Advanced Commands: Joins and Aggregators

Complementary SQL: Solutions

May 12, 2025

Contents

1	Joir	ns (CROSS JOIN, NATURAL JOIN, SELF JOIN, USING clause)	8
	1.1	(i) Practice meanings, values, relations, advantages of all its technical con-	
		cepts	8
		1.1.1 Exercise 1.1 (CROSS JOIN - Meaning & Advantage)	8
		1.1.2 Exercise 1.2 (NATURAL JOIN - Meaning & Advantage)	8
		1.1.3 Exercise 1.3 (SELF JOIN - Meaning & Advantage)	9
		1.1.4 Exercise 1.4 (USING clause - Meaning & Advantage)	9
	1.2	(ii) Practice entirely their disadvantages of all its technical concepts	10
		1.2.1 Exercise 2.1 (CROSS JOIN - Disadvantage)	10
		1.2.2 Exercise 2.2 (NATURAL JOIN - Disadvantage)	10
		1.2.3 Exercise 2.3 (SELF JOIN - Disadvantage)	11
		1.2.4 Exercise 2.4 (USING clause - Disadvantage)	12
	1.3	(iii) Practice entirely cases where people in general does not use these	
		approaches losing their advantages, relations and values because of the	
		easier, basic, common or easily understandable but highly inefficient solutions	13
		1.3.1 Exercise 3.1 (CROSS JOIN - Inefficient Alternative)	13
		1.3.2 Exercise 3.2 (NATURAL JOIN - Avoiding for "Safety" by being	
		overly verbose)	14
		1.3.3 Exercise 3.3 (SELF JOIN - Inefficient Alternative: Multiple Queries)	15
		1.3.4 Exercise 3.4 (USING clause - Inefficient Alternative: Always typing	
		full ON clause)	15
	1.4	(iv) Practice a hardcore problem combining all the technical concepts	16
		1.4.1 Exercise 4.1 (Joins - Hardcore Problem)	16
2		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	20
	2.1	(i) Practice meanings, values, relations, advantages of all its technical con-	
		cepts	20
		2.1.1 Exercise 5.1 (COUNT(DISTINCT column) - Meaning & Advantage)	20
		2.1.2 Exercise 5.2 (FILTER clause - Meaning & Advantage)	20
	2.2	(ii) Practice entirely their disadvantages of all its technical concepts	21
		2.2.1 Exercise 6.1 (COUNT(DISTINCT column) - Disadvantage)	21
		2.2.2 Exercise 6.2 (FILTER clause - Disadvantage)	21
	2.3	(iii) Practice entirely cases where people in general does not use these	
		approaches losing their advantages, relations and values because of the	
		easier, basic, common or easily understandable but highly inefficient solutions	22

	2.3.1	Exercise 7.1 (COUNT(DISTINCT column) - Inefficient Alternative)	22
	2.3.2	Exercise 7.2 (FILTER clause - Inefficient Alternative: Multiple	
		Queries or Complex CASE)	23
2.4	(iv) P	ractice a hardcore problem combining all the technical concepts	24
	2.4.1	Exercise 8.1 (Aggregators - Hardcore Problem)	24

Dataset for PostgreSQL

The following SQL code creates and populates the necessary tables for the exercises.

```
1 -- Dataset for PostgreSQL
3 -- Drop tables if they exist (for easy re-running of the script)
 4 DROP TABLE IF EXISTS advanced_joins_aggregators.sales_data CASCADE;
5 DROP TABLE IF EXISTS advanced_joins_aggregators.project_assignments CASCADE;
 6 DROP TABLE IF EXISTS advanced_joins_aggregators.projects CASCADE;
7 DROP TABLE IF EXISTS advanced_joins_aggregators.employees CASCADE;
8 DROP TABLE IF EXISTS advanced_joins_aggregators.departments CASCADE;
9 DROP TABLE IF EXISTS advanced_joins_aggregators.locations CASCADE;
10 DROP TABLE IF EXISTS advanced_joins_aggregators.job_grades CASCADE;
11 DROP TABLE IF EXISTS advanced_joins_aggregators.product_inventory CASCADE;
12 DROP TABLE IF EXISTS advanced_joins_aggregators.products CASCADE;
13 DROP TABLE IF EXISTS advanced_joins_aggregators.categories CASCADE;
14 DROP TABLE IF EXISTS advanced_joins_aggregators.product_info_natural CASCADE;
15 DROP TABLE IF EXISTS advanced_joins_aggregators.product_sales_natural CASCADE;
16 DROP TABLE IF EXISTS advanced_joins_aggregators.shift_schedules CASCADE;
18 -- Table Creation and Data Population
20
   -- advanced_joins_aggregators.locations Table
{\tt 21} CREATE TABLE advanced_joins_aggregators.locations (
       location_id SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,
23
       address VARCHAR (255),
       city VARCHAR (100),
2.4
       country VARCHAR (50)
26):
27
28 INSERT INTO advanced_joins_aggregators.locations (address, city, country) VALUES
29 ('123 Main St', 'New York', 'USA'), 30 ('456 Oak Ave', 'London', 'UK'),
31 ('789 Pine Ln', 'Tokyo', 'Japan'),
32 ('101 Maple Dr', 'Berlin', 'Germany');
34 -- advanced_joins_aggregators.departments Table
35 CREATE TABLE advanced_joins_aggregators.departments (
       department_id SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,
       department_name VARCHAR(100) NOT NULL UNIQUE,
37
       location_id INT,
       creation_date DATE DEFAULT CURRENT_DATE,
39
       department_budget NUMERIC(15,2),
40
       CONSTRAINT fk_location FOREIGN KEY (location_id) REFERENCES
       advanced_joins_aggregators.locations(location_id)
42);
44 INSERT INTO advanced_joins_aggregators.departments (department_name, location_id,
       {\tt department\_budget}, \ {\tt creation\_date}) \ {\tt VALUES}
45 ('Human Resources', 1, 500000.00, '2020-01-15'),
46 ('Engineering', 2, 2500000.00, '2019-03-10'),
47 ('Sales', 1, 1200000.00, '2019-06-01'),
48 ('Marketing', 2, 800000.00, '2020-05-20'),
49 ('Research', 3, 1500000.00, '2021-02-01'),
50 ('Support', NULL, 300000.00, '2021-07-10'); -- Department with no location
51
52 -- advanced_joins_aggregators.employees Table
53 CREATE TABLE advanced_joins_aggregators.employees (
       employee_id SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,
54
       first_name VARCHAR(50) NOT NULL,
       last_name VARCHAR(50) NOT NULL,
56
57
       email VARCHAR (100) UNIQUE,
       phone_number VARCHAR(20),
       hire_date DATE NOT NULL,
59
       job_title VARCHAR(50),
       salary NUMERIC(10, 2) CHECK (salary > 0),
61
       manager_id INT,
62
63
       department_id INT,
       performance_rating INT CHECK (performance_rating BETWEEN 1 AND 5) NULL, -- 1 (Low)
64
       to 5 (High)
       CONSTRAINT fk_manager FOREIGN KEY (manager_id) REFERENCES advanced_joins_aggregators
    .employees(employee_id),
```

```
66 CONSTRAINT fk_department FOREIGN KEY (department_id) REFERENCES
       advanced_joins_aggregators.departments(department_id)
67);
68
69 INSERT INTO advanced_joins_aggregators.employees (first_name, last_name, email,
       phone_number, hire_date, job_title, salary, manager_id, department_id,
       performance_rating) VALUES
70 ('Alice', 'Smith', 'alice.smith@example.com', '555-0101', '2019-03-01', 'CEO',
       150000.00, NULL, NULL, 5), -- CEO, no manager, initially no dept
   ('Bob', 'Johnson', 'bob.johnson@example.com', '555-0102', '2019-06-15'. 'CTO'.
       120000.00, 1, 2, 5),
72 ('Charlie', 'Williams', 'charlie.williams@example.com', '555-0103', '2019-07-01', 'Lead
       Engineer', 90000.00, 2, 2, 4),
73 ('Diana', 'Brown', 'diana.brown@example.com', '555-0104', '2020-01-10', 'Software
       Engineer', 75000.00, 3, 2, 3),
74 ('Edward', 'Jones', 'edward.jones@example.com', '555-0105', '2020-02-20', 'Software
       Engineer', 72000.00, 3, 2, 4),
75 ('Fiona', 'Garcia', 'fiona.garcia@example.com', '555-0106', '2019-09-01', 'HR Manager', 85000.00, 1, 1, 5),
76 ('George', 'Miller', 'george.miller@example.com', '555-0107', '2021-04-15', 'HR
       Specialist', 60000.00, 6, 1, 3),
   ('Hannah', 'Davis', 'hannah.davis@example.com', '555-0108', '2019-11-01', 'Sales
       Director', 110000.00, 1, 3, 4),
78 ('Ian', 'Rodriguez', 'ian.rodriguez@example.com', '555-0109', '2022-01-05', 'Sales
       Associate', 65000.00, 8, 3, 3),
79 ('Julia', 'Martinez', 'julia.martinez@example.com', '555-0110', '2022-03-10', 'Sales
       Associate', 62000.00, 8, 3, 2),
80 ('Kevin', 'Hernandez', 'kevin.hernandez@example.com', '555-0111', '2020-07-01', '
       Marketing Head', 95000.00, 1, 4, 4),
81 ('Laura', 'Lopez', 'laura.lopez@example.com', '555-0112', '2022-05-01', 'Marketing
       Specialist', 58000.00, 11, 4, 3),
82 ('Mike', 'Gonzalez', 'mike.gonzalez@example.com', '555-0113', '2021-08-01', 'Research
       Scientist', 88000.00, 1, 5, 5), -- Reports to CEO
83 ('Nina', 'Wilson', 'nina.wilson@example.com', '555-0114', '2023-01-10', 'Junior Engineer
       ', 60000.00, 3, 2, NULL), -- New hire, no rating yet
   ('Oscar', 'Anderson', 'oscar.anderson@example.com', '555-0115', '2020-11-01', 'Support Lead', 70000.00, 1, 6, 4);
86 UPDATE advanced_joins_aggregators.employees SET department_id = 1 WHERE first_name = '
       Alice'; -- Assign CEO to HR for example
88 -- Job Grades (for CROSS JOIN)
89 CREATE TABLE advanced_joins_aggregators.job_grades (
       grade_level CHAR(1) PRIMARY KEY,
90
       description VARCHAR (50),
91
       min_salary NUMERIC(10,2),
92
       max_salary NUMERIC(10,2)
93
94);
96 INSERT INTO advanced_joins_aggregators.job_grades (grade_level, description, min_salary,
        max_salary) VALUES
97 ('A', 'Entry Level', 30000, 50000),
98 ('B', 'Junior', 45000, 70000),
99 ('C', 'Mid-Level', 65000, 90000)
100 ('D', 'Senior', 85000, 120000),
101 ('E', 'Executive', 110000, 200000);
103 -- Shift Schedules (for CROSS JOIN)
104 CREATE TABLE advanced_joins_aggregators.shift_schedules (
105
       schedule_id SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,
       shift_name VARCHAR(50) NOT NULL,
106
       start_time TIME,
107
       end_time TIME
108
109 ):
110 INSERT INTO advanced_joins_aggregators.shift_schedules (shift_name, start_time, end_time
       ) VALUES
111 ('Morning Shift', '08:00:00', '16:00:00'),
112 ('Evening Shift', '16:00:00', '00:00:00'),
113 ('Night Shift', '00:00:00', '08:00:00');
114
115
{\color{blue} {\tt 116} ~--~ advanced\_joins\_aggregators.projects ~\it Table} \\
117 CREATE TABLE advanced_joins_aggregators.projects (
```

```
project_id SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,
        project_name VARCHAR(100) NOT NULL,
119
120
        start_date DATE,
        end_date DATE,
121
        budget NUMERIC(12,2),
122
        department_id INT, -- Renamed from department_id_assign to department_id for NATURAL
         JOIN demo
        CONSTRAINT fk_proj_dept FOREIGN KEY (department_id) REFERENCES
124
        advanced_joins_aggregators.departments(department_id)
125 ):
126
127 INSERT INTO advanced_joins_aggregators.projects (project_name, start_date, end_date,
        budget, department_id) VALUES
128 ('Project Alpha', '2023-01-15', '2023-12-31', 500000.00, 2), 129 ('Project Beta', '2023-03-01', '2024-06-30', 1200000.00, 2),
130 ('Project Gamma', '2023-05-10', '2023-11-30', 300000.00, 4),
131 ('Project Delta', '2024-01-01', NULL, 750000.00, 5),
132 ('Project Epsilon', '2023-02-01', '2023-08-31', 250000.00, 1);
134
135 -- Project Assignments Table
136 CREATE TABLE advanced_joins_aggregators.project_assignments (
        assignment_id SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,
137
138
        project_id INT,
139
        employee_id INT,
        role_in_project VARCHAR(50),
140
141
        assigned_date DATE,
        hours_allocated INT,
142
        CONSTRAINT fk_pa_project FOREIGN KEY (project_id) REFERENCES
143
        advanced_joins_aggregators.projects(project_id),
        CONSTRAINT fk_pa_employee FOREIGN KEY (employee_id) REFERENCES
144
        advanced_joins_aggregators.employees(employee_id)
145 ):
146
147 INSERT INTO advanced_joins_aggregators.project_assignments (project_id, employee_id,
        role_in_project, assigned_date, hours_allocated) VALUES
148 (1, 3, 'Lead Developer', '2023-01-10', 40),
149 (1, 4, 'Developer', '2023-01-12', 30), 150 (1, 5, 'Developer', '2023-01-12', 30),
151 (2, 2, 'Project Manager', '2023-02-25', 20), 152 (2, 3, 'Senior Developer', '2023-03-01', 40), 153 (3, 11, 'Marketing Lead', '2023-05-05', 35),
154 (3, 12, 'Marketing Assistant', '2023-05-08', 25),
155 (4, 13, 'Lead Researcher', '2023-12-20', 40), 156 (5, 7, 'HR Coordinator', '2023-01-30', 15);
157
158
\hbox{\scriptsize $^{--}$ advanced\_joins\_aggregators.categories Table}
160 CREATE TABLE advanced_joins_aggregators.categories (
        category_id SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,
161
        category_name VARCHAR(50) NOT NULL UNIQUE,
162
163
        description TEXT
164 ):
165
166 INSERT INTO advanced_joins_aggregators.categories (category_name, description) VALUES
167 ('Electronics', 'Devices and gadgets powered by electricity.'),
168 ('Books', 'Printed and digital books across various genres.'),
169 ('Clothing', 'Apparel for men, women, and children.'),
170 ('Home Goods', 'Items for household use and decoration.'),
171 ('Software', 'Applications and programs for computers and mobile devices.');
172
{\tt 173} \ {\tt --} \ {\tt advanced\_joins\_aggregators.products} \ {\tt Table}
174 CREATE TABLE advanced_joins_aggregators.products (
175
        product_id SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,
        product_name VARCHAR(100) NOT NULL,
176
177
        category_id INT,
        supplier_id INT, -- Assuming a suppliers table exists, but not creating for brevity
178
179
        unit_price NUMERIC(10,2) CHECK (unit_price >= 0),
        common_code VARCHAR(10), -- For NATURAL JOIN example
180
        status VARCHAR(20) DEFAULT 'Active', -- For NATURAL JOIN example
181
        CONSTRAINT fk_prod_category FOREIGN KEY (category_id) REFERENCES
182
        advanced_joins_aggregators.categories(category_id)
```

```
185 INSERT INTO advanced_joins_aggregators.products (product_name, category_id, supplier_id,
          unit_price, common_code, status) VALUES
186 ('Laptop Pro 15"', 1, 101, 1200.00, 'LP15', 'Active'),
('Smartphone X', 1, 102, 800.00, 'SPX', 'Active'),
188 ('The SQL Mystery', 2, 201, 25.00, 'SQLM', 'Active'),
189 ('Data Structures Algo', 2, 201, 45.00, 'DSA', 'Discontinued'),
190 ('Men T-Shirt', 3, 301, 15.00, 'MTS', 'Active'),
191 ('Women Jeans', 3, 302, 50.00, 'WJN', 'Active'),
192 ('Coffee Maker', 4, 401, 75.00, 'CMK', 'Active'),
193 ('Office Chair', 4, 402, 150.00, 'OCH', 'Backorder'),
194 ('Antivirus Pro', 5, 501, 49.99, 'AVP', 'Active'),
195 ('Photo Editor Plus', 5, 501, 89.99, 'PEP', 'Active'),
196 ('Wireless Mouse', 1, 103, 22.50, 'WMS', 'Active'),
197 ('History of Time', 2, 202, 18.00, 'HOT', 'Active');
198
199
200 -- Product Info (For NATURAL JOIN - intentional common columns)
201 CREATE TABLE advanced_joins_aggregators.product_info_natural (
         product_id INT PRIMARY KEY, -- Common column name 1 common_code VARCHAR(10), -- Common column name 2
202
          common_code VARCHAR(10),
203
204
          supplier_id INT,
         description TEXT,
205
206
         launch_date DATE
207 );
208 INSERT INTO advanced_joins_aggregators.product_info_natural (product_id, common_code,
         supplier_id, description, launch_date) VALUES
209 (1, 'LP15', 101, 'High-performance laptop', '2022-08-15'),
210 (2, 'SPX', 102, 'Latest generation smartphone', '2023-01-20'),
211 (3, 'SQLM', 201, 'A thrilling database mystery novel', '2021-05-10'), 212 (9, 'AVP', 501, 'Comprehensive antivirus solution', '2022-01-01');
213
214 -- Product Sales (For NATURAL JOIN - intentional common columns)
{\tt 215} \ \ \textbf{CREATE TABLE advanced\_joins\_aggregators.product\_sales\_natural} \ \ \textbf{(}
216
         sale_id SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,
217
         product_id INT,
                                           -- Common column name 1
          common_code VARCHAR(10), -- Common column name 2
218
          sale_date DATE,
219
220
         quantity_sold INT,
221
          customer_id_text VARCHAR(10) -- Using different name to avoid auto-join if it
          existed elsewhere
222 ):
223 INSERT INTO advanced_joins_aggregators.product_sales_natural (product_id, common_code,
sale_date, quantity_sold, customer_id_text) VALUES
224 (1, 'LP15', '2023-10-01', 5, 'CUST001'),
225 (2, 'SPX', '2023-10-05', 10, 'CUST002'),

226 (1, 'LP15', '2023-10-10', 3, 'CUST003'),

227 (9, 'AVP', '2023-11-01', 20, 'CUST004');
228
229
230 -- Sales Data Table (For Aggregators)
231 CREATE TABLE advanced_joins_aggregators.sales_data (
         sale_id SERIAL PRIMARY KEY,
232
233
          product_id INT,
         employee_id INT, -- Salesperson
234
          customer_id_text VARCHAR(10), -- Simulating a customer identifier
235
236
          sale date TIMESTAMP.
          quantity_sold INT CHECK (quantity_sold > 0),
237
          unit_price_at_sale NUMERIC(10,2) CHECK (unit_price_at_sale >= 0),
238
          discount_percentage NUMERIC(4,2) DEFAULT 0 CHECK (discount_percentage BETWEEN 0 AND
239
         1),
         region VARCHAR(50), -- e.g., 'North America', 'Europe', 'Asia' payment_method VARCHAR(20), -- e.g., 'Credit Card', 'PayPal', 'Cash' CONSTRAINT fk_sd_product FOREIGN KEY (product_id) REFERENCES
240
241
242
          advanced_joins_aggregators.products(product_id),
          CONSTRAINT fk_sd_employee FOREIGN KEY (employee_id) REFERENCES
243
         advanced_joins_aggregators.employees(employee_id)
244 ):
245
246 INSERT INTO advanced_joins_aggregators.sales_data (product_id, employee_id,
         customer_id_text, sale_date, quantity_sold, unit_price_at_sale, discount_percentage,
      region, payment_method) VALUES
```

```
247 (1, 9, 'CUST001', '2023-01-15 10:30:00', 1, 1200.00, 0.05, 'North America', 'Credit Card
             '),
248 (2, 10, 'CUST002', '2023-01-20 14:00:00', 2, 800.00, 0.0, 'Europe', 'PayPal'),
248 (2, 10, 'CUST002', '2023-01-20 14:00:00', 2, 800.00, 0.0, 'Europe', 'PayPal'),
249 (3, 9, 'CUST003', '2023-02-01 09:15:00', 5, 25.00, 0.1, 'Asia', 'Credit Card'),
250 (5, 10, 'CUST001', '2023-02-10 11:00:00', 3, 15.00, 0.0, 'North America', 'Cash'),
251 (7, 9, 'CUST004', '2023-03-05 16:45:00', 1, 75.00, 0.0, 'Europe', 'Credit Card'),
252 (9, 10, 'CUST002', '2023-03-12 10:00:00', 2, 49.99, 0.02, 'North America', 'PayPal'),
253 (10, 9, 'CUST005', '2023-04-01 13:20:00', 1, 89.99, 0.0, 'Asia', 'Credit Card'),
254 (1, 8, 'CUST006', '2023-04-10 09:00:00', 1, 1200.00, 0.1, 'Europe', 'Credit Card'), --
            High perf employee (Hannah)
255 (4, 10, 'CUST001', '2023-05-01 17:00:00', 10, 45.00, 0.15, 'North America', 'Cash'), --
             Large sale value
Large sate value
256 (6, 9, 'CUST007', '2023-05-15 11:30:00', 2, 50.00, 0.0, 'Europe', 'PayPal'),
257 (8, 10, 'CUST003', '2023-06-01 10:10:00', 1, 150.00, 0.05, 'Asia', 'Credit Card'),
258 (11, 8, 'CUST008', '2023-06-10 14:30:00', 4, 22.50, 0.0, 'North America', 'Credit Card')
               -- High perf employee (Hannah)
259 (12, 9, 'CUST004', '2023-06-20 15:00:00', 3, 18.00, 0.0, 'Europe', 'Cash'), 260 (1, 10, 'CUST005', '2023-07-01 09:45:00', 1, 1150.00, 0.0, 'North America', 'PayPal'),
             -- Slightly lower price
261 (2, 8, 'CUST001', '2023-07-05 12:00:00', 1, 790.00, 0.0, 'Europe', 'Credit Card'), --
            High perf employee (Hannah), high value
262 (3, 9, 'CUST002', '2023-01-17 10:30:00', 1, 25.00, 0.0, 'North America', 'Credit Card'),
               -- Same customer, different product
263 (5, 10, 'CUST003', '2023-02-15 11:00:00', 2, 15.00, 0.0, 'Asia', 'Cash'), -- Same
             customer
264 (7, 9, 'CUST001', '2023-03-08 16:45:00', 3, 70.00, 0.0, 'North America', 'Credit Card');
          -- Same customer, high value sale > 200
```

Listing 1: Dataset Creation for Joins and Aggregators Exercises

1 Joins (CROSS JOIN, NATURAL JOIN, SELF JOIN, USING clause)

1.1 (i) Practice meanings, values, relations, advantages of all its technical concepts

1.1.1 Exercise 1.1 (CROSS JOIN - Meaning & Advantage)

Problem: The company wants to create a list of all possible pairings of employee first names and available shift schedules to evaluate potential staffing options. Display the employee's first name and the shift name for every combination.

Solution:

```
1 SELECT
2    e.first_name,
3    ss.shift_name
4 FROM
5    employees e
6 CROSS JOIN
7    shift_schedules ss
8 ORDER BY
9    e.first_name, ss.shift_name;
```

Explanation: This query demonstrates CROSS JOIN. Its meaning is to produce a Cartesian product of the two tables: every row from employees is combined with every row from shift_schedules. The advantage here is generating a comprehensive list of all potential assignments, which can be useful for planning or combinatorial analysis.

1.1.2 Exercise 1.2 (NATURAL JOIN - Meaning & Advantage)

Problem: List all projects and their corresponding department names. The projects table has a department_id column, and the departments table also has a department_id column (which is its primary key). Use the most concise join syntax available for this specific scenario where column names are identical and represent the join key.

Solution:

```
1 SELECT
2    p.project_name,
3    d.department_name
4 FROM
5    projects p
6 NATURAL JOIN
7    departments d -- Joins on common column: department_id
8 ORDER BY
9    p.project_name;
```

Explanation: NATURAL JOIN automatically joins tables on all columns that have the same name and compatible data types. Here, both projects and departments have department_id. The advantage is conciseness (no ON or USING clause needed). It's related to INNER JOIN as it performs an inner join based on the common columns. Note: While concise, NATURAL JOIN should be used with caution (see disadvantages).

1.1.3 Exercise 1.3 (SELF JOIN - Meaning & Advantage)

Problem: Display a list of all employees and the first and last name of their respective managers. Label the manager's name columns as manager_first_name and manager_last_name. Include employees who do not have a manager (their manager's name should appear as NULL).

Solution:

```
1 SELECT
2     e.first_name AS employee_first_name,
3     e.last_name AS employee_last_name,
4     m.first_name AS manager_first_name,
5     m.last_name AS manager_last_name
6 FROM
7     employees e
8 LEFT JOIN
9     employees m ON e.manager_id = m.employee_id
10 ORDER BY
11     e.last_name, e.first_name;
```

Explanation: This is a SELF JOIN because the employees table is joined to itself. It's achieved by using table aliases (e for employee, m for manager). The meaning is to relate rows within the same table based on a hierarchical relationship (employee-manager). The advantage is the ability to query such hierarchical data or compare rows within the same table without needing separate tables for different roles (like a dedicated managers table). A LEFT JOIN is used to include employees without managers (like the CEO).

1.1.4 Exercise 1.4 (USING clause - Meaning & Advantage)

Problem: List all employees (first name, last name) and the name of the department they belong to. Use the USING clause for the join condition, as both employees and departments tables share a department_id column for this relationship.

Solution:

```
SELECT
e.first_name,
e.last_name,
d.department_name
FROM
employees e
INNER JOIN
departments d USING (department_id) -- department_id is common to both
ORDER BY
d.department_name, e.last_name, e.first_name;
```

Explanation: The USING clause is a shorthand for an ON clause when the columns to be joined have the same name in both tables. Its meaning is to specify the join column(s) by name. The advantage is conciseness compared to ON e.department_id = d.department_id and it also implies that the join column will appear only once in the output if SELECT * were used (though behavior can vary slightly across RDBMS for SELECT *). It's clearer than NATURAL JOIN if there are multiple common columns but you only want to join on specific ones.

1.2 (ii) Practice entirely their disadvantages of all its technical concepts

1.2.1 Exercise 2.1 (CROSS JOIN - Disadvantage)

Problem: You were asked to get a list of employees and their department names. By mistake, you wrote a query that might produce an extremely large, unintended result if not for the small size of the sample job_grades table. Write this problematic query using employees and job_grades and explain the disadvantage. Then, show how many rows it would produce if employees had 1,000 rows and job_grades had 10 rows.

Solution:

```
-- Problematic Query (Intentional misuse for demonstration)

SELECT

e.first_name,
jg.grade_level,
jg.description

FROM

employees e

CROSS JOIN
job_grades jg;

-- Count of rows from the query on sample data:
SELECT COUNT(*) FROM employees CROSS JOIN job_grades;
-- If employees had 1,000 rows and job_grades had 10 rows, it would produce 1000 * 10 = 10,000 rows.
```

Explanation: The primary disadvantage of CROSS JOIN is that it generates a Cartesian product. If used unintentionally (e.g., forgetting a WHERE clause in older implicit join syntax, or by mistake with explicit CROSS JOIN), it can lead to massive result sets that consume significant server resources and time. In this example, every employee is paired with every job grade, which is likely not the intended result for a typical "employee and their department" type query. The number of rows is COUNT(table1) * COUNT(table2).

1.2.2 Exercise 2.2 (NATURAL JOIN - Disadvantage)

Problem: The product_info_natural table and product_sales_natural table both have product_id and common_code columns. Demonstrate how using NATURAL JOIN between them can lead to unexpected results or errors if the assumption about common columns is incorrect or changes. Assume you only intended to join on product_id. What happens if common_code values differ for the same product_id or if another common column is added later?

Solution:

```
-- Scenario: Joining product_info_natural and product_sales_natural

SELECT *

FROM product_info_natural

NATURAL JOIN product_sales_natural;

-- To show what it's actually joining on:
-- The NATURAL JOIN above is equivalent to:

SELECT *

FROM product_info_natural pin

INNER JOIN product_sales_natural psn

ON pin.product_id = psn.product_id AND pin.common_code = psn.

common_code;
```

Explanation: Disadvantages of NATURAL JOIN:

- 1. **Hidden Join Columns:** The join condition is implicit. If tables share multiple column names (product_id AND common_code here), NATURAL JOIN will use all of them. This might not be the intention (e.g., if you only wanted to join on product_id). If common_code for a given product_id doesn't match between the tables, that product pairing will be excluded, leading to missing data.
- 2. **Fragility to Schema Changes:** If a new column with the same name is added to both tables in the future (e.g., status_code), NATURAL JOIN will automatically include it in the join condition, potentially breaking the query or leading to incorrect results without any explicit change to the query itself.
- 3. **Readability/Maintainability:** It can be harder for someone else (or your future self) to understand the exact join logic without inspecting table schemas. Explicit ON or USING clauses are generally clearer and safer.

For example, if product_info_natural had (1, 'LP15X', ...) and product_sales_natural had (1, 'LP15', ...) for product_id 1, they would not join despite matching product_id, because common_code differs.

1.2.3 Exercise 2.3 (SELF JOIN - Disadvantage)

Problem: When writing a query to find employees and their managers, if not careful, a SELF JOIN can become complex to read or write, especially with multiple levels of hierarchy or if the aliases are not clear. Illustrate a slightly more complex (but still basic) self-join requirement: Find employees who earn more than their direct manager. Point out how the logic, while powerful, could be misconstrued if not read carefully.

Solution:

```
SELECT
e.first_name || ' ' || e.last_name AS employee_name,
e.salary AS employee_salary,
m.first_name || ' ' || m.last_name AS manager_name,
m.salary AS manager_salary
FROM
employees e
INNER JOIN -- Use INNER JOIN because we need manager's salary for comparison
employees m ON e.manager_id = m.employee_id
WHERE
e.salary > m.salary;
```

Explanation: Disadvantages of SELF JOIN:

- 1. **Readability:** For those unfamiliar with the concept, joining a table to itself can be confusing. The use of aliases is crucial, and if not chosen well, can make the query hard to follow (e.g., t1 and t2 are less descriptive than e and m).
- 2. **Complexity:** As requirements grow (e.g., finding grand-managers, or specific paths in a hierarchy), self-join queries can become quite complex and challenging to debug.
- 3. Potential for Errors: It's easy to make mistakes in the join condition (e.g., e.employee_id = m.manager_id instead of e.manager_id = m.employee_id), leading to incorrect results. In this example, the WHERE e.salary > m.salary clearly

states the condition, but understanding which alias refers to whom requires careful reading of the ON clause.

1.2.4 Exercise 2.4 (USING clause - Disadvantage)

Problem: Suppose you want to join employees and departments but also need to apply a condition on the department_id from a specific table (e.g., employees.department_id = 1) within the ON clause for some complex logic (not a simple post-join WHERE). Show why USING(department_id) might be less flexible or insufficient for such a scenario compared to an ON clause.

Solution:

```
1 -- Attempting with USING (and failing to add complex condition directly
      in join)
2 -- SELECT e.first_name, d.department_name
3 -- FROM employees e
4 -- INNER JOIN departments d USING (department_id)
5 -- -- WHERE e.department_id = 1; -- This is a post-join filter
7 -- Using ON for more flexibility (hypothetical complex condition)
8 SELECT
      e.first_name,
      d.department_name
11 FROM
     employees e
12
13 INNER JOIN
     departments d ON e.department_id = d.department_id AND e.salary >
     -- The "AND e.salary > 60000" is part of the join condition here.
      -- While often equivalent to a WHERE clause for INNER JOIN,
      -- for OUTER JOINs, conditions in ON vs WHERE behave differently.
      -- USING does not allow such additional non-equijoin conditions
     within its syntax.
20 -- Example of where USING is restrictive:
21 -- If you needed to join on department_id BUT also ensure the employee'
     s specific department_id was, say, part of an active list from
     another subquery.
22 -- ON e.department_id = d.department_id AND e.department_id IN (SELECT
     active_dept_id FROM some_other_table)
23 -- This cannot be expressed with USING(department_id) directly.
```

Explanation: Disadvantages of USING:

- 1. Requires Identical Column Names: It only works if the join columns have exactly the same name in both tables. If they differ (e.g., emp_dept_id and dept_id), you must use ON.
- 2. Less Flexible for Complex Conditions: The ON clause allows for more complex join conditions, including non-equijoins (e.g., ON e.salary BETWEEN jg.min_salary AND jg.max_salary) or additional conditions related to the join columns themselves (as shown in the example, like AND e.some_flag = TRUE). USING is strictly for equi-joins on identically named columns.
- 3. Ambiguity with SELECT *: While USING de-duplicates the join column in SELECT * (usually), relying on SELECT * is generally bad practice. Explicitly selecting

columns is better, making this advantage of USING less critical. The main point is the restriction on join condition complexity.

- 1.3 (iii) Practice entirely cases where people in general does not use these approaches losing their advantages, relations and values because of the easier, basic, common or easily understandable but highly inefficient solutions
- 1.3.1 Exercise 3.1 (CROSS JOIN Inefficient Alternative)

Problem: A junior developer needs to generate all possible pairings of 3 specific employees ('Alice Smith', 'Bob Johnson', 'Charlie Williams') with all available shift schedules. Instead of using CROSS JOIN, they write three separate queries and plan to combine the results manually in their application or using UNION ALL. Show this inefficient approach and then the efficient CROSS JOIN solution.

Solution: Inefficient Approach (Conceptual - actual queries would be verbose):

```
1 -- Inefficient approach: Multiple queries
2 SELECT 'Alice' AS first_name, 'Smith' AS last_name, ss.shift_name
3 FROM shift_schedules ss;
4 -- (Developer would then run similar queries for Bob and Charlie)
6 -- More explicitly, using UNION ALL:
7 SELECT e.first_name, e.last_name, ss.shift_name
8 FROM employees e, shift_schedules ss
9 WHERE e.first_name = 'Alice' AND e.last_name = 'Smith'
11 SELECT e.first_name, e.last_name, ss.shift_name
12 FROM employees e, shift_schedules ss
WHERE e.first_name = 'Bob' AND e.last_name = 'Johnson'
14 UNION ALL
15 SELECT e.first_name, e.last_name, ss.shift_name
16 FROM employees e, shift_schedules ss
17 WHERE e.first_name = 'Charlie' AND e.last_name = 'Williams';
19 -- Highly inefficient if done in application code by fetching all
     employees then all shifts and looping.
20 -- For example (pseudo-code):
21 -- employees_list = query("SELECT first_name, last_name FROM employees
     WHERE (first_name='Alice' AND last_name='Smith') OR ...")
22 -- shifts_list = query("SELECT shift_name FROM shift_schedules")
23 -- FOR EACH emp IN employees_list:
24 -- FOR EACH shift IN shifts_list:
         PRINT\ emp.first\_name, shift.shift\_name
```

Efficient CROSS JOIN Solution:

```
1 SELECT
2    e.first_name,
3    e.last_name,
4    ss.shift_name
5 FROM
6    employees e
7 CROSS JOIN
8    shift_schedules ss
```

```
WHERE
(e.first_name = 'Alice' AND e.last_name = 'Smith') OR
(e.first_name = 'Bob' AND e.last_name = 'Johnson') OR
(e.first_name = 'Charlie' AND e.last_name = 'Williams')
ORDER BY
e.last_name, e.first_name, ss.shift_name;
```

Explanation: The inefficient approach involves multiple database queries or fetching larger-than-needed datasets to the client for manual combination. This increases network traffic, database load, and application complexity. CROSS JOIN (with appropriate filtering if only a subset of one table is needed) achieves the Cartesian product directly and efficiently within the database. The UNION ALL method is better than client-side loops but still more verbose and potentially less optimized by the RDBMS than a direct CROSS JOIN on filtered inputs.

1.3.2 Exercise 3.2 (NATURAL JOIN - Avoiding for "Safety" by being overly verbose)

Problem: A developer needs to join product_info_natural and product_sales_natural. They know both tables have product_id and common_code and they intend to join on both. They avoid NATURAL JOIN due to general warnings about its use and instead write a verbose INNER JOIN ON clause. Show this verbose solution and then the concise NATURAL JOIN (acknowledging that in this *specific* case, if the intent is to join on *all* common columns, NATURAL JOIN is concise, though still risky for future changes).

Solution: Verbose but "Safer" INNER JOIN ON:

```
pi.product_id,
pi.common_code,
pi.description,
ps.sale_date,
ps.quantity_sold
FROM

product_info_natural pi
INNER JOIN

product_sales_natural ps ON pi.product_id = ps.product_id AND pi.
common_code = ps.common_code;
```

Concise NATURAL JOIN (if intention matches exactly):

```
product_id, -- Note: common columns appear once
common_code, -- Note: common columns appear once
description,
sale_date,
quantity_sold
FROM
product_info_natural
NATURAL JOIN
product_sales_natural;
```

Explanation: Some developers avoid NATURAL JOIN entirely, even when it might perfectly match their current, specific intention of joining on all shared-named columns. They opt for a verbose ON clause listing all common columns. While this explicit ON clause is generally safer and more readable regarding intent, the exercise highlights a scenario where a user *could* have used NATURAL JOIN for conciseness but chose not to,

perhaps due to a blanket "never use NATURAL JOIN" rule. The "inefficiency" here is in terms of code verbosity and potentially missing out on a concise feature when it's appropriately understood and the risks managed (e.g., in ad-hoc queries or tightly controlled schemas). The general advice to prefer explicit joins (ON/USING) remains sound for maintainability.

1.3.3 Exercise 3.3 (SELF JOIN - Inefficient Alternative: Multiple Queries)

Problem: To get each employee's name and their manager's name, a developer decides to first fetch all employees. Then, for each employee with a manager_id, they run a separate query to find that manager's name. Describe this highly inefficient N+1 query approach and contrast it with the efficient SELF JOIN.

Solution: Inefficient N+1 Query Approach (Conceptual):

```
-- Step 1: Fetch all employees
  -- Pseudocode: employees_list = SELECT employee_id, first_name, last_name, manager_id
       FROM employees;
4 -- Step 2: For each employee, if manager_id is present, fetch manager's name
5 -- Pseudocode:
6 -- FOR employee IN employees_list:
       PRINT employee.first_name, employee.last_name
IF employee.manager_id IS NOT NULL THEN
8 --
9 --
        manager_details = SELECT first_name, last_name FROM employees WHERE employee_id =
       employee.manager_id; -- Another query
          PRINT \ "Managed \ by:", \ manager\_details.first\_name, \ manager\_details.last\_name
10 --
11 --
       ELSE
12 --
          PRINT "No manager"
```

This would result in 1 query to get all employees, and then up to N additional queries (where N is the number of employees with managers) to get each manager's details.

Efficient SELF JOIN Solution:

```
SELECT
e.first_name || ' ' || e.last_name AS employee_name,
COALESCE(m.first_name || ' ' || m.last_name, 'No Manager') AS
manager_name
FROM
employees e
LEFT JOIN
employees m ON e.manager_id = m.employee_id
ORDER BY
e.last_name, e.first_name;
```

Explanation: The N+1 query pattern is a common anti-pattern that leads to significant database overhead due to many small, repeated queries. It's often "easier" to think about procedurally but performs poorly. A SELF JOIN accomplishes the same task in a single, more efficient query by letting the database handle the relationships and data retrieval in one go. This reduces network latency and database processing time.

1.3.4 Exercise 3.4 (USING clause - Inefficient Alternative: Always typing full ON clause)

Problem: A developer needs to join employees and departments on department_id. Both tables have this column name. Instead of the concise USING(department_id), they always write the full ON e.department_id = d.department_id. While not performance-

inefficient, discuss how this makes the query longer and potentially misses a small readability/maintenance advantage of USING.

Solution: Common ON clause (perfectly fine, but more verbose):

```
1 SELECT
2     e.first_name,
3     e.last_name,
4     d.department_name
5 FROM
6     employees e
7 INNER JOIN
8     departments d ON e.department_id = d.department_id;
```

Concise USING clause solution:

```
1 SELECT
2     e.first_name,
3     e.last_name,
4     d.department_name
5 FROM
6     employees e
7 INNER JOIN
8     departments d USING (department_id);
```

Explanation: Consistently writing out the full ON e.col = d.col when USING(col) is applicable is not "highly inefficient" in terms of query execution performance. However, it is less "code-efficient" or "typing-efficient." The USING clause offers:

- 1. **Conciseness:** It's shorter to write and read for simple equi-joins on identically named columns.
- 2. **De-duplication of Join Columns in SELECT *:** If **SELECT *** is used (though generally not recommended in production code), **USING** typically outputs the join column only once, whereas **ON** would output it from both tables (e.g., **department_id** from **employees** and **department_id** from **departments**).

While the ON clause is more explicit and generally preferred for its clarity and flexibility, deliberately avoiding USING in all cases where it *could* simplify the query (and the join is indeed on a single, identically named column) means sacrificing some brevity. This is a minor point compared to performance inefficiencies but relates to the "easier, basic, common" aspect where the slightly more verbose ON is often the default even if USING is perfectly suitable.

1.4 (iv) Practice a hardcore problem combining all the technical concepts

1.4.1 Exercise 4.1 (Joins - Hardcore Problem)

Problem: The company wants a detailed report to identify "High-Impact Managers" in departments located in the 'USA'. A "High-Impact Manager" is defined as a manager who:

- 1. Works in a department located in the 'USA'.
- 2. Was hired on or before '2020-01-01'.

- 3. Manages at least 2 employees.
- 4. The average salary of their direct reports is greater than \$65,000.

The report should list:

- Manager's full name (manager_name).
- Manager's job title (manager_job_title).
- Manager's department name (department_name).
- The city of the department (department_city).
- The number of direct reports (num_direct_reports).
- The average salary of their direct reports (avg_reports_salary), formatted to 2 decimal places.

Additionally:

- Order the results by the manager's last name.
- If a manager could be listed due to managing employees in multiple departments (not applicable with current schema but consider if structure allowed it), they should be listed per department criteria.
- This problem primarily tests SELF JOINs (for manager-employee hierarchy), standard JOINs (employees to departments, departments to locations), subqueries or CTEs for aggregation, and filtering with WHERE clause (Basic SQL, Date Functions, Arithmetic). While CROSS JOIN and NATURAL JOIN are not central to the optimal solution, briefly comment on whether a NATURAL JOIN between employees and departments (if department_id was the only common column) or departments and projects (as department_id is common) would have been suitable and its risks.

Solution:

```
WITH ManagerDirectReports AS (
2
      -- Step 1: Identify all employees and their direct managers
      SELECT
3
          m.employee_id AS manager_id,
          m.first_name AS manager_first_name,
          m.last_name AS manager_last_name,
          m.job_title AS manager_job_title,
          m.hire_date AS manager_hire_date,
          m.department_id AS manager_department_id,
9
          e.employee_id AS report_id,
          e.salary AS report_salary
      FROM
13
          employees m -- Potential managers
      INNER JOIN
14
          employees e ON m.employee_id = e.manager_id -- e are direct
     reports of m
16),
17 ManagerStats AS (
```

```
-- Step 2: Calculate stats for each manager (num reports, avg
     salary of reports)
      SELECT
19
          manager_id,
          manager_first_name,
21
          manager_last_name,
          manager_job_title,
          manager_hire_date,
          manager_department_id,
          COUNT(report_id) AS num_direct_reports,
          AVG(report_salary) AS avg_reports_salary
      FROM
          ManagerDirectReports
      GROUP BY
30
          manager_id, manager_first_name, manager_last_name,
     manager_job_title, manager_hire_date, manager_department_id
33 -- Step 3: Filter managers based on criteria and join with department/
     location info
34 SELECT
      ms.manager_first_name || ' ' || ms.manager_last_name AS
     manager_name,
     ms.manager_job_title,
      d.department_name,
      1.city AS department_city,
      ms.num_direct_reports,
      TO_CHAR(ms.avg_reports_salary, 'FM999999.00') AS
     avg_reports_salary_formatted
41 FROM
     ManagerStats ms
42
43 INNER JOIN
      departments d ON ms.manager_department_id = d.department_id
45 INNER JOIN
      locations 1 ON d.location_id = 1.location_id
47 WHERE
      1.country = 'USA'
                                                 -- Condition 1 (
     department in USA)
     AND ms.manager_hire_date <= '2020-01-01'
                                                 -- Condition 2 (manager
     hired on/before date)
     AND ms.num_direct_reports >= 2
                                                 -- Condition 3 (manages
50
     at least 2 employees)
     AND ms.avg_reports_salary > 65000
                                                 -- Condition 4 (avg
     salary of reports > 65k)
52 ORDER BY
     ms.manager_last_name;
53
55 -- Commentary on NATURAL JOIN and CROSS JOIN:
56 -- NATURAL JOIN:
     - Between 'employees' and 'departments': If 'department_id' was
     the *only* common column and its meaning was identical for the join,
      'NATURAL JOIN' could be used. However, 'employees' also has '
     manager\_id ' which could conflict if 'departments' coincidentally had
      a 'manager_id' column for a different purpose. 'USING(department_id
     ) 'is safer and clearer.
      - Between 'departments' and 'projects': Both have 'department_id'.
     If the intent is to link projects to their owning departments, '
     NATURAL JOIN' would work if 'department_id' is the sole shared
  column name intended for the join. Risk: if another column, say '
```

```
budget_code', were added to both with the same name but different meanings, 'NATURAL JOIN' would incorrectly try to use it. 'USING( department_id)' or 'ON d.department_id = p.department_id' is more robust.

59 -- CROSS JOIN:
60 -- Not directly useful for the primary logic of this problem, which involves specific relationships (manager-report, employee-department, department-location). A 'CROSS JOIN' would create combinations that are not meaningful for these directed relationships (e.g., every manager with every department, irrespective of their actual assignment). It could be used for generating all possible manager-project pairings if that was a requirement, but not for this problem's specific filtering.
```

Explanation of Hardcore Problem Solution:

- 1. ManagerDirectReports CTE (SELF JOIN): This CTE uses a SELF JOIN on the employees table (aliased as m for managers and e for employees/reports) to link each manager to their direct reports. It collects essential details for both. This is a core use of SELF JOIN for hierarchical data.
- 2. ManagerStats CTE (Aggregation): This CTE takes the results from ManagerDirectReports and groups by manager to calculate COUNT(report_id) (number of direct reports) and AVG(report_salary) (average salary of those reports). This uses standard SQL aggregation (GROUP BY, COUNT, AVG).
- 3. Final SELECT Statement (JOINs and Filtering):
 - It joins ManagerStats with departments (using ON ms.manager_department_id = d.department_id USING(department_id) would also work here if manager_department_was named department_id in the CTE) and then with locations (ON d.location_id = l.location_id). These are standard INNER JOINs.
 - The WHERE clause applies all the specified conditions for a "High-Impact Manager":
 - 1.country = 'USA' (Basic SQL WHERE, String comparison)
 - ms.manager_hire_date <= '2020-01-01' (Date comparison)
 - ms.num_direct_reports >= 2 (Arithmetic comparison)
 - ms.avg_reports_salary > 65000 (Arithmetic comparison)
 - TO_CHAR is used for formatting the average salary (PostgreSQL specific, similar to FORMAT in other SQL dialects).
 - ORDER BY clause for sorting.
 - The problem covers concepts like CTEs (for readability and stepwise logic), SELF JOINs, standard JOINs, aggregation functions, date functions/comparisons, string comparisons, arithmetic, and conditional logic in WHERE.

This problem effectively utilizes SELF JOIN for its core logic and combines it with various other SQL concepts from Basic and Intermediate levels, as required. The commentary addresses the other join types (NATURAL JOIN, CROSS JOIN) from the "Joins" section of Complementary SQL.

2 Aggregators (COUNT(DISTINCT), FILTER clause)

2.1 (i) Practice meanings, values, relations, advantages of all its technical concepts

2.1.1 Exercise 5.1 (COUNT(DISTINCT column) - Meaning & Advantage)

Problem: The sales department wants to know how many unique customers have made purchases from the sales_data table.

Solution:

```
SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT customer_id_text) AS unique_customer_count FROM sales_data;
```

Explanation: COUNT(DISTINCT customer_id_text) calculates the number of unique non-null values in the customer_id_text column.

- **Meaning:** It counts each distinct customer identifier only once, regardless of how many purchases they made.
- Value: Provides an accurate count of the customer base that has engaged in transactions.
- Relation to COUNT(*) or COUNT(column): COUNT(*) would count all rows (total sales transactions). COUNT(customer_id_text) would count all non-null customer IDs, including duplicates. COUNT(DISTINCT ...) is specifically for unique counts.
- Advantage: Essential for metrics like "unique visitors," "unique customers," etc., preventing overcounting when an entity appears multiple times.

2.1.2 Exercise 5.2 (FILTER clause - Meaning & Advantage)

Problem: Calculate the total number of sales transactions and, in the same query, the number of sales transactions specifically made in the 'Europe' region. Use the FILTER clause for the conditional count.

Solution:

Explanation: The FILTER (WHERE condition) clause is used with aggregate functions to perform aggregation only on rows that satisfy the condition.

- Meaning: COUNT(*) FILTER (WHERE region = 'Europe') counts only those rows where the region column is 'Europe'.
- Value: Allows for multiple conditional aggregations within a single query and a single GROUP BY (if groups were used).
- Relation to CASE statements: An older way to achieve this is COUNT(CASE WHEN region = 'Europe' THEN 1 END).

• Advantage: The FILTER clause is often more readable and can be more efficient than the CASE statement approach for conditional aggregation, especially with multiple conditions. It's standard SQL, making queries cleaner.

2.2 (ii) Practice entirely their disadvantages of all its technical concepts

2.2.1 Exercise 6.1 (COUNT(DISTINCT column) - Disadvantage)

Problem: Explain a potential performance disadvantage of using COUNT(DISTINCT column) on a very large table, especially if the column is not well-indexed or has high cardinality. Why might it be slower than COUNT(*)?

Solution:

Explanation:

- Disadvantage: COUNT(DISTINCT column) can be significantly slower than COUNT(*) or COUNT(column with few nulls).
 - To count distinct values, the database typically needs to identify all unique values first. This often involves sorting the distinct values or using a hash-based aggregation strategy to keep track of unique values encountered. Both operations can be memory and CPU intensive, especially if the number of distinct values (cardinality) is high and the dataset is large.
 - If the column is not indexed, the database might need to perform a full table scan and then sort/hash a large amount of data. Even with an index, if the cardinality is very high, processing can be demanding.
 - COUNT(*) simply counts rows, which is often a faster operation, especially if
 the table has a compact structure or metadata about row counts is readily
 available.

2.2.2 Exercise 6.2 (FILTER clause - Disadvantage)

Problem: While the FILTER clause is standard SQL, what could be a practical disadvantage if you are working with an older version of a specific RDBMS that doesn't support it, or if you need to write a query that is portable across RDBMS versions, some of which might not support FILTER? What would be the alternative in such cases?

Solution:

```
1 -- FILTER clause (PostgreSQL and modern SQL standard)
2 SELECT
3 SUM(quantity_sold) FILTER (WHERE region = 'North America') AS
    na_total_quantity,
4 SUM(quantity_sold) FILTER (WHERE region = 'Europe') AS
    eu_total_quantity
5 FROM sales_data;
6
```

```
-- Alternative using CASE (more portable to older RDBMS or those without FILTER)

8 SELECT

9 SUM(CASE WHEN region = 'North America' THEN quantity_sold ELSE O END) AS na_total_quantity,

10 SUM(CASE WHEN region = 'Europe' THEN quantity_sold ELSE O END) AS eu_total_quantity

11 FROM sales_data;
```

Explanation:

- Disadvantage (Portability/Availability): The main disadvantage isn't in its concept but in its historical availability.
 - 1. **RDBMS Support:** Not all RDBMS versions support the FILTER clause, or they might have implemented it later than other features. If you need to write SQL that runs on older database versions or a variety of database systems, some of which lack FILTER support, your query won't be portable.
 - 2. Alternative Required: You would have to resort to using CASE expressions inside aggregate functions (e.g., SUM(CASE WHEN condition THEN value ELSE NULL END) or COUNT(CASE WHEN condition THEN 1 END)). While functionally equivalent, this can make the query more verbose and sometimes less readable than the FILTER clause.
- The CASE approach is generally well-supported across most SQL databases, making it a more universal solution for conditional aggregation when FILTER is not an option.
- 2.3 (iii) Practice entirely cases where people in general does not use these approaches losing their advantages, relations and values because of the easier, basic, common or easily understandable but highly inefficient solutions
- 2.3.1 Exercise 7.1 (COUNT(DISTINCT column) Inefficient Alternative)

Problem: A data analyst needs to find the number of unique products sold. Instead of using COUNT(DISTINCT product_id), they first select all distinct product IDs into a subquery and then count the rows from that subquery. Show this less direct (and potentially less optimized by some older DBs) approach.

Solution: Inefficient/Less Direct Approach:

```
SELECT COUNT(*) AS unique_products_sold
FROM (
SELECT DISTINCT product_id
FROM sales_data
) AS distinct_products;
```

Efficient COUNT(DISTINCT) Solution:

```
SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT product_id) AS unique_products_sold FROM sales_data;
```

Explanation: While modern query optimizers might handle both forms similarly, the SELECT COUNT(*) FROM (SELECT DISTINCT ...) approach is more verbose and explicitly tells the database to first materialize the set of distinct product IDs and then

count them. COUNT(DISTINCT product_id) is a direct instruction to perform this specific aggregation. For very large datasets or older/simpler database systems, the direct COUNT(DISTINCT) might allow for more specialized internal optimizations (like streambased distinct counting) that might not be as readily applied to the subquery form. The direct form is also more concise and clearly states the intent. Another highly inefficient method would be fetching all product_id values to the application layer and then using a Set data structure to count uniques, which involves unnecessary data transfer.

2.3.2 Exercise 7.2 (FILTER clause - Inefficient Alternative: Multiple Queries or Complex CASE)

Problem: An analyst needs to count sales: total sales, sales in 'North America', and sales paid by 'PayPal'. Instead of using FILTER, they write three separate queries or use multiple SUM(CASE WHEN ... THEN 1 ELSE 0 END) expressions which can be less readable for simple counts. Show the multiple query approach (conceptually) and the SUM(CASE...) approach, then the FILTER clause solution.

Solution: Inefficient Approach 1: Multiple Queries (Conceptual)

```
1 -- Query 1
2 -- SELECT COUNT(*) AS total_sales FROM sales_data;
3 -- Query 2
4 -- SELECT COUNT(*) AS na_sales FROM sales_data WHERE region = 'North America';
5 -- Query 3
6 -- SELECT COUNT(*) AS paypal_sales FROM sales_data WHERE payment_method = 'PayPal';
7 -- (Results then combined manually or in application code)
```

Alternative Approach 2: Using SUM(CASE...) (More verbose for counts)

```
1 SELECT
      COUNT(*) AS total_sales,
      SUM(CASE WHEN region = 'North America' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END) AS
     na_sales_count,
     SUM(CASE WHEN payment_method = 'PayPal' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END) AS
     paypal_sales_count
5 FROM sales_data;
6 -- Note: COUNT(CASE WHEN ... THEN 1 END) is often preferred for counts
     over SUM to avoid issues if the column could be non-numeric.
7 -- Using COUNT(CASE ...):
8 SELECT
     COUNT(*) AS total_sales,
      COUNT(CASE WHEN region = 'North America' THEN 1 END) AS
     na_sales_count, -- NULLs from ELSE are not counted by COUNT(
     expression)
     COUNT(CASE WHEN payment_method = 'PayPal' THEN 1 END) AS
     paypal_sales_count
12 FROM sales_data;
```

Efficient FILTER Clause Solution:

```
SELECT
COUNT(*) AS total_sales,
COUNT(*) FILTER (WHERE region = 'North America') AS na_sales_count,
COUNT(*) FILTER (WHERE payment_method = 'PayPal') AS
paypal_sales_count
FROM sales_data;
```

Explanation:

- Multiple Queries: This is highly inefficient due to repeated full table scans (unless indexed appropriately and queries are very simple) and the overhead of multiple round trips to the database.
- SUM(CASE...) or COUNT(CASE...): This is a valid and common way to do conditional aggregation. However, for straightforward conditional counts, the FILTER clause is arguably more declarative and readable (COUNT(*) FILTER (WHERE condition) directly expresses "count rows where condition is true"). The CASE syntax is more general purpose but can make simple conditional counts slightly more verbose. For complex conditions or when FILTER isn't available, CASE is the go-to. Using FILTER when available can lead to cleaner and sometimes more optimized queries. The "inefficiency" of CASE here is minor and more about readability/expressiveness for this specific task compared to FILTER.

2.4 (iv) Practice a hardcore problem combining all the technical concepts

2.4.1 Exercise 8.1 (Aggregators - Hardcore Problem)

Problem: Generate a sales performance report for product categories. The report should include, for each product category:

- 1. category_name: The name of the product category.
- 2. total_revenue: Total revenue generated for the category. Revenue for a sale item is (quantity_sold * unit_price_at_sale * (1 - discount_percentage)). Format to 2 decimal places.
- 3. unique_customers_count: The number of unique customers who purchased products in this category. (Uses COUNT(DISTINCT)).
- 4. high_perf_employee_sales_count: The number of sales transactions in this category handled by 'High-Performance' employees (defined as employees with performance_rating = 5). (Uses FILTER).
- 5. high_value_cc_sales_usa_count: The number of sales transactions in this category that had a total value (quantity_sold * unit_price_at_sale) over \$200, were made in the 'North America' region, AND were paid by 'Credit Card'. (Uses FILTER).
- 6. category_revenue_rank: The rank of the category based on total_revenue in descending order. Use DENSE_RANK().

Filtering Criteria for Output:

- Only include categories where high_perf_employee_sales_count is at least 1.
- AND the unique_customers_count is greater than 2.

Output Order:

• Order the final result by category_revenue_rank (ascending), then by category_name.

Required Concepts:

- COUNT(DISTINCT)
- FILTER clause for conditional aggregation.
- JOINs (products to categories, sales_data to products, sales_data to employees).
- Basic aggregators (SUM).
- GROUP BY category.
- HAVING clause for filtering groups based on aggregated values.
- Window Functions (DENSE_RANK()).
- Arithmetic operations.
- String formatting for revenue.
- Subqueries or CTEs if they simplify the logic.

Solution:

```
1 WITH CategorySalesAggregation AS (
      SELECT
          c.category_id,
3
          c.category_name,
          SUM(sd.quantity_sold * sd.unit_price_at_sale * (1 - sd.
     discount_percentage)) AS raw_total_revenue,
          COUNT(DISTINCT sd.customer_id_text) AS unique_customers_count,
6
          COUNT(*) FILTER (
              WHERE e.performance_rating = 5
          ) AS high_perf_employee_sales_count,
9
          COUNT(*) FILTER (
              WHERE (sd.quantity_sold * sd.unit_price_at_sale) > 200
                 AND sd.region = 'North America'
                 AND sd.payment_method = 'Credit Card'
13
          ) AS high_value_cc_sales_usa_count
      FROM
          sales_data sd
      JOIN
17
          products p ON sd.product_id = p.product_id
      JOIN
19
          categories c ON p.category_id = c.category_id
20
      JOIN
          employees e ON sd.employee_id = e.employee_id
      GROUP BY
          c.category_id, c.category_name
2.4
25),
26 RankedCategories AS (
      SELECT
27
          category_name,
28
29
          raw_total_revenue,
          TO_CHAR(raw_total_revenue, 'FM9,999,990.00') AS total_revenue,
     -- Formatted revenue
          unique_customers_count,
          high_perf_employee_sales_count,
```

```
high_value_cc_sales_usa_count,
          DENSE_RANK() OVER (ORDER BY raw_total_revenue DESC) AS
34
     category_revenue_rank
      FROM
          CategorySalesAggregation
36
      WHERE
37
          high_perf_employee_sales_count >= 1 -- Apply HAVING conditions
      here or in final SELECT
          AND unique_customers_count > 2
39
40 )
41 SELECT
      category_name,
      total_revenue,
43
      unique_customers_count,
44
      high_perf_employee_sales_count,
      high_value_cc_sales_usa_count,
47
      category_revenue_rank
48 FROM
      RankedCategories
49
50 ORDER BY
  category_revenue_rank ASC, category_name ASC;
```

Explanation of Hardcore Problem Solution:

1. CategorySalesAggregation CTE:

- Joins: It starts by joining sales_data (fact table) with products, categories (dimension tables to get category info), and employees (to get performance rating).
- GROUP BY c.category_id, c.category_name: Aggregations are performed per category.
- SUM(...) AS raw_total_revenue: Calculates total revenue using arithmetic.
- COUNT(DISTINCT sd.customer_id_text): Calculates unique customers for the category. This is a direct use of COUNT(DISTINCT).
- COUNT(*) FILTER (WHERE e.performance_rating = 5): Counts sales by high-performance employees using the FILTER clause. This showcases conditional aggregation.
- COUNT(*) FILTER (WHERE (sd.quantity_sold * sd.unit_price_at_sale) > 200 ...): Counts high-value credit card sales in the USA using FILTER with multiple conditions. This demonstrates a more complex conditional aggregation.

2. RankedCategories CTE:

- This CTE takes data from CategorySalesAggregation.
- TO_CHAR(...) AS total_revenue: Formats the raw revenue into a currency string.
- DENSE_RANK() OVER (ORDER BY raw_total_revenue DESC): Assigns a rank to each category based on its raw_total_revenue. This uses a Ranking Window Function as allowed.

• WHERE high_perf_employee_sales_count >= 1 AND unique_customers_count > 2: This applies the filtering criteria that would typically be in a HAVING clause if we weren't using window functions that are calculated after GROUP BY/HAVING. Placing it here filters before the final select or could be in the final select.

3. Final SELECT Statement:

- Selects the required columns from the RankedCategories CTE.
- ORDER BY category_revenue_rank ASC, category_name ASC: Sorts the final output as required.

This problem comprehensively uses COUNT(DISTINCT), the FILTER clause for different conditional aggregations, and integrates them with JOINs, GROUP BY, standard aggregators, arithmetic, and Window Functions (Ranking), addressing all aspects of the hardcore problem requirements for "Aggregators".