```
Q1: Identify A^n \subset IP^n as the open subset un of IP^n by f: A^n \longrightarrow U_n
          defined by f(xo, ... xn-1) = [xo: ... : xn-1:1]. Since f(X) is Noetherian space,
          then f(X) = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_m where X; are irreducible components of f(X)
        Then fix) = X, U...UXm = X, U...UXm
      Step 1: Let X be a topological space. YCX be an irreducible
             subspace, then Y is also irreducible
      Pf of Lemna 1: Assume \overline{Y} is reducible, then \overline{Y} = AUB where
            A,B&T and A,B closed in T, so A, B closed in X,
        then Y = Yn Y = Yn (AUB) = (YnA) U(YnB)
          then me have Y=YNA(WLOG) since Y irreducible.
        Then ADYNA = Y, then ADY = AUBDA, SU A= Y, contradiction
       Step 2: X; N Un = X; Y i e[m] Denote closure of A in B by AB
     Proof of Lemma 2:
   \supset : \overline{X_i} \cap U_n = \overline{X_i}^{u_n} \Rightarrow X_i since X_i \subset f(x) \subset U_n
   C: \overline{X_i} \cap U_n = \overline{X_i} \cap \overline{f(X)} \cap U_n, so \overline{X_i} \cap U_n is dosed in f(X)
         since fix n Un = f(x)
      Note that \overline{X}; \Lambda Un is non-empty open in \overline{X}; and \overline{X}; is irreducible.
       then Xin Un is irreducible.
      Since X; is an irreducible component, so X; n Un = X;
     Step 3: Given \mathbb Z is irreducible and \overline{x} \in \mathbb Z \subset \overline{f(x)} closed, then
                Z= X:
        Since Unn Z is open in Z, then Unn Z is irreducible.
        Since ZC fox) closed, then ZnUn is closed fix)
      And Znun = Xinun = Xi then Znun = Xi since Xi
          is an irreducible component of f(X)
     Then ZOUN = X; NZ Since Un NZ open in Z, then
        Un NZ irreducible. Also, Un NZ dense in Z, then In Un=Z=Xinz
               so \overline{X}_i = Z. Step 3 shows that \overline{X}_i are irreducible components.
```

```
Step 4: \overline{X_i} is not in V(x_i)
     Assume V(x_n) > \overline{x_i} for some it then
        V(X_n) \supset \overline{X_i} > X_i
      Given y \in X_i \subset f(x) \subset U_n, so y_n \neq 0, i.e y \notin V(x_n), contradiction.
  Therefore, X \longrightarrow \overline{f(X)} is a well-defined map from closed subset of A^n
      to closed subset of 1p" whose irreducible components is not in V(xn)
 Now we need to construct an inverse.
   Consider Y \longrightarrow f'(Y \cap U_n) to be the inverse
    Since Yn Un closed in Un, then f'(Yn Un) closed in A",
    so it is well-defined. To prove it is an actual inverse
   suffices to check it is both right inverse and left inverse
 of f'(\overline{f(x)} \cap U_n) = X holds since \overline{f(x)} \cap U_n = f(x) and f is
       an isomorphism between An and Un
of(f-(Ynun)) = Y i.e Tnun = Y
   Suppose Y = Y, U ... UYm where Y; are irreducible component of Y.
   then Ynun = Yinun v ... V Ymnun
  Since V(Xn) $Y, , so YIN Un # $ and YIN Un open in Y;.
  then Yinun' = Yi, then Yinun = Yi
   then Trun = U Yinun = UY; = Y
 Therefore, the map is a well-defined
```

(2) Proof:

Given a rational map (U, p) where $\varphi: U \rightarrow P^n$ is a regular map, $U \subset P^l$ open then for NEU, there exists U, open subset of U st. NEU, and for Ex.y] EU, \(\(\frac{1}{2}\) = [\(\frac{1}{6}(x,y): --: \) Fr(x,y)] where F: are homogeneous polynomials with the same degree Since $F_i(x,y) = y \operatorname{deg} F_i F_i(x,y) = y \operatorname{deg} F_i \frac{\operatorname{deg} F_i}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}} (x-c_i) = y \operatorname{deg} F_i - \operatorname{deg} F_i \frac{\operatorname{deg} F_i}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}} (x-c_iy)$ then we can define $g(x,y) = gcd(F_0, ..., F_n)$, and $g_i = \frac{F_i}{g}$ Define $\psi: |P' \longrightarrow P''$ by $\psi = [g_0, ..., g_n]$. It is well-defined because if $g_0 = \dots = g_n = 0$, then x = y = 0 since $\{g_i\}$ has no common linear terms. It is also regular since go, ..., go are homogeneous polynomials with the same degree. Also it agree with 10 on U, since given [x:y] & U, then $\Psi([x:y]) = [g_0(x,y): \dots g_n(x,y)] = [g_0(x,y)g_1(x,y): \dots : g_n(x,y)g_1(x,y)] = [F_0: \dots : F_n] = p_0([x:y])$ Since $U \subset IP'$ is irroducible, then $U_1 \subset U_2$ is dense. Also $U_1 \subset IP'_1$ dense, then we get $\psi|_{U} = \varphi$ by $\psi|_{U_{i}} = \varphi|_{U_{i}}$, which shows that Y is an extended map of 6.

(3)
(a) Represent the national map X by $(|P^2 \cap \{x \neq 0\} \cap \{y \neq 0\} \cap \{z \neq 0\}, X)$.

Then $X \circ X([X:Y:Z]) = X([Yz:XZ:XY]) = [X^2Yz:XY^2:XY^2] = [X:Y:Z]$ = id([X:Y:Z])

then $X \cdot X = id$ on $IP^2 \cap \{x \neq 0\} \cap \{y \neq 0\} \cap \{z \neq 0\}$ so $X \cdot X = id$ as rational maps

(b) Claim: $U=|P^2|$ {[0:0:1], [0:1:0], [1:0:0]} is the maximal open subset of $|P^2|$ on which X is regular.

First, (U, X) is a regular map since X is well-defined on U as $YZ=ZX=XY=0 \Leftrightarrow$ At least two of X,Y,Z are O.

Next. U is maximal. Suffices to show that $U \xrightarrow{X} IP^2$ cannot be extended to V=U U{[0:0:1]} $\xrightarrow{V} IP^2$.

Assume so, then for $[0:0:1] \in V$, there exists V_0 open subset of V containing [0:0:1] s.t. $\{V_0 = [F_0:F_1:F_2] \text{ where } F_i \text{ are homogeneous polynomials}$ with the same degree. In particular $\{V_0:0:1\}$ is well-defined

can suppose $F_0(0,0,0)\neq 0$, Also, $[YZ:XZ:XY]=[F_0(X,Y,Z):F_0(X,Y,Z)]=F_0(X,Y,Z)$ on V_0 , then $XZF_0(X,Y,Z)=YZF_0(X,Y,Z)$ on V_0 .

Since Vo is open in IP^2 , then Vo is dense in IP^2 . Then $XZF_0(X,Y,Z)=YZF_1(X,Y,Z)$ on IP^2 , so

 $XZF_{\delta}(X,Y,Z)=YZF_{\epsilon}(X,Y,Z)$ as polynomials.

Then Y | XZ Fo(x, Y, Z), so Y | Fo(x, Y, Z). Hen Fo(x, o, Z) = 0.

but $F_0(0,0,1) \neq 0$, contradiction.

Therefore, U is maximal open subset of 1p3 on which X is regular the

```
Given a line 1: ax+by+cz=0
(c)
      Let U= {[X: Y: x] & |P2 | X + 0, Y + 0, x + 0}
      By (a), X: U -> U is an isomorphism. then
      V(1) O U = X(V(1) O U) by isomorphism x/V(1) OU
      Then u'= X'( V(1) n u)
           u'={[x:Y:Z]][YZ·XZ:XY]EL, X≠0,Y≠0, x+0}
              = 1[x: Y: Z] | a Y Z + b X Z + c XY = 0, X + 0, Y + 0, Z + b Y
              = V(aYE+bXE+cxY) 1 U
     Therefore, X(V(ax+bY+cZ) A U)= V(aYZ+bXZ+CXY) AU
   Case 1: a +0, b +0, c +0, i.e {[0:0:1], [1:0:0], [0:1:0]} \ N(1) = \phi.
        then V(ax+bY+cz) ~ uc= {[0:-c:b], [-c:o:a], [-b:a:o]}
              V(aYZ+6XZ+CXY) NU= {[1:0:0], [0:1:0], [0:0:1]}
       and X([0:-c:b]) = [-cb:0:0] = [1:0:0]
              X([-c:0:a])=[0:-ca:0]=[0:1:0]
                                               then X: Viax+by+cz)
              X([-b:a:o]) = [o:o:-ab] = [o:o:i] is an isomorphism
          In this case, X transforms lines to conics.
   Case 2: Only one of a, b, c is 0. Suppose a=0, b =0, c ≠0. then
            {[0:0:1], [1:0:0], [0:1:0]} \ \((1) = {[1:0:0]}
           V( bY+cz) nu= {[0:-c:b], [1:0:0]}
        X ( V(bY+cz)) = X ( V(bY+cz) NU) U X({[0:-c:b], [1:0:0]})
                       = ( V( bx x + cx y) n u ) U{ [1:0:0]}
                       = V( bx+cy) n {x=1}
            This case shows that X transforms lines to lines
```

Case 3: Only one of a,b,c is non-zero. Suppose a +0, b=c=0. then we get X=0

X(V(X)) = X([0:b:c]) = [1:0:0] which means that X transforms lines to a point.