## LECTURE 11 APPLICATIONS OF GRAD

Given a scalar field  $\phi$ , the directional derivative of  $\phi$  in the direction of the vector  $\mathbf{b}$  is given by  $(\operatorname{grad} \phi) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}$  where  $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$  is the unit vector in the direction of  $\mathbf{b}$ .

Given a scalar field  $\phi$  at a point P the direction of maximum increase of  $\phi$  from P is given by  $\nabla \phi|_{P}$  with the magnitude of the increase being the magnitude of  $\nabla \phi|_{P}$ .

Given a scalar field  $\phi$  at a point P the direction of maximum decrease of  $\phi$  from P is given by  $\frac{-\nabla \phi|_P}{}$  with the magnitude of the decrease being the magnitude of  $-\nabla \phi|_P$ .

 $\nabla \phi|_P$  points perpendicular to the level surface (or curve in 2-D) at P.

(Note that  $\nabla \phi|_P$  is just short hand for grad  $\phi$  at P)

## **Directional Derivatives**

We have seen earlier that for a scalar field  $\phi(x,y,z)$ , we can easily find rates of change in the x, y and z directions by using the partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}$ ,  $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}$  and  $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}$ . But what if we are immersed in a scalar field and wish to determine the rate of change of the field in some other direction specified by a vector **b**. This is called a **directional derivative**.

Given a scalar field  $\phi$ , the directional derivative of  $\phi$  in the direction of the vector  $\mathbf{b}$  is given by  $(\operatorname{grad} \phi) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}$  where  $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$  is the unit vector in the direction of  $\mathbf{b}$ .

**Proof:** After example.

**Example 1** Calculate the directional derivative of the scalar field  $\phi(x, y, z) = x^2yz$  in the direction  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{i} + 2\mathbf{j} + 2\mathbf{k}$  at the point P(-1, 1, 3).

$$\star$$
  $\frac{2}{3}$   $\star$ 

This means that if you sit at the point P(-1,1,3) in the scalar field  $\phi = x^2yz$  and head off in the direction  $\mathbf{i} + 2\mathbf{j} + 2\mathbf{k}$  then the instantaneous rate of change of temperature with respect to distance is equal to  $\frac{2}{3}$ .

**Proof of formula:** We will prove the result in space. The argument in other dimensions is similar. Let the unit vector  $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$  be given by  $\hat{\mathbf{b}} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix}$  and define a straight-line path in the  $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$  direction by  $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix} s$ . Then clearly for  $s \ge 0$  the fact that  $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$  is a unit vector implies that the magnitude of  $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}$  is just s. Hence s may be interpreted as distance (in the  $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$  direction).

Now  $\phi(x, y, x)$  depends upon x, y and z which in turn depend upon s. Hence via the chain rule:

$$\frac{d\phi}{ds} = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}\frac{\partial x}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}\frac{\partial y}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z}\frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}b_1 + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}b_2 + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z}b_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix} = (\operatorname{grad}\phi) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}$$

as required.



**Example 2** The pressure in a region of space is given by  $P(x, y, z) = \ln(x)y^2e^z + 3xyz$ . Calculate the rate of change of the pressure with respect to distance at the point (1,2,0) in the direction  $2\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{k}$ .

in the direction 
$$2\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{k}$$
.

$$\nabla P(1,2,0) = \begin{cases} \frac{3^2 e^2}{n} + 3y^2 \\ 2y \ln(w) e^2 + 3nz \\ \ln(w) y^2 e^2 + 3vy \end{cases}$$

$$\vec{b} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vec{b} \cdot \nabla P = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 9 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{19}{\sqrt{6}}$$

$$\star \frac{14}{\sqrt{6}} \star$$

We can differentiate a scalar field in any direction. A crucial question we now need to answer is, given a point P in a scalar field  $\phi$ , in which direction should we move in order to increase the scalar field as quickly as possible? In other words which direction  $\mathbf{b}$  yields the maximal directional derivative? We have shown above that the directional derivative is given by  $(\operatorname{grad} \phi) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}$ , hence we wish to maximise  $|(\operatorname{grad} \phi) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}|$ .

But from first year we know that  $|(\operatorname{grad} \phi) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}| = |(\operatorname{grad} \phi)||\hat{\mathbf{b}}| \cos(\theta)$ , where  $\theta$  is the angle between grad  $\phi$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ . Now grad  $\phi$  is fixed and  $|\hat{\mathbf{b}}| = 1$ , hence all we need to do is maximise  $\cos(\theta)$  which occurs when  $\theta = 0$ . That is, when  $\operatorname{grad} \phi$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  point in the same direction. So the direction  $\mathbf{b}$  which maximises the rate of change is just  $\operatorname{grad} \phi$ . Furthermore the magnitude of this maximal directional derivative is just  $|\operatorname{grad} \phi| \times 1 \times 1 = |\operatorname{grad} \phi|$ . We therefore have:

Given a scalar field  $\phi$  at a point P the direction of maximum increase of  $\phi$  from P is given by  $\nabla \phi|_P$  with the magnitude of the increase being the magnitude of  $\nabla \phi|_P$ .

Given a scalar field  $\phi$  at a point P the direction of maximum decrease of  $\phi$  from P is given by  $-\nabla \phi|_P$  with the magnitude of the decrease being the magnitude of  $-\nabla \phi|_P$ .

 $\nabla \phi|_P$  points perpendicular to the level surface (or curve in 2-D) at P.

(Note that  $\nabla \phi|_P$  is just short hand for grad  $\phi$  at P)

So grad  $\phi$  always points in the direction of max increase of a scalar field. The last point above states that it is also true that grad  $\phi$  is always orientated perpendicular to the level curves and surfaces. In other words the direction of maximal change in a scalar field is always perpendicular to the direction of no change. We will prove this at the end of the next lecture once we have introduced a little vector calculus.

**Example 3** Consider the simple temperature field  $T(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Find the direction and magnitude of maximum increase and maximum decrease of T at the point P(3,3). Describe the level curve at P and verify graphically that the direction of maximum increase of T at P is perpendicular the level curve through P.

$$T(3,3) = 18$$
 $\nabla T|_{p} = \binom{2n}{2y}|_{(3,3)} = \binom{6}{6}$ 
 $|_{n=x} f \text{ of } T| = ||\nabla T|_{p}||_{=} = \sqrt{6^{2}+6^{2}} = 3\sqrt{2}$ 
 $|\nabla T|_{Q} = \binom{2n}{2y}|_{(-3,-3)} = \binom{-6}{-6}$ 
 $|_{n=x} f \text{ of } T| = ||\nabla T|_{Q}||_{=} = 3\sqrt{2}$ 

Lend arn: n2 + y2=16 Obrith of mex. increase is populated to had come of P.

★ 
$$6i + 6j$$
,  $-6i - 6j$ ,  $\sqrt{72}$  ★

**Example 4** Consider the scalar field  $\phi(x,y) = \frac{5x^2}{y}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Find the direction and magnitude of maximum increase of  $\phi$  at the point P(2,20). Describe the level curve at P and verify graphically that the direction of maximum increase of  $\phi$  at P is perpendicular the level curve through P.

$$|\phi(x,y)| = \int_{-2\pi}^{2\pi} \frac{10\pi}{y}$$

$$|\nabla\phi|_{\rho} = \left(\frac{2}{-2\pi}\right)$$

$$|\nabla\phi|_{\rho} =$$

$$\bigstar$$
 i  $-\frac{1}{20}$ j,  $\sqrt{\frac{401}{400}}$   $\bigstar$ 

**Example 5** Consider the scalar field  $\phi(x, y, z) = x^2z + 2y^2 - ye^{z^2}$ . What is the magnitude and direction of the max rate of change of  $\phi$  at P(1, 2, 0)?

$$grd(\phi)\Big|_{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} 2nx \\ 4y - e^{2x} \\ n^2 - ye^{2x} (2x) \end{pmatrix}\Big|_{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 7 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left| \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$$

 $\star$  7j + k,  $\sqrt{50}$   $\star$ 

 $<sup>^{11}\</sup>mathrm{You}$  can now do Q 56,57,58