

The Independent Factor Analysis (IFA) model assumes a standard generative factor model for the observations \mathbf{y} with K factors,

$$\mathbf{y}_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{s}_n, \mathbf{\Lambda}),$$

but uses an expressive factorial mixture of Gaussians for the sources with C components per factor k ,

$$s_{nk} \sim \sum_{c=1}^C w_{kc} \mathcal{N}(\mu_{kc}, \sigma_{kc}^2),$$

By multiplying the univariate mixtures together, we can equivalently state that the multivariate source vector \mathbf{s} is drawn from a mixture of Gaussians with diagonal covariance and $Q = C^K$ components,

$$\mathbf{s}_n \sim \sum_{q=1}^Q \bar{w}_q \mathcal{N}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_q, \bar{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_q). \quad (1)$$

As with any mixture, we can express the mixture density using auxiliary indicators, where $z_{nq} = 1$ if observation n was drawn from component q , and 0 otherwise.

$$\mathbf{s}_n | \mathbf{z}_n \sim \prod_{q=1}^Q \mathcal{N}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_q, \bar{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_q)^{z_{nq}}, \quad \mathbf{z}_n \sim \text{Mult}(\bar{\mathbf{w}}). \quad (2)$$

with N observations, the full log-joint has terms

$$\begin{aligned} \ln p(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{s}) &= \sum_{n=1}^N \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y}_n - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s}_n)^\top \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_n - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s}_n) - \frac{1}{2} \ln |\mathbf{\Lambda}| - \frac{D}{2} \ln 2\pi \right) \\ \ln p(\mathbf{s} | \mathbf{z}) &= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{q=1}^Q z_{nq} \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{s}_n - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_q)^\top \bar{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_q^{-1} (\mathbf{s}_n - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_q) - \frac{1}{2} \ln |\bar{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_q| - \frac{D}{2} \ln 2\pi \right) \\ \ln p(\mathbf{z}) &= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{q=1}^Q z_{nq} \ln \bar{w}_q \end{aligned}$$

To compute gradients in $\ln p(\mathbf{y})$, we need to be able to integrate \mathbf{s} and \mathbf{z} over $p(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{z} | \mathbf{y}) = p(\mathbf{s} | \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}) p(\mathbf{z} | \mathbf{y})$. First we have a standard Gaussian posterior,

$$p(\mathbf{s}_n | z_{nq} = 1, \mathbf{y}_n) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{V}_q \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{y}_n, \mathbf{V}_q), \quad \mathbf{V}_q = (\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{A} + \bar{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_q^{-1})^{-1} \quad (3)$$

and then using a standard responsibility argument, we can find the posterior of the assignment indicators \mathbf{z} as

$$p(\mathbf{z}_{nq} = 1 | \mathbf{y}_n) \propto \bar{w}_q p(\mathbf{y}_n | z_{nq} = 1) = \bar{w}_q \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}_n | \mathbf{A}\bar{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_q, \mathbf{\Lambda} + \mathbf{A}\bar{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_q \mathbf{A}^\top). \quad (4)$$

0.1 Mixture weights

Since $\sum_{c=1}^C w_{kc} = 1$, we have to add Lagrangians, and due to the positivity constraint we reparameterize as $v_{kc} = \ln w_{kc}$. The gradient is then

$$\nabla_{v_{kc}} \left(\ln p(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{z}) + \sum_{\ell=1}^K \rho_{\ell} \left(1 - \sum_{d=1}^C e^{v_{\ell d}} \right) \right) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{q=1}^Q z_{nq} \xi_{kc}^q - \rho_k e^{v_{kc}} \quad (5)$$

Taking the expectation and solving we find,

$$w_{kc} \propto \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{q=1}^Q \xi_{kc}^q \mathbb{E}[z_{nq}] \quad (6)$$

If we add a Dirichlet prior $\mathbf{w}_k \sim \text{Dir}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_k)$, then it contributes with the terms

$$\nabla_{v_{kc}} \ln p(\mathbf{w}_k) = \alpha_{kc} - 1 \quad (7)$$

which changes the analytical solution to

$$w_{kc} \propto (\alpha_{kc} - 1) + \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{q=1}^Q \xi_{kc}^q \mathbb{E}[z_{nq}] \quad (8)$$

0.2 Mixture Variance

Taking the gradient in $\bar{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_q$, we get

$$\nabla_{\bar{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_q} \ln p(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{z}) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N z_{nq} (\bar{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_q^{-1} (\mathbf{s}_n - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_q) (\mathbf{s}_n - \bar{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_q)^{\top} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_q^{-1} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_q^{-1}) \quad (9)$$

Let the diagonal elements be denoted by $\bar{\sigma}_{qk}^2 = [\bar{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_q]_{kk}$. We take ξ_{kc}^q to be an indicator of whether σ_{kc}^2 is a factor of $\bar{\sigma}_{qk}^2$. Then we can use the chain rule to get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{kc}^2} \ln p(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{z}) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=1}^Q \xi_{kc}^q \left(\sum_{n=1}^N z_{nq} \left(\frac{s_{nk} - \bar{\mu}_{qk}}{\bar{\sigma}_{qk}^2} \right)^2 - \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N z_{nq}}{\bar{\sigma}_{qk}^2} \right) \quad (10)$$

We can get the gradient in $\ln p(\mathbf{y})$ by taking the expectation of the above quantity with respect to the posteriors we calculated before, and we can then solve it analytically,

$$\sigma_{kc}^2 = \frac{\sum_{q=1}^Q \sum_{n=1}^N \xi_{kc}^q \mathbb{E}[z_{nq}] \mathbb{E}[(s_{nk} - \bar{\mu}_{qk})^2]}{\sum_{q=1}^Q \sum_{n=1}^N \xi_{kc}^q \mathbb{E}[z_{nq}]} \quad (11)$$

If σ_{kc}^2 is endowed with an inverse Gamma prior with shape a_{kc} and scale b_{kc} ,

$$\ln p(\sigma_{kc}^2) = -(1 + a_{kc}) \ln \lambda_{kc}^2 - \frac{b_{kc}}{\lambda_{kc}^2} \quad (12)$$

then it contributes the terms,

$$\nabla_{\sigma^2} \ln p(\sigma_{kc}^2) = -(1 + a_{kc}) \frac{1}{\sigma_{kc}^2} + \frac{b_{kc}}{\sigma_{kc}^2} \quad (13)$$

and adding those terms to the gradient we can solve again and find

$$\sigma_{kc}^2 = \frac{2(1 + a_{kc}) + \sum_{q=1}^Q \sum_{n=1}^N \xi_{kc}^q \mathbb{E}[z_{nq}] \mathbb{E}[(s_{nk} - \bar{\mu}_{qk})^2]}{2b_{kc} + \sum_{q=1}^Q \sum_{n=1}^N \xi_{kc}^q \mathbb{E}[z_{nq}]} \quad (14)$$

0.3 Noise Variance

$$\nabla_{\Lambda} \ln p(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (\Lambda^{-1}(\mathbf{y}_n - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s}_n)(\mathbf{y}_n - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s}_n)^\top \Lambda^{-1} - \Lambda^{-1}) \quad (15)$$

Taking the diagonal elements λ_k^2

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_k^2} \ln p(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{y_{nk} - \mathbf{e}_k^\top \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s}_n}{\lambda_k^2} \right)^2 - N \frac{1}{\lambda_k^2} \right) \quad (16)$$

Taking the expectation and solving, we get

$$\lambda_k^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E}[(y_{nk} - \mathbf{e}_k^\top \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s}_n)^2] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (y_{nk}^2 + \mathbf{e}_k^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{s}_n \mathbf{s}_n^\top] \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{e}_k - 2y_{nk} \mathbf{e}_k^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{s}_n]) \quad (17)$$

If λ_k^2 is again endowed with an inverse Gamma prior then it contributes the terms,

$$\nabla_{\lambda^2} \ln p(\lambda_k^2) = -(1 + \alpha_k) \frac{1}{\lambda_k^2} + \frac{\beta_k}{(\lambda_k^2)^2} \quad (18)$$

and adding those terms to the gradient we can solve again and find

$$\lambda_k^2 = \frac{1}{N + 2 + 2\alpha_k} \sum_{n=1}^N (2\beta_k + \mathbb{E}[(y_{nk} - \mathbf{e}_k^\top \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s}_n)^2])$$

If we have a single λ_0^2 controlling the noise level (scaled unit diagonal covariance), then the gradient simplifies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_0^2} \ln p(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{(\lambda_0^2)^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{y}_n - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s}_n)^\top (\mathbf{y}_n - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s}_n) \right) - \frac{ND}{2} \frac{1}{\lambda_0^2} \quad (19)$$

and taking the expectation and isolating yields,

$$\lambda_0^2 = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{y}_n^\top \mathbf{y}_n + \text{Tr}(\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{s}_n \mathbf{s}_n^\top]) - 2\mathbf{y}_n^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{s}_n])}{ND} \quad (20)$$

or if Λ is a scaled unit matrix and

$$\lambda_0^2 = \frac{2\beta_0 + \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{y}_n^\top \mathbf{y}_n + \text{Tr}(\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{s}_n \mathbf{s}_n^\top]) - 2\mathbf{y}_n^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{s}_n])}{ND + 2 + 2\alpha_0} \quad (21)$$

0.4 Factor Loadings

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \ln p(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{y}_n - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{s}_n) \mathbf{s}_n^\top \quad (22)$$

we can then again take the expectation,

$$\mathbb{E}[\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \ln p(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{z})] = \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{y}_n \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{s}_n]^\top - \mathbf{A} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{s}_n \mathbf{s}_n^\top] \right) \right) \quad (23)$$

and set the gradient to zero to find the optimal update

$$\mathbf{A} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{y}_n \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{s}_n]^\top \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{s}_n \mathbf{s}_n^\top] \right)^{-1}$$

If we add a Gaussian prior $[\mathbf{A}]_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\mathbf{A}}^2)$ it contributes the term,

$$\mathbb{E}[\nabla_{\mathbf{A}} \ln p(\mathbf{A})] = -\frac{1}{\sigma_{\mathbf{A}}^2} \mathbf{A}$$

and we can solve again to find the MAP update,

$$\mathbf{A} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{y}_n \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{s}_n]^\top \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{s}_n \mathbf{s}_n^\top] + \frac{\lambda^2}{\sigma_{\mathbf{A}}^2} \right)^{-1}$$