Portfolio Question 12

MATH 1700: Ideas in Mathematics

Professor Rimmer

Due: February 10, 2023 Denny Cao

Question 12

Explain why each of the numbers in the list

$$(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4) + 2, (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4) + 3, (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4) + 4$$

is composite. (You can show that they are composite by performing all of the relevant additions and multiplications, but it might help to think about how he way the numbers in the list were constructed guarantees that they are composite.)

Next show that for any natural number n, we can find a natural number m so that the numbers $m+1, m+2, \ldots, m+n$ are all composite. (In other words, for every natural number n, we can find n consecutive natural numbers which are all composite.) Be very careful with your choice of m. You might need to try out some examples to make sure your construction really works the way you want it to, even if you don't end up including those extra examples in your write-up.

First Submission

Part 1

Proof. Let $a = (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4)$. For each number in the list, the number added to a is a factor of a. Because of this, we can factor out the number added to a from both. We can rewrite each number in the list as follows:

$$2(1 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 + 1), 3(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 4 + 1), 4(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 + 1)$$

As each of these numbers are multiples of 2, 3, and 4, respectively, they are all composite. \Box

Part 2

When

$$m = \prod_{i=1}^{n} i$$

the numbers $m+1, m+2, \ldots, m+n$ are all composite.

Proof. As m is defined as the product of the first n natural numbers, each number from 1 to n is a factor of n. Thus, $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}(x \leq n \to x \mid m)$. Because of this, we can factor out each number from 1 to n from m. We can rewrite the list of numbers from $m+1 \dots m+n$ as follows:

$$1\left(\frac{m}{1}+1\right), 2\left(\frac{m}{2}+1\right), 3\left(\frac{m}{3}+1\right), \dots, n\left(\frac{m}{n}+1\right)$$

As $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}(x \leq n \to x \mid m)$, $\frac{m}{x} \in \mathbb{N}$. As each number in the list is a multiple of x, they are all composite.

Peer Review

| Suggestions | Communications | Strengths |
|---|----------------------|--|
| Part 1 seems good, but for part | H Show All Steps | Everything is explained well ei- |
| 2 you might have to double-check | | ther through words or through |
| whether the statement that $m +$ | | math, nice explanations! |
| n is composite for all n is true. | | , <u>r</u> |
| Maybe try plugging in numbers | | |
| to check? An example might also | | |
| help solidify the explanation a lit- | | |
| tle bit. | | |
| Perhaps explaining why a num- | H Explain Why, Not | Nicely explained through mathe- |
| ber is composite if it is a mul- | Just What | matical work, and it's easy to un- |
| tiple of a number that is not 1? | 0 450 11 1140 | derstand. |
| It sounds very elementary but I | | |
| think it might be needed. | | |
| No suggestions | C Avoid Ambiguous | Not much to say, good wording. |
| | Pronouns | <i>77</i> 0 |
| Perhaps define composite? Oth- | C Use Terminology | Cool use of the product operator |
| erwise, it all seems good. | Correctly | and set theory! |
| Quite short explanations, how- | H Include Appropri- | Nice and straightforward expla- |
| ever, they convey everything in a | ate Level of Details | nations, cool use of the product |
| clear and concise manner. Maybe | | operator! |
| provide a little more explanation | | • |
| on how each solution is correct? | | |
| I don't think you need any dia- | H Create Useful Dia- | N/A |
| grams for this problem, and your | grams | ' |
| explanation doesn't really need a | | |
| diagram. | | |
| No Suggestions. | H Use Clear and Di- | Sentences are all clear. |
| | rect Sentences | |
| No suggestions. | C Check Problem | Setup seems good |
| | Setup | |
| No suggestions. | C Check Your Calcu- | All good! |
| | lations | |
| Everything seems good, except | C Verify Answer is | A well-reasoned answer, just the |
| for the statement that $1(\frac{m}{1}+1)$ | Reasonable | problem with the $1(\frac{m}{1}+1)$ state- |
| must be composite because hav- | | ment. |
| ing a factor of 1 does not prove | | |
| that the number is composite. | | |

Final Submission

Part 1

Proof. Let $a = (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4)$. For each number in the list, the number added to a is a factor of a. Because of this, we can factor out the number added to a from both. We can rewrite each number in the list as follows:

$$2(1 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 + 1), 3(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 4 + 1), 4(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 + 1)$$

The definition of a composite number is a number that has factors other than 1 and itself. As each of these numbers are multiples of 2,3, and 4 respectively, they are all composite. \Box

Part 2

When

$$m = (n+2)! + 2$$

Proof. m is the product of the first n+2 natural numbers plus 2, or m=(n+2)!+2. Note that, as (n+2)! is the product of the first n+2 natural numbers, each number from 1 to n+2 is a factor of (n+2)!. As the least value of n is 1, we can always factor out 2 from m.

Consider the list of numbers $m, m+1, m+2, \ldots, m+n$. We can rewrite this list by factoring

as follows:

$$m = (n+2)! + 2 = 2\left(\frac{(n+2)!}{2} + 1\right)$$

$$m+1 = (n+2)! + (2+1) = 3\left(\frac{(n+2)!}{3} + 1\right)$$

$$m+2 = (n+2)! + (2+2) = 4\left(\frac{(n+2)!}{4} + 1\right)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$m+n = (n+2)! + (n+2) = (n+2)\left(\frac{(n+2)!}{n+2} + 1\right)$$

Note that, when the multiple is n, it is multiplied by a number other than 1, as (n+2)!/n+1 will result in a number greater than 1.

The definition of a composite natural number is a number that has a factor other than 1 and itself. As each number in the list is a multiple of $2, 3, \ldots, n+2$, they are all composite. \Box