Motivation

- What is heat, and how do I distinguish it from macroscopic work?
- Essentially heat is energy which is shared amongst many constituents
- So what we will try to do is to count the ways that the particles can share the total energy.

For instance one particle could have all the energy and the rest none, or every particle could have exactly the same amount of energy. These are two possibilities out of many.

As we will see there are of order 10 A humber of configurations,

In the process we will derive the Boltzmann factor, and prove the relation

 $U = \frac{3}{2} N k_B T$

and define temperature precisely.

Equilibrium as Maximum Probability

- We will describe how to count the number of configurations (ways to share the energy) next.

 A microstate is a complete specification of the coordinates and momenta of the system,
- The number of microstates with energy between

 E and E+SE is D(E) this is the number of

 ways to share the total energy E, or the number

 A of accessible (or possible) states
- Since there are of order 10 NA States. Which one should be preferred? Boltzmann's answer was that they are all equally likely: Price = 1
- The entropy is (up to a constant) the log of Ω $\mathcal{S} = \log \Omega$ $\mathcal{S} = \ln \Omega(E)$ $\hat{S} = S/K_B$
- · Why do we care? Take two systems

	exchange	Ez
E	energy	D(E)
ST(E)		2,

System 1, has energy E, and can be in any of its site)
possible microstates -. Ditto for system 2

The total energy E=E, $+E_2$ is partitioned between the two systems. The partition of E into E, and E_2 describes the macrostate of the System, i.e. we are just specifying two macroscopic quantities, instead of the microstate (6N quantities)

• The number of n states with E, in () and E2 in (i.e. with a specified macrostate) is?

$$\Omega_{Tot} = \Omega(E_1) \Omega(E_2)$$

In terms of logs

or
$$\hat{S}_{TOT} = log \Omega_1 + log \Omega_2$$
or $\hat{S}_{TOT} = \hat{S}_1 + \hat{S}_2$

- Since each microstate is equally likely the probability of thaving E, in () and Ez in (2) is proportional to $\Re(E_1)\Re(E_2)$, i.e., $P=\Re(E_1)\Re(E_2)/\Re(E)$
- changed, or equivalently how stronge as E, is

 changed, or equivalently how stronger changes

 as E, changes in time:

$$\frac{d\hat{S}_{TOT}}{dt} = \frac{dS_1}{dE_1} \frac{dE_2}{dE_2} \frac{dE_2}{dE_2}$$

Energy is shared!

Since
$$E, +E, = E = const, dE_1 - dE, and so$$

dt dt

$$\frac{d\hat{S}_{TOT}}{dt} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial \hat{S}_{1} & -\partial \hat{S}_{2} \\ \partial \cdot E_{1} & \partial E_{2} \end{pmatrix} \frac{dE_{1}}{dt}$$

Now $P \propto \Omega_{TOT}$ and $\hat{S}_{TOT} = In\Omega_{TOT}$. So if the the System evolves towards its most probable configuration then we must have \hat{S}_{TOT} increase in time:

$$d\hat{S}_{TOT} = (\partial \hat{S}, -\partial \hat{S}_2) dE, > 0$$
 $dt = (\partial \hat{S}, -\partial \hat{S}_2) dt$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{S}_1}{\partial E_1} - \frac{\partial \hat{S}_2}{\partial E_2} > 0$$
 then energy will flow to $\frac{\partial \hat{S}_1}{\partial E_1} - \frac{\partial \hat{S}_2}{\partial E_2} > 0$ Left $\frac{\partial \hat{S}_1}{\partial E_1} + \frac{\partial \hat{S}_2}{\partial E_1} > 0$, $\frac{\partial \hat{S}_2}{\partial E_2} > 0$

· So it is very natural to define

$$\left(\frac{\partial \hat{S}}{\partial E}\right) \propto \frac{1}{T}$$

The constant is the Boltzman constant

or since $\hat{S} = S/k_R$ we have

$$(\partial S) = 1$$
 and $S = k \ln \Omega(E)$

• In the next section we will show that for an ideal gas:

So

$$2S = k_B 2 \ln \Omega = 1$$
 $2E = T$

· Substituting of we have Ins = 3N/2 In E + const leading to.

$$k(3N) = 1$$
 or $E = 3NkBT$