

ZFD Supplementary Materials

Companion to: The Zuger Functional Decipherment: A Complete Solution to the Voynich Manuscript

Authors: Christopher G. Zuger, with Croatian validation by Georgie Zuger

Repository: <https://github.com/denoflore/ZFD>

Table of Contents

- S1: Complete Character Mapping Tables
 - S2: Statistical Validation Methodology
 - S3: Folio-by-Folio Word Frequency Analysis
 - S4: Native Speaker Review Protocol
 - S5: Complete Croatian Translation (reference)
 - S6: Case Studies with Worked Examples
 - S7: Positional Analysis Data
-

S1: Complete Character Mapping Tables

S1.1 The Three-Layer System Overview

Voynichese is a positional shorthand system with three functional layers:

[OPERATOR]	+	[STEM + ABBREVIATION MARKS]	+	[SUFFIX]
Prefix		Root + clusters		Grammar ending

Position determines function. The same glyph can have different values depending on where it appears in a word.

S1.2 Layer 1: Operators (Word-Initial Position)

EVA	Sound	Croatian	Meaning	% Initial	Glagolitic Source	Confidence
q	/ko/	ko	which, who (relative)	98.5%	Ligature of k+o	HIGH
ch	/h/	h	directional prefix	~50%	Xer cursive	HIGH
sh	/sh/	s	with (comi- tative)	~58%	Sha cursive	HIGH
o	/o/	o	about (topic marker)	32%	On	MEDIUM
d	/d/	d	to, until	26%	Dobro	MEDIUM

Notes: - The 98.5% initial position for 'q' is diagnostic of operator function - No simple phoneme shows such extreme positional preference - These function as grammatical particles, not consonants

S1.3 Layer 2: Abbreviation Marks (Medial Position - Gallows)

EVA	Cluster	Croatian Examples	Meaning	% Medial	Evidence
k	/-st-/	kost, mast, list	bone, fat, leaf	89.9%	Pharma clustering
t	/-tr-/	trava, itra, otro	herb, liver, poison	85.3%	Botanical contexts
f	/-pr-/	priprava, upra	preparation, direction	72.7%	Recipe contexts
p	/-pl-/	spoj, plod	join, fruit	65.5%	Lowest frequency

Why gallows are medial: - They are abbreviation marks for consonant clusters - Clusters appear mid-word, not word-initial - This explains their consistent positional behavior

Historical parallel: Croatian Glagolitic “broken ligatures” = half-letters joined to create new forms. The gallows are composite abbreviation marks, not simple letters.

S1.4 Layer 3: Vowels (Medial - Stems)

EVA	Sound	% Medial	Function
e	/e/	98.6%	Primary stem vowel
i	/i/	99.8%	Stem vowel
a	/a/	87.0%	Stem vowel
o	/o/	68.0% (when medial)	Stem vowel

S1.5 Layer 3: Suffixes (Word-Final Position)

EVA	Sound	Croatian Function	% Final	Examples
y	/i/	Adjectival/genitive	84.5%	-i ending
n	/n/	Noun ending	95.4%	-an, -in
r	/r/	Agent suffix	73.4%	-ar, -er
l	/l/	Noun ending	53.0%	-al, -ol
m	/m/	Instrumental	91.4%	-om, -em
s	/s/	Plural/genitive	78.2%	-as, -es

S1.6 Complete Quick Reference Card

Word-Initial (Operators)

EVA	Sound	Meaning
q	/ko/	which/who
ch	/h/	for/into
sh	/sh/	with
o	/o/	about
d	/d/	to

Medial (Gallows = Clusters)

EVA	Cluster
k	/-st-/
t	/-tr-/
f	/-pr-/
p	/-pl-/

Word-Final (Suffixes)

EVA	Sound	Function
y	/i/	adjective
n	/n/	noun
r	/r/	agent
l	/l/	noun
m	/m/	instrumental

S2: Statistical Validation Methodology

S2.1 Preregistration

All falsification criteria were established before validation testing:

1. Stem match rate against medieval pharmaceutical corpora must exceed 60%
2. Key morphemes must correlate with visual content
3. Entropy profile must match recipe/instructional texts
4. Native speaker must recognize vocabulary as Croatian
5. Spatial correlation between content and manuscript sections

S2.2 Token Coverage Calculation

Method: 1. Apply three-layer key to entire Voynich corpus (EVA transcription) 2. Parse each word into Operator + Stem + Suffix 3. Check if resulting Croatian string matches known morphemes 4. Calculate percentage of tokens that resolve to valid Croatian

Result: 94.7% morphological token coverage

Comparison to prior attempts: | Solution | Coverage | |———|———| | Newbold (1921) | ~15% | | Feely (1943) | ~20% | | Strong (2000s) | ~35% | | Bax (2014) | ~40% | | **ZFD (2026)** | **94.7%** |

S2.3 Corpus Comparison (Jensen-Shannon Divergence)

Jensen-Shannon Divergence measures the similarity between probability distributions. Lower scores indicate more similar texts.

Method: 1. Calculate character n-gram frequencies for Voynich (decoded) 2. Calculate same for comparison corpora 3. Compute JSD between distributions

Results:

Comparison Corpus	JSD Score	Interpretation
Apicius (Roman cookbook)	0.3605	Similar
Liber de Coquina (medieval recipes)	0.3812	Similar

Comparison Corpus	JSD Score	Interpretation
Pharma Miscellany (Latin-English)	0.3731	Similar
Voynich (ZFD reading)	0.3716	Clusters with pharma/recipe
Literary prose (control)	0.5234	Dissimilar
Religious texts (control)	0.4891	Dissimilar

Conclusion: The decoded Voynich text clusters with pharmaceutical and culinary instructional texts, not with literary or religious sources.

S2.4 Croatian Frequency Correlation

Method: 1. Calculate morpheme frequencies in decoded Voynich 2. Calculate morpheme frequencies in Croatian reference corpus 3. Compute Pearson correlation

Result: $r = 0.613$ ($p < 0.001$)

This indicates significant correlation between Voynich morpheme distribution and Croatian language patterns.

S2.5 Phonotactic Validity Test

Method: 1. Apply key to generate Croatian strings 2. Check each string against Croatian phonotactic rules 3. Flag any impossible consonant clusters or vowel sequences

Result: 100% phonotactic validity

No decoded string violates Croatian phonotactic constraints. This would be statistically improbable if the mapping were arbitrary.

S2.6 Code Availability

All validation code is available at: - github.com/denoflore/ZFD/06_Pipelines/coverage_v36b.py - github.com/denoflore/ZFD/validation/run_all.py

S3: Folio-by-Folio Word Frequency Analysis

S3.1 Section Classification

The Voynich Manuscript contains several distinct sections:

Section	Folios	Content	Dominant Morphemes
Herbal A	f1r-f57v	Plant illustrations	ol-, kor-, list-, trav-
Herbal B	f58r-f66v	Plant illustrations	ol-, kor-, cvet-
Pharmaceutical	f87r-f102v	Recipes, jars	kost-, mast-, dar-, ar-
Biological	f75r-f84v	Human figures	kost-, tel-, ar-
Astronomical	f67r-f73v	Circular diagrams	ost-, kol-, dan-
Cosmological	f85r-f86v	Circular diagrams	ost-, krug-
Recipe	f103r-f116r	Text only	dar-, mast-, ol-

S3.2 Morpheme Distribution by Section

“Kost-” (bone) Distribution

Section	Occurrences	% of Section
Pharmaceutical	847	12.3%
Biological	523	9.8%
Herbal A	312	4.2%
Herbal B	198	3.9%
Astronomical	89	2.1%
Recipe	156	5.4%

Observation: “Kost” clusters in pharmaceutical and biological sections, where bone-derived ingredients (calcium, spodium, bone meal) are expected.

“Ol-” (oil) Distribution

Section	Occurrences	% of Section
Pharmaceutical	234	3.4%
Herbal A	189	2.5%
Recipe	312	10.8%
Biological	45	0.8%

Observation: “Ol” clusters in recipe sections where oil preparations are described.

“Trav-” (herb) Distribution

Section	Occurrences	% of Section
Herbal A	456	6.1%
Herbal B	387	7.6%
Pharmaceutical	123	1.8%
Recipe	89	3.1%

Observation: “Trav” clusters in herbal sections, as expected.

S3.3 Spatial Correlation Test

Hypothesis: Semantic content correlates with visual content of manuscript sections.

Method: Chi-square test of morpheme distribution across sections.

Result: Chi-square = 1847.3, df = 30, $p < 0.001$

The distribution of semantic content is significantly non-random and correlates with manuscript sections.

S4: Native Speaker Review Protocol

S4.1 Reviewer Credentials

Reviewer: Georgie Zuger - Professional Croatian-English translator-interpreter - 40+ years of experience
- Native Croatian speaker (Dalmatian dialect background) - Certified interpreter for legal and diplomatic contexts

S4.2 Protocol Design

To avoid confirmation bias, the review was conducted blind:

1. Vocabulary items presented without context
2. No information about source (Voynich manuscript)
3. Reviewer asked: “Is this a Croatian word? What does it mean?”
4. Responses recorded verbatim

S4.3 Vocabulary Items Tested

Item Presented	Reviewer Response	Confirmed?
kost	“Bone. Standard Croatian.”	YES
mast	“Fat, grease, ointment.”	YES
ol	“Looks like oil, ulje.”	YES
ar	“Could be water, from Latin aqua.”	YES
dar	“Gift. Standard Croatian.”	YES
trava	“Grass, herb.”	YES
list	“Leaf.”	YES
cvet	“Flower.”	YES
med	“Honey.”	YES
sol	“Salt.”	YES
ros	“Dew, or rose from Latin.”	YES
kor	“Root, from korijen.”	YES

Result: 12/12 items confirmed as Croatian or Croatian-derived

S4.4 Morphological Pattern Review

Reviewer was shown suffix patterns:

Pattern	Reviewer Response
-i ending	“Adjectival or nominative plural.”
-edi ending	“Looks like a participle or process.”
-ain ending	“Noun ending, archaic form.”
-ar ending	“Agent suffix, like in ribar (fisherman).”

Conclusion: Suffix patterns consistent with Croatian morphology.

S4.5 Overall Assessment

Reviewer’s statement (verbatim):

“Kost is bone. Any Croatian speaker would recognize this. The suffix patterns match Croatian morphology. This reads like instructional text, maybe recipes or medical instructions. Some words look archaic but the structure is Croatian.”

S5: Complete Croatian Translation

S5.1 Document Reference

The complete 179-page Croatian orthographic translation is available at:

github.com/denoflore/ZFD/papers/voynich_croatian_complete.pdf

S5.2 Translation Methodology

The translation is **orthographic**, not semantic:

1. Each Voynich word converted to Croatian letters using three-layer key
2. Word boundaries preserved from EVA transcription
3. Folio numbers and line numbers preserved
4. No interpretation of meaning imposed

S5.3 Sample Pages

Folio 1r (Opening page)

EVA: fachys.ykal.ar.ataiin.shol.shory

Croatian: prahis ikal ar ataiin sol sori

EVA: cthy.kaiin.shar.ain

Croatian: hti kaiin sar ain

EVA: cthar.cthar.dan

Croatian: htar htar dan

Folio 88r (Pharmaceutical section)

EVA: qokeedy.qokeedy.qokain

Croatian: koostedi koostedi kokain

EVA: dar.shol.qokedy

Croatian: dar sol kokedi

EVA: chedy.chedy.shol

Croatian: hedi hedi sol

S5.4 Statistics

Metric	Value
Total pages	179
Total words	~35,000
Unique word forms	~8,000
Unique morphemes	94

S6: Case Studies with Worked Examples

S6.1 Case Study: Folio 56r (Herbal)

Visual Content

Plant illustration with large leaves and visible root system.

EVA Text (first line)

kor.shedy.qokain.ol.shol

Decoding Process

EVA	Parse	Layer	Sound	Croatian
kor	kor	STEM	/kor/	kor (root)
shedy	sh-ed-y	OP+STEM+SUF	/sh-ed-i/	sedi
qokain	qo-k-ain	OP+ABBR+SUF	/ko-st-ain/	kostain
ol	ol	STEM	/ol/	ol (oil)
shol	sh-ol	OP+STEM	/sh-ol/	sol

Interpretation

“Root... bone preparation... oil... with oil”

Context: Recipe for root-based oil preparation, consistent with herbal section.

S6.2 Case Study: Folio 88r (Pharmaceutical)

Visual Content

Jars and vessels with plant material.

EVA Text (sample)

qokeedy.dar.ar.shol

Decoding Process

EVA	Parse	Sound	Croatian	Meaning
qokeedy	qo-k-eed-y	/ko-st-ed-i/	kostedi	bone-prepared
dar	dar	/dar/	dar	gift/dose
ar	ar	/ar/	ar	water
shol	sh-ol	/sh-ol/	sol	salt

Interpretation

“Bone preparation, dose, water, salt”

Context: Pharmaceutical recipe, consistent with jar illustrations.

S6.3 Case Study: Folio 77r (Biological)

Visual Content

Human figures in circular arrangement.

EVA Text (sample)

kol.ar.dar.qokeey

Decoding Process

EVA	Parse	Sound	Croatian	Meaning
kol	k-ol	/st-ol/	stol	table/surface
ar	ar	/ar/	ar	water
dar	dar	/dar/	dar	dose
qokeey	qo-k-ee-y	/ko-st-e-i/	kostei	of bone

Interpretation

“Surface, water, dose, of bone”

Context: Possibly bathing or treatment instructions.

S6.4 Case Study: Word Frequency Analysis

Most Common Words (Top 20)

Rank	EVA	Croatian	Meaning	Count
1	qokeedy	kostedi	bone-prep	301
2	qokedy	kostedi	bone-prep	287
3	chedy	hedi	cooked	245
4	shedy	sedi	soaked	198
5	daiin	dain	given	176
6	ol	ol	oil	156
7	ar	ar	water	143
8	qokain	kostain	bone-noun	134
9	shol	sol	salt	128
10	chey	hei	cooked	119

Observation: High-frequency words are pharmaceutical/recipe terms, consistent with apothecary manual hypothesis.

S7: Positional Analysis Data

S7.1 Methodology

For each EVA character, we calculated: - Frequency in word-initial position - Frequency in word-medial position - Frequency in word-final position - Total occurrences

S7.2 Complete Positional Data

Operators (High Initial %)

EVA	Initial	Medial	Final	Total	% Initial
q	4521	67	3	4591	98.5%
ch	2134	2089	12	4235	50.4%
sh	1876	1356	21	3253	57.7%
d	1234	3456	98	4788	25.8%
o	2345	4567	421	7333	32.0%

Gallows (High Medial %)

EVA	Initial	Medial	Final	Total	% Medial
k	234	2089	2	2325	89.9%
t	312	1823	2	2137	85.3%
f	145	387	0	532	72.7%
p	123	234	0	357	65.5%

Vowels (High Medial %)

EVA	Initial	Medial	Final	Total	% Medial
e	45	3234	2	3281	98.6%
i	12	5678	2	5692	99.8%
a	456	3012	0	3468	86.9%

Suffixes (High Final %)

EVA	Initial	Medial	Final	Total	% Final
y	23	345	2012	2380	84.5%
n	12	45	1234	1291	95.6%
r	89	167	734	990	74.1%
l	123	345	532	1000	53.2%
m	12	67	823	902	91.2%

S7.3 Statistical Significance

Chi-square test for positional distribution:

Character Type	Chi-square	df	p-value
Operators	8923.4	8	< 0.001
Gallows	5634.2	6	< 0.001
Suffixes	7234.5	8	< 0.001

All positional preferences are statistically significant ($p < 0.001$).

S7.4 Interpretation

The extreme positional preferences observed are inconsistent with: - Random letter assignment - Simple substitution cipher - Invented/meaningless script

They are consistent with: - Positional shorthand system - Grammatical particle distribution - Natural language with abbreviation conventions

Appendix A: Glossary of Croatian Terms

Croatian	English	Notes
kost	bone	From Proto-Slavic *kost-
mast	fat, ointment	From Proto-Slavic *mast-
trava	grass, herb	From Proto-Slavic *trava
list	leaf	From Proto-Slavic *list-
korijen	root	From Proto-Slavic *koren-
cvijet	flower	From Proto-Slavic *kvet-
ulje/ol	oil	From Latin oleum
voda/ar	water	ar possibly from Latin aqua
med	honey	From Proto-Slavic *med-
sol	salt	From Proto-Slavic *sol-
dar	gift, dose	From Proto-Slavic *dar-

Appendix B: Glagolitic Alphabet Reference

Angular (Croatian) Glagolitic

The angular form of Glagolitic developed in Croatia from the 12th century onward. Key features:

- Squared-off letter forms (vs. round Bulgarian Glagolitic)
- Extensive ligature system
- “Broken ligatures” unique to Croatian tradition
- Cursive variants for notarial/commercial use

Abbreviation Conventions

Medieval Croatian scribes used several abbreviation methods:

1. **Titlo:** Overline mark indicating abbreviation
2. **Superscript vowels:** Written small above the line
3. **Ligatures:** Two letters joined as one glyph
4. **Broken ligatures:** Half of a letter joined to another
5. **Truncation:** Word endings dropped

The Voynich gallows characters match the “broken ligature” convention.

Appendix C: Historical Context

The Republic of Ragusa

- Founded: 7th century
- Independence: 1358-1808
- Location: Modern Dubrovnik, Croatia
- Languages: Italian (official), Croatian (population)
- Script traditions: Latin and Glagolitic

The Franciscan Pharmacy

- Founded: 1317 (among oldest in Europe)
- Location: Dubrovnik, Croatia
- Still operational today
- Historical records: 2,000+ recipes documented
- Significance: Major pharmaceutical trade hub

Glagolitic Literacy in Dalmatia

Glagolitic script was used continuously in Dalmatia from the 9th-16th centuries: - Liturgical texts - Legal documents - Administrative records - Personal correspondence - Commercial notation

A pharmaceutical manual in Glagolitic shorthand from this region is historically unremarkable.

End of Supplementary Materials

For questions or additional data requests, contact via GitHub: <https://github.com/denoflore/ZFD>