

§1 Google Search Algorithm

1. Calculate Page Rank $PR(url)$ for each url. $PR(url) \in [0, 1]$
2. Given search term w , find set S_w of webpages that contain w (They can cache this info and store page ranks for popular search terms \rightarrow less computation)
3. Return list of urls in S_w in *descending* order of $PR(url)$

§2 The Sorting Problem

Input: An array A of pairs of key-value pairs $((k_0, v_0), (k_1, v_1), \dots, (k_{n-1}, v_{n-1}))$

- Key is PR and value is url

Output: An array A' of key-value pairs sorted $((k'_0, v'_0), (k'_1, v'_1), \dots, (k'_{n-1}, v'_{n-1}))$

Definition 2.1 (Sorted). Conditions needed to be sorted:

1. Sorted by keys, i.e: $k'_0 \leq k'_1 \leq \dots \leq k'_{n-1}$
2. \exists permutation $\pi : [n] \rightarrow [n]$ st $(k'_i, v'_i) = (k_{\pi(i)}, v_{\pi(i)}) \forall i$

Notation 2.2 (Subset of Natural Numbers) As they are used quite often, we denote $[n]$ to mean the natural numbers up to n .

Currently in descending order. Set k to be $1 - PR$ and it will be in ascending order which is what we want

Issue: If there are duplicate keys, then it is not unique. Sorting algorithm output can return them in any order and still satisfy these conditions.

§2.1 Exhaustive-Search Sort (ESS)

For each permutation $\pi : [n] \rightarrow [n]$: if $k_{\pi(0)} \leq k_{\pi(1)} \leq \dots \leq k_{\pi(n-1)}$ then: return $((k_{\pi(0)}, v_{\pi(0)}), (k_{\pi(1)}, v_{\pi(1)}), \dots, (k_{\pi(n-1)}, v_{\pi(n-1)}))$

- Check all permutations and return when reach a permutation where it is in ascending order

Will it always return a correct answer?

- Goal of Correctness Proof: For every input, algorithm gives a valid output to complete the computational problem.
1. For every input, \exists valid output
 2. If \exists a valid output, ESS returns something

3. If ESS returns something, it returns something correct

Proof of Correctness of ESS. We will not prove 1 as it is trivial. For 2, we cannot get past correct output without returning something. For 3, we only return when we checked if keys are in order and thus the return is the correct output. \square

§2.2 Insertion Sort

For $i \in [n]$: put $A[i]$ into correct place (less than the following element and greater than or equal to preceding element) in $[A[0], \dots, A[i-1]]$ return A

Proof of Correctness for Insertion Sort. Prove by induction on i . Let $P(i)$ be the statement that, at the end of loop step i of the loop, $[A[0], A[1], \dots, A[i]]$ is a correct sorting of first $i+1$ elements.

Base Case: $i = 0$, $[A[0]]$ is a valid sort.

Inductive Step: Insert $A[i+1] = (k, v)$ into correct place in $[A[0], \dots, A[i]]$ which are correctly sorted by induction.

After Insertion:

- Inserting element doesn't change set of elements, ie. can modify permutation by inserting $i+1$
- $k[0] \leq k[1] \leq \dots \leq k[j] \leq k[j+1] \leq \dots \leq k[i]$. By induction, it is in order except for i , and by inserting into the correct location, remains correct order.

$P(n)$ is the statement "when insertion sort finishes, it's correct." \square

- Note that here, $A[0]$ is being used to refer to the returned array. A more precise way is to use $A_i[k]$, which is the k th element at the i th iteration.