## Conditionals: Advanced WHERE

### Complementary SQL: Exercises

### May 11, 2025

### Contents

1	Pra	ctice Meanings, Values, Relations, Advantages, and Unique Uses	4
	1.1	Subquery with IN	4
	1.2	Subquery with EXISTS	4
	1.3	Subquery with ANY	4
	1.4	Subquery with ALL	4
	1.5	IS DISTINCT FROM	4
	1.6	IS NOT DISTINCT FROM	4
2	Practice Disadvantages of All Its Technical Concepts		5
	2.1	NOT IN with Subquery Returning NULL	5
	2.2	!= ANY Misinterpretation	5
	2.3	Performance of IS DISTINCT FROM vs. Standard Operators (Conceptual)	5
	2.4	Readability of EXISTS vs. IN for Simple Cases	5
3	Practice Inefficient/Incorrect Alternatives vs. Advanced WHERE Con-		
	diti		6
	3.1	Inefficient COUNT(*) vs. EXISTS for Existence Check	6
	3.2	Verbose/Incorrect NULL Handling vs. IS DISTINCT FROM	6
	3.3	Complex NULL-aware Equality vs. IS NOT DISTINCT FROM	6
	3.4	Using LEFT JOIN and checking for NULL vs. NOT EXISTS	6
4	Har	dcore Problem Combining Previous Concepts	7

#### Dataset for PostgreSQL

The following SQL code creates and populates the necessary tables for the exercises.

```
1 -- Drop tables if they exist to ensure a clean setup
2 DROP TABLE IF EXISTS employee_projects CASCADE;
 3 DROP TABLE IF EXISTS projects CASCADE;
 4 DROP TABLE IF EXISTS employees CASCADE;
5 DROP TABLE IF EXISTS departments CASCADE;
    - Create Departments Table
8 CREATE TABLE departments (
       dept_id SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,
       dept_name VARCHAR(50) NOT NULL,
       location VARCHAR (50)
       monthly_budget NUMERIC(10,2) NULL
12
13 );
15 -- Create Employees Table
16 CREATE TABLE employees (
       emp_id SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,
       emp_name VARCHAR(100) NOT NULL,
18
       dept_id INTEGER REFERENCES departments(dept_id),
19
       salary NUMERIC (10,2) NOT NULL,
       manager_id INTEGER REFERENCES employees(emp_id) NULL,
21
       performance_rating INTEGER NULL CHECK (performance_rating IS NULL OR
       performance_rating \ensuremath{\mathtt{BETWEEN}} 1 AND 5),
       last_bonus NUMERIC(8,2) NULL,
       hire_date DATE NOT NULL
24
25 ):
26
27 -- Create Projects Table
28 CREATE TABLE projects (
       proj_id SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,
       proj_name VARCHAR(100) NOT NULL,
30
       lead_emp_id INTEGER REFERENCES employees(emp_id) NULL,
31
       budget NUMERIC(12,2),
       start date DATE NOT NULL.
33
34
       end_date DATE NULL
35);
37 -- Create Employee_Projects Junction Table
38 CREATE TABLE employee_projects (
       emp_id INTEGER REFERENCES employees(emp_id),
       proj_id INTEGER REFERENCES projects(proj_id),
       role VARCHAR (50),
41
       hours_assigned INTEGER NULL,
42
43
       PRIMARY KEY (emp_id, proj_id)
44 );
46 -- Populate Departments
47 INSERT INTO departments (dept_name, location, monthly_budget) VALUES
48 ('Human Resources', 'New York', 50000.00),
49 ('Technology', 'San Francisco', 75000.00),
50 ('Sales', 'Chicago', 60000.00)
51 ('Support', 'Austin', 40000.00),
52 ('Research', 'Boston', NULL), -- Budget is NULL
53 ('Operations', 'New York', 50000.00);
55 -- Populate Employees
56 -- Top Managers (no manager_id)
57 INSERT INTO employees (emp_name, dept_id, salary, manager_id, performance_rating,
       last_bonus, hire_date) VALUES
58 ('Alice Wonderland', 1, 90000.00, NULL, 5, 10000.00, '2010-03-15'), 59 ('Bob The Builder', 2, 95000.00, NULL, 4, 8000.00, '2008-07-01');
61 -- Other Employees
62 INSERT INTO employees (emp_name, dept_id, salary, manager_id, performance_rating,
       last_bonus, hire_date) VALUES
63 ('Charlie Brown', 1, 60000.00, 1, 3, 3000.00, '2012-05-20'), -- HR
64 ('Diana Prince', 2, 75000.00, 2, 5, 7000.00, '2015-11-01'), -- Tech
65 ('Edward Scissorhands', 2, 70000.00, 2, 2, NULL, '2016-02-10'), -- Tech, NULL bonus, low
     rating
```

```
66 ('Fiona Apple', 3, 65000.00, NULL, 4, 5000.00, '2018-08-01'), -- Sales, no manager_id in
           this context
 67 ('George Jetson', 3, 55000.00, 6, 3, 2500.00, '2019-01-15'), -- Sales
 68 ('Hannah Montana', 4, 50000.00, 1, NULL, 1500.00, '2020-06-01'), -- Support, NULL rating
 69 ('Ivan Drago', 4, 48000.00, 8, 2, 1000.00, '2021-03-10'), -- Support
70 ('Julia Child', 5, 80000.00, NULL, 5, NULL, '2011-09-05'), -- Research, NULL bonus
71 ('Kevin McCallister', 1, 58000.00, 1, 4, 2000.00, '2013-07-22'), -- HR
 72 ('Laura Palmer', 2, 82000.00, 2, 3, 4000.00, '2014-01-30'), -- Tech
 73 ('Michael Knight', 3, 68000.00, 6, 5, 6000.00, '2017-04-11'), -- Sales
74 ('Nancy Drew', 4, 52000.00, 8, 4, NULL, '2019-10-01'), -- Support, NULL bonus
75 ('Oscar Wilde', 5, 78000.00, 10, NULL, 7500.00, '2022-01-20'); -- Research, NULL rating
 77 UPDATE employees SET manager_id = 1 WHERE emp_name = 'Charlie Brown';
 78 UPDATE employees SET manager_id = 1 WHERE emp_name = 'Kevin McCallister';
 79 UPDATE employees SET manager_id = 2 WHERE emp_name = 'Diana Prince';
 80 UPDATE employees SET manager_id = 2 WHERE emp_name = 'Edward Scissorhands';
 81 UPDATE employees SET manager_id = 2 WHERE emp_name = 'Laura Palmer';
 82 UPDATE employees SET manager_id = 6 WHERE emp_name = 'George Jetson';
 83 UPDATE employees SET manager_id = 6 WHERE emp_name = 'Michael Knight';
 84 INSERT INTO employees (emp_name, dept_id, salary, manager_id, performance_rating,
         last_bonus, hire_date) VALUES
 85 ('Peter Pan', NULL, 30000.00, NULL, 3, NULL, '2023-01-01'); -- No department, NULL bonus
 86
 87 -- Populate Projects
88 INSERT INTO projects (proj_name, lead_emp_id, budget, start_date, end_date) VALUES
89 ('Alpha Launch', 4, 150000.00, '2023-01-01', '2023-12-31'), -- Lead: Diana Prince (Tech)
90 ('Beta Test', 5, 80000.00, '2023-03-01', '2023-09-30'), -- Lead: Edward Scissorhands (
         Tech)
 91 ('Gamma Initiative', 1, 200000.00, '2022-06-01', NULL), -- Lead: Alice Wonderland (HR)
92 ('Delta Rollout', 13, 120000.00, '2024-02-01', NULL), -- Lead: Michael Knight (Sales)
 93 ('Epsilon Research', 10, 90000.00, '2023-05-01', '2024-05-01'), -- Lead: Julia Child (
          Research)
 94 ('NoLead Project', NULL, 50000.00, '2023-07-01', NULL); -- NULL lead_emp_id
 95
 96 -- Populate Employee_Projects
 97 INSERT INTO employee_projects (emp_id, proj_id, role, hours_assigned) VALUES
98 (4, 1, 'Developer', 160), -- Diana on Alpha
99 (5, 1, 'QA Engineer', 120), -- Edward on Alpha
100 (12, 1, 'UI Designer', 100), -- Laura on Alpha
101 (5, 2, 'Lead Tester', 150), -- Edward on Beta
102 (9, 2, 'Tester', 80), -- Ivan on Beta
103 (1, 3, 'Project Manager', 200), -- Alice on Gamma
104 (3, 3, 'Coordinator', NULL), -- Charlie on Gamma, NULL hours
105 (11, 3, 'Analyst', 100), -- Kevin on Gamma
106 (13, 4, 'Sales Lead', 180), -- Michael on Delta
107 (7, 4, 'Sales Rep', 140), -- George on Delta
108 (10, 5, 'Lead Researcher', 190), -- Julia on Epsilon
109 (15, 5, 'Researcher', NULL); -- Oscar on Epsilon, NULL hours
-- Add an employee in a department that will be used for NOT IN examples
INSERT INTO departments (dept_name, location, monthly_budget) VALUES ('Intern Pool', '
          Remote', 10000.00);
113 INSERT INTO employees (emp_name, dept_id, salary, manager_id, performance_rating,
          last_bonus, hire_date) VALUES
114 ('Intern Zero', (SELECT dept_id FROM departments WHERE dept_name = 'Intern Pool'),
          20000.00, NULL, NULL, '2024-06-01');
116 -- Add a department with no employees for Exercise III.4
117 INSERT INTO departments (dept_name, location, monthly_budget) VALUES ('Empty Department'
     , 'Nowhere', 1000.00);
```

Listing 1: Dataset for Advanced WHERE Conditions Exercises

# 1 Practice Meanings, Values, Relations, Advantages, and Unique Uses

#### 1.1 Subquery with IN

**Problem:** List the names and salaries of all employees who work in departments located in 'New York'.

#### 1.2 Subquery with EXISTS

**Problem:** Find the names of departments that have at least one employee with a salary greater than \$85,000.

#### 1.3 Subquery with ANY

**Problem:** List employees whose salary is greater than *any* salary in the 'Support' department. (This means their salary is greater than the minimum salary in the 'Support' department).

#### 1.4 Subquery with ALL

**Problem:** Find employees in the 'Sales' department whose salary is less than *all* salaries in the 'Technology' department.

#### 1.5 IS DISTINCT FROM

**Problem:** List employees whose performance\_rating is different from 3. This list should include employees who have a NULL performance\_rating (as NULL is distinct from 3).

#### 1.6 IS NOT DISTINCT FROM

**Problem:** Find pairs of employees (display their names) who have the exact same manager\_id, including cases where both employees have no manager (i.e., their manager\_id is NULL). Avoid listing an employee paired with themselves.

# 2 Practice Disadvantages of All Its Technical Concepts

#### 2.1 NOT IN with Subquery Returning NULL

**Problem:** Attempt to list employees who are NOT leads on any project using the condition emp\_id NOT IN (SELECT lead\_emp\_id FROM projects). Observe the (potentially unexpected) result given that projects.lead\_emp\_id can be NULL (e.g., 'NoLead Project'). Explain why this happens.

#### 2.2 != ANY Misinterpretation

**Problem:** Find employees whose salary is not equal to *any* salary found in the 'Intern Pool' department. The 'Intern Pool' department currently has one employee ('Intern Zero') with a salary of \$20,000. Consider what happens if the 'Intern Pool' department had multiple distinct salaries (e.g., \$20,000, \$22,000). Explain the logical evaluation of salary != ANY (subquery\_salaries) in such a scenario.

## 2.3 Performance of IS DISTINCT FROM vs. Standard Operators (Conceptual)

**Problem:** Consider finding employees where performance\_rating is 3.

- Compare conceptually querying this using performance\_rating = 3 versus performance\_rating IS NOT DISTINCT FROM 3.
- When might the IS NOT DISTINCT FROM approach be slightly less optimal if performance\_rating
  is indexed and guaranteed NOT NULL? Discuss potential minor overheads or familiarity issues for developers.

#### 2.4 Readability of EXISTS vs. IN for Simple Cases

**Problem:** Retrieve employees who are in departments listed in a small, explicit list of department IDs (e.g., department IDs 1 and 2).

- Write a query fragment using IN with a literal list.
- Write a query fragment using EXISTS with a subquery that provides these values.
- Compare the readability and conciseness of these two approaches for this specific simple case.

## 3 Practice Inefficient/Incorrect Alternatives vs. Advanced WHERE Conditions

#### 3.1 Inefficient COUNT(\*) vs. EXISTS for Existence Check

**Problem:** List department names that have at least one project associated with them (i.e., a project where lead\_emp\_id belongs to an employee in that department).

- Task: Write a query using an inefficient approach: a correlated subquery with COUNT(\*) in the WHERE clause, checking if COUNT(\*) > 0.
- Consideration: Why is using EXISTS generally more efficient for this type of "is there at least one?" check?

#### 3.2 Verbose/Incorrect NULL Handling vs. IS DISTINCT FROM

**Problem:** Find employees whose last\_bonus is not \$5000.00. This list should include employees whose last\_bonus is NULL, as NULL is considered different from \$5000.00.

- Task: Write a query using a verbose approach: (last\_bonus <> 5000.00 OR last\_bonus IS NULL).
- Consideration: How does last\_bonus IS DISTINCT FROM 5000.00 offer a more concise and less error-prone solution?

#### 3.3 Complex NULL-aware Equality vs. IS NOT DISTINCT FROM

**Problem:** Find all employees whose manager\_id is the same as Peter Pan's manager\_id. (Peter Pan, emp\_id 16, has a NULL manager\_id). Do not include Peter Pan himself in the results.

- Task: Write a query using a complex approach: explicitly check for equality of manager\_id with Peter Pan's manager\_id, AND explicitly check if both the employee's manager\_id and Peter Pan's manager\_id are NULL.
- Consideration: How does using IS NOT DISTINCT FROM simplify this NULL-aware equality check and make the query more robust and readable?

#### 3.4 Using LEFT JOIN and checking for NULL vs. NOT EXISTS

**Problem:** Find departments that have no employees. (Note: The dataset includes an 'Empty Department' specifically for this exercise).

- Task: Write a query using a common approach: LEFT JOIN the departments table with the employees table and then filter for departments where the employee's primary key (or any non-nullable employee column from the join) is NULL. Another variant could use GROUP BY and HAVING COUNT(e.emp\_id) = 0.
- Consideration: For the specific task of checking non-existence, how does NOT EXISTS compare in terms of directness and potential efficiency?

#### 4 Hardcore Problem Combining Previous Concepts

#### **Problem Statement:**

Identify "Key Departments" based on the following criteria:

- 1. The department name must contain either 'Tech' or 'HR' (case-sensitive as per standard SQL LIKE).
- 2. The department's monthly\_budget IS NULL, OR its monthly\_budget = 50000.00.
- 3. The department must have at least one "veteran" employee associated with it. This is determined by checking if there EXISTS such an employee in the department. A "veteran" employee is defined as someone:
  - Hired more than 8 years ago from CURRENT\_DATE.
  - Whose performance\_rating IS DISTINCT FROM 1 (i.e., their rating is not 1, or their rating is NULL).

For these "Key Departments", calculate the total hours\_assigned to all their employees for projects that started on or after '2023-01-01'. If a department has no such employees or projects meeting this date criterion, their total hours should be displayed as 0.

Display the dept\_name and the calculated total\_project\_hours. Order the results in descending order of total\_project\_hours, then by dept\_name alphabetically. Limit the result to the top 3 departments.

**Note for execution:** To get more illustrative results for this specific hardcore problem, you might consider temporarily updating the Technology department's budget before running your solution:

```
1 -- UPDATE departments SET monthly_budget = 50000.00 WHERE dept_name = 'Technology';
```

Listing 2: Optional temporary data modification for Hardcore Problem

Remember to revert this change if you want to work with the original dataset for other exercises.

#### Previous Concepts to Integrate:

- Advanced WHERE: EXISTS, IS DISTINCT FROM.
- Basic WHERE: LIKE, OR, date comparisons (>=), arithmetic with dates (CURRENT\_DATE INTERVAL).
- Intermediate SQL:
  - Joins: INNER JOIN, LEFT JOIN.
  - Aggregators: SUM(), GROUP BY.
  - Null Space: COALESCE.
  - Date Functions: CURRENT\_DATE, INTERVAL.
- Ordering & Limiting: ORDER BY, LIMIT.
- Consider using Common Table Expressions (CTEs) for clarity.