

Stats Sample 1.

Q1, Q2(a, c)
are same as
2009 SI paper

Q2

$$b) i) n = 1600$$

$$\hat{p} = \frac{8}{1600} = 0.005$$

$$CI: \hat{p} \pm z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}$$

$$= 0.005 \pm 2.575 \sqrt{\frac{0.005(1-0.005)}{1600}}$$

$$= 0.005 \pm 4.5406 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$= [4.59 \times 10^{-4} \quad 9.54 \times 10^{-3}]$$

$$ii) n = \left(\frac{z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{2e} \right)^2 \pi(1-\pi)$$

For 99% confidence, the max π will be 9.54×10^{-3}

$$n = \left(\frac{2.575}{2 \times 0.008} \right)^2 \times 9.54 \times 10^{-3} \times (1 - 9.54 \times 10^{-3})$$

$$= \frac{978.195}{25901} \approx 0.0378$$

Q3. a)

$$i) H_0: \beta_1 = 0 \text{ against } H_a: \beta_1 \neq 0$$

$$ii) \text{ observed value } t_0 = -7.8, \text{ following } t_{28} \text{ distribution.}$$

$$p\text{-value} = P(|T| > 7.8) \times 2$$

$$< 2 \times 0.005 \text{ (from table)}$$

$$= 0.001$$

$$p\text{-value} < 0.001 < 0.01$$

\therefore Reject H_0 , that is ppv has significant impacts on the ~~value of~~ Ratio.

$$b) i) R^2 = 68.5\%$$

$$ii) \text{ correlation} = -\sqrt{0.685} = -0.8276$$

c) i) 95% CI for $\beta_1 = \hat{b}_1 \pm t_{n-2; 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left(\frac{S}{\sqrt{S_{xx}}} \right) \rightarrow SE \text{ coeff.}$
 $= -0.00001484 \pm t_{28; 0.975} \times 0.0000019$

$$= -0.00001484 \pm 2.048 \times 0.0000019$$

$$= [-1.873 \times 10^{-5}, -1.095 \times 10^{-5}]$$

ii) Ratio = $[1 - 1.873 \times 10^{-5} \times 100, 1 - 1.095 \times 10^{-5} \times 100]$
 $= [0.9981, 0.9989]$

d) $200 \sim 1200$

e) i) 2nd one: Residual versus fits.

Constant σ assumption is supported because
~~all~~ dots are randomly spread out. i.e. No particular
 pattern can be observed.
 of the ϵ

ii) 0.00314906

f) 95% CI for $\beta_0 = \hat{b}_0 \pm t_{n-2; 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} S \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2}{S_{xx}}}$
 $= 1.00007 \pm t_{28; 0.975} \times SE \text{ coef}$
 $= 1.00007 \pm 2.048 \times 0.00131$
 $= 1.00007 \pm 2.68288 \times 10^{-3}$
 $= [0.99739, 1.00275]$