# Contents

CHAPTER I.	[the lone of	chap	ter	].	 	•	 •	•	 •	•	•	•	 •	•	•	1
§1. [THE FI	RST SECTION]			•	 		 									1
§2. Moore	-Aaronszajn				 		 									4

#### CHAPTER I

# [the lone chapter]

Conventions. Unless stated otherwise, assume the following:

- $K \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}.$
- X will denote a generic set.
- Vector spaces will be over K.
- Evaluation functions on any subset of  $K^X$  will be denoted by  $\delta_x$ 's. These are clearly linear maps in case the domain is a subspace of  $K^X$ .
- $\mathcal{H}$  will denote a Hilbert space over K.
- Abusing the notation slightly, the same notation will be used to denote the restriction to  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  of Re, Im and complex conjugation.
- Whenever  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is semi-inner-product on a vector space, we'll use the usual  $\| \cdot \|$  to denote the induced seminorm.
- For any function  $k: X \times X \to K$ , we'll use  $k_x$  to stand for  $k(\cdot, x): X \to K$

### 1. [THE FIRST SECTION]

**Definition 1.1** (p.s.d. kernels). A positive semi-definite kernel on a set X is a function  $k: X \times X \to K$  that is

(i) conjugate symmetric, i.e.,  $k(y, x) = \overline{k(x, y)}$ ; and,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>That is, it's almost a norm except not possibly satisfying the positive definiteness.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Note that a semi-inner-product obeys the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (just follow Schwarz's proof using the quadratic polynomial).

(ii) positive semi-definite, i.e., for any  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$  and any  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in K$ , we have that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \overline{\alpha_i} k(x_i, x_j) \alpha_j \ge 0.$$

**Definition 1.2** (r.k.'s and RKHS's). A reproducing kernel for  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq K^X$  is a function  $k \colon X \times X \to K$  such that for any  $x \in X$ , we have that  $k_x \in \mathcal{H}$  and that it obeys the reproducing property, i.e.,

$$f(x) = \langle f, k_x \rangle$$

for any  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Further, if  $\mathcal H$  admits a reproducing kernel, it's called a  $reproducing\ kernel\ Hilbert\ space.$ 

Lemma 1.3. A reproducing kernel is a p.s.d. kernel.

*Proof.* Let k be a reproducing kernel of  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq K^X$ .

- Conjugate symmetry:  $k(y,x) = k_x(y) = \langle k_x, k_y \rangle = \overline{\langle k_y, k_x \rangle} = \overline{k_y(x)} = \overline{k(x,y)}$ .
- Positive semi-definite: Let  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$  and  $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n \in K$ . Then setting  $k_x := k(\cdot, x)$ , we have

$$\sum_{i,j} \overline{\alpha_i} k(x_i, x_j) \alpha_j = \sum_{i,j} \overline{\alpha_i} \langle k_{x_j}, k_{x_i} \rangle \alpha_j$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} \langle \alpha_j k_{x_j}, \alpha_i k_{x_i} \rangle$$

$$= \left\| \sum_i \alpha_i k_{x_i} \right\|^2$$

$$\geq 0.$$

Remark. The converse is the content of Theorem 2.3.

**Lemma 1.4** (Characterizing RKHS's).  $\mathscr{H} \subseteq K^X$  is an RKHS  $\iff$  evaluation functionals on it are continuous.

*Proof.* " $\Rightarrow$ ": Let k be a reproducing kernel of  $\mathscr{H}$ . Then  $|\delta_x(f)| = |f(x)| = |\langle f, k_x \rangle| \leq ||k_x|| ||f||$  (note that  $k_x \in \mathscr{H}$ ). Thus  $||\delta_x|| \leq ||k_x|| < +\infty$ .

" $\Leftarrow$ ": By Riesz, we can define  $k \colon X \times X \to K$  such that  $\delta_x = \langle \cdot, k_x \rangle$  (since  $\delta_x$ 's are continuous). Then  $f(x) = \delta_x(f) = \langle f, k_x \rangle$ .

Corollary 1.5. Convergence in an RKHS  $\implies$  pointwise convergence.

What about the converse?

**Theorem 1.6** (RKHS  $\mapsto$  r.k. is injective).

- (i) An RKHS's reproducing kernel is unique.
- (ii) Conversely, a reproducing kernel makes at most one Hilbert space into an RKHS.
  - (i) Suppose k and k' are reproducing kernels for  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq K^X$ . Then for any  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $x \in X$ , we have  $\langle f, k_x - k_x' \rangle = f(x) - f(x) = 0$  so that  $k_x = k_x'$ . Since x was arbitrary, k = k'.
  - (ii) Let k be a reproducing kernel for  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq K^X$ . It suffices to show that  $\mathcal{H}$  is uniquely determined (along with its inner product<sup>3</sup>). Let  $\mathcal{H}_0$  be the subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  spanned by  $k_x$ 's for  $x \in X$ . Note that  $\mathcal{H}_0^{\perp} = \{0\}$  (because of k's reproducing property) so that  $\overline{\mathcal{H}_0} = (\mathcal{H}_0^{\perp})^{\perp} = \mathcal{H}^{.4}$  Since  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is determined by k, it suffices to have that the topology on  $\mathcal{H}$  is also determined by  $k \iff$ the norm on  $\mathcal{H}$  is determined by k. Note that this will also imply that the inner product is uniquely determined (due to polarization).

It suffices to have that the norm is determined on  $\mathcal{H}_0$  for it is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Indeed, for  $f = \sum_{i=\alpha_i}^n \alpha_i k_{x_i}$ , we have

$$||f||^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \alpha_i \overline{\alpha_j} \langle k_{x_i}, k_{x_j} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \overline{\alpha_j} k(x_j, x_i) \alpha_i.$$

*Remark.* Note that completeness of  $\mathcal{H}$  was used in writing  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_0 = (\mathcal{H}_0^{\perp})^{\perp}$ . Other than Any example that, it was not used elsewhere until now.

necessity of this?

We summarize our results so far. For any set X, we have:

$$\{\text{RKHS's on } K^X\} \xrightarrow[\text{(injective)}]{\text{Theorem 1.6}} \{\text{r.k.'s on } X\} \xrightarrow[\text{(inclusion)}]{\text{Lemma 1.3}} \{\text{p.s.d. kernels on } X\}$$

To complete the circle of ideas, we show in the next section that any p.s.d. kernel is a reproducing kernel for some (and hence unique) RKHS which will lead to the following satisfying correspondence:

$$\{RKHS's \text{ on } K^X\} \longleftrightarrow \{r.k.'s \text{ on } X\} = \{p.s.d. \text{ kernels on } X\}$$
<sup>3</sup>Note that addition and scalar multiplication are already determined (namely, pointwise) by

definition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>A naïve glance suggests that this fixes  $\mathcal{H}$ , but it doesn't! At least yet. We haven't yet reduced the description of  $\mathcal{H}$  to only that of k. The closure of  $\mathcal{H}_0$  (which does only depend on k) is dependent on the norm topology induced from  $\mathcal{H}$ , which might still depend on the choice of  $\mathcal{H}$ , not just k.

#### 2. Moore-Aaronszajn

The upcoming lemmas are geared towards the following goal: Given a p.s.d. kernel k on a set X, we find an RKHS  $\mathcal{H}$  whose reproducing kernel is precisely k. We do so in the following steps:

- (i) Each  $k_x$  must lie in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Thus, we are motivated to first define a vector space  $\mathcal{H}_0$  spanned by  $k_x$ 's.
- (ii) We show that there's a unique inner product on  $\mathcal{H}_0$  with respect to which k has the reproducing property.
- (iii) Finally, we complete  $\mathcal{H}_0$ , and verify that it's the required RKHS.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let k be a p.s.d. kernel. Define  $\mathcal{H}_0$  to be the subspace of  $K^X$  generated by  $k_x$ 's for  $x \in X$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}_0$  admits a unique inner product such that  $f(x) = \langle f, k_x \rangle$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{H}_0$ .

*Proof.* We show that

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{i,j} \overline{\beta_j} k(y_j, x_i) \alpha_i$$
 (2.1)

defines an inner product on  $\mathcal{H}_0$  for  $f = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i k_{x_i}$  and  $g = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j k_{y_j}$ . That it's well-defined follows because

$$\sum_{i} \overline{\beta_{j}} f(y_{j}) = \sum_{i,j} \overline{\beta_{j}} k(y_{j}, x_{i}) \alpha_{i} = \sum_{i} \overline{g(x_{i})} \alpha_{i}$$
 (2.2)

where the second equality follows since  $\underline{k}$  is conjugate symmetric. It's immediate from Eq. (2.1) that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is p.s.d. and conjugate symmetric (since  $\underline{k}$  is a p.s.d. kernel), and from Eq. (2.2) that it's bilinear with  $\langle f, k_x \rangle = f(x)$  for any  $x \in X$  (take  $g = k_x$ ). Only positive definiteness remains to be shown:

Note that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a semi-inner-product so that it obeys Cauchy-Schwarz and induces a seminorm. Now, let ||f|| = 0. Then for any  $x \in X$ , we have  $|f(x)| = |\langle f, k_x \rangle| \le ||f|| ||k_x|| = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Continuing Lemma 2.1, let<sup>5</sup>  $S := \{Cauchy \text{ sequences in } \mathcal{H}_0\}$ . Then there exists a linear map  $\phi \colon S \to K^X$  that maps Cauchy sequences to their pointwise limits, the kernel of which consists precisely of sequences that converge to 0 in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ .

*Proof.* First we show that  $\phi$  is indeed well-defined:

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  "S" for "sequences".

Let  $(f_n)$  be Cauchy in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . Then for any  $x \in X$ , we have  $|f_m(x) - f_n(x)| = |\langle f_m - f_n, k_x \rangle| \leq ||f_n - f_m|| ||k_x|| \stackrel{\text{w}}{\to} 0$  as  $m, n \to \infty$  so that  $(f_n(x))$  is Cauchy in K and hence convergent. Thus, pointwise limits of Cauchy sequences in  $\mathcal{H}_0$  do exist, and since K is Hausdorff, these are unique.

Linearity of  $\phi$  is easy. We now compute  $\ker \phi$ . If  $f_n \to 0$  in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ , then for any  $x \in X$ , we have  $f_n(x) = \langle f_n, k_x \rangle \stackrel{\text{w}}{\to} 0$ . Conversely, let  $(f_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{H}_0$  that converges to 0 pointwise. We show that it converges to 0 in  $\mathcal{H}_0$  as well: Fix an N and write  $f_N = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i k_{x_i}$ . Now,

$$||f_n||^2 = |\langle f_n - f_N, f_n \rangle + \langle f_N, f_n \rangle|$$

$$\leq |\langle f_n - f_N, f_n \rangle| + \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\alpha_i} f_n(x_i) \right|$$

$$\leq ||f_n - f_N|| ||f_n|| + \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| |f_n(x_i)|$$

so that taking N large enough ensures that the above is eventually less than any arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Thus, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\mathcal{H}_0 \xrightarrow{\iota} S/\ker \phi \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} \operatorname{im} \phi$$

The map  $\mathcal{H}_0 \to S$  represents the function  $f \mapsto (f, f, \ldots)$ .

Now we make our final blow via the following list of arguments:

- (i)  $\iota : f \mapsto \overline{(f, f, \ldots)}$  is a metric completion with the usual metric on  $S/\ker \phi$ , namely  $d(\overline{(f_i)}, \overline{(g_i)}) = \lim_i d(f_i, g_i) \stackrel{\text{w}}{=} \lim_i ||f_i g_i||$ .
- (ii) Thus, the metric space  $S/\ker \phi$  admits a unique Hilbert space structure such that  $\iota$  becomes a norm completion with the norm recovering the metric.
- (iii) That the vector space structure thus endowed on  $S/\ker\phi$  matches with the one due to algebraic quotient is easy to show:

Note that any two generic elements of  $S/\ker \phi$  are given by  $\overline{(f_i)}$  and  $\overline{(g_i)}$  where  $(f_i)$ ,  $(g_i)$  are Cauchy sequences in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . Note that  $\iota(f_n) \stackrel{n}{\to} \overline{(f_i)}$  and  $\iota(g_n) \stackrel{n}{\to} \overline{(g_i)}$  (easy). Continuity of addition and linearity of  $\iota$  ensure that  $\iota(f_n + g_n) \stackrel{n}{\to} \overline{(f_i)} + \overline{(g_i)}$ . Finally, note that  $\iota(f_n + g_n) \stackrel{n}{\to} \overline{(f_i + g_i)}$  as well so that we indeed have  $\overline{(f_i)} + \overline{(g_i)} = \overline{(f_i + g_i)}$ , which is precisely the definition

of vector addition in the algebraic quotient. Similarly, one can verify for scalar multiplication.

- (iv)  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a vector space isomorphism.<sup>6</sup> Thus, the inner product on  $S/\ker\phi$  can be transported to im  $\phi$  without altering the latter's vector space structure, making  $\tilde{\phi}$  an isometric isomorphism.
- (v) Note that  $\tilde{\phi} \circ \iota$  is precisely the inclusion  $\mathscr{H}_0 \hookrightarrow \operatorname{im} \phi$  (just traverse along the top arrows in the commutative diagram above) which is thus an isometric linear map.
- (vi) im  $\phi$  is complete since  $S/\ker \phi$  is, and thus is a Hilbert space.
- (vii) Finally, we show that k still has the reproducing property on im  $\phi$ :

Let  $f \in \operatorname{im} \phi$  be the pointwise limit of the Cauchy sequence  $(f_i)$  in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . Then  $f_i \to f$  in  $\operatorname{im} \phi$  as well:

Note that  $f = \tilde{\phi}(\overline{(f_i)})$  and  $f_j = \tilde{\phi} \circ \iota(f_j)$ . Thus it suffices to have  $\iota(f_j) \xrightarrow{j} \overline{(f_i)}$  in  $S/\ker \phi$  which is indeed true.

Thus, for any  $x \in X$ , one has  $\langle f, k_x \rangle = \lim_i \langle f_i, k_x \rangle = \lim_i f_i(x) = f(x)$  as claimed.

We have thus constructed a Hilbert space, namely im  $\phi$ , whose reproducing kernel is precisely k, proving the following:

**Theorem 2.3** (Moore-Aronszajn). Any p.s.d. kernel is a reproducing kernel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>With respect to the algebraic vector space structure on  $S/\ker\phi$ , not necessarily the vector space structure coming from completion. Thus, it was crucial to show that these two structures are exactly the same.