

*Proof.* (Proof of Lemma 4.1)

Proof of existence

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider the set

$$B = \{kb \mid k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}\}.$$

Then  $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . Also  $0 \in B$  so  $\emptyset \neq B$ . Moreover, there exists  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $qb \in B$  and  $a < qb$  since  $a < (a+1)b \in B$ . Let

$$C = \{q \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a < qb\}.$$

Then  $a+1 \in C$ . Since  $C \neq \emptyset$  and  $C \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  it follows that  $C$  has a least element, say  $q_0 + 1$  by the Well-ordering principle. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} q_0 b \leq a < (q_0 + 1)b &\Rightarrow q_0 b \leq a < q_0 b + b \\ &\Rightarrow 0 \leq a - q_0 b < b. \end{aligned}$$

By letting  $r = a - q_0 b$  we get that  $a = q_0 b + r$  and  $0 \leq r < b$  as desired.

Proof of Uniqueness

Assume  $a = q_1 b + r_1$  and  $a = q_2 b + r_2$  where  $0 \leq r_1, r_2 < b$ . Then  $q_1 b + r_1 = q_2 b + r_2$  which implies  $(q_1 - q_2)b = r_2 - r_1$ . Since  $r_2 - r_1$  is a multiple of  $b$  and  $r_2 - r_1 < b$  it must be the case that  $r_2 - r_1 = 0$  which implies  $q_1 - q_2 = 0$ . Therefore  $r_1 = r_2$  and  $q_1 = q_2$ .

□

Lemma 4.1 can be extended to all integers as in Problem 4.4. In both cases, a common mistake made when finding  $q$  and  $r$  is to pick  $r$  to be negative. This happens exactly when the  $q$  chosen is too large. If the  $q$  chosen is too small then the  $r$  will be too large.