

# MATH3354 - ASSIGNMENT 6

1

**Theorem 1.**  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$  is neither affine nor projective. The ring of regular functions is  $k[t]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 = \{([X : Y], t)\}$ . First, we will show that the ring of regular functions is  $k[t]$ , and we will use this information to infer that  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$  is neither affine nor projective. Let  $R$  be the ring of regular functions; we will show  $R \subset k[t]$ .  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$  has two charts;  $U_0 = \{([X : Y], t) \mid X \neq 0\} \cong \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 = \mathbb{A}^2$  and  $\{([X : Y], t) \mid Y \neq 0\} \cong \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 = \mathbb{A}^2$ . Consider any  $f \in R$ . By definition,  $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  is regular if  $f|_{U_0} : \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  and  $f|_{U_1} : \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  are regular, that is  $f|_{U_0}$  and  $f|_{U_1}$  are globally polynomials. Let  $f|_{U_0}([X : Y], t) = g(\frac{Y}{X}, t)$  and  $f|_{U_1}([X : Y], t) = h(\frac{X}{Y}, t)$ . Moreover, let's write  $g(\frac{Y}{X}, t)$  as  $\sum_{i=0}^m g_i(t)(\frac{Y}{X})^i$  and  $h(\frac{X}{Y}, t)$  as  $\sum_{i=0}^l h_i(t)(\frac{X}{Y})^i$ . Since  $g$  and  $h$  must agree on  $U_0 \cap U_1$ ,

$$\sum_{i=0}^l h_i(t)(\frac{X}{Y})^i = \sum_{i=0}^m g_i(t)(\frac{Y}{X})^i$$

for all  $t \in k$ ,  $X \neq 0$ ,  $Y \neq 0$ . Therefore,

$$g_0(t) - h_0(t) = \sum_{i=1}^l h_i(t)(\frac{X}{Y})^i - \sum_{i=1}^m g_i(t)(\frac{Y}{X})^i$$

for all  $t \in k$ ,  $X \neq 0$ ,  $Y \neq 0$ . So, setting  $Y = 1$ ,

$$g_0(t) - h_0(t) = \sum_{i=1}^l h_i(t)X^i - \sum_{i=1}^m g_i(t)(\frac{1}{X})^i$$

for all  $t, X \in k$ ,  $X \neq 0$ .

But this implies that  $h_i = g_i = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ . This tells us two things. First, that  $f|_{U_0}([X : Y], t) = g(\frac{Y}{X}, t) = g_0(t)$  and that  $f|_{U_1}([X : Y], t) = h(\frac{X}{Y}, t) = h_0(t)$ . Second, that  $g_0(t) - h_0(t) = 0$  and therefore  $g_0(t) = h_0(t)$ . Thus we know that, in fact  $f([X : Y], t) = g_0(t) = h_0(t)$  and therefore,  $f \in k[t]$ .

Showing that  $k[t] \subset R$  is straightforward. Consider any polynomial  $f(t) \in k[t]$ . Then  $f(t)$  is globally a polynomial when restricted to the charts  $U_0$ ,  $U_1$ , so  $f(t)$  is regular. Thus,  $R \subset k[t]$ , and  $k[t] \subset R$ , so the ring of regular functions is  $k[t]$ .

We can infer immediately that  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$  is not projective, since every projective variety has  $k$  as its ring of regular functions, as shown in class. We can also infer immediately that if  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$  is affine, then  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$  is isomorphic to  $A^1$ , by the fact that  $A^1$  also has ring of regular functions  $k[t]$ , and two affine varieties have isomorphic rings of regular functions iff they are isomorphic. We will show  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$  is not isomorphic to  $A^1$ , by contradiction. Suppose, to generate a contradiction,

that there exists an isomorphism  $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ . Then, in particular,  $\phi$  is an injective regular map  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ . But since the range of  $\phi$  is  $\mathbb{A}^1$ ,  $\phi$  is a regular function, so, as shown above  $\phi \in k[t]$ , so  $\phi([X : Y], t) = f(t)$  for some  $f(t) \in k[t]$ . But then  $\phi([0 : 1], 1) = f(1) = \phi([1 : 0], 1)$  and so  $\phi$  is not injective, giving our desired contradiction. Thus  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1$  is not isomorphic to any affine variety, and not isomorphic to any projective variety either.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a projective variety, and  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{A}^m$  a closed set. For  $t \in \mathbb{A}^m$ , let  $X_t \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  denote the fibre of  $X$  over  $t$  under the second projection  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^m$ . The set of  $t \in \mathbb{A}^m$  such that  $Z \subset X_t$  is Zariski closed.*

*Proof.* We note that the set of  $t \in \mathbb{A}^m$  such that  $Z \subset X_t$  can be written  $\{t \in \mathbb{A}^m \mid Z \subset X_t\}$ . Consider any  $z \in Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ . The set  $\{z\} \times \mathbb{A}^m \cap X$  is Zariski closed, since  $X$  is given to be Zariski closed, and  $\{z\} \times \mathbb{A}^m$  is Zariski closed. To see that  $\{z\} \times \mathbb{A}^m$  is Zariski closed, consider any chart  $U_i = \{([X_0 : \dots : X_n], (t_1, \dots, t_m)) \mid X_i \neq 0\}$ . Let  $z = [Z_0 : \dots : Z_n]$ . If  $Z_i = 0$ , then  $U_i \cap \{z\} \times \mathbb{A}^m = \emptyset$ , which is trivially closed. If  $Z_i \neq 0$ , then  $U_i \cap \{z\} \times \mathbb{A}^m = \{(\frac{Z_0}{Z_i}, \dots, \frac{Z_n}{Z_i}, t_1, \dots, t_m)\} \subset \mathbb{A}^{m+n}$ , which is closed, since it is the vanishing set  $V(x_1 - \frac{Z_0}{Z_i}, \dots, x_n - \frac{Z_n}{Z_i})$ . So, as we claimed,  $\{z\} \times \mathbb{A}^m \cap X$  is Zariski closed. Therefore, for any  $z \in Z$ ,  $\pi(\{z\} \times \mathbb{A}^m \cap X) \subset \mathbb{A}^m$  is closed, because  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is universally closed. But we note that  $\pi(\{z\} \times \mathbb{A}^m \cap X) = \{t \in \mathbb{A}^m \mid (z, t) \in X\}$ . To see this, suppose  $t \in \{t \in \mathbb{A}^m \mid (z, t) \in X\}$ , then  $(z, t) \in X$ , so  $(z, t) \in \{z\} \times \mathbb{A}^m \cap X$  and therefore,  $t \in \pi(\{z\} \times \mathbb{A}^m \cap X)$ . Conversely, suppose  $t \in \pi(\{z\} \times \mathbb{A}^m \cap X)$ , then by the definition of the projection map  $(z', t) \in \{z\} \times \mathbb{A}^m \cap X$  for some  $z' \in \mathbb{P}^n$ . Since  $(z', t) \in \{z\} \times \mathbb{A}^m \cap X$ ,  $z' = z$ , and so  $(z, t) \in \{z\} \times \mathbb{A}^m \cap X$ , implying  $t \in \{t \in \mathbb{A}^m \mid (z, t) \in X\}$ . Thus, for all  $z \in Z$ ,  $\{t \in \mathbb{A}^m \mid (z, t) \in X\}$  is Zariski closed. So, since arbitrary intersections of closed sets are closed,  $\cap_{z \in Z} \{t \in \mathbb{A}^m \mid (z, t) \in X\}$  is Zariski closed. But we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \cap_{z \in Z} \{t \in \mathbb{A}^m \mid (z, t) \in X\} &= \{t \in \mathbb{A}^m \mid \text{for all } z \in Z, (z, t) \in X\} \\ &= \{t \in \mathbb{A}^m \mid \text{for all } z \in Z, z \in \{w \in \mathbb{P}^n \mid (w, t) \in X\}\} \\ &= \{t \in \mathbb{A}^m \mid Z \subset \{w \in \mathbb{P}^n \mid (w, t) \in X\}\} \\ &= \{t \in \mathbb{A}^m \mid Z \subset X_t\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\{t \in \mathbb{A}^m \mid Z \subset X_t\}$  is Zariski closed, completing our proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *As usual, let us identify the set of  $n \times n$  matrices with  $\mathbb{A}^{n^2}$ . Let  $S \subset \mathbb{A}^{n^2} \times \mathbb{A}^{n^2}$  be the set of pairs of matrices  $(A, B)$  such that  $A$  and  $B$  have a common eigenvector. Prove that  $S$  is a Zariski closed subset of  $\mathbb{A}^{n^2} \times \mathbb{A}^{n^2}$ .*

*Proof.* First we will show that the set

$$E = \{(v, A, B) \mid v \text{ is an eigenvector of } A \text{ and an eigenvector of } B\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{A}^{n^2} \times \mathbb{A}^{n^2}$$

is Zariski closed. We note that  $E$  is well-defined; for a vector  $v \in \mathbb{A}^n$ ,  $v$  is an eigenvector of  $A, B$  iff  $\lambda v$  is an eigenvector of  $A, B$  for all  $\lambda \in k, \lambda \neq 0$  (this is true by the definition of an eigenvector), so we can treat  $v$  as a vector in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . To show that

$E$  is closed, we must show that for all charts  $U_i = \{([X_0 : \dots : X_{n-1}], A, B) \mid X_i \neq 0\}$  of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{A}^{n^2} \times \mathbb{A}^{n^2}$ ,  $E \cap U_i \subset \mathbb{A}^{2n^2+n-1}$  is closed. Consider any chart  $U_i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E \cap U_i &= \{([X_0 : \dots : X_{n-1}], A, B) \mid [X_0 : \dots : X_{n-1}] \text{ is an eigenvector of } A \\ &\quad \text{and an eigenvector of } B \text{ and } X_i \neq 0\} \\ &\cong \{(\frac{X_0}{X_i}, \dots, \frac{X_{n-1}}{X_i}, A, B) \mid (\frac{X_0}{X_i}, \dots, 1, \dots, \frac{X_{n-1}}{X_i}) \text{ is an eigenvector of } A \\ &\quad \text{and an eigenvector of } B\} \subset \mathbb{A}^{2n^2+n-1} \end{aligned}$$

We will view  $E \cap U_i$  as the final set given above, in  $\mathbb{A}^{2n^2+n-1}$ , and will show that it is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{A}^{2n^2+n-1}$ . First, we note that  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) = (\frac{X_0}{X_i}, \dots, 1, \dots, \frac{X_{n-1}}{X_i})$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  iff  $Aw = (w'_1, \dots, w'_n)$  is a scalar multiple of  $w$ , and likewise  $w$  is an eigenvector of  $B$  iff  $Bw = (w''_1, \dots, w''_n)$  is a scalar multiple of  $w$  (noting that since  $w$  has a non-zero  $i$ th entry,  $w$  is not the zero vector, so we don't have to worry about excluding this possibility). These two conditions are satisfied iff for all  $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $w_j w'_k - w_k w'_j = 0$ ,  $w_j w''_k - w_k w''_j = 0$ . Let  $a_{jk}$  be the entries in  $A$ , and  $b_{jk}$  be the entries in  $B$ . For all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we can write  $w'_j$  as a polynomial in  $w_1, \dots, w_n, a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn}$ , and likewise we can write  $w''_j$  as a polynomial in  $w_1, \dots, w_n, b_{11}, \dots, b_{nn}$  (by the algorithm for matrix multiplication). Let these polynomials be  $f_j(w_1, \dots, w_n, a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn})$ ,  $g_j(w_1, \dots, w_n, b_{11}, \dots, b_{nn})$  respectively. We conclude that  $w$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  iff for all  $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$w_j f_k(w_1, \dots, w_n, a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn}) - w_k f_j(w_1, \dots, w_n, a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn}) = 0$$

and likewise  $w$  is an eigenvector of  $B$  iff for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$w_j g_k(w_1, \dots, w_n, b_{11}, \dots, b_{nn}) - w_k g_j(w_1, \dots, w_n, b_{11}, \dots, b_{nn}) = 0$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} E \cap U_i &= V(w_j f_k(w_1, \dots, w_n, a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn}) - w_k f_j(w_1, \dots, w_n, a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn}), \\ &\quad w_j g_k(w_1, \dots, w_n, b_{11}, \dots, b_{nn}) - w_k g_j(w_1, \dots, w_n, b_{11}, \dots, b_{nn})) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $E \cap U_i$  is Zariski closed for all  $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ , and so  $E \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{A}^{n^2} \times \mathbb{A}^{n^2}$  is a Zariski closed set.

Furthermore,  $\pi(E)$  is closed, where  $\pi$  is the natural projection  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{A}^{n^2} \times \mathbb{A}^{n^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n^2} \times \mathbb{A}^{n^2}$  since  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  is universally closed. But

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(E) &= \{(A, B) \in \mathbb{A}^{n^2} \times \mathbb{A}^{n^2} \mid \text{there exists some } v \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \\ &\quad \text{such that } (v, A, B) \in E\} \\ &= \{(A, B) \in \mathbb{A}^{n^2} \times \mathbb{A}^{n^2} \mid \text{there exists some } v \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \\ &\quad \text{such that } v \text{ is an eigenvector of } A \text{ and an eigenvector of } B\} \\ &= \{(A, B) \in \mathbb{A}^{n^2} \times \mathbb{A}^{n^2} \mid A \text{ and } B \text{ share an eigenvector}\} \end{aligned}$$

So, we have shown that the set  $S$  of pairs of matrices  $(A, B)$  such that  $A$  and  $B$  have a common eigenvector is Zariski closed.  $\square$