waren insgesamt viele packages verbunden miteinander	fs-extra, damit hab ich schon gearbeitet, hab aber nicht auf den link geklickt	hab mir die files nicht genau angeschaut, aber grundsätzlich find ichs vertretbar, dass man bei über 1000 zeilen etwas refactoren sollte