



## Program Evaluation System

**Columbia University Faculty of Arts and Sciences**  
**Instructor Evaluation Report - Fall2012**  
 MATHV1102\_005\_2012\_3 CALCULUS II  
 Instructor: Anand R Deopurkar  
 Evaluation period: 12/4/12 - 12/13/12

Enrollment of registered students: 57  
 Evaluations received: 42  
 Response Rate: 74%

Instructor/Recitation Instructor Effectiveness	5 Excellent	4 Very Good	3 Good	2 Fair	1 Poor	N/A	# of responses	Median*	Mean St.Dev
1. Clear presentation of subject matter	30 71%	10 24%	2 5%	0 0%	0 0%	0	42	5 4.80	4.67 0.57
2. Instructor's ability to help clarify course material	31 74%	10 24%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	0	42	5 4.82	4.71 0.51
3. Instructor's ability to encourage student participation effectively	23 56%	11 27%	7 17%	0 0%	0 0%	1	41	5 4.61	4.39 0.77
4. Instructor's responsiveness to student questions, opinions and criticisms	31 74%	10 24%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	0	42	5 4.82	4.71 0.51
5. Instructor's ability to stimulate intellectual curiosity	27 64%	9 21%	6 14%	0 0%	0 0%	0	42	5 4.72	4.50 0.74
6. Instructor's ability to raise challenging questions	25 61%	12 29%	4 10%	0 0%	0 0%	1	41	5 4.68	4.51 0.68
7. Overall effectiveness of the Instructor	30 71%	9 21%	3 7%	0 0%	0 0%	0	42	5 4.80	4.64 0.62

**All Instructor/Recitation Instructor Effectiveness Questions** **5** **4.76** **4.59**

### Readings, Materials, Assignments, and Examinations

1. The clarity of assignments	24 73%	8 24%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	9	33	5 4.81	4.70 0.53
2. Instructor's feedback	19 59%	9 28%	4 13%	0 0%	0 0%	10	32	5 4.66	4.47 0.72
3. Appropriateness of grading	19 58%	12 36%	2 6%	0 0%	0 0%	9	33	5 4.63	4.52 0.62
4. Overall value of readings	15 60%	8 32%	2 8%	0 0%	0 0%	17	25	5 4.67	4.52 0.65
5. Overall value of assignments	23 74%	7 23%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	11	31	5 4.83	4.71 0.53

**All Readings, Materials, Assignments, and Examinations Questions** **5** **4.73** **4.58**

### General

1. Contribution to your knowledge of the subject matter	24 60%	12 30%	4 10%	0 0%	0 0%	2	40	5 4.67	4.50 0.68
2. Contribution to your interest in the discipline or subject matter	17 41%	16 39%	5 12%	1 2%	2 5%	1	41	4 4.28	4.10 1.04
3. Contribution to your capacity for critical evaluation of the subject matter	20 50%	14 35%	4 10%	1 3%	1 3%	2	40	4.5 4.50	4.28 0.93
4. Contribution to the development of your analytical and reasoning skills in general	23 56%	11 27%	6 15%	1 2%	0 0%	1	41	5 4.61	4.37 0.83
5. Overall quality of the course	25 60%	14 33%	3 7%	0 0%	0 0%	0	42	5 4.66	4.52 0.63

**All General Questions** **5** **4.56** **4.35**

### Classroom Information

1. Condition and function of the physical classroom	14 52%	7 26%	5 19%	1 4%	0 0%	15	27	5 4.54	4.26 0.90
---	-----------	----------	----------	---------	---------	----	----	-----------	--------------

**All Classroom Information Questions** **5** **4.54** **4.26**

\* <https://courseworks.columbia.edu/cms/median.html>

Report: **Comments**

Course:	<b>CALCULUS II (MATHV1102_005_2012_3)</b>
Evaluation:	<b>A&amp;S Course Evaluations: 1 Instructor and 2 TA Form (fall 2012)</b>
Dates:	<b>December 04, 2012 - December 13, 2012</b>
No. of Respondents:	42
No. of Students:	57
Percent Completed:	<b>74%</b>

**Comments on Instructor Effectiveness - Anand R Deopurkar**

1. Anand was very helpful, and did not mind spending time trying to help me with the course material.
2. His explanations on the course material is very detailed and helpful especially with the examples that he shows us.
3. Made me very enthusiastic
4. He presented the material in interesting and creative ways
5. He is easily the best all-round Calculus II professor this semester
6. Anand was extremely approachable and always available to help.
7. He was an excellent instructor; his explanations during class were clear and his responses to my questions concerning material that I was unsure about were helpful. He returned tests promptly and the tests were very fair.
8. Great lecturer. Enthusiastic about subject matter. The instructor is approachable. He readily answers any questions and addresses any concerns one might have.
9. Prof. Anand truly cared about his students and whether they understood the material or not. At one point he held 4 hours of office hours in 2 days. He even brought a watermelon to class one day to explain the integration of volume- (shell method, etc.). I am truly glad I had him as a professor and can't wait to take calc 3 with him again.
10. Anand likes to explain concepts, which allows him to teach at a student-friendly pace without compromising the material too much.
11. Very clear and understandable teaching style.
12. He was very effective. I am taking a class with him next semester again because of my positive experience.
13. Great instructor who was always more than willing to help students understand the course material. Tried different methods to help explain a single topic - he even brought in a watermelon to demonstrate integrals!
14. Prof Deopurkar is super nice and clear on what he's teaching. Classes go on at a good pace although sometimes too slow. I would take a course with him again!
15. The instructor is a great teacher and encourages students to achieve in the class.
16. Very good teaching
17. He does a great job at not only teaching the material but demonstrating why specific equations are used by doing easy to follow proofs/derivations.

18. I <3 Anand.

---

19. Anand is fantastic and I couldn't ask for a better calc prof!

---

20. His lessons were very clear and organized and he made sure students were actively engaging.

---