

Human Evaluation Reproduction Study

* Erforderlich

Task Description

You will hopefully already have received an HTML file containing 10 excerpts from Wikipedia. Per excerpt there is once the name of a company as headline, a text description of this company, an infobox at the right margin and a summary. The summary is highlighted in red and was created automatically. This summary should be evaluated in this survey on the basis of 4 criteria.

The Questions are:

- How do you judge the Information Coverage of the company summary?
- How do you judge the Non-Redundancy of Information in the company summary?
- How do you judge the Semantic Adequacy of the company summary?
- How do you judge the Grammatical Correctness of the company summary?

Please answer each question for every excerpt using a scale from 1 to 5 in the given order of the HTML file.

Example

1. **Ryjan** **Name**
ID:100

Background

The business

. The first ryjan car was being promoted at the paris motor show in october 1919, and almost immediately, in 1920, the newly formed business started to produce their first model.

. The car

. The 1920 ryjan hj4 sat on a 3,000 mm (118.1 in) wheelbase and was priced by the manufacturer at 21,000 francs for a four-seater torpedo bodied car and 25,000 francs for a four-seater convertible with a closed rear (salon (berline) bodied car. The engine was a 4-cylinder 2,292cc unit. Other sources indicate that early cars used a 1690cc engine bought in from s. C. A. P. (société de constructeur automobiles paris), a specialist engine manufacturer located nearby.

. Five years later the manufacturer took at stand at the auto salon in october 1924. This car still had a s. C. A. P. Engine, now of 1,614cc: customers were able to choose between a sidevalve and an overhead valve version of this engine. The 1924/25 car sat on the same 3,000 mm (118.1 in) wheelbase as before. The manufacturers listed price for a four-seater torpedo bodied car had increased to 27,000 francs, however, reflecting general inflation in the french economy.

. For the last two years the cars were also advertised with a 2-litre engine from alfos, another engine supplier. Production ended in 1926.

Infobox

Name	Ryjan
Founded	1920
Industry	Automotive
Defunct	1926
Headquarters	Chatou nanterre

Company summary

Ryjan was a make of french automobile produced by the grillet company between 1920 and 1926. The factory was established in what was then a small town, a suburb of paris, called nanterre. In 1925 production was relocated to nanterre in the west of the country.

Before we start

1. Please enter the file name (index) of your HTML file: *

Abstract 1 of 10

2. How do you judge the Information Coverage of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
No Information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Contains Everything

3. How do you judge the Non-Redundancy of Information in the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Lots of repeated information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	No repetition

4. How do you judge the Semantic Adequacy of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Lots of semantical mistakes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Semantically very correct

5. How do you judge the Grammatical Correctness of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Very incorrect	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Very Good

Abstract 2 of 10

6. How do you judge the Information Coverage of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
No Information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Contains Everything

7. How do you judge the Non-Redundancy of Information in the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Lots of repeated information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	No repetition

8. How do you judge the Semantic Adequacy of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Lots of semantical mistakes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Semantically very correct

9. How do you judge the Grammatical Correctness of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Very incorrect	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Very Good

Abstract 3 of 10

10. How do you judge the Information Coverage of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
No Information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Contains Everything

11. How do you judge the Non-Redundancy of Information in the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Lots of repeated information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	No repetition

12. How do you judge the Semantic Adequacy of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Lots of semantical mistakes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Semantically very correct

13. How do you judge the Grammatical Correctness of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Very incorrect	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Very Good

Abstract 4 of 10

14. How do you judge the Information Coverage of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
No Information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Contains Everything

15. How do you judge the Non-Redundancy of Information in the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Lots of repeated information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	No repetition

16. How do you judge the Semantic Adequacy of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Lots of semantical mistakes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Semantically very correct

17. How do you judge the Grammatical Correctness of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Very incorrect	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Very Good

Abstract 5 of 10

18. How do you judge the Information Coverage of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
No Information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Contains Everything

19. How do you judge the Non-Redundancy of Information in the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Lots of repeated information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	No repetition

20. How do you judge the Semantic Adequacy of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Lots of semantical mistakes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Semantically very correct

21. How do you judge the Grammatical Correctness of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Very incorrect	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Very Good

Abstract 6 of 10

22. How do you judge the Information Coverage of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
No Information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Contains Everything

23. How do you judge the Non-Redundancy of Information in the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Lots of repeated information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	No repetition

24. How do you judge the Semantic Adequacy of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Lots of semantical mistakes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Semantically very correct

25. How do you judge the Grammatical Correctness of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Very incorrect	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Very Good

Abstract 7 of 10

26. How do you judge the Information Coverage of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
No Information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Contains Everything

27. How do you judge the Non-Redundancy of Information in the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Lots of repeated information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	No repetition

28. How do you judge the Semantic Adequacy of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Lots of semantical mistakes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Semantically very correct

29. How do you judge the Grammatical Correctness of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Very incorrect	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Very Good

Abstract 8 of 10

30. How do you judge the Information Coverage of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
No Information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Contains Everything

31. How do you judge the Non-Redundancy of Information in the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Lots of repeated information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	No repetition

32. How do you judge the Semantic Adequacy of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Lots of semantical mistakes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Semantically very correct

33. How do you judge the Grammatical Correctness of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Very incorrect	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Very Good

Abstract 9 of 10

34. How do you judge the Information Coverage of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
No Information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Contains Everything

35. How do you judge the Non-Redundancy of Information in the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Lots of repeated information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	No repetition

36. How do you judge the Semantic Adequacy of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Lots of semantical mistakes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Semantically very correct

37. How do you judge the Grammatical Correctness of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Very incorrect	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Very Good

Abstract 10 of 10

38. How do you judge the Information Coverage of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
No Information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Contains Everything

39. How do you judge the Non-Redundancy of Information in the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Lots of repeated information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	No repetition

40. How do you judge the Semantic Adequacy of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Lots of semantical mistakes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Semantically very correct

41. How do you judge the Grammatical Correctness of the company summary? *

Markieren Sie nur ein Oval.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Very incorrect	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Very Good

Finish

Thank you for your participation!

Dieser Inhalt wurde nicht von Google erstellt und wird von Google auch nicht unterstützt.

Google