**Link to tool designs**

[https://marvelapp.com/8d6igd9](https://marvelapp.com/8d6igd9%20)

**Questions**

**1. Copy Updates**

We've updated the language in the mockups to be Plain Language compliant. Is there anything of concern? <https://marvelapp.com/8d6igd9/screen/29016777>

Note: see resulting notes from Stakeholder Demo

**2. Veteran Acceptance Testing Participants**

Could you introduce me to about 8 people who'd be willing to test out this tool in "beta" production so we can make sure their data is coming through ok? This is testing, so it's best if these folks are in-the-know with regard to acceptance testing and won't be alarmed/frustrated/put off if they see errors or bugs. We need varying scenarios:

***Scenario A***

Some ppl might have an end date and a future start date: TOE or Fry.

- TOE: if they are a Veteran and their Mom (for example) has transferred her benefits to them, those benefits would be in LTS the same way as normal (it would replace any GI Bill benefits they already have). So it would come through to Vets.gov.

- Fry scholarship recipients: can’t use the benefits until they’ve graduated/are at least 18, stored as a separate records for someone who’s a Veteran and also a Dependent.

- Have benefit level / eligibility rate > 0%


- Have end date

- Have **future** start date – may need to display this field for this scenario only, test it first to see if we think it’s a problem w/o this

- Have months awarded

***Scenario B***

Have applied but haven't used any of their benefit yet



* Add a bullet “Your school has not made a request to us, or”

***Scenario C***

Have applied but are not eligible: Disallowed

- Eligibility rate = 0%

- Will LTS always show rate = 0 if they’re disallowed? Schnell will confirm with Rodney

***Scenario E***

***= H = I***

Active Duty

* - No end date
* - Rate = 100%
* - No RAD
* - Active Duty checkbox checked (this is in LTS) Rachael to check if we get that in the Ch 33 service from EVSS, Rachael to confirm also if Active Duty members are in MVI, and thus if we’d get data for them. If so, we need to add this Active Duty indicator to our service…
* - % may change based on when they’re active duty vs on reserve, but data is all in same LTS record, not multiple records
* - End date will go back to NULL when active duty, but will populate again when in reserves (i.e. when Veteran again)

***Scenario F***

Have applied and have used some of their benefit (i.e. have an enrollment history)

* Good to go (pending changes from Stakeholder demo)

***Scenario G***

Have applied and have used up all of their benefit

* Good to go (pending changes from Stakeholder demo)
* Can we just leave off the end date?

***Scenario H***

***= E = I***

User has not applied, but has entitlement data in LTS. (Don’t think this is possible…)

* Eligibility rate > 0%
* Months awarded > 0
* End date = NULL OR regular date
* Has RAD (date)
* Active Duty box unchecked
* Need to check with Rodney and Stephanie to see if it’s possible for entitlement data / LTS record to exist for a person if they haven’t applied. Is LTS pulling this data w/o them having applied?
* **Don’t** want to show this information for Scenario H, but **do** want to show it for D and I
* Note: might pursue this in the future, Projected Entitlement Amounts, but not right now

***Scenario I***

***= H = E***

User had benefits, but transferred to a dependent

* Eligibility rate > 0%
* Months awarded > 0
* End date = NULL OR regular date
* Transfer month indicator? (Schnell will ask Stephanie or Rodney)

***Scenario J***

User has used X months and X days

In eBenefits, we see that sometimes it's not just month usage displayed, but is granular down to days. 5 months and 1 day. Do we need to make sure we accommodate that same level of granularity (I'm assuming yes)? Yes need days.

***Scenario K (see Scenario E)***

user left the service, applied and was awarded Post 9/11 GI Bill benefits, but has since rejoined and is now active duty

***Scenario L***

No Data

Confirmed message is ok, want to use this same message for ppl who haven’t yet applied if we can figure out that keyvalue combo…

**3. Is the page’s intro statement OK?**

Per mockup confirmed, it is ok. We could even not include it, if we don’t want to.