# Claire Voisin on the question of rationality

February 27, 2019

$$\left(\frac{3}{5},\frac{4}{5}\right)$$
,

$$\left(\frac{3}{5},\frac{4}{5}\right)$$
 ,  $\left(\frac{5}{13},\frac{12}{13}\right)$  ,

$$\left(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}\right)$$
,  $\left(\frac{5}{13}, \frac{12}{13}\right)$ ,  $\left(\frac{8}{17}, \frac{15}{17}\right)$ ,

$$\left(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}\right)$$
,  $\left(\frac{5}{13}, \frac{12}{13}\right)$ ,  $\left(\frac{8}{17}, \frac{15}{17}\right)$ ,  $\left(\frac{7}{25}, \frac{24}{25}\right)$ ,


$$\left(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}\right), \left(\frac{5}{13}, \frac{12}{13}\right), \left(\frac{8}{17}, \frac{15}{17}\right), \left(\frac{7}{25}, \frac{24}{25}\right), \left(\frac{20}{29}, \frac{21}{29}\right), \dots$$

Can you recognise these numbers?

$$\left(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}\right), \left(\frac{5}{13}, \frac{12}{13}\right), \left(\frac{8}{17}, \frac{15}{17}\right), \left(\frac{7}{25}, \frac{24}{25}\right), \left(\frac{20}{29}, \frac{21}{29}\right), \ldots$$

These are solutions (x, y) of

$$x^2 + y^2 = 1.$$




Can you recognise these numbers?

$$\left(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}\right)$$
,  $\left(\frac{5}{13}, \frac{12}{13}\right)$ ,  $\left(\frac{8}{17}, \frac{15}{17}\right)$ ,  $\left(\frac{7}{25}, \frac{24}{25}\right)$ ,  $\left(\frac{20}{29}, \frac{21}{29}\right)$ , ....

These are solutions (x, y) of

$$x^2 + y^2 = 1.$$



All the solutions:

$$x = \frac{1 - t^2}{1 + t^2} \qquad y = \frac{2t}{1 + t^2}.$$

Two systems of equations  $\dots$ 

Variables: x, y Variables: t

Equations:  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ . Equations: None.

Two systems of equations . . .

Variables: x, y

Equations:  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ . Equations: None.

Variables: t

...are equivalent by

$$x = \frac{1-t^2}{1+t^2}, \quad y = \frac{2t}{1+t^2} \qquad \longleftarrow \qquad t$$

$$x, y \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \frac{y+t}{y+t}$$

Two systems of equations . . .

Variables: x, y

Equations:  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ .

Variables: t

Equations: None.

...are equivalent by

$$x = \frac{1 - t^2}{1 + t^2}, \quad y = \frac{2t}{1 + t^2}$$

x, y





$$x^2 + y^2 = 1$$

An algebraic variety is the set of solutions of a system of polynomial equations.

An algebraic variety is the set of solutions of a system of polynomial equations.

## **Examples**







$$x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + 1 = (x + y + z + 1)^3$$



A Kummer K3

An algebraic variety is the set of solutions of a system of polynomial equations.

Example (The best one)

An algebraic variety is the set of solutions of a system of polynomial equations.

Example (The best one)




A variety X is rational if it is birational to  $\mathbf{A}^n$ .

A variety X is rational if it is birational to  $\mathbf{A}^n$ .



A variety X is rational if it is birational to  $\mathbf{A}^n$ .



System of equations ←-- Coördinate change --> No equations!

Which varieties are rational?

1. The variety defined by  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$  is rational.

- 1. The variety defined by  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$  is rational.
- 2. Varieties defined by linear equations are rational.

- 1. The variety defined by  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$  is rational.
- 2. Varieties defined by linear equations are rational.
- Varieties defined by one quadratic equation are rational (over C).

- 1. The variety defined by  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$  is rational.
- 2. Varieties defined by linear equations are rational.
- Varieties defined by one quadratic equation are rational (over C).
- 4. Varieties defined by one cubic?

- 1. The variety defined by  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$  is rational.
- 2. Varieties defined by linear equations are rational.
- Varieties defined by one quadratic equation are rational (over C).
- 4. Varieties defined by one cubic?
  - 4.1 Cubic curves: not rational (ancient)
  - 4.2 Cubic surfaces: rational (Castelnuovo, Enriques: Early 1900s)
  - 4.3 Cubic threefolds: not rational (Clemens-Griffiths: 1972)
  - 4.4 Cubic fourfolds and higher: ???

Artin–Mumford (1971): If X is a rational smooth projective variety, then  $H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})$  is torsion-free.

Artin–Mumford (1971): If X is a rational smooth projective variety, then  $H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})$  is torsion-free.

So we have the Artin-Mumford invariant

$$H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})_{\mathrm{tors}}$$

as a candidate to detect non-rationality.

Artin–Mumford (1971): If X is a rational smooth projective variety, then  $H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})$  is torsion-free.

So we have the Artin-Mumford invariant

$$H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})_{\mathrm{tors}}$$

as a candidate to detect non-rationality.

But  $H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})_{\text{tors}} = 0$  for all interesting examples.




Photo credit: CNRS News Article "Claire Voisin, 2016 CNRS Gold Medal"

### Definition (Voisin, 2015)

X admits a decomposition of the diagonal if in  $Chow(X \times X)$ ,

$$[\Delta] \sim \{x\} \times X + \alpha$$

for some  $\alpha$  supported on  $X \times Z$  for  $Z \subsetneq X$ .




## Theorem (Voisin, 2015)

- 1. X rational  $\implies X$  admits a decomp. of the diagonal.
- 2. X admits decomp. of the diagonal  $\implies H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})_{tors} = 0$ .

## Theorem (Voisin, 2015)

- 1. X rational  $\implies X$  admits a decomp. of the diagonal.
- 2. X admits decomp. of the diagonal  $\implies H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})_{tors} = 0$ .
- 3. If  $X_t$  is a family of varieties such that some  $X_{t_0}$  does not admit a decomp. of the diagonal, then neither does  $X_t$  for almost all t.

For example,  $X_t = \{x^4 + y^4 + z^4 + w^4 - txyzw = 0\}.$ 



## New technique for non-rationality theorems:

- 1. Consider a family  $X_t$ .
- 2. Find a  $t_0$  such that  $X_{t_0}$  does not admit a decomposition of the diagonal (for example, show  $H^3(X_{t_0}, \mathbf{Z})_{\mathrm{tors}} \neq 0$ ).
- 3. Theorem: Almost all  $X_t$  are not rational!

## New technique for non-rationality theorems:

- 1. Consider a family  $X_t$ .
- 2. Find a  $t_0$  such that  $X_{t_0}$  does not admit a decomposition of the diagonal (for example, show  $H^3(X_{t_0}, \mathbf{Z})_{\text{tors}} \neq 0$ ).
- 3. Theorem: Almost all  $X_t$  are not rational!
  - Very general quartic double solids are not rational (Voisin, 2015).
  - Rationality is not deformation invariant (Hassett-Pirutka-Tschinkel, 2016).
- Very general hypersurfaces in  $\mathbf{P}^{n+1}$  of degree  $d \ge \log_2 n + 2$  are not rational (Schreieder, 2018).

## There's a lot more...

- 1. Kodaira problem,
- 2. Green's conjecture for canonical curves,
- 3. Chow rings of K3 surfaces,
- 4. Many questions related to the Hodge conjecture.

Thank you!