

Ground State Calculator: Mathematics and Development Notes

Adam J. Robinson

October 28, 2019

Contents

1	Gaussian Functions	2
2	General Equations	2
3	Gaussian Trial Function	3
3.1	Simplifying the Hamiltonian	3
3.2	Simplifying the Expectation Value of the Hamiltonian	6
3.2.1	Kinetic Energy Integral	6
4	Appendix A: Generalized Integration By Parts	10

1 Gaussian Functions

This section covers important properties of Gaussian functions generalized to any number of dimensions in cartesian coordinates.

For the purposes of this program and its documentation, a Gaussian function in n dimensions will be defined as

$$\mathcal{G}_n(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \exp \left[-\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{x} \right]$$

where

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1} \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

and is a column vector of input coordinates for the Gaussian function. \mathbf{s} is a length n column vector that defines the shift of the function.

$$\mathbf{s} = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ s_2 \\ \vdots \\ s_{n-1} \\ s_n \end{bmatrix}$$

\mathbf{A} is an $n \times n$ matrix of values that define the shape of the Gaussian function.

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & A_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{n1} & \cdots & A_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

This matrix is symmetric and positive definite, meaning that

$$\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{z} > 0 \quad \forall \quad \sum_i |z_i| > 0, \quad z_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

The integral of such a Gaussian function over all space

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{G}_n(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi^n}{\det \mathbf{A}}} \exp \left[\frac{1}{4} \mathbf{s}^T \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{s} \right]$$

Where \mathbf{A}^{-1} denotes the inverse of the matrix \mathbf{A} .

2 General Equations

This section defines equations that are generally applicable.

For a given normalized wavefunction

$$\psi = \psi(\mathbf{x})$$

the expectation value of the Hamiltonian in cartesian coordinates is

$$\langle H \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi^* H \psi d\mathbf{x}$$

For a system of N_u atomic nuclei approximated as points with N_e electrons orbiting them and the Born-Oppenheimer approximation applied, the Hamiltonian of the system is

$$H = \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m_e} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N_e} \nabla_n^2 \psi(\mathbf{x}) \right] + \frac{q_e}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left[q_e \sum_{n=1}^{N_e} \sum_{m>n}^{N_e} |\mathbf{r}_n - \mathbf{r}_m|^{-1} - \sum_{n=1}^{N_u} \sum_{m=1}^{N_e} Q_n |\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{r}_m|^{-1} \right] \psi(\mathbf{x}) \quad (1)$$

where ∇_n^2 denotes the cartesian Laplacian of the wavefunction with respect to the n th electrons position, m_e denotes the mass of the electron, q_e denotes the charge of the electron (positive), \mathbf{r}_n denotes the position of the n th electron w.r.t the origin, \mathbf{R}_n denotes the position of the n th nucleus w.r.t the origin and Q_n denotes the charge of the n th nucleus. Because of the definition of the Laplacian in cartesian coordinates, this expression can be rewritten as

$$H = \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m_e} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{3N_e} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \psi(\mathbf{x}) \right] + \frac{q_e}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left[q_e \sum_{n=1}^{N_e} \sum_{m>n}^{N_e} |\mathbf{r}_n - \mathbf{r}_m|^{-1} - \sum_{n=1}^{N_u} \sum_{m=1}^{N_e} Q_n |\mathbf{R}_n - \mathbf{r}_m|^{-1} \right] \psi(\mathbf{x}) \quad (2)$$

Here, each Laplacian term has been replaced by three, 2nd partial derivative terms. In each term, x_n denotes the n th input coordinate of the trial wavefunction.

3 Gaussian Trial Function

The Gaussian Trial function is defined

$$\mathcal{G}_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv B \sum_{j=1}^m C_j \exp \left[-\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}^{(j)} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{s}^{(j)T} \mathbf{x} \right]$$

Where m is the number of Gaussian terms in the trial function, B is a normalization constant, C_j is an arbitrary real constant, $\mathbf{A}^{(j)}$ defines the shape matrix of the j th term in the trial function and $\mathbf{s}^{(j)T}$ is the transpose of the shift vector of the j th term in the trial function.

3.1 Simplifying the Hamiltonian

In order to simplify the Hamiltonian, for the purposes of computing its expectation value, it is necessary to better define

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \mathcal{G}_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} B \sum_{j=1}^m C_j \exp \left[-\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}^{(j)} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{s}^{(j)T} \mathbf{x} \right]$$

First, convert the interior of the exponential into a sum

$$-\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}^{(j)} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{s}^{(j)T} \mathbf{x} = -\sum_{k=1}^n x_k \left(\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^n s_k^{(j)} x_k$$

Note that since \mathbf{A} is symmetric, the term A_{kl} in the inner sum can also be written A_{lk} . The symmetry of \mathbf{A} also means that all off diagonal terms in the sum have an identical term in the sum corresponding to their element in \mathbf{A}^T . This means that only one half of the off diagonal terms need to actually be computed. Their value can then be doubled. Re-writing the derivative

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \mathcal{G}_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) &= B \sum_{j=1}^m C_j \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \exp \left[- \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \left(\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^n s_k^{(j)} x_k \right] \\
\text{let } \exp \left[- \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}^{(j)} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{s}^{(j)T} \mathbf{x} \right] &= \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} \\
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \exp \left[- \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \left(\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^n s_k^{(j)} x_k \right] &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left\{ \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[- \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \left(\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^n s_k^{(j)} x_k \right] \right\} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[- \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \left(\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^n s_k^{(j)} x_k \right] &= - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_k \left(\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} s_k^{(j)} x_k \\
&= - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_k \left(\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right) + s_i^{(j)} \\
\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_k \left(\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left[\left(\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_k + x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right) \right] \\
\sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_k &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right) \delta_{ik} \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{il}^{(j)} \\
\sum_{k=1}^n x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right) &= \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \left(\sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \left(\sum_{l=1}^n A_{kl}^{(j)} \delta_{li} \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n x_k A_{ki}^{(j)}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining all of the sub-terms derived above and applying the second derivative

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left\{ \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[- \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \left(\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^n s_k^{(j)} x_k \right] \right\} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left\{ \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} \left[- \sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{il}^{(j)} - \sum_{k=1}^n x_k A_{ki}^{(j)} + s_i^{(j)} \right] \right\} \\
&= \left[- \sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{il}^{(j)} - \sum_{k=1}^n x_k A_{ki}^{(j)} + s_i^{(j)} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} + \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[- \sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{il}^{(j)} - \sum_{k=1}^n x_k A_{ki}^{(j)} + s_i^{(j)} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

As derived above,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} = \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} \left[- \sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{il}^{(j)} - \sum_{k=1}^n x_k A_{ki}^{(j)} + s_i^{(j)} \right]$$

Completing the second derivative terms

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[-\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{il}^{(j)} - \sum_{k=1}^n x_k A_{ki}^{(j)} + s_i^{(j)} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} &= \left[-\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{il}^{(j)} - \sum_{k=1}^n x_k A_{ki}^{(j)} + s_i^{(j)} \right] \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} \left[-\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{il}^{(j)} - \sum_{k=1}^n x_k A_{ki}^{(j)} + s_i^{(j)} \right] \\
&= \left[-\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{il}^{(j)} - \sum_{k=1}^n x_k A_{ki}^{(j)} + s_i^{(j)} \right]^2 \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} \\
\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[-\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{il}^{(j)} - \sum_{k=1}^n x_k A_{ki}^{(j)} + s_i^{(j)} \right] &= \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} \left\{ -\sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_l A_{il}^{(j)} - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_k A_{ki}^{(j)} \right\} \\
&= \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} \left\{ -\sum_{l=1}^n A_{il}^{(j)} \delta_{li} - \sum_{k=1}^n x_k A_{ki}^{(j)} \delta_{ki} \right\} \\
&= \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} \left\{ -A_{ii}^{(j)} - A_{ii}^{(j)} \right\} \\
&= -2A_{ii}^{(j)} \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} = \left[-\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{il}^{(j)} - \sum_{k=1}^n x_k A_{ki}^{(j)} + s_i^{(j)} \right]^2 \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} - 2A_{ii}^{(j)} \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)}$$

Meaning that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \mathcal{G}_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) &= B \sum_{j=1}^m C_j \left\{ \left[-\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{il}^{(j)} - \sum_{k=1}^n x_k A_{ki}^{(j)} + s_i^{(j)} \right]^2 \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} - 2A_{ii}^{(j)} \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} \right\} \\
&= B \sum_{j=1}^m C_j \left\{ \left[-\left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k A_{ik}^{(j)} + x_k A_{ki}^{(j)} \right) + s_i^{(j)} \right]^2 \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} - 2A_{ii}^{(j)} \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling that \mathbf{A} is symmetric,

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \mathcal{G}_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) = B \sum_{j=1}^m C_j \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} \left\{ \left[s_i^{(j)} - 2 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k A_{ik}^{(j)} \right]^2 - 2A_{ii}^{(j)} \right\}$$

where

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} = \exp \left[-\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}^{(j)} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{s}^{(j)T} \mathbf{x} \right]$$

Applying this definition to simplify the Hamiltonian, the kinetic energy portion

$$\begin{aligned}
T\mathcal{G}_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m_e} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n B \sum_{j=1}^m C_j \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} \left\{ \left[s_i^{(j)} - 2 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k A_{ik}^{(j)} \right]^2 - 2A_{ii}^{(j)} \right\} \right] \\
&= \frac{-B\hbar^2}{2m_e} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m C_j \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} \left\{ \left[s_i^{(j)} - 2 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k A_{ik}^{(j)} \right]^2 - 2A_{ii}^{(j)} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

No derivatives need to be calculated for the potential energy portion, so it can be written

$$V\mathcal{G}_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{q_e}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left[q_e \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} \sum_{j>i}^{N_e} |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|^{-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{N_u} \sum_{j=1}^{N_e} Q_i |\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|^{-1} \right] \mathcal{G}_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{x})$$

3.2 Simplifying the Expectation Value of the Hamiltonian

The expectation value of the Hamiltonian for this system can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^q} \psi^* H \psi d\mathbf{x} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^* H \psi dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_q \\ \psi^* H \psi &= \psi^* (T\psi + V\psi) \end{aligned}$$

Where n is the number of inputs to the trial function. Since the trial function is real, $\psi^* = \psi$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^* (T\psi + V\psi) &= \psi T\psi + V\psi^2 \\ V\psi^2 &= \frac{q_e}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left[q_e \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} \sum_{j>i}^{N_e} |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|^{-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{N_u} \sum_{j=1}^{N_e} Q_i |\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|^{-1} \right] \left[\mathcal{G}_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) \right]^2 \\ \psi T\psi &= \mathcal{G}_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{-B\hbar^2}{2m_e} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m C_j \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} \left\{ \left[s_i^{(j)} - 2 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k A_{ik}^{(j)} \right]^2 - 2A_{ii}^{(j)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Breaking up the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^q} \psi^* H \psi d\mathbf{x} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi T\psi dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V\psi^2 dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n$$

3.2.1 Kinetic Energy Integral

In order to calculate the integral,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi T\psi dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n$$

it is necessary to break it up into a product of integrals w.r.t each dx_n to the furthest extent that it is possible. In order to do this, it is necessary to express the function $\hat{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{x})$ in terms of a product of multiple exponential functions.

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} &= \exp \left[-\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}^{(j)} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{s}^{(j)T} \mathbf{x} \right] \\
&= \exp \left[-\sum_{k=1}^n x_k \left(\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^n s_k^{(j)} x_k \right] \\
&= \exp \left[-\sum_{k=1}^n x_k \left(\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right) \right] \exp \left[\sum_{k=1}^n s_k^{(j)} x_k \right] \\
&\quad \exp \left[\sum_{k=1}^n s_k^{(j)} x_k \right] = \prod_{k=1}^n \exp \left[s_k^{(j)} x_k \right] \\
\exp \left[-\sum_{k=1}^n x_k \left(\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right) \right] &= \prod_{k=1}^n \exp \left[-x_k \left(\sum_{l=1}^n x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right) \right] \\
&= \prod_{k=1}^n \exp \left[-x_k^2 A_{kk}^{(j)} - x_k \left(\sum_{l \neq k}^n x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right) \right] \\
&= \prod_{k=1}^n \exp \left[-x_k^2 A_{kk}^{(j)} \right] \exp \left[-\sum_{l \neq k}^n x_k x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right] \\
&= \prod_{k=1}^n \left\{ \exp \left[-x_k^2 A_{kk}^{(j)} \right] \left(\prod_{l \neq k}^n \exp \left[-x_k x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right] \right) \right\} \\
\therefore \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} &= \left[\prod_{k=1}^n \left\{ \exp \left[-x_k^2 A_{kk}^{(j)} \right] \left(\prod_{l \neq k}^n \exp \left[-x_k x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right] \right) \right\} \right] \left[\prod_{k=1}^n \exp \left[s_k^{(j)} x_k \right] \right] \quad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

At this point, it becomes convenient to apply a significant simplification, at the expense of removing some generality from the trial function. Now, assume that \mathbf{A} is a diagonal matrix, more explicitly

$$A_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j$$

Applying this to eq. 3.

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} &= \left[\prod_{k=1}^n \left\{ \exp \left[-x_k^2 A_{kk}^{(j)} \right] \left(\prod_{l \neq k}^n \exp \left[-x_k x_l A_{kl}^{(j)} \right] \right)^1 \right\} \right] \left[\prod_{k=1}^n \exp \left[s_k^{(j)} x_k \right] \right] \\
&= \prod_{k=1}^n \exp \left[-x_k^2 A_{kk}^{(j)} \right] \prod_{k=1}^n \exp \left[s_k^{(j)} x_k \right] \\
&= \prod_{k=1}^n \exp \left[-x_k^2 A_{kk}^{(j)} \right] \exp \left[s_k^{(j)} x_k \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Using this to write the expression $\psi T \psi$ as explicitly as possible

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi T \psi &= \mathcal{G}_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{-B\hbar^2}{2m_e} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m C_j \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} \left\{ \left[s_i^{(j)} - 2 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k A_{ik}^{(j)} \right]^2 - 2A_{ii}^{(j)} \right\} \\
&= \mathcal{G}_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{-B\hbar^2}{2m_e} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m C_j \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(j)} \left\{ \left[s_i^{(j)} - 2x_i A_{ii}^{(j)} \right]^2 - 2A_{ii}^{(j)} \right\} \\
&= \frac{-B^2\hbar^2}{2m_e} \left(\sum_{j'=1}^m C_{j'} \prod_{l=1}^n \exp \left[-x_l^2 A_{ll}^{(j')} \right] \exp \left[s_l^{(j')} x_l \right] \right) \times \\
&\quad \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m C_j \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \exp \left[-x_k^2 A_{kk}^{(j)} \right] \exp \left[s_k^{(j)} x_k \right] \right) \left\{ \left[s_i^{(j)} - 2x_i A_{ii}^{(j)} \right]^2 - 2A_{ii}^{(j)} \right\} \\
&= \frac{-B^2\hbar^2}{2m_e} \sum_{j'=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n C_{j'} C_j \left(\prod_{l=1}^n \exp \left[-x_l^2 A_{ll}^{(j')} \right] \exp \left[s_l^{(j')} x_l \right] \right) \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \exp \left[-x_k^2 A_{kk}^{(j)} \right] \exp \left[s_k^{(j)} x_k \right] \right) \times \\
&\quad \left(\left[s_i^{(j)} - 2x_i A_{ii}^{(j)} \right]^2 - 2A_{ii}^{(j)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we simplify the two product terms

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\prod_{l=1}^n \exp \left[-x_l^2 A_{ll}^{(j')} \right] \exp \left[s_l^{(j')} x_l \right] \right) \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \exp \left[-x_k^2 A_{kk}^{(j)} \right] \exp \left[s_k^{(j)} x_k \right] \right) \\
&= \prod_{l=1}^n \exp \left[-x_l^2 A_{ll}^{(j')} \right] \exp \left[s_l^{(j')} x_l \right] \exp \left[-x_l^2 A_{ll}^{(j)} \right] \exp \left[s_l^{(j)} x_l \right] \\
&= \prod_{l=1}^n \exp \left[-x_l^2 A_{ll}^{(j')} - x_l^2 A_{ll}^{(j)} \right] \exp \left[s_l^{(j')} x_l + s_l^{(j)} x_l \right] \\
&= \prod_{l=1}^n \exp \left[-x_l^2 \left(A_{ll}^{(j')} + A_{ll}^{(j)} \right) \right] \exp \left[x_l \left(s_l^{(j')} + s_l^{(j)} \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting this into the original expression.

$$\psi T \psi = \frac{-B^2\hbar^2}{2m_e} \sum_{j'=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n C_{j'} C_j \left(\left[s_i^{(j)} - 2x_i A_{ii}^{(j)} \right]^2 - 2A_{ii}^{(j)} \right) \prod_{l=1}^n \exp \left[-x_l^2 \left(A_{ll}^{(j')} + A_{ll}^{(j)} \right) \right] \exp \left[x_l \left(s_l^{(j')} + s_l^{(j)} \right) \right]$$

Expanding the last term in the above expression.

$$\left[s_i^{(j)} - 2x_i A_{ii}^{(j)} \right]^2 - 2A_{ii}^{(j)} = \left[s_i^{(j)} \right]^2 + 4x_i^2 \left[A_{ii}^{(j)} \right]^2 - 4x_i A_{ii}^{(j)} s_i^{(j)} - 2A_{ii}^{(j)}$$

This allows for the integral that defines the expectation value of the kinetic energy to be simplified significantly. First, recall that

$$\int \cdots \int \prod_{i=1}^n f_i(x_i) dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_N = \prod_{i=1}^n \int f_i(x_i) dx_i \quad (4)$$

Emphasis being on the fact that all functions in the integrand depend on a single variable of integration, never more, never less. Before applying this, we allow the following definitions, in order to be concise.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{let } f(x_l) &= \exp \left[-x_l^2 \left(A_{ll}^{(j')} + A_{ll}^{(j)} \right) \right] \exp \left[x_l \left(s_l^{(j')} + s_l^{(j)} \right) \right] \\ \text{let } g(x_i) &= \left[s_i^{(j)} \right]^2 + 4x_i^2 \left[A_{ii}^{(j)} \right]^2 - 4x_i A_{ii}^{(j)} s_i^{(j)} - 2A_{ii}^{(j)} \end{aligned}$$

We now write the expectation value of the kinetic energy

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T \rangle &= \frac{-B^2 \hbar^2}{2m_e} \int \cdots \int \int \left\{ \sum_{j'=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n C_{j'} C_j g(x_i) \prod_{l=1}^n f(x_l) \right\} dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n \\ &= \frac{-B^2 \hbar^2}{2m_e} \left\{ \sum_{j'=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n C_{j'} C_j \int \cdots \int \int g(x_i) \prod_{l=1}^n f(x_l) dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n \right\} \\ &= \frac{-B^2 \hbar^2}{2m_e} \left\{ \sum_{j'=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n C_{j'} C_j \int \cdots \int \int g(x_i) f(x_i) \prod_{l \neq i}^n f(x_l) dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n \right\} \\ &= \frac{-B^2 \hbar^2}{2m_e} \left\{ \sum_{j'=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n C_{j'} C_j \int g(x_i) f(x_i) dx_i \prod_{l \neq i}^n \int f(x_l) dx_l \right\} \end{aligned}$$

This now leaves us with only the following two integrals to calculate.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_l) dx_l &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[-x_l^2 \left(A_{ll}^{(j')} + A_{ll}^{(j)} \right) \right] \exp \left[x_l \left(s_l^{(j')} + s_l^{(j)} \right) \right] dx_l \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x_i) f(x_i) dx_i &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\left[s_i^{(j)} \right]^2 + 4x_i^2 \left[A_{ii}^{(j)} \right]^2 - 4x_i A_{ii}^{(j)} s_i^{(j)} - 2A_{ii}^{(j)} \right) \exp \left[-x_i^2 \left(A_{ii}^{(j')} + A_{ii}^{(j)} \right) + x_i \left(s_i^{(j')} + s_i^{(j)} \right) \right] dx_i \end{aligned}$$

The second integral can be split into a sum of three integrals, making the full list of integrals to be computed

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[-x_l^2 \left(A_{ll}^{(j')} + A_{ll}^{(j)} \right) \right] \exp \left[x_l \left(s_l^{(j')} + s_l^{(j)} \right) \right] dx_l \\ I_2 &\equiv \left(\left[s_i^{(j)} \right]^2 - 2A_{ii}^{(j)} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[-x_i^2 \left(A_{ii}^{(j')} + A_{ii}^{(j)} \right) \right] \exp \left[x_i \left(s_i^{(j')} + s_i^{(j)} \right) \right] dx_i \\ I_3 &\equiv 4 \left[A_{ii}^{(j)} \right]^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_i^2 \exp \left[-x_i^2 \left(A_{ii}^{(j')} + A_{ii}^{(j)} \right) \right] \exp \left[x_i \left(s_i^{(j')} + s_i^{(j)} \right) \right] dx_i \\ I_4 &\equiv -4A_{ii}^{(j)} s_i^{(j)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_i \exp \left[-x_i^2 \left(A_{ii}^{(j')} + A_{ii}^{(j)} \right) \right] \exp \left[x_i \left(s_i^{(j')} + s_i^{(j)} \right) \right] dx_i \end{aligned}$$

All of which can be computed analytically. First,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{let } D_{ljj'} &= A_{ll}^{(j')} + A_{ll}^{(j)} \\
\text{let } F_{ljj'} &= s_l^{(j')} + s_l^{(j)} \\
I_1 &= \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{D_{ljj'}}} \exp \left[\frac{F_{ljj'}^2}{4D_{ljj'}} \right] \\
I_2 &= \left(\left[s_i^{(j)} \right]^2 - 2A_{ii}^{(j)} \right) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{D_{ijj'}}} \exp \left[\frac{F_{ijj'}^2}{4D_{ijj'}} \right] \\
I_3 &= \left[A_{ii}^{(j)} \right]^2 \exp \left[\frac{F_{ijj'}^2}{4D_{ijj'}} \right] (2D_{ijj'} + F_{ijj'}^2) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{D_{ijj'}^5}} \\
I_4 &= -4A_{ii}^{(j)} s_i^{(j)} \exp \left[\frac{F_{ijj'}^2}{4D_{ijj'}} \right] F_{ijj'} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4D_{ijj'}^3}}
\end{aligned}$$

We are now equipped to write a complete, closed form solution to the expectation value of the kinetic energy.

$$\langle T \rangle = \frac{-B^2 \hbar^2}{2m_e} \left\{ \sum_{j'=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n C_{j'} C_j (I_2 + I_3 + I_4) \prod_{l \neq i}^n I_1 \right\}$$

The completely expanded form being

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T \rangle &= \frac{-B^2 \hbar^2}{2m_e} \pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \left\{ \sum_{j'=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n C_{j'} C_j \Gamma_{ijj'} \prod_{l \neq i}^n D_{ljj'}^{-1/2} \exp \left[\frac{F_{ljj'}^2}{4D_{ljj'}} \right] \right\} \\
\Gamma_{ijj'} &\equiv \exp \left[\frac{F_{ijj'}^2}{4D_{ijj'}} \right] \left\{ \left(\left[s_i^{(j)} \right]^2 - 2A_{ii}^{(j)} \right) D_{ijj'}^{-1/2} + \left[A_{ii}^{(j)} \right]^2 (2D_{ijj'} + F_{ijj'}^2) D_{ijj'}^{-5/2} - 2A_{ii}^{(j)} s_i^{(j)} F_{ijj'} D_{ijj'}^{-3/2} \right\} \\
D_{kjj'} &\equiv A_{kk}^{(j')} + A_{kk}^{(j)} \\
F_{kjj'} &= s_k^{(j')} + s_k^{(j)}
\end{aligned}$$

4 Appendix A: Generalized Integration By Parts

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dx} \prod_{i=1}^n f_i(x) &= f_1(x) \frac{d}{dx} \prod_{i=2}^n f_i(x) + \left(\prod_{i=2}^n f_i(x) \right) \frac{d}{dx} f_1(x) \\
&= \left(\prod_{i=2}^n f_i(x) \right) \frac{d}{dx} f_1(x) + f_1(x) \left[\left(\prod_{i=3}^n f_i(x) \right) \frac{d}{dx} f_2(x) + f_2(x) \frac{d}{dx} \prod_{i=3}^n f_i(x) \right] \\
&= \left(\prod_{i=2}^n f_i(x) \right) \frac{d}{dx} f_1(x) + f_1(x) \left(\prod_{i=3}^n f_i(x) \right) \frac{d}{dx} f_2(x) + f_1(x) f_2(x) \frac{d}{dx} \prod_{i=3}^n f_i(x)
\end{aligned}$$

From this, we can define the derivative of the generalized product to be a sum of terms

$$\frac{d}{dx} \prod_{i=1}^n f_i(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n F_{i-1}(x) \left(\prod_{i'=i+1}^n f_{i'}(x) \right) \frac{d}{dx} f_i(x)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(x) &\equiv f_n(x) f_{n-1}(x) \cdots f_1(x) \\ F_0(x) &\equiv 1 \end{aligned}$$

This can be simplified as follows

$$\frac{d}{dx} \prod_{i=1}^n f_i(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\prod_{i' \neq i}^n f_{i'}(x) \right) \frac{d}{dx} f_i(x)$$

Recall the derivation of integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} f(x) g(x) &= g(x) \frac{d}{dx} f(x) + f(x) \frac{d}{dx} g(x) \\ \int \frac{d}{dx} f(x) g(x) &= \int g(x) \frac{d}{dx} f(x) + \int f(x) \frac{d}{dx} g(x) \\ f(x) g(x) &= \int g(x) \frac{d}{dx} f(x) + \int f(x) \frac{d}{dx} g(x) \\ \int g(x) \frac{d}{dx} f(x) &= f(x) g(x) - \int f(x) \frac{d}{dx} g(x) \end{aligned}$$

Or, in the more common notation

$$\int g f' = f g - \int f g'$$

Next, using the same argument, we derive a generalization for any number of products in the integrand.

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{d}{dx} \prod_{i=1}^n f_i(x) &= \int \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\prod_{i' \neq i}^n f_{i'}(x) \right) \frac{d}{dx} f_i(x) \\ \prod_{i=1}^n f_i(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int \left(\prod_{i' \neq i}^n f_{i'}(x) \right) \frac{d}{dx} f_i(x) \end{aligned}$$

From the expression on the right of the equal sign, we can extract any chosen element of the sum and move it to the left hand side.

$$\int \left(\prod_{i' \neq \gamma}^n f_{i'}(x) \right) \frac{d}{dx} f_\gamma(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_i(x) - \sum_{i \neq \gamma} \int \left(\prod_{i' \neq i}^n f_{i'}(x) \right) \frac{d}{dx} f_i(x) \quad (5)$$

This provides us with a means of reasoning about the products in the integrand of the kinetic energy function.