# MATH3354 - Assignment 9

18 October 2019

# Collaboration Statement

I discussed questions 1, 2 and 3 with Angus Mingare, Ben Ellis-Bloor, Gianni Gagliardo and Aymon Wuolanne.

#### 1

Prove that  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  as well as the Fermat cubic S and  $\mathbb{P}^2$  are not isomorphic.

We will use the result that there are no non-constant regular maps  $\mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^m$  for n > m.

Suppose we have an isomorphism  $g: \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $\pi: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$  be projection onto the first factor. Projection is regular, so the composition  $(\pi \circ g): \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is a surjective regular map. In particular it is a non-constant regular map, a contradiction.

First suppose that we are not in characteristic 2 or 3 and suppose, in order to gain a contradiction, that we have a regular isomorphism  $g: \mathbb{P}^2 \to S$ . In assignment 5 we proved that when  $\operatorname{char} k \neq 2, 3$  there is a non-constant regular map  $\pi: S \to \mathbb{P}^1$  from the Fermat Cubic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Therefore, the map  $(\pi \circ g): \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^1$  is a non-constant regular map  $\mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ , a contradiction.

#### 2

The Krull dimension of a ring R is the largest n such that there exists a strictly increasing chain

$$(0) = \mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n,$$

of prime ideals in R.

### (a)

What is the Krull dimension of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

First we see that the dimension is at least 1 because we have the chain  $(0) \subseteq (2)$ . We note that the prime ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}$  are either (0) or of the form (p) for some prime p, since if we have an ideal (n) for n = ab where 1 < a, b < n, then  $a, b \notin (n)$  because a, b < n but  $ab \in (n)$ , so (n) is not prime.

However, we note that the ideals containing (p) are of the form (d) for  $d \mid p$ . Since the only divisor of p is 1, this implies that the only ideal which contains (p) is (1), which is not a prime ideal. Similarly, an ideal contained in (p) is of the form (kp) for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  which is not a prime ideal unless k = 0. Thus the longest possible chain is  $(0) \subsetneq (p)$  for some prime p, and so the Krull dimension of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is 1.

## (b)

Let X be an irreducible affine variety. Prove that the Krull dimension of k[X] is equal to the dimension of X.

If X has Krull dimension n then we have a maximal chain of prime ideals,

$$\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq ... \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_n$$
.

We may assume that  $\mathfrak{p}_0 = (0)$ , because otherwise we could add this ideal to the chain and obtain a strictly longer chain. By the Nullstellensatz this gives us a length n chain of non-empty irreducible closed subsets,

$$V(0) = X \supseteq V(\mathfrak{p}_1) \supseteq \dots \supseteq V(\mathfrak{p}_n).$$

Similarly if we had a maximal length n chain of non-empty irreducible closed subsets, applying I to the chain would give a length n chain of prime ideals. Therefore, the Krull dimension is also equal the largest n such that we have a strictly increasing chain,

$$X_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq X_n \subsetneq X$$

of non-empty irreducible closed sets. Suppose X has Krull dimension n, so we have a chain as above. We know from class that if we have  $Y \subsetneq X$  for irreducible closed sets, then  $\dim Y < \dim X$ . Therefore, with each inclusion in such a chain the dimension goes up by at least 1. Therefore,

$$0 < \dim X_1 < \dim X_2 - 1 < \dots < \dim X - n$$

so  $n \leq \dim X$ . That is,  $kr \dim X \leq \dim X$ .

Next we want to show that  $\dim X \leq kr \dim X$  by induction on the dimension of X. First suppose that  $\dim X = 0$ , then X is a single point (because X is a non-empty irreducible), so  $X = \{x_0\}$ . The longest chain of non-empty irreducible closed sets is  $\{x_0\}$ , so X has Krull dimension 0.

Suppose that  $\dim Y \leq kr \dim Y$  whenever  $\dim Y \leq n$  and let X be an irreducible dimension (n+1) variety. Since X has positive dimension it is non-empty and not a point, so k[X] is not the trivial ring (by the Nullstellensatz). Therefore, we can choose a non-zero polynomial  $f \in k[X]$  which does not vanish identically on X. Then, we know that  $V(f) \subset X$  is non-empty, so the Principal Ideal Theorem implies that V(f) has pure dimension  $\dim X - 1 = n$ . Let Y be an irreducible component of V(f), then Y has dimension n, so by the induction hypothesis  $\dim Y \leq kr \dim Y$ . Since  $kr \dim Y \geq n$  we can choose a length n chain of non-empty irreducible closed subsets of Y,  $Y_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq Y$ . This gives a length (n+1) chain in X,

$$Y_1 \subsetneq ... \subsetneq Y \subsetneq X$$
.

Hence,  $kr \dim X \ge n+1 = \dim X$ . By induction,  $\dim X \le kr \dim X$  for all irreducible affine varieties, so  $\dim X = kr \dim X$  since we have already shown the other inequality.

## 3

Show that  $f \in k[X]$  is a zero divisor if and only if f vanishes identically on some irreducible component of X.

 $(\Longrightarrow)$ : Suppose  $f \in k[X]$  is a zero divisor. Then there is some non-zero  $g \in k[X]$  such that fg = 0 in k[X]. Since g is non-zero in k[X] there is some  $y \in X$  such that  $g(y) \neq 0$ . Say y belongs to the irreducible component Y, so  $g \notin I(Y)$ . Because Y is irreducible we know that I(Y) is prime, so because  $fg \in I(Y)$  and  $g \notin I(Y)$ , we know that  $f \in I(Y)$ . Therefore, f vanishes on an irreducible component of X

( $\Leftarrow$ ): We can write X in terms of its irreducible components as,  $X = Y_1 \cup Y_2 \cup ... \cup Y_n$ . We know that f vanishes identically on some irreducible component of X, say  $Y_1$ . Since  $Y_1$  is not contained within  $Y_2 \cup ... \cup Y_n$  we know that  $I(Y_2 \cup ... \cup Y_n)$  is not contained within  $I(Y_1)$  by the Nullstellensatz. Hence, we can choose  $g \in I(Y_2 \cup ... \cup Y_n) \setminus I(Y_1)$ . Then  $g \neq 0$  in k[X] because g does not vanish identically on  $Y_1$ . However, f(x)g(x) = 0 on all of X because f vanishes on  $Y_1$  and g vanishes on  $Y_2 \cup ... \cup Y_n$ . Therefore, fg = 0 in k[X], so f is a zero divisior.