
## Model A: Baseline model

Spectrum WMBasic, 39 000 K

**Flux**  $\log_{10} \Phi = 13.50$ 

Abundance set Cloudy Orion




- Significant disagreement with observations
- Low ionization lines are too weak; high ionization lines are too strong
- Iron lines are far too strong presumably due to abundance
- Same for Argon line

## **Model B: Lower flux**

Spectrum WMBasic, 39 000 K

**Flux**  $\log_{10} \Phi = 13.20$ 

Abundance set Cloudy Orion




- Much better agreement, but still some differences
- [O I] is 30% (0.11 dex) too weak; [O III] nebular lines are 30% too strong (but auroral line is OK)
- [N II], [S II], and [S III] are all marginally too weak by 10–20%
- Iron and Argon are the same as in A

### Model C: Esteban abundances

Spectrum WMBasic, 39 000 K

**Flux**  $\log_{10} \Phi = 13.50$ 

**Abundance set** Esteban et al.(2004), M42,  $t^2 = 0.002$ 




- Slightly better than A in some respects, but worse in others
- [Fe II] is now much better, but Argon and Neon are worse because the abundance went up
- [O III] still has the nebular lines too strong, but now the auroral line is too weak as well. The temperature in the high-ionization zones is obviously too high
- Nitrogen is now too weak, and sulphur too strong, which can be directly ascribed to the changed abundances

### Model D: Tsamis abundances

Spectrum WMBasic, 39 000 K

**Flux**  $\log_{10} \Phi = 13.50$ 

Abundance set Tsamis et al.(2011), LV2




- This is all over the place. These abundances can definitely be ruled out.
- Iron, Sulphur, and Nitrogen are too weak
- Carbon is too strong, due to increased abundance
- However, Argon is much improved, as is [O I]
- $\bullet~$  The [O  $\scriptstyle\rm III$ ] auroral/nebular ratio is still too small

# **Model E: Tlusty atmosphere**

Spectrum Tlusty, 39 000 K

**Flux**  $\log_{10} \Phi = 13.50$ 

Abundance set Cloudy Orion




5

# Model F: Lower flux and Esteban

Spectrum WMBasic, 39 000 K

**Flux**  $\log_{10} \Phi = 13.20$ 

**Abundance set** Esteban et al.(2004), M42,  $t^2 = 0.002$ 




# Model G: Intermediate flux and Esteban

Spectrum WMBasic, 39 000 K

**Flux**  $\log_{10} \Phi = 13.35$ 

**Abundance set** Esteban et al.(2004), M42,  $t^2 = 0.002$ 




**Model H: Cooler star** 

Spectrum WMBasic, 38 000 K

**Flux**  $\log_{10} \Phi = 13.50$ 

Abundance set Cloudy Orion




## Model I: Bespoke abundances and lower flux

Spectrum WMBasic, 39 000 K

**Flux**  $\log_{10} \Phi = 13.20$ 

Abundance set Tweaked



- This is the best one! Nearly everything is OK, except...
- ... the nebular [O III] lines are 20–30% too strong (about  $3\sigma$ )
- ... and [O I] is too weak, but that does not matter, since there will be a contribution from OH dissociation in the neutral disk wind, which is not include in the model

Model J: Bespoke abundances (50% Oxygen) and lower flux

Spectrum WMBasic, 39 000 K

**Flux**  $\log_{10} \Phi = 13.20$ 

Abundance set Tweaked

