

# Other Query Clauses and Lateral Joins

## Advanced Query Techniques: Exercises

May 16, 2025

### Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Category: Other Query Clauses (FETCH, OFFSET)</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1	(i) Practice meanings, values, relations, unique usage, and advantages . . .	5
1.1.1	Exercise 1: Meaning of OFFSET and FETCH . . . . .	5
1.1.2	Exercise 2: Unique usage of FETCH with OFFSET for specific slicing . . . . .	5
1.2	(ii) Practice disadvantages of all its technical concepts . . . . .	5
1.2.1	Exercise 3: Disadvantage of OFFSET without ORDER BY . . . . .	5
1.2.2	Exercise 4: Disadvantage of large OFFSET - Performance . . . . .	5
1.3	(iii) Practice cases where people use inefficient basic solutions instead . . .	5
1.3.1	Exercise 5: Inefficient pagination attempts vs. OFFSET/FETCH . . . . .	5
1.4	(iv) Practice a hardcore problem combining previous concepts . . . . .	6
1.4.1	Exercise 6: Hardcore OFFSET/FETCH with joins, set operations, subqueries, and filtering . . . . .	6
<b>2</b>	<b>Category: LATERAL Joins</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	(i) Practice meanings, values, relations, unique usage, and advantages . . .	7
2.1.1	Exercise 1: Meaning and unique usage of LATERAL - Top N per group . . . . .	7
2.1.2	Exercise 2: LATERAL with a function-like subquery producing multiple related rows . . . . .	7
2.2	(ii) Practice disadvantages of all its technical concepts . . . . .	7
2.2.1	Exercise 3: Disadvantage of LATERAL - Potential Performance Impact . . . . .	7
2.2.2	Exercise 4: Disadvantage - Readability/Complexity for simple cases . . . . .	7
2.3	(iii) Practice cases where people use inefficient basic solutions instead . . .	8
2.3.1	Exercise 5: Inefficient Top-1 per group without LATERAL . . . . .	8
2.4	(iv) Practice a hardcore problem combining previous concepts . . . . .	8
2.4.1	Exercise 6: Hardcore LATERAL with complex correlation, aggregation, and filtering . . . . .	8

# Global Dataset for PostgreSQL

The following SQL code creates and populates the necessary tables for the exercises. Execute this script in your PostgreSQL environment before attempting the exercises.

```
1  -- SQL Dataset for PostgreSQL
2
3  -- Drop tables if they exist to ensure a clean slate
4  DROP TABLE IF EXISTS EmployeeProjects CASCADE;
5  DROP TABLE IF EXISTS ProductSales CASCADE;
6  DROP TABLE IF EXISTS Employees CASCADE;
7  DROP TABLE IF EXISTS Departments CASCADE;
8
9  -- Departments Table
10 CREATE TABLE Departments (
11     departmentId SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,
12     departmentName VARCHAR(100) UNIQUE NOT NULL,
13     locationCity VARCHAR(50)
14 );
15
16 -- Employees Table
17 CREATE TABLE Employees (
18     employeeId SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,
19     firstName VARCHAR(50) NOT NULL,
20     lastName VARCHAR(50) NOT NULL,
21     email VARCHAR(100) UNIQUE NOT NULL,
22     hireDate DATE NOT NULL,
23     salary DECIMAL(10, 2) NOT NULL,
24     departmentId INTEGER REFERENCES Departments(departmentId),
25     managerId INTEGER -- Will add FK constraint later
26 );
27
28 -- ProductSales Table
29 CREATE TABLE ProductSales (
30     saleId SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,
31     productName VARCHAR(100) NOT NULL,
32     category VARCHAR(50),
33     saleDate TIMESTAMP NOT NULL,
34     quantitySold INTEGER NOT NULL,
35     unitPrice DECIMAL(10, 2) NOT NULL,
36     region VARCHAR(50)
37 );
38
39 -- EmployeeProjects Table
40 CREATE TABLE EmployeeProjects (
41     assignmentId SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,
42     employeeId INTEGER NOT NULL, -- Will add FK constraint later
43     projectName VARCHAR(100) NOT NULL,
44     hoursWorked INTEGER,
45     assignmentDate DATE
46 );
47
48 -- Populate Departments
49 INSERT INTO Departments (departmentName, locationCity) VALUES
50 ('Human Resources', 'New York'), -- departmentId 1
51 ('Engineering', 'San Francisco'), -- departmentId 2
52 ('Marketing', 'Chicago'), -- departmentId 3
53 ('Sales', 'Boston'), -- departmentId 4
54 ('Research', 'Austin'); -- departmentId 5
55
56 -- Populate Employees
57 -- Manually assigning employeeId for clarity in problem setup, SERIAL will handle it.
58 -- For inserts, rely on SERIAL. For managerId, use the IDs that will be generated.
59 -- Managers (NULL managerId or managerId referencing an already inserted employee)
60 INSERT INTO Employees (firstName, lastName, email, hireDate, salary, departmentId,
61     managerId) VALUES
62 ('Alice', 'Smith', 'alice.smith@example.com', '2020-01-15', 70000.00, 2, NULL), --
63     employeeId 1
64 ('Diana', 'Prince', 'diana.prince@example.com', '2018-05-10', 150000.00, 1, NULL), --
65     employeeId 2
66 ('Frank', 'Castle', 'frank.castle@example.com', '2017-11-05', 110000.00, 3, NULL), --
67     employeeId 3
```

```

64 ('Henry', 'Jekyll', 'henry.jekyll@example.com', '2021-06-30', 88000.00, 4, NULL),
    -- employeeId 4
65 ('Kara', 'Stark', 'kara.stark@example.com', '2018-07-15', 130000.00, 5, NULL);      --
    employeeId 5
66
67 -- Subordinate employees (managerId refers to employeeId generated above)
68 INSERT INTO Employees (firstName, lastName, email, hireDate, salary, departmentId,
    managerId) VALUES
69 ('Bob', 'Johnson', 'bob.johnson@example.com', '2019-03-01', 120000.00, 2, 1),      --
    employeeId 6
70 ('Charlie', 'Brown', 'charlie.brown@example.com', '2021-07-22', 65000.00, 2, 1),    --
    employeeId 7
71 ('Eve', 'Adams', 'eve.adams@example.com', '2022-02-11', 50000.00, 1, 2),          --
    employeeId 8
72 ('Grace', 'Hopper', 'grace.hopper@example.com', '2020-08-19', 95000.00, 3, 3),      --
    employeeId 9
73 ('Ivy', 'Poison', 'ivy.poison@example.com', '2019-09-14', 72000.00, 4, 4),          --
    employeeId 10
74 ('Jack', 'Ripper', 'jack.ripper@example.com', '2022-01-01', 60000.00, 4, 4),        --
    employeeId 11
75 ('Leo', 'Martin', 'leo.martin@example.com', '2023-01-20', 55000.00, 5, 5),          --
    employeeId 12
76 ('Mia', 'Wallace', 'mia.wallace@example.com', '2020-04-05', 90000.00, 2, 1),          --
    employeeId 13
77 ('Noah', 'Chen', 'noah.chen@example.com', '2021-11-12', 75000.00, 3, 3),           --
    employeeId 14
78 ('Olivia', 'Davis', 'olivia.davis@example.com', '2022-05-25', 62000.00, 1, 2);      --
    employeeId 15
79
80 -- Add self-referencing foreign key for Employees.managerId
81 ALTER TABLE Employees ADD CONSTRAINT fkManager FOREIGN KEY (managerId) REFERENCES
    Employees(employeeId);
82
83 -- Add foreign key for EmployeeProjects.employeeId
84 ALTER TABLE EmployeeProjects ADD CONSTRAINT fkEmployeeProjectsEmployee FOREIGN KEY (
    employeeId) REFERENCES Employees(employeeId);
85
86
87 -- Populate ProductSales (20 rows)
88 INSERT INTO ProductSales (productName, category, saleDate, quantitySold, unitPrice,
    region) VALUES
89 ('Laptop Pro', 'Electronics', '2023-01-10 10:00:00', 5, 1200.00, 'North'),
90 ('Smartphone X', 'Electronics', '2023-01-12 11:30:00', 10, 800.00, 'North'),
91 ('Office Chair', 'Furniture', '2023-01-15 14:20:00', 2, 150.00, 'West'),
92 ('Desk Lamp', 'Furniture', '2023-01-18 09:00:00', 3, 40.00, 'West'),
93 ('Laptop Pro', 'Electronics', '2023-02-05 16:00:00', 3, 1200.00, 'South'),
94 ('Smartphone X', 'Electronics', '2023-02-08 10:10:00', 8, 810.00, 'East'),
95 ('Coffee Maker', 'Appliances', '2023-02-12 13:00:00', 1, 70.00, 'North'),
96 ('Blender', 'Appliances', '2023-02-15 15:45:00', 2, 50.00, 'South'),
97 ('Laptop Pro', 'Electronics', '2023-03-01 12:00:00', 4, 1180.00, 'West'),
98 ('Smartphone X', 'Electronics', '2023-03-04 17:00:00', 12, 790.00, 'North'),
99 ('Office Chair', 'Furniture', '2023-03-07 11:00:00', 1, 155.00, 'East'),
100 ('Desk Lamp', 'Furniture', '2023-03-10 09:30:00', 5, 38.00, 'South'),
101 ('Toaster', 'Appliances', '2023-03-13 14:50:00', 2, 30.00, 'West'),
102 ('Vacuum Cleaner', 'Appliances', '2023-03-16 18:00:00', 1, 200.00, 'North'),
103 ('Gaming Mouse', 'Electronics', '2023-04-01 10:00:00', 20, 50.00, 'East'),
104 ('Keyboard', 'Electronics', '2023-04-02 11:00:00', 15, 75.00, 'West'),
105 ('Monitor', 'Electronics', '2023-04-03 12:00:00', 7, 300.00, 'South'),
106 ('External HDD', 'Electronics', '2023-04-04 13:00:00', 10, 80.00, 'North'),
107 ('Webcam', 'Electronics', '2023-04-05 14:00:00', 12, 60.00, 'East'),
108 ('Printer', 'Electronics', '2023-04-06 15:00:00', 4, 150.00, 'West');
109
110 -- Populate EmployeeProjects (10 rows)
111 -- employeeId values correspond to the SERIAL generated IDs:
112 -- Alice=1, Bob=6, Charlie=7, Eve=8, Grace=9, Ivy=10, Leo=12, Mia=13.
113 INSERT INTO EmployeeProjects (employeeId, projectName, hoursWorked, assignmentDate)
    VALUES
114 (1, 'Alpha Platform', 120, '2023-01-01'),
115 (6, 'Alpha Platform', 150, '2023-01-01'),
116 (7, 'Beta Feature', 80, '2023-02-15'),
117 (1, 'Beta Feature', 60, '2023-02-15'),
118 (8, 'HR Portal Update', 100, '2023-03-01'),
119 (9, 'Marketing Campaign Q1', 160, '2023-01-10'),

```

```
120 (10, 'Sales Dashboard', 130, '2023-02-01'),  
121 (6, 'Gamma Initiative', 200, '2023-04-01'),  
122 (13, 'Gamma Initiative', 180, '2023-04-01'),  
123 (12, 'Research Paper X', 90, '2023-03-20');
```

Listing 1: Global Dataset for Exercises

# 1 Category: Other Query Clauses (FETCH, OFFSET)

## 1.1 (i) Practice meanings, values, relations, unique usage, and advantages

### 1.1.1 Exercise 1: Meaning of OFFSET and FETCH

**Problem:** Retrieve the product sales from the 6th to the 10th most recent sale (inclusive). Display 'saleId', 'productName', and 'saleDate'.

### 1.1.2 Exercise 2: Unique usage of FETCH with OFFSET for specific slicing

**Problem:** List all employees, but skip the first 3 highest paid employees and then show the next 5 highest paid after those. Display 'employeeId', 'firstName', 'lastName', and 'salary'.

## 1.2 (ii) Practice disadvantages of all its technical concepts

### 1.2.1 Exercise 3: Disadvantage of OFFSET without ORDER BY

**Problem:** Show the second page of 5 product sales using `OFFSET 5 ROWS FETCH NEXT 5 ROWS ONLY` but *without* an `ORDER BY` clause. Run the query multiple times. Are the results always the same? Explain the disadvantage.

### 1.2.2 Exercise 4: Disadvantage of large OFFSET - Performance

**Problem:** Imagine the 'ProductSales' table has millions of rows. Explain the potential performance disadvantage of fetching a page deep into the result set (e.g., `OFFSET 1000000 ROWS FETCH NEXT 10 ROWS ONLY`) when ordered by 'saleDate'.

## 1.3 (iii) Practice cases where people use inefficient basic solutions instead

### 1.3.1 Exercise 5: Inefficient pagination attempts vs. OFFSET/FETCH

**Problem:** Display the 3rd "page" of employees (3 employees per page) when ordered by 'hireDate' (oldest first). A page means a set of 3 employees. The 3rd page would be employees 7, 8, and 9 in the ordered list.

- a) Describe or attempt to implement a common, but potentially less direct or efficient, SQL-based way this might be solved if a developer is unaware of or avoids `OFFSET/FETCH`. Consider approaches like using only `LIMIT` and fetching more data than needed, or attempting to simulate row skipping through complex subqueries (without using window functions like `ROW_NUMBER()`).
- b) Solve the same problem using `OFFSET` and `FETCH`.
- c) Discuss why `OFFSET/FETCH` is generally preferred for pagination.

## 1.4 (iv) Practice a hardcore problem combining previous concepts

### 1.4.1 Exercise 6: Hardcore OFFSET/FETCH with joins, set operations, subqueries, and filtering

#### Problem:

1. Create a combined list of employees from two specific groups:
  - Group A: All employees from the 'Engineering' department whose salary is \$70,000 or more.
  - Group B: All employees from the 'Marketing' department whose hire date is on or after '2020-01-01'.
2. From this combined list, remove any duplicates based on 'employeeId'.
3. Order the resulting unique employees by their 'lastName' alphabetically (A-Z), then by 'firstName' alphabetically (A-Z).
4. From this final ordered list, retrieve the employees from the 2nd to the 3rd position (inclusive).
5. Display the 'employeeId', full name (concatenated 'firstName' and 'lastName' with a space), 'departmentName', 'salary', and 'hireDate' for these selected employees.

## 2 Category: LATERAL Joins

### 2.1 (i) Practice meanings, values, relations, unique usage, and advantages

#### 2.1.1 Exercise 1: Meaning and unique usage of LATERAL - Top N per group

**Problem:** For each department, list the top 2 employees with the highest salary. Use `LIMIT 2` within the `LATERAL` subquery. If there are ties in salary that would extend beyond the top 2 individuals, your query should strictly return only 2 employees per department based on the salary ordering (and any secondary ordering specified). Display `'departmentName'`, `'employeeId'`, `'firstName'`, `'lastName'`, and `'salary'`.

#### 2.1.2 Exercise 2: LATERAL with a function-like subquery producing multiple related rows

**Problem:** For each product sale in the 'Electronics' category made in '2023-03-01' or later, calculate its total revenue (`'quantitySold * unitPrice'`). Then, list up to 2 *other* sales for the *same product* that occurred *earlier* than the current sale, ordered by the earlier sale date descending (most recent of the earlier sales first). Display the `'saleId'`, `'productName'`, and calculated total revenue of the current 'Electronics' sale, and the `'saleId'`, `'saleDate'`, and `'quantitySold'` of the (up to) two prior sales for that product.

### 2.2 (ii) Practice disadvantages of all its technical concepts

#### 2.2.1 Exercise 3: Disadvantage of LATERAL - Potential Performance Impact

**Problem:** For every employee, list their `'employeeId'`, `'firstName'`, `'lastName'`, and then use a `LATERAL` subquery to find up to 3 other employees in the *same department* who were hired *before* them and have a *higher salary*. Display the `'firstName'`, `'lastName'`, `'hireDate'`, and `'salary'` of these senior, higher-paid colleagues. Discuss the potential performance disadvantage of this `LATERAL` join, especially if the 'Employees' table is very large and not optimally indexed for the subquery's conditions.

#### 2.2.2 Exercise 4: Disadvantage - Readability/Complexity for simple cases

**Problem:** Retrieve all employees and their corresponding department names.

- Solve this using a simple `INNER JOIN`.
- Solve this using a `LATERAL` join where the subquery fetches the department name for the current employee's `'departmentId'`.
- Explain why using `LATERAL` here is an overkill and a disadvantage in terms of readability and simplicity for this specific task.

## 2.3 (iii) Practice cases where people use inefficient basic solutions instead

### 2.3.1 Exercise 5: Inefficient Top-1 per group without LATERAL

**Problem:** For each distinct ‘region’ in ‘ProductSales’, find the single product sale that had the highest total revenue (defined as ‘quantitySold \* unitPrice’). Display the ‘region’, ‘productName’, ‘saleDate’, and this highest total revenue. If multiple sales in a region share the same highest revenue, pick the one with the latest ‘saleDate’. If there’s still a tie, pick any.

- a) Describe or attempt to implement a common (potentially inefficient or more complex) SQL-based approach to solve this *without* using LATERAL or window functions. Consider methods involving multiple queries with application-level joining of results, or complex correlated subqueries in the SELECT or WHERE clauses.
- b) Show how to solve this efficiently and clearly using a LATERAL join.
- c) Discuss why LATERAL (or window functions, though not the focus here) is superior to more basic, fragmented approaches for this ”top-1-per-group” problem.

## 2.4 (iv) Practice a hardcore problem combining previous concepts

### 2.4.1 Exercise 6: Hardcore LATERAL with complex correlation, aggregation, and filtering

**Problem:** For each employee who is a manager (i.e., ‘employeeId’ appears as ‘managerId’ for at least one other employee):

1. Identify the top 2 most recent project assignments from the ‘EmployeeProjects’ table for *each employee they directly manage*.
2. For these selected project assignments (up to 2 per managed employee), calculate a ”complexityScore” which is ‘hoursWorked \* (YEAR(assignmentDate) - 2020)’. Only consider projects with ‘hoursWorked > 50’. If ‘YEAR(assignmentDate) - 2020’ is less than 1, use 1 for that part of the calculation to avoid zero or negative scores.
3. Then, for each manager, calculate the sum of these ”complexityScores” from all considered projects of their direct reports.
4. Display the manager’s ‘employeeId’, ‘firstName’, ‘lastName’, and this total ”sumComplexityScore”.
5. Only include managers whose total ”sumComplexityScore” is greater than 100.
6. Order the final result by the ”sumComplexityScore” in descending order.