

Preservation of Memory With Conformal Avoidance of the Hippocampal Neural Stem-Cell Compartment During Whole-Brain Radiotherapy for Brain Metastases (RTOG 0933): A Phase II Multi-Institutional Trial

Vinai Gondi, Stephanie L. Pugh, Wolfgang A. Tome, Chip Caine, Ben Corn, Andrew Kanner, Howard Rowley, Vijayananda Kundapur, Albert DeNittis, Jeffrey N. Greenspoon, Andre A. Konski, Glenn S. Bauman, Sunjay Shah, Wenyin Shi, Merideth Wendland, Lisa Kachnic, and Minesh P. Mehta

See accompanying editorial on page 3789

Author affiliations appear at the end of this article.

Published online ahead of print at www.jco.org on October 27, 2014.

Supported by Radiation Therapy Oncology Group Grant No. U10 CA21661 and Community Clinical Oncology Program Grant No. U10 CA37422.

Presented in abstract form at the 55th Annual Meeting of the American Society of Radiation Oncology, Atlanta, GA, September 22-25, 2013, 15th World Conference on Lung Cancer for the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer, Sydney, Australia, October 27-31, 2013, and 18th Annual Meeting of the Society for Neuro-Oncology, San Francisco, CA, November 21-24, 2013.

Terms in [blue](#) are defined in the glossary, found at the end of this article and online at www.jco.org.

The contents of this article are the sole responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the National Cancer Institute.

Clinical trial information: NCT01227954.

Authors' disclosures of potential conflicts of interest are found in the article online at www.jco.org. Author contributions are found at the end of this article.

Corresponding author: Vinai Gondi, MD, 4405 Weaver Parkway, Warrenville, IL 60555; e-mail: vgondi@chicagocancer.org.

© 2014 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

0732-183X/14/3234w-3810w/\$20.00

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2014.57.2909

ABSTRACT

Purpose

Hippocampal neural stem-cell injury during whole-brain radiotherapy (WBRT) may play a role in memory decline. Intensity-modulated radiotherapy can be used to avoid conformally the hippocampal neural stem-cell compartment during WBRT (HA-WBRT). RTOG 0933 was a single-arm phase II study of HA-WBRT for brain metastases with prespecified comparison with a historical control of patients treated with WBRT without hippocampal avoidance.

Patients and Methods

Eligible adult patients with brain metastases received HA-WBRT to 30 Gy in 10 fractions. Standardized cognitive function and quality-of-life (QOL) assessments were performed at baseline and 2, 4, and 6 months. The primary end point was the Hopkins Verbal Learning Test–Revised Delayed Recall (HVLT-R DR) at 4 months. The historical control demonstrated a 30% mean relative decline in HVLT-R DR from baseline to 4 months. To detect a mean relative decline $\leq 15\%$ in HVLT-R DR after HA-WBRT, 51 analyzable patients were required to ensure 80% statistical power with $\alpha = 0.05$.

Results

Of 113 patients accrued from March 2011 through November 2012, 42 patients were analyzable at 4 months. Mean relative decline in HVLT-R DR from baseline to 4 months was 7.0% (95% CI, -4.7% to 18.7%), significantly lower in comparison with the historical control ($P < .001$). No decline in QOL scores was observed. Two grade 3 toxicities and no grade 4 to 5 toxicities were reported. Median survival was 6.8 months.

Conclusion

Conformal avoidance of the hippocampus during WBRT is associated with preservation of memory and QOL as compared with historical series.

J Clin Oncol 32:3810-3816. © 2014 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

INTRODUCTION

Formation of new memory has been associated with a lifelong mitotically active and radiosensitive compartment of neural stem cells located in the subgranular zone of the hippocampal dentate gyrus.¹ Injury to this neural stem-cell compartment has been hypothesized to be central to the pathogenesis of radiation-induced early cognitive decline.² Pre-clinical studies have demonstrated that relatively modest doses of radiation cause an early and significant decline in neurogenesis in the subgranular zone and that this loss in neurogenic capacity is

associated with suppression of new memory formation and impaired recall.³ In addition, recent clinical studies have observed a dose-response relationship between radiation dose received by the hippocampus and risk of postradiotherapy decline in list-learning delayed recall.⁴

Evidence-based guidelines developed by multiple professional societies have established a role for whole-brain radiotherapy (WBRT) in the setting of multiple brain metastases.^{5,6} However, WBRT has been associated with 4- and 6-month decline in recall and delayed recall, assessed using the Hopkins Verbal Learning Test–Revised (HVLT-R),⁷ in

addition to decline in patient-reported quality of life (QOL).⁸ Similar decline in HVLRT-R and QOL has also been observed after prophylactic cranial irradiation for lung cancer.^{9,10} To prevent these adverse early cognitive effects of therapeutic or prophylactic cranial irradiation, modern **intensity-modulated radiotherapy** (IMRT) techniques have been developed to avoid conformally the hippocampal neural stem-cell niche during WBRT, often referred to as hippocampal-avoidance WBRT (HA-WBRT).^{11,12} The principle of HA-WBRT centers around preservation of the radiosensitive, memory-specific neural stem compartment, rather than any anatomic and/or physiologic components of the hippocampus. HA-WBRT techniques have demonstrated the ability to reduce mean dose to this neural stem-cell compartment by at least 80%, while providing acceptable coverage and dose homogeneity to the remaining whole-brain parenchyma.¹¹

On the basis of these feasibility analyses, an international multi-institution single-arm phase II trial of HA-WBRT for brain metastases was conducted through the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG; RTOG 0933). HVLRT-R delayed recall (HVLRT-R DR) was the primary end point, with a prespecified statistical comparison of HVLRT-R DR outcomes with a historical control of patients treated with WBRT without hippocampal avoidance from a published phase III trial (PCI-P-120-9801).¹³

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study Design and Patients

Patients with brain metastases outside a 5-mm margin around either hippocampus, pathologically proven diagnosis of nonhematopoietic malignancy other than small-cell lung cancer or germ cell malignancy, RTOG recursive partitioning analysis class I or II, and English proficiency were factors for inclusion. Patients age < 18 years and those with leptomeningeal metastases, radiographic evidence of hydrocephalus, prior radiation to the brain, planned upfront radiosurgery or surgical resection, contraindication to magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), serum creatinine > 1.4 mg/dL ≤ 30 days before study entry, or non-small-cell lung cancer-associated brain metastases with ≥ two organ sites of extracranial metastases were excluded.

All eligibility criteria matched eligibility criteria for the historical control, which comprised patients with brain metastases treated with standard WBRT to 30 Gy in 10 fractions without hippocampal avoidance in the control arm of the PCI-P-120-9801 phase III trial.¹³ Stable systemic disease was initially included as an eligibility criterion but was subsequently removed with the first protocol amendment (December 5, 2011), because it was not included in the historical control trial.

Pretreatment assessments consisted of medical history and physical examination, baseline cognitive assessment, and completion of baseline QOL questionnaires (for patients opting to participate in QOL portion of study). Before enrolling patients, all sites were required to meet specific technologic requirements and provide baseline physics information for the use of IMRT in this study. In addition, all treating physicians and sites were required to successfully complete a dry-run quality assurance test involving fusion of MRI and radiotherapy-planning computed tomography (CT), hippocampal contouring, and development of an IMRT plan for HA-WBRT for a sample patient chosen from a test group of five patients whose MRI and CT imaging were provided electronically. To train on the techniques of hippocampal contouring and IMRT planning for HA-WBRT, multiple didactic workshops were held by the principal investigators of the trial (V.G. and M.P.M.) during RTOG semiannual meetings, and a contouring atlas for hippocampal delineation was made available electronically on the RTOG Web site.¹⁴

All patients provided written informed consent. The study was approved by the National Cancer Institute and by the institutional review boards of the participating centers.

Procedures

For hippocampal contouring and HA-WBRT planning, all patients required a three-dimensional spoiled gradient echo, magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo, or turbo field echo axial MRI scan of the brain with axial slice thickness ≤ 1.5 mm, fused to a radiotherapy-planning head CT scan with axial slice thickness ≤ 2.5 mm. Bilateral hippocampal contours were manually generated on the fused MRI-CT image set and expanded by 5 mm to generate the hippocampal avoidance regions. The clinical target volume was defined as the whole-brain parenchyma, and the planning target volume (PTV) was defined as the clinical target volume excluding the hippocampal avoidance regions. No set-up margin was included in the PTV. IMRT was delivered to a dose of 30 Gy in 10 fractions to cover the PTV while avoiding the hippocampus. This dose-fractionation scheme matched the treatment approach used for the historical control.¹³

Central rapid review of hippocampal contours and HA-WBRT planning was conducted in real time before initiation of treatment. Per protocol, dose to 100% of the hippocampus could not exceed 9 Gy, and maximal hippocampal dose could not exceed 16 Gy; dose to 100% of the hippocampus exceeding 10 Gy and maximal hippocampal dose exceeding 17 Gy were considered unacceptable deviations and required re-planning before treatment initiation. Treating physicians who enrolled three consecutive patients without unacceptable contouring or planning deviations were permitted to enroll additional patients without pretreatment central review. However, all of these treatment plans were reviewed post-treatment.

End Points

Standardized cognitive assessments and health-related QOL were completed at baseline and at 2-, 4-, and 6-month follow-up. Cognitive assessments included HVLRT-R, which incorporates six different versions, helping to mitigate practice effects of repeated administrations. Each version includes 12 nouns (targets) with four words drawn from three semantic categories, which differ across the six versions. The test involves memorizing a list of 12 targets for three consecutive trials (total recall or HVLRT-R TR), identifying the 12 targets from a list of semantically related or unrelated items (immediate recognition or HVLRT-R IR), and recalling the 12 targets after a 20-minute delay (delayed recall or HVLRT-R DR). The timing of HVLRT-R IR immediately after HVLRT-R TR, as opposed to after HVLRT-R DR, represents a departure from HVLRT-R used in more contemporary studies, but it was in keeping with the method of administration for the control cohort.¹³ This approach has been used in prior phase III cooperative group studies.^{9,15}

QOL questionnaires included the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy–Brain subscale (FACT-BR) and the Barthel Index of Activities of Daily Living (ADLs). The FACT-BR is a multidimensional, self-reported QOL instrument specifically designed and validated for use in patients with brain malignancies. It measures QOL related to symptoms or problems across five scales: physical well being (seven items), social/family well being (seven items), emotional well being (six items), functional well being (seven items), and concerns relevant to patients with brain tumors (23 items). Scores on the FACT-BR range from 0 to 92, with lower scores indicating worse QOL. The Barthel Index of ADLs is a self-reported 10-item instrument designed to assess a patient's ability to carry out ADLs as reported by the patient or his or her family or caregiver. The Barthel Index score ranges from 0 to 20, with lower scores indicating worse functional status. Patients underwent MRI assessments at 2, 4, and 6 months from the start of HA-WBRT and then quarterly until death.

Statistical Analysis

Raw scores were derived from the cognitive assessments and QOL questionnaires. Each patient served as her or his own control, and the relative decline in HVLRT-R score from baseline to prespecified post-treatment intervals was defined as follows: $\Delta\text{HVLRT}_i = (\text{HVLRT}_B - \text{HVLRT}_P) \div \text{HVLRT}_B$, where

B = baseline and F = follow-up. A positive change indicated a decline in function.

The primary end point was decline in HVLTR-DR score from baseline to 4 months after the start of HA-WBRT. Data from the WBRT-alone arm ($n = 85$) of the PCI-P-120-9801 phase III trial demonstrated 30% mean relative decline in HVLTR-DR score from baseline to 4 months, with a standard deviation of 41%. To detect a minimum relative 50% improvement in this end point, leading to an absolute $\leq 15\%$ mean relative decline in HVLTR-DR after HA-WBRT, 51 analyzable patients were required to ensure 80% statistical power with $\alpha = 0.05$. Assuming a death rate of 40% before 4 months (based on PCI-P-120-9801 trial) and a 10% nonevaluable rate, the target sample size was 102 registered patients.

Comparison of HVLTR-DR results between this trial and the historical control was tested using the one-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test with significance level of .05. Using a version of the reliable change index,^{16,17} significant deterioration was defined as a drop from baseline of at least 5 points for HVLTR-TR, 2 points for HVLTR-IR, and 3 points for HVLTR-DR.¹⁸ The generalized linear mixed-effects model with significance level of .05 was used to evaluate change in HVLTR and QOL scores over time, as well as potential univariable associations of age (≥ 60 v < 60 years), baseline neurologic function status (no symptoms v at least minor symptoms), recursive partitioning analysis class (I v II), primary disease site (lung v other), maximum hippocampal dose, and dose delivered to 100% (D100%) of the hippocampus on the primary end point HVLTR-DR. These models assumed an unstructured covariance structure and a missing-at-random missing data mechanism and used maximum-likelihood estimation of parameters. The Kaplan-Meier estimator was used to determine median time to radiographic progression and median time to death. Alive patients were censored at their last follow-up date.

RESULTS

From March 31, 2011, to November 7, 2012, a total of 113 patients were accrued, 13 of whom were excluded from the analysis: seven received no protocol treatment, and six were ineligible (five did not meet eligibility criteria, and one did not complete baseline evaluation form). Table 1 lists the characteristics of the 100 analyzable patients.

Two treatment-related grade 3 adverse events (fatigue and headache) were reported, and no treatment-related grade 4 to 5 adverse events were observed (Appendix Table A1, online only). Grade 1 to 2 treatment-related adverse events occurred in 42 patients, with fatigue (25 events) and alopecia (22 events) being the most common.

Compliance with HVLTR, FACT-BR, and ADL assessments was $> 70\%$ among surviving patients at 2 and 4 months and $> 50\%$ among surviving patients at 6 months for all assessments (Appendix Table A2, online only). For the primary end point of HVLTR-DR decline at 4 months, 42 patients were analyzable, 17 patients were alive but not analyzable primarily because of noncompliance with HVLTR testing, and 41 patients had died.

Table 2 lists mean relative decline and probability of deterioration in HVLTR from baseline to each time point. Mean relative decline in HVLTR-DR from baseline to 4 months was 7.0% (95% CI, -4.7% to 18.7%), which was significantly lower in comparison with the 30% mean relative HVLTR-DR decline observed in the historical control ($P < .001$). In addition, the probability of HVLTR-TR deterioration, assessed using the reliable change index, was 19.0% at 4 months.

For the 50 patients who were alive at 6 months, including patients with missing HVLTR data, Figure 1 shows HVLTR scores over time. For the 46 patients who died by 6 months, Figure 2 shows HVLTR scores over time. No follow-up information was available for four patients. On generalized linear mixed-effects modeling accounting for missing data from patients who had died by 6 months, HVLTR-DR

Table 1. Patient Demographic and Clinical Characteristics

Characteristic	HA-WBRT (n = 100)		WBRT Historical Control (n = 208)*	
	No.	%	No.	%
Age, years				
Median		61		58
Interquartile range		54-68		51-66
Range		28-83		NA
Race				NA
American Indian or Alaskan Native	2	2.0		
Asian	3	3.0		
Black or African American	13	13.0		
White	79	79.0		
Mixed race	1	1.0		
Unknown	2	2.0		
Ethnic origin				NA
Hispanic or Latino	4	4.0		
Not Hispanic or Latino	94	94.0		
Unknown	2	2.0		
Karnofsky performance score				
70	19	19.0	43	20.7
80	17	17.0	55	26.4
90	39	39.0	77	37.0
100	25	25.0	33	15.9
Primary tumor site				
Lung	56	56.0	128	61.5
Breast	15	15.0	42	20.2
Other	29	29.0	38	18.3
RPA class				
I	24	24.0	38	18.3
II	76	76.0	170	81.7
Neurologic function status				NA
No symptoms	51	51.0		
Minor symptoms	33	33.0		
Moderate symptoms				
Fully active	11	11.0		
Less than fully active	5	5.0		

Abbreviations: HA-WBRT, hippocampal-avoidance whole-brain radiotherapy; NA, not available; RPA, recursive partitioning analysis; WBRT, whole-brain radiotherapy.

*From Mehta et al.¹³

significantly declined over time ($P = .0083$). HVLTR-TR ($P = .180$) and HVLTR-IR ($P = .499$) remained relatively stable. Age ≥ 60 years ($P < .001$), presence of at least minor neurologic symptoms at baseline ($P = .0033$), and higher hippocampal D100% ($P = .0037$) predicted greater decline over time in HVLTR-DR.

Figure 3 shows FACT-BR and ADL scores at baseline and 2, 4, and 6 months after HA-WBRT. FACT-BR emotional well being improved over time ($P = .042$), whereas other FACT-BR and ADL scores remained stable.

Median survival was 6.8 months (95% CI, 4.8 to 10.9 months; Fig 4); 62% of patients died as a result of their primary disease, whereas 7.3% of patients died as a result of their brain metastases. Median progression-free survival was 5.9 months (95% CI, 4.7 to 8.4 months). Of the 67 patients who developed intracranial progression, three (4.5%) experienced progression in the hippocampal avoidance area.

Table 2. Decline in HVLTR After HA-WBRT

Time from Baseline (months)	No. of Patients	Mean Relative Decline From Baseline (%)*	95% CI (%)*	Probability of Deterioration (%)†
Total recall				
2	52	13.1	19.5 to 6.7	30.8
4	42	3.6	10.1 to -2.9	19.0
6	29	3.0	5.9 to -12.0	13.8
Immediate recognition				
2	53	10.7	18.3 to 3.1	35.8
4	42	1.6	6.0 to -2.8	11.9
6	28	0.7	4.4 to -3.1	3.6
Delayed recall				
2	53	14.2	24.5 to 3.9	30.2
4	42	7.0‡	18.7 to -4.7	33.3
6	29	2.0	13.1 to -9.2	17.2

Abbreviations: HA-WBRT, hippocampal-avoidance whole-brain radiotherapy; HVLTR, Hopkins Verbal Learning Test-Revised.

*Relative decline in HVLTR score from baseline to prespecified post-treatment intervals was calculated as follows: $\Delta\text{HVLTR}_i = (\text{HVLTR}_B - \text{HVLTR}_F) \div \text{HVLTR}_B$, where B = baseline and F = follow-up. Positive change indicates decline in function.

†Deterioration in HVLTR from baseline to prespecified post-treatment intervals was assessed using a version of the reliable change index^{14,15} and defined as drop from baseline ≥ 5 points for total recall, ≥ 2 points for immediate recognition, and ≥ 3 points for delayed recall.¹⁶

‡Significant in comparison to the historical control ($P = .0003$).

DISCUSSION

Conformal avoidance of the hippocampal neural stem-cell compartment during WBRT using IMRT for patients with brain metastases is associated with significant memory preservation, as measured by prevention of HVLTR DR decline, compared with a prespecified historical control of patients with brain metastases treated with WBRT without hippocampal avoidance.¹³ In addition, remaining HVLTR domains demonstrated preservation up to 6-month follow-up. As a relative comparison, the MD Anderson Cancer Center phase III trial




Fig 1. Hopkins Verbal Learning Test (HVLTR) scores for 50 patients alive at 6 months.




Fig 2. Hopkins Verbal Learning Test (HVLTR) scores for 46 patients who died by 6 months.

of radiosurgery with or without WBRT for one to three brain metastases used a reliable change index to assess HVLTR TR deterioration at 4 months as its primary end point.⁷ That study observed a 24% rate of 4-month HVLTR TR deterioration after radiosurgery alone and a 52% rate of 4-month HVLTR TR deterioration after radiosurgery with WBRT. In RTOG 0933, HA-WBRT was associated with a 19% rate of HVLTR TR deterioration at 4 months (as measured using the same reliable change index), comparing favorably with the MD Anderson series. Although prior studies have suggested a possible relationship between hippocampal irradiation and subsequent memory decline,⁴ this study provides the first direct clinical evidence, to our knowledge, that the hippocampal neural stem-cell niche is central to the pathophysiology of radiotherapy-induced acute and subacute memory decline.

In addition to prevention of memory loss, HA-WBRT is associated with preservation of QOL, assessed using FACT-BR and Barthel Index of ADLs. Li et al¹⁹ previously demonstrated a correlation between FACT-BR and ADL scores and HVLTR-R outcomes, with decline in HVLTR-R, and especially HVLTR-R DR, predicting subsequent FACT-BR and ADL score decline. Thus, prevention of HVLTR-R decline may represent one potential mechanism for the QOL preservation observed after HA-WBRT.

The effect of older age on declining HVLTR TR and HVLTR-R DR scores after HA-WBRT seems consistent with preclinical studies showing an age-dependent inflammatory response of the hippocampal dentate gyrus to WBRT.²⁰ This finding suggests that patients age > 60 years may be a high-risk group of patients for whom neuroprotective interventions beyond HA-WBRT may be required. In addition, higher hippocampal D100% predicted for greater HVLTR-R DR decline, suggesting that further lowering the dose to the entire hippocampal neural stem-cell compartment may affect list-learning recall outcomes. Evaluation of this potential dose-response relationship in terms of other dosimetric metrics (eg, dose to 40% of hippocampus⁴) and by subsegmentation of the hippocampal dentate gyrus, as well as radiographic and clinical evaluation of long-term survivors, is currently under investigation.




Fig 3. Quality of life assessed using (A) Barthel's Index of Activities of Daily Living (ADLs) and (B) Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy–Brain subscale (FACT-BR).

Conformal avoidance of the hippocampus poses the risk of attenuating the benefit of WBRT because of the emergence of new brain metastases within the hippocampal avoidance region. Prior estimates have approximated this risk at 8.6% (95% CI, 5.7% to 11.5%).²¹ However, these prior estimates were based on calculating the occurrence of brain metastases in the hippocampal avoidance region in patients presenting with brain metastases, which seems to have resulted in overestimation of the actual risk, because only three patients (4.5%) experienced progression in the hippocampal avoidance area in this phase II HA-WBRT study. Given the absence of any treatment-related grade 4 to 5 adverse events and only two treatment-related grade 3 events, the summation of these data suggests that HA-WBRT can be safely delivered to patients with brain metastases.

These promising results warrant further validation within the phase III setting, in part because of the expected limitations of such a single-arm phase II study. For instance, the median survival estimate (6.8 months) of this study exceeded the median survival estimates of the prespecified historical WBRT control (4.9

months)¹³ and the radiosurgery-plus-WBRT arm of the MD Anderson series (5.7 months)⁷ in a nonsignificant manner. As shown in [Figure 2](#), significant HVLt-R decline could be seen at 2 and 4 months in patients who did not survive beyond 6 months. Thus, improved survivorship may in part play a role in the promising HVLt-R and QOL results of this phase II study. An additional limitation is the inability to statistically compare QOL results from RTOG 0933 with QOL results from the prespecified historical control, because these results have not been previously reported and were not accessible to the study team. A third limitation is HVLt-R data compliance, which was improved over prior cooperative group studies^{9,22} but lower than the prespecified historical control.¹³ This is primarily because the industry-sponsored historical control trial supported a team of dedicated clinical research specialists who proactively pursued and encouraged compliance. However, despite this limitation, the number of patients analyzable for the primary end point, although lower than statistically required, was sufficient to detect a benefit relative to the prespecified historical control, potentially because the effect size was larger than expected. Finally, the capacity of hippocampal avoidance to prevent longer-term (ie, beyond 6 months) effects of WBRT, such as white matter imaging changes²³ and/or cognitive effects, could not be assessed given the limited sample size and high patient death rate in this phase II study. However, such an analysis is anticipated in planned phase III studies of hippocampal avoidance.

Building on results of RTOG 0614,²² NRG CC001 is a National Cancer Institute–approved phase III trial that will evaluate the potential combined neuroprotective effects of hippocampal avoidance in addition to prophylactic memantine during WBRT for brain metastases. NRG CC1432 is a National Cancer Institute–approved randomized phase II/III trial of hippocampal avoidance during prophylactic cranial irradiation for small-cell lung cancer. Given the increased cost of hippocampal avoidance, these studies will also perform a comparative-effectiveness analysis by including EQ5D as a secondary patient-reported outcome. These randomized trials of hippocampal avoidance will build on the robust quality assurance infrastructure established for RTOG 0933. This infrastructure included pre-enrollment credentialing of sites and treating physicians on the published HA-WBRT techniques and central




Fig 4. Overall survival. Light blue lines represent upper and lower limits of 95% CI. WBRT-HA, hippocampal-avoidance whole-brain radiotherapy.

review of all treatment plans, with most plans undergoing real-time pretreatment rapid review.

AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Disclosures provided by the authors are available with this article at www.jco.org.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception and design: Vinai Gondy, Wolfgang A. Tome, Chip Caine, Ben Corn, Andrew Kanner, Howard Rowley, Minesh P. Mehta

Financial support: Vinai Gondy, Chip Caine, Lisa Kachnic, Minesh P. Mehta

Provision of study materials or patients: Vijayananda Kundapur, Albert DeNittis, Jeffrey N. Greenspoon, Andre A. Konski, Glenn S. Bauman, Sunjay Shah, Wenying Shi, Merideth Wendland, Lisa Kachnic

Collection and assembly of data: Vinai Gondy, Stephanie L. Pugh, Chip Caine

Data analysis and interpretation: Vinai Gondy, Stephanie L. Pugh, Wolfgang A. Tome, Ben Corn, Andrew Kanner, Howard Rowley, Vijayananda Kundapur, Albert DeNittis, Jeffrey N. Greenspoon, Andre A. Konski, Glenn S. Bauman, Sunjay Shah, Wenying Shi, Merideth Wendland, Lisa Kachnic, Minesh P. Mehta

Manuscript writing: All authors

Final approval of manuscript: All authors

REFERENCES

- Eriksson PS, Perfilieva E, Björk-Eriksson T, et al: Neurogenesis in the adult human hippocampus. *Nat Med* 4:1313-1317, 1998
- Gondy V, Tomé WA, Mehta MP: Why avoid the hippocampus? A comprehensive review. *Radiation Oncol* 97:370-376, 2010
- Monje ML, Mizumatsu S, Fike JR: Irradiation induces neural precursor-cell dysfunction. *Nat Med* 8:955-962, 2002
- Gondy V, Hermann BP, Mehta MP, et al: Hippocampal dosimetry predicts neurocognitive function impairment after fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy for benign or low-grade adult brain tumors. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 85:348-354, 2013
- Tsao MN, Rades D, Wirth A, et al: Radiotherapeutic and surgical management for newly diagnosed brain metastasis(es): An American Society for Radiation Oncology evidence-based guideline. *Pract Radiat Oncol* 2:210-225, 2012
- Gaspar LE, Mehta MP, Patchell RA, et al: The role of whole brain radiation therapy in the management of newly diagnosed brain metastases: A systematic review and evidence-based clinical practice guideline. *J Neurooncol* 96:17-32, 2010
- Chang EL, Wefel JS, Hess KR, et al: Neurocognition in patients with brain metastases treated with radiosurgery or radiosurgery plus whole-brain irradiation: A randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 10:1037-1044, 2009
- Soffietti R, Kocher M, Abacioglu UM, et al: A European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer phase III trial of adjuvant whole-brain radiotherapy versus observation in patients with one to three brain metastases from solid tumors after surgical resection or radiosurgery: Quality-of-life results. *J Clin Oncol* 31:65-72, 2013
- Sun A, Bae K, Gore EM, et al: Phase III trial of prophylactic cranial irradiation compared with observation in patients with locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: Neurocognitive and quality-of-life analysis. *J Clin Oncol* 29:279-286, 2011
- Gondy V, Paulus R, Bruner DW, et al: Decline in tested and self-reported cognitive functioning after prophylactic cranial irradiation for lung cancer: Pooled secondary analysis of Radiation Therapy Oncology Group randomized trials 0212 and 0214. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 86:656-664, 2013
- Gondy V, Tolakanahalli R, Mehta MP, et al: Hippocampal-sparing whole-brain radiotherapy: A "how-to" technique using helical tomotherapy and linear accelerator-based intensity-modulated radiotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 78:1244-1252, 2010
- Hsu F, Carolan H, Nichol A, et al: Whole brain radiotherapy with hippocampal avoidance and simultaneous integrated boost for 1-3 brain metastases: A feasibility study using volumetric modulated arc therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 76:1480-1485, 2010
- Mehta MP, Rodrigus P, Terhaard CH, et al: Survival and neurologic outcomes in a randomized trial of motexafin gadolinium and whole-brain radiation therapy in brain metastases. *J Clin Oncol* 21:2529-2536, 2003
- Gondy V, Tome WA, Rowley H, et al: Hippocampal contouring: A contouring atlas for RTOG 0933. <http://www.rtog.org/CoreLab/ContouringAtlases/HippocampalSparing.aspx>
- Wolfson AH, Bae K, Komaki R, et al: Primary analysis of a phase II randomized trial Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) 0212: Impact of different total doses and schedules of prophylactic cranial irradiation on chronic neurotoxicity and quality of life for patients with limited-disease small-cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 81:77-84, 2011
- Jacobson NS, Truax P: Clinical significance: A statistical approach to defining meaningful change in psychotherapy research. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 59:12-19, 1991
- Chelune GJ, Naugle RI, Luders H, et al: Individual change after epilepsy surgery: Practice effects and base-rate information. *Neuropsychology* 7:41-52, 1993
- Benedict RHB, Schretlen D, Groninger L, et al: Hopkins Verbal Learning Test-Revised: Normative data and analysis of inter-form and test-retest reliability. *Clin Neuropsychol* 12:43-55, 1998
- Li J, Bentzen SM, Li J, et al: Relationship between neurocognitive function and quality of life after whole-brain radiotherapy in patients with brain metastasis. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 71:64-70, 2008
- Schindler MK, Forbes ME, Robbins ME, et al: Aging-dependent changes in the radiation response of the adult rat brain. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 70:826-834, 2008
- Gondy V, Tome WA, Marsh J, et al: Estimated risk of perihippocampal disease progression after hippocampal avoidance during whole-brain radiotherapy: Safety profile for RTOG 0933. *Radiation Oncol* 95:327-331, 2010
- Brown PD, Pugh S, Laack NN, et al: Memantine for the prevention of cognitive dysfunction in patients receiving whole-brain radiotherapy: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Neuro Oncol* 15:1429-1437, 2013
- Monaco EA 3rd, Faraji AH, Berkowitz O, et al: Leukoencephalopathy after whole-brain radiation therapy plus radiosurgery versus radiosurgery alone for metastatic lung cancer. *Cancer* 119:226-232, 2013

Affiliations

Vinai Gondy, Cadence Brain Tumor Center and CDH Proton Center, Warrenville, IL; Vinai Gondy and Howard Rowley, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, WI; Stephanie L. Pugh, Radiation Therapy Oncology Group Statistical Center; Wenying Shi, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Philadelphia; Albert DeNittis, Lankenau Medical Center, Main Line Community Clinical Oncology Program (CCOP), Wynnewood; Andre A. Konski, Chester County Hospital, West Chester; Wolfgang A. Tome, Montefiore Medical Center and Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Yeshiva University, Bronx, NY; Chip Caine, Intermountain Medical Center and University of Phoenix, Salt Lake City, UT; Ben Corn and Andrew Kanner, Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, Tel Aviv, Israel; Vijayananda Kundapur, Saskatoon Cancer Centre, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan; Jeffrey N. Greenspoon, McMaster University-Hamilton, Hamilton; Glenn S. Bauman, London Regional Cancer Program, London, Ontario, Canada; Sunjay Shah, Christiana Care Health Services CCOP, Newark, DE; Merideth Wendland, US Oncology-Willamette Valley Cancer Institute, Eugene, OR; Lisa Kachnic, Boston Medical Center Minority-Based CCOP, Boston, MA; and Minesh P. Mehta, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD.

GLOSSARY TERMS

intensity-modulated radiation therapy: radiation treatment using beams with nonuniform fluence profiles that shape the dose distribution in the target volume and adjacent normal structures. Beam modulation is typically achieved via multileaf collimators or custom-milled compensators to achieve the appropriate fluence profiles calculated by inverse optimization algorithms. The radiation beam is divided into beamlets of varying intensity such that the sum from multiple beams via inverse planning results in improved tumor targeting and normal tissue sparing. A technique of radiation therapy delivery in which the intensity of each beamlet of radiation coming from a specific angle can be adjusted to provide a desired dose distribution when the doses delivered from all beamlets are added from a single angle and from all dose delivery angles. An advanced type of high-precision radiotherapy, which aims to improve the coverage of the radiotherapy target and/or minimize radiation dose to surrounding normal tissue.

Get These JCO.org Tools Working for You

JCO.org provides access to:

- New podcasts with commentary that has immediate application to practice
- ASCO Clinical Guideline Commentaries
- ASCO Annual Meeting Abstracts
- A convenient ASCO Journals app for iPad and iPhone
- Previews of early-release articles weeks before they are published in print
- Archives that date back to **JCO**'s first issue published in 1983

To subscribe or activate your online access, visit jco.org/subscriptions.



AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Preservation of Memory With Conformal Avoidance of the Hippocampal Neural Stem-Cell Compartment During Whole-Brain Radiotherapy for Brain Metastases (RTOG 0933): A Phase II Multi-Institutional Trial

The following represents disclosure information provided by authors of this manuscript. All relationships are considered compensated. Relationships are self-held unless noted. I = Immediate Family Member, Inst = My Institution. For a detailed description of the disclosure categories, or for more information about ASCO's conflict of interest policy, please refer to the Author Disclosure Declaration and the Disclosures of Potential Conflicts of Interest section in Information for Contributors.

Vinai Gondi

No relationship to disclose

Stephanie L. Pugh

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Genentech/Roche

Wolfgang A. Tome

Consulting or Advisory Role: View Ray

Research Funding: Philips Medical System, Accuray

Patents, Royalties, Other Intellectual Property: Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation

Chip Caine

No relationship to disclose

Ben Corn

No relationship to disclose

Andrew Kanner

Research Funding: Novocure (Inst)

Howard Rowley

Honoraria: Bracco Diagnostics

Consulting or Advisory Role: Bracco Diagnostics, Guerbet, Genentech, Eli Lilly, Gore, Lundbeck

Research Funding: GE Healthcare (Inst), Bracco Diagnostics (Inst)

Patents, Royalties, Other Intellectual Property: GE Healthcare

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Bracco Diagnostics, Guerbet

Vijayananda Kundapur

No relationship to disclose

Albert DeNittis

No relationship to disclose

Jeffrey N. Greenspoon

No relationship to disclose

Andre A. Konski

No relationship to disclose

Glenn S. Bauman

No relationship to disclose

Sunjay Shah

No relationship to disclose

Wenyin Shi

Honoraria: Varian Medical Systems

Consulting or Advisory Role: Elekta

Research Funding: Bristol-Myers Squibb, Roche, Millennium Pharmaceuticals

Merideth Wendland

Employment: US Oncology

Lisa Kachnic

Honoraria: Leidos Biomed Research

Patents, Royalties, Other Intellectual Property: UpToDate

Expert Testimony: Bennett, Bigelow & Leedom, Swedish Inst./Health Services Asset Management, Hollingsworth

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Leidos Biomed Research

Minesh P. Mehta

Leadership: Pharmacyclics

Stock or Other Ownership: Accuray

Honoraria: Merck

Consulting or Advisory Role: Abbott Laboratories, Elekta, Genentech, Merck, Novocure

Research Funding: Novocure

Appendix

Table A1. Treatment-Related AEs

AE	Grade (No. of patients)				
	1	2	3	4	5
Ear and labyrinth disorders	5	2	0	0	0
Other	0	2	0	0	0
Ear pain	1	0	0	0	0
External ear inflammation	1	0	0	0	0
Hearing impairment	2	0	0	0	0
Tinnitus	2	0	0	0	0
Vertigo	0	1	0	0	0
Eye disorders	2	0	0	0	0
Blurred vision	1	0	0	0	0
Other	1	0	0	0	0
GI disorders	7	5	0	0	0
Constipation	1	0	0	0	0
Diarrhea	2	0	0	0	0
Dry mouth	1	0	0	0	0
Esophagitis	1	0	0	0	0
Mucositis oral	1	0	0	0	0
Nausea	4	5	0	0	0
Vomiting	1	3	0	0	0
General disorders and administrative site conditions	16	11	1	0	0
Edema face	1	0	0	0	0
Fatigue	14	11	1	0	0
Gait disturbance	4	0	0	0	0
Injury, poisoning, and procedural complications	1	0	0	0	0
Radiation dermatitis	1	0	0	0	0
Investigations	1	1	0	0	0
Weight loss	1	1	0	0	0
Metabolism and nutrition disorders	3	5	0	0	0
Anorexia	3	4	0	0	0
Dehydration	0	1	0	0	0
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders	0	2	0	0	0
Chest wall pain	0	1	0	0	0
Generalized muscle weakness	0	1	0	0	0
Nervous system disorders	21	6	1	0	0
Concentration impairment	2	0	0	0	0
Dizziness	3	1	0	0	0
Dysgeusia	5	1	0	0	0
Dysphasia	1	0	0	0	0
Headache	9	1	1	0	0
Memory impairment	10	1	0	0	0
Other	0	1	0	0	0
Paresthesia	2	0	0	0	0
Peripheral motor neuropathy	1	0	0	0	0
Peripheral sensory neuropathy	1	0	0	0	0
Seizure	2	1	0	0	0
Somnolence	0	2	0	0	0
Tremor	1	0	0	0	0
Psychiatric disorders	1	0	0	0	0
Insomnia	1	0	0	0	0
Respiratory, thoracic, and mediastinal disorders	1	1	0	0	0
Dyspnea	0	1	0	0	0
Sore throat	1	0	0	0	0
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders	12	10	0	0	0
Alopecia	12	10	0	0	0
Dry skin	1	0	0	0	0
Pruritus	1	0	0	0	0
Skin hyperpigmentation	1	0	0	0	0
Vascular disorders	1	0	0	0	0
Hypotension	1	0	0	0	0

NOTE. Includes AEs where relationship to protocol treatment is missing. AEs graded using Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (version 4). Abbreviation: AE, adverse event.

Prevention of Memory Decline With Hippocampal Avoidance During WBRT

Table A2. Compliance With Neurocognitive and QOL Assessments at 2, 4, and 6 Months From Baseline

Status	HVLt-R (n = 100; %)			FACT-BR (n = 87; %)			ADLs (n = 87; %)		
	2	4	6	2	4	6	2	4	6
Dead	24	41	46	23	41	47	23	41	47
Alive	76	59	54	77	59	53	77	59	53
Completed	70	71	54	71	73	55	73	75	52
Consent withdrawal	5	9	11	5	6	9	4	6	9
Not tested	4	8	18	3	6	19	2	7	17
Not received	21	12	17	21	15	17	21	12	22

Abbreviations: ADL, activity of daily living; FACT-BR, Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy–Brain subscale; HVLt-R, Hopkins Verbal Learning Test–Revised; QOL, quality of life.