# **RESEARCH**

# Dual host-parasite transcriptomes of apicomplexan Eimeria falciformis and its natural mouse host

Totta Kasemo<sup>1</sup>, Simone Spork<sup>1</sup>, Christoph Dieterich<sup>2</sup>, Richard Lucius<sup>1</sup> and Emanuel Heitlinger<sup>1,3\*</sup>

**Abstract** 

**First part title:** Text for this section. **Second part title:** Text for this section.

Keywords: Parasite, apicomplexa, RNA-seq, transcriptome, life-cycle, interaction

\*Correspondence: emanuel.heitlinger@hu-berlin.de <sup>1</sup> Institute of Biology, Humboldt-Universitat zu Berlin, Philippstr. 13, Haus 14, 10115 Berlin, Germany Full list of author information is

available at the end of the article

# Introduction

Text and results for this section, as per the individual journal's instructions for authors.

## Results

# A dual transcriptomics experiment

We performed mRNA sequencing of caecum tissue from mice infected with the apicomplexan parasite  $E.\ falciformis$ . We worked with two biological replicates for all but two conditions (with one and three replicates, respecitively). For each replicate sample we enriched caecum tissue from three infected mice for epithelial cells. We extracted mRNA and constructed sequencing libraries for different timepoints after infection and mouse strains which displayed different immunocompetence in infection trials (see figure x).

In these experiments we analysed RAG-1-/- mice and compared them to the parental C57BL6 mouse line. RAG knockout mich lack adaptive immune response du to the absence of mature B and T lymphocytes. Differences in oocyst output were more pronounced between NMRI and both C57BL6 and RAG-1-/-. Surprisingly this finding was made both in primary and challenge infection. Similarly development of intestinal stages as assayed by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT qPCR) also ...

This lead us to question the influence of the innate or cell autonomouse immune system on early stages of infection. And we thus settled on an experimental design comparing infections at 5dpi for all

For the parasite oocysts and sporozoites were included as "environmental" and "in vitro" processed lifecycle stages.

The number of sequence read mappings obtained for individual replicates ranged from 21,517,055 (sample NMRI\_sporozoites\_rep2) to 593,396,312 (NMRI\_2ndInf\_5dpi\_rep1).

Kasemo et al. Page 2 of 12

As samples and idividual replicates were sequenced in batches to different depth and using different instrumentation (Table 1) we performed quality controls confirming the abscence of batch effects influencing analysis and quality of our results (additional file xyc).

The results of these multivariate analyses in relation to biological interpretation are described further below.

# Parasite and host transcriptomes can be assessed in parallel

A maximum of 86% (sample NMRI\_1stInf\_7dpi\_rep1) and a minimum of 0.0326% (sample NMRI\_2ndInf\_3dpi\_rep2) of mapped reads could be assigned to the E. falciformis genome in samples considered infected.

We excluded samples NMRI\_2nd\_3dpi\_rep1 and NMRI\_2nd\_5dpi\_rep2 due to an exptremely low parasite contribution to the overall transcriptome. Technically this exclusion made it possible to obtain read counts in agreement with a negative bionmial distributions (see adddtional file x). Judging from their mRNA output those samples can be considered non-infected, as both samples occured in a challenge infection we assume that the infection was cleard or reduced to a non-detectable output in those animmals.

One sample (NMRI\_1stInf\_0dpi\_rep1) was additionally excluded because the uninfected control showed an unexpected mapping of reads to the *E. falciformis* genome. We consider this together with the unsuccessfully infected samples above to display an undecided state of infection.

On the other end of the spectrum mice not previously immunized featured an mRNA "holo-transcriptome" at 7dpi with the majority of mRNA originating from the parasite as opposed to the host (Figure 1). The overall mRNA output of the sampled caecum tissue at this point of infection is dominated by the parasite with proportional mRNA abundance between XXX and XXX

The total number of sequencing reads obtained as well as those reads mapped to the transcriptome of either  $E.\ falciformis$  and the mouse are indicated in Table 1 for all replicates.

# The mouse transcriptome changes in the course of infection infection

Statistical testing for differential expression between infected and uninfected mice, revealed changes in mRNA abundance becoming more pronounced (involving more genes) at later post infection (especially at 7dpi; Table 1).

The observed changes between controls and 7dpi were in agreement with previously published microarry data. Fold-Change data obtained from mice at 6dpi on Agilent microarrays (Schmidt et al 2012) or 7dpi (our RNAseq data) agains uninfected controls shows a strong correlateion (Spearman's  $\rho=333; R^2$  from a linear model = 444; figure 2 a). Considering both and technical differences between the tow methods and the biological changes along the lifecycle this confirms the adequacy of our methods for assessing the host transcriptome.

XX mouse genes were considered differently expressed (DE;  $FDR_i1\%$ ) between uinfected and 3dpi, XX genes between controls and 5dpi, xxx genes between 0dpi and 7dpi. This lead to combined set of xxxx genes reacting to infection (see figure 2 b).

Kasemo et al. Page 3 of 12

We detected no distinct response early in infection. When testing for differences between 3dpi and later infection (5dpi and 7dpi) DE genes were simply a subset of genes DE between respective infections and controls.

To further validate these patterns we performed hierarchical clustering on mouse mRNAs DE at different dpi (union of DE genes).

The more ponounced changes later in infection are is likely reflecting a lag time in detection before the parasite reaches sufficient intensity during asexual expansion (schizogony). Those changes are mainly related to the onset of an adaptive immune response.

# The lifecycle of Eimeria is characterized by major changes in mRNA abundance

Table 2 lists pairwise sample comparisons and number of genes with differently abundant mRNAs per comparison."NMRI" followed by number indicates day post infection (e.g. NMRI 3 = NMRI mouse on day 3 p.i.). Genes with Benjamini-Hochberg corrected p-values  $\leq 0.01$  as implemented in edgeR are included. NAs are missing samples or not applicable for the species. NA\* is due to missing NMRI day 0 sample from first infection. For E falciformis no mRNAs are significantly differently abundant between first and second infection, whereas in mouse there are some differences, especially on day 7 p.i. However, upon hierarchical clustering of these genes (union of 500 with lowest FDR), samples do not cluster according to first/second infection (Figure SX ?). In comparisons between mouse strains, 22 genes are detected to be different in the parasite data. The difference is however not between Rag1-/- mice as expected, but between NMRI and C57BL/6. For mouse....

We applied hierarchical clustering on *E. falciformis* mRNAs which were differently abundant at different timepoints p.i., including comparisons with oocysts and sporozoites (union of 500 with lowest FDR). Samples from day 7 p.i. form a separate cluster (NMRI mice only), not distinguishing between first and challenge infection. For these samples, the pattern is most pronounced in gene cluster 1 (up), and 4 and 6 (down). Within cluster 1, the second replicate from the challenge infection has a deviant profile (compare mouse data in Figure 2). Distinct groups of genes also define sporozoites (cluster 5 up) and oocysts (cluster 3 up, cluster 6 down). mRNA profiles on days 3 and 5 p.i. from all three mouse strains cluster together. These samples are distinct from oocysts, NMRI day 7 p.i., and sporozoites. Sporozoites cluster closer with days 3 and 5 than with oocysts or day 7.

#### GO term enrichments in heatmap clusters

The annotations referred to here are inferred from orthologs in other Eimeria spp. or in  $T.\ gondii$ 

# Data overview

In total, xx reads were sequenced. For each sample, mouse read numbers were  $10^7$  -  $10^8$  Quality filters applied resulted in xx reads being removed from further analysis

Kasemo et al. Page 4 of 12

#### Preparation for invasion in oocysts

The mRNA profile in the oocyst stage is mainly determined by highly abundant genes in cluster 4. Overrepresented GO-terms in this cluster are enriched by ortholog genes to peptidases, microneme localized proteins reported to be involved in invasion, genes associated with adhesion in protozoans (and with clotting in higher eukaryotes), and genes that are annotated to be involved in amino acid biosynthesis. Aminopeptidase N ('related' annotation) is the reported ortholog for three genes with abundant mRNAs in oocysts. In humans, this enzyme has been reported to cleave peptides bound to major histocompatibility complex, MHC, II (UniProt reference if we want to keep this... but does any secretion happen from oocysts...? Or is this too far-fetched to be interesting?).

A Thrombospondin type 1 domain-containing protein ortholog is highly abundant in cluster 4 (high abundance in oocysts). Thrombospondin type 1 domains have been reported in E. tenella microneme localizing proteins, MIC, e.g. MIC4 (Tomley01) In E. tenella MIC4 mRNA was reported in sporozoites where it localizes to the apical end, and in late schizonts and late oocyst stages, when sporozoites are forming. (Tomley01). For the same gene, the T. gondii annotation is Sushi domain-containing protein, which is also the ortholog annotation of another gene in this cluster. In the related malaria parasite P. falciparum the apical sushi protein, ASP, (which has a sushi domain) localizes to micronemes in merozoites but not other stages (OKeeffe05). Limulus clotting factor C, Coch-5b2 (Cochlin) and Lgl1, LCCL, (syn. F5/8 domain) domains are associated discoidin lectin domains and thereby with adhesion. (Pfam entries for 'LCCL domain' and 'Discoidin domain', May 2016). Taken together, this indicates that the thrombospondin and sushi-domain genes (EfaB\_MINUS\_4114.g412 and EfaB\_PLUS\_1425.g183) are involved in sporozoite invasion in E. falciformis and that the mRNAs are transcribed and available before exceptation. A role in merozoite re-invasion in E. falciformis is not indicated by our data. The LCCL domain annotation and thrombospondins role in higher eukaryotes also indicates that adhesion or preparation for adhesion is important in oocysts. We suggest (speculate...?) that the thrombospondin annotated ortholog and the LCCL domain-containing protein (EfaB\_MINUS\_11233.g986) are involved in cell adhesion in E. falciformis.

#### Amino acid biosynthesis in oocysts

High abundance of aminotransferase mRNAs indicate amino acid biosynthesis or preparation for the same in oocysts (cluster 4). We identify D-3-phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase and alanine dehydrogenase orthologs, which are enzymes contributing to L-serine and L-alanine production, respectively. A putative *Eimeria* spp. cystathionine beta-synthase, CBS, in this cluster also indicates de novo cysteine production. Alkyl sulfatase mRNA is also abundant in oocysts. Generally, this enzyme enables an organism to exploit organic sulfur to produce and incorporate inorganic sulfur into the amino acids cysteine and methionine, when no inorganoc sulfur is available.

'Embryonic development' Nicalin 1, patched family protein (hedgehog)

Kasemo et al. Page 5 of 12

Oocysts contain mRNA for fatty acid catabolism

MmgE/PrpD is overrepresented in oocysts. The enzyme is important for propionate catabolism in the 2-methylcitric acid cycle and has been shown to be used by the intestinal intracellular bacterium Salmonella typhimurium to generate pyruvate (Horswill99). Propionate is one of two most abundant small-chain fatty acids in the gut along with butyrate. Both fatty acids are largely produced as degradation products from food by commensal bacteria (Sun13). Sharing the intestines as a niche with S. typhimurium it is possible that also E. falciformis uses Mmg/PrpD to exploit available propionate for pyruvate production.

#### Oocyst highly abundant mRNAs are downregulated in sporozoites

Interestingly, the genes described above which are thought to be involved in amino acid biosynthesis and invasion are highly abundant in oocysts but are underrepresented in schizont stages (day 3 and day 5 samples) and even in sporozoites. An average abundance was detected on day 7 for these genes, indicating a role in either gametes or early oocyst formation. This pattern supports the suggestion that these specific mRNAs (cluster 4) for invasion and biosynthetic processes are prepared (and possibly expressed) in the oocyst stage but are no longer detectable in the cell at the timepont when the protein is assumed to be in use (sporozoites and merozoite stages). Therefore, correlating mRNA prevalence with biological function at the timepoint when mRNAs are detected must be done with care.

Down in sporozoites and oocysts -ż cluster 3......

Oocysts: profile for 3, 5, 6: Sporozoites: 3 and 5

Day 7: 5 and 7

Specific genes.... Enolase 2, encoded by Eno2, is among the downregulated genes in oocysts and sporozoites. In  $T.\ gondii$  the paralog Eno1 is strongly associated with the cyst (bradyzoite) stage and Eno2 is associated with tachyzoite stages (kibe05). It is therefore expected that this mRNA is underrepresented in oocysts and our data also show that the same is true in sporozoites for  $E.\ falciformis$ . (TK: If important we could look specifically for Eno1 in cluster 4).

Down in sporozoites and oocysts -¿ cluster 3......

Motility-related mRNAs indicate gamete development on day 7

Two clusters contain genes with mRNAs highly abundant on day 7 p.i; cluster 1 and 2. Dynein, kinesin and tubulin are annotations highly represented among orthologs of genes in both these clusters. The annotations indicate an important role for motility at this timepoint, probably reflecting development of microgametes. In addition, in cluster 2, there are two 'EF-hand domain containing proteins' annotations as well as caltractin, centrin-1, and troponin annotations. Caltractin and centrin-1 are associated with the centrosome and structure and function of microtubuli in mammals, and troponin is linked to muscle function (UniProt). Also potentially linked to motility is the occurrence of growth arrest specific protein 8, Gas8, which in the mouse has been reported to be highly expressed in the testes and important for mouse sperm function (Yeh02).

Kasemo et al. Page 6 of 12

Other genes among the 38 indicate carbon fixation (glycolysis/gluconeogenesis) or conversions of nucleoside phosphates. In addition, a Ras family protein, RNA polymerase II transcription initiation factor and Sec23 and Sec24 were among orthologs identified in *E. falciformis* cluster 2.

In cluster 1, carbon metabolism genes are represented by 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase and glycogen phosphorylase family protein 1. UDP-glucose 4-epimerase and amiloride-sensitive amine oxidase are reported as upregulated in gametocytes in  $E.\ tenella$  by RNA-seq (Walker15) and suggested by those authors to play a role in cyst wall synthesis.

#### Microneme proteins highly expressed on day 7 p.i.

Unintuitively for a protozoan organism, seven out of eight GO biological process terms in cluster 1 are associated with wound healing and blood coagulation. An explanation is offered by some of the orthologs to the three E. falciformis genes responsible for these terms. In protozoa, e.g., other Eimeria spp. and Toxoplasma gondii orthologs are annotated as 'Micronemal protein MIC4, related' (E. tenella) and more generally for several other protozoa, 'PAN domain containing proteins'. The PAN domain is found in the plasminogen/hepatocyte growth factor family and in coagulation factor XI family (REF), explaining why terms related to blood coagulation are enriched by these genes. Later publications on T. gondii (Marchant12) also associate PAN domains and proteins in apicomplexan parasites with micronemes and therefore invasion. In our case, this is peculiar, since the enrichment appears on day 7 p.i.. A possible role at this timepoint is suggested by work on the fungi Sclerotinia sclerotiorum where Yu et al. reported an important role for PAN domain proteins in cell wall integrity (Yu12). This role for MIC proteins has to our knowledge not been investigated in apicomplexan parasites. The PAN domain domain has also been reported to be common in nematodes such as Caenorhabditis elegans, however the function is not understood. (Thordai99) The other two GO terms in the cluster of day seven upregulated genes are DNA replication and DNA replication initiation, which most likely reflects late stage schizogony or gamete formation. Six genes contribute to this enrichment and orthologs are either annotated as DNA replcation licencing factors, DNA polymerases or minichromosome maintenance proteins 2/3/5/7, Mcm2/3/5/7.

#### Gene and sample patterns by hierarchical clustering

Samples (columns) cluster into two major clusters where day 7 p.i. samples form one group distinct from other samples. In the second group, occsts and sporozoites are distinct and sporozoites cluster most closely with day 3 and 5 p.i. samples. Day 3 and 5 p.i. samples also cluster into two groups, of which one contains all NMRI day 5 p.i. samples. Apart from this, the two day 3 and 5 p.i. sample clusters have no obvious patters.

For gene clusters (rows), the two groups with high mRNA abundance on day 7 p.i. (cluster 1 and 2) do not cluster most closely with each other, but with the cluster for high mRNA abundance in oocysts (cluster 1 association) and with the cluster for high mRNA abundance in sporozoites (cluster 2 association).

Kasemo et al. Page 7 of 12

#### Discussion

In our analysis we demonstrate which biological processes are dominant in different life cycle stages of *E. falciformis* in the mouse. The RNAseq transcriptome provided here allows for detailed analysis of genes involved in those processes, providing candidates for life stage specific marker in *Eimeria* spp. research.

. . . . . . . . .

#### 1 Methods

#### 1.1 Mice and infection procedure

Three strains of mice were used in our experiments: NMRI (Charles River Laboratories, Sulzfeld, Germany), C57BL/6 (), and Rag1-/- on C57BL/6 background (gift from Susanne Hartmann, FU?). Animal procedures were performed according to the German Animal Protection Laws as directed and approved by the overseeing authority Landesamt fuer Gesundheit und Soziales (Berlin, Germany). Animals where infected as described by Schmid et al., (Schmid12), but tapwater was used instead of PBS for administration of oocysts. Briefly, NMRI mice were infected two times, which will be referred to as first and second infection. For the first infection, 150 sporulated oocysts were administered in 100 L by oral gavage. During the first infection of 60 mice, all animals were weighed every day. On day zero, before infection, as well as on day three, five and seven days post infection, dpi, caeca from 3-4 sacrificed mice per time point were collected. Epithelial cells were isolated as described in Schmid et al. (schmid12). For challenge infection, mice recovered for four weeks before second infection. Recovery was monitored by weighing and visual inspection of fur. For the second infection, 1500 sporulated oocysts were applied by oral gavage. Three mice were used as non-second infection control, referred to as day 0, second infection.

## 1.2 Oocyst purification for infection and sequencing

Sporulated oocysts were purified by flotation from feces stored in potassium dichromate and administered orally in 100 uL tapwater. One *E. falciformis* isolate, *E. falciformis* Bayer Haberkorn 1970, was used for all infections and parasite samples. The strain is maintained through passage in NMRI mice in our facilities as described elsewhere (schmid12).

#### 1.3 Sporozoite isolation

Sporozoites were isolated from sporocysts by excystment. For this, sporocysts were incubated at 37C in DMEM containing 0.04% tauroglycocholate (MP Biomedicals) and 0.25% trypsin (Applichem) for 30 min. Sporozoites were purified by the method of Schmatz et al (schmatz—).

#### 1.4 RNA extraction

Total RNA was isolated from infected epithelial cells, sporozoites and sporulated oocysts using Trizol according to the manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen). High quality what is the meaning of 'high quality' here? RNA was used to produce an mRNA library using the Illumina's TruSeq RNA Sample Preparation guide. stolen from genome paper Sporozoites were stored in 1 mL Trizol until RNA-isolation. Total RNA was isolated using the PureLink RNA Mini Kit (Invitrogen) and reverse transcribed into cDNA.

Kasemo et al. Page 8 of 12

#### 1.5 Sequencing, sequence quality assessment and alignment

cDNA samples were sequenced by either GAIIX or Illumina Hiseq 2000 as specified in SI xx (both unstranded). A fastq\_quality\_filter (FASTQ-toolkit, version 0.0.14, available at https://github.com/agordon/fastx\_toolkit.git) was applied to Illumina Hiseq 2000 samples after replacing "N" bases by "." annotation. A phred score of 10 was applied. We further set q=60. These settings require that nine out of ten bases or more are correct in at least 60% of the bases for each read.

#### 1.6 Alignment and reference genomes

We used the published *Mus musculus* mm10 assembly (Genome Reference Consortium Mouse Build 38, GCA\_000001635.2) as reference genome including annotations for mouse data. The *E. falciformis* genome (Heitlinger14) was downloaded from ToxoDB (Gajria07). For the alignment, the mouse and parasite genome files were merged into a dual reference genome, and files including mRNA sequences from both species were aligned against the dual reference genome using TopHat2 (version 2.0.14, Trapnell09) with -G specified, and a Bowtie2 (version 1.1.2, Langmead12) index of the dual genome. Single-end and pair-end sequence samples were aligned separately with library type 'fr-unstranded' specified for pair-end samples. Import into R was enabled by the R package Ballgown, which requires bam files to be processed by Tablemaker (Frazee15), in our case used with -qW -G specified. Tablemaker in turn makes use of Cufflinks (version 2.1.1, Trapnell10).

#### 1.7 Differential mRNA abundance, data normalisation and sample exclusions

Count data was normalized using the R-package edgeR (version 3.14.0; cite) with the upperquartile normalisation method. Briefly, genes with zero coverage in all samples (libraries) are removed and normalisation factors are calculated for the 75% quantile for each library. This normalisation is suitable for read densities following a negative binomial distribution. Two samples contradicted this assumption (parasite data) for later modelling and both mouse and parasite data from these samples were excluded from further analysis: NMRI\_1st\_3dpi\_rep1 and NMRI\_2nd\_5dpi\_rep1 (SI ...). The method then fits a generalized linear model (GLM with a negative binomial link function) for each gene (glmFit) and then performs likelihood ratio tests for models w or w/o focal factor (glmLRT).

### 1.8 Selection of differentially abundant mRNAs nad hierarchical clustering

A selection of differently abundant mRNAs are used for hierarchical clustering of E. falciformis life cycle relevant genes. In each comparison (see Table 3), the union of the at most 500 genes differentially abundant with lowest FDR (i0.05) are selected. In the next step, the 500 mRNAs from each comparison (or less) are joined. For E. falciformis time p.i. comparison, this resulted in 1618 unique genes selected as differently expressed. The 22 genes in the NMRI vs C57BL/6 comparison are not included in the E. falciformis life cycle analysis. The same comparisons for mouse yielded 8052 genes in total and 1313 unique ones. In heatmaps, all samples, i.e. also samples which themselves did not have any significantly different mRNAs according to our selection, were included in hierarchical clustering. Scale bar in heatmaps show 0 as mean mRNA abundance for each gene (row). Up (green) and down-regulation

Kasemo et al. Page 9 of 12

(brown) denote number of standard deviations from 0, i.e., row mean. Hierarchical clustering was performed using with Euclidean distances, using complete linkage ('complete', R package base).

#### 1.9 Differentially abundant mRNAs used for hierarchical clustering

All analyses were performed in R (cite R-core). Complete scripts are available at https://github.com/derele/Ef\_RNAseq.git tagged as version 1.0.

Table 1 Genes used for hierarchical clustering of mRNAs differently abundant depending on time p.i..

Data description	E. falciformis genes	Mouse genes
Sum of 1st infection NMRI sample differences (including oocysts and sporozoites if appl.)	4935	8052
Used in hierarchical clustering (heatmap)	1618	1313

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Author's contributions

Text for this section ...

#### Acknowledgements

Text for this section ...

#### **Author details**

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Biology, Humboldt-Universitat zu Berlin, Philippstr. 13, Haus 14, 10115 Berlin, Germany. <sup>2</sup> Max Planck Institute for Biology of Ageing, Joseph-Stelzmann-Strasse 9B, 50931 Cologne, Germany. <sup>3</sup> Leibniz Institute of Zoo and Wildlife Research, Alfred-Kowalke-Str. 17, 10315 Berlin, Germany.

#### References

- Koonin, E.V., Altschul, S.F., Bork, P.: Brca1 protein products: functional motifs. Nat Genet 13, 266–267 (1996)
- 2. Kharitonov, S.A., Barnes, P.J.: Clinical Aspects of Exhaled Nitric Oxide. in press
- 3. Zvaifler, N.J., Burger, J.A., Marinova-Mutafchieva, L., Taylor, P., Maini, R.N.: Mesenchymal cells, stromal derived factor-1 and rheumatoid arthritis [abstract]. Arthritis Rheum 42, 250 (1999)
- 4. Jones, X.: Zeolites and synthetic mechanisms. In: Smith, Y. (ed.) Proceedings of the First National Conference on Porous Sieves: 27-30 June 1996; Baltimore, pp. 16–27 (1996). Stoneham: Butterworth-Heinemann
- 5. Margulis, L.: Origin of Eukaryotic Cells. Yale University Press, New Haven (1970)
- Orengo, C.A., Bray, J.E., Hubbard, T., LoConte, L., Sillitoe, I.: Analysis and assessment of ab initio three-dimensional prediction, secondary structure, and contacts prediction. Proteins Suppl 3, 149–170 (1999)
- Schnepf, E.: From prey via endosymbiont to plastids: comparative studies in dinoflagellates. In: Lewin, R.A. (ed.) Origins of Plastids vol. 2, 2nd edn., pp. 53–76. Chapman and Hall, New York (1993)
- 8. Innovative Oncology
- 9. Smith, Y. (ed.): Proceedings of the First National Conference on Porous Sieves: 27-30 June 1996; Baltimore. Butterworth-Heinemann, Stoneham (1996)
- Hunninghake, G.W., Gadek, J.E.: The alveloar macrophage. In: Harris, T.J.R. (ed.) Cultured Human Cells and Tissues, pp. 54–56. Academic Press, New York (1995). Stoner G (Series Editor): Methods and Perspectives in Cell Biology, vol 1
- 11. Advisory Committee on Genetic Modification: Annual Report. London (1999). Advisory Committee on Genetic Modification
- 12. Kohavi, R.: Wrappers for performance enhancement and obvious decision graphs. PhD thesis, Stanford University, Computer Science Department (1995)
- 13. The Mouse Tumor Biology Database. http://tumor.informatics.jax.org/cancer\_links.html

#### Figures

figure 1 label cotribution of mouse vs. parasite transcripts to the overall transcriptional output of the analysed tissue for all samples.

#### 1.10 Parasite development reflected in mouse data

When clustering mouse mRNAs which were different in time p.i. comparisons in Table 2, three out of four samples from day 7 p.i. (NMRI only) cluster together. These samples are characterized by gene cluster 3 (up) as well as 2 and 4 (down). The fourth day 7-sample (challenge infection, second replicate) clusters with day 3 and 5 samples. Upon visual inspection of this sample in gene cluster 4, it diplays a profile similar to non-infected mice. The same

Kasemo et al. Page 10 of 12

Figure 1 Experimental design and outcome of a dual RNAseq experiment read sequences per mouse read sequences for each experimental condition. The more advanced the infection is, the higher the ratio of parasite reads is, reflecting parasite replication between day zero and day seven. .....compare 1st and 2nd ...... patterns between Rag and NMRI/C57BL/6? ....... Values are mean of replicates (n=2, if \* n=1) on log10 scale. Each sample (replicate) consists of mRNA from three different mice.

**Figure 2** *E. falciformis* mRNAs with significantly different abundance at different times p.i. in NMRI mice (see Table 2). Samples cluster according to expected developmental state of the parasite, without distinguishing between first and challenge infection. Hierarchical clustering was set to apply seven gene clusters.

**Figure 3** Mouse mRNAs with significantly different abundance at different times p.i. in NMRI mice (see Table 2). Samples cluster according to expected developmental state of the parasite, without distinguishing between first and challenge infection. Rag1-/- mouse samples cluster together. Hierarchical clustering was set to apply four gene clusters.

sample is abnormal in the parasite profile (Figure 1). NMRI and C57BL/6 uninfected samples (0dpi) cluster together, defined by gene clusters 4 (up), and 1 (down). Rag1-/- day 0 samples are however less pronounced in gene cluster 1. Day 3 and 5 samples cluster together, with Rag1-/- forming a separate group and Rag1-/- non-infected most distant in this sample cluster.

Kasemo et al. Page 11 of 12

Sample*	Sequencing method	batch	total reads	reads mapping Mouse	reads mapping E. falciformis	Percentage ** E. falciformis	detected  E. falciformis genes
NMRI_2ndInf_0dpi_rep1	GAII	2	108,937,797	70,489,674	247	0.0004	1
Rag_1stInf_0dpi_rep1	hiseq	3	25,362,793	18,853,850	443	0.0023	2
C57BL6_1stInf_0dpi_rep1	hiseq	3	35,731,249	25,119,348	457	0.0018	2
C57BL6_1stInf_0dpi_rep2	hiseq	3	47,085,959	34,377,133	608	0.0018	2
Rag_1stInf_0dpi_rep2	hiseq	3	46,556,156	35,233,327	676	0.0019	2
NMRI_2ndInf_0dpi_rep2	hiseq	3	58,122,244	40,794,245	3,406	0.0083	51
NMRI_2ndInf_3dpi_rep1	hiseq	3	57,934,016	40,544,287	4,803	0.0118	95
NMRI_2ndInf_5dpi_rep2	hiseq	3	63,965,539	48,289,181	10,941	0.0227	407
NMRI_1stInf_0dpi_rep1	GAII	1	82,364,585	55,176,243	17,954	0.0325	701
NMRI_2ndInf_3dpi_rep2	hiseq	3	65,548,826	46,171,909	29,548	0.0640	1,580
NMRI_2ndInf_7dpi_rep2	hiseq	3	67,487,466	51,722,265	40,091	0.0775	1,836
Rag_1stInf_5dpi_rep1	hiseq	3	38,651,359	29,982,453	63,024	0.2098	2,548
Rag_1stInf_5dpi_rep2	hiseq	3	34,779,832	25,297,803	99,000	0.3898	2,828
C57BL6_1stInf_5dpi_rep1	hiseq	3	40,904,388	29,319,604	185,969	0.6303	4,173
Rag_2ndInf_5dpi_rep1	hiseq	3	50,049,848	37,093,621	192,856	0.5172	4,167
C57BL6_1stInf_5dpi_rep2	hiseq	3	29,511,368	18,062,349	215,696	1.1801	3,823
C57BL6_2ndInf_5dpi_rep1	hiseq	3	35,148,432	25,660,184	262,909	1.0142	4,563
NMRI_1stInf_3dpi_rep1	GAII	1	73,236,430	49,993,358	394,384	0.7827	5,220
NMRI_1stInf_3dpi_rep2	GAII	2	160,709,694	117,791,044	413,051	0.3494	4,862
NMRI_1stInf_5dpi_rep2	GAII	2	119,902,722	76,419,774	794,570	1.0290	5,333
NMRI_2ndInf_5dpi_rep1	GAII	2	230,773,955	143,186,486	1,846,840	1.2734	5,533
NMRI_2ndInf_7dpi_rep1	hiseq	3	70,366,762	41,467,146	8,634,201	17.2335	5,875
NMRI_1stInf_5dpi_rep1	GAII	2	76,702,168	47,037,087	8,669,701	15.5631	5,700
NMRI_sporozoites_rep2	GAII	0	19,551,681	8,656	11,470,604	99.9246	5,513
NMRI_1stInf_5dpi_rep3	GAII	0	191,099,180	83,735,624	27,839,458	24.9513	5,784
NMRI_1stInf_7dpi_rep1	GAII	1	66,505,514	3,310,666	39,400,884	92.2488	5,932
NMRI_sporozoites_rep1	GAII	1	67,325,397	4,334	43,774,401	99.9901	5,825
NMRI_oocysts_rep1	GAII	1	68,859,802	3,805	49,653,065	99.9923	5,695
NMRI_oocysts_rep2	GAII	0	151,090,783	18,524	71,019,860	99.9739	5,777
NMRI_1stInf_7dpi_rep2	GAII	1	139,749,046	21,699,324	73,539,445	77.2159	5,943

<sup>\*</sup> sample names are given as a) mouse strain b) first or challenge infection c) days post infection (dpi) and d) replicate number separated by undersoore

and d) replicate number seperated by undersocre .

\*\* percentag mapping *E. falciformis* is given as percentage in total mapping reads

Kasemo et al. Page 12 of 12

#### 2 mRNA abundance differences between different experimental groups

Table 2 mRNA abundance differences between different experimental groups.

Day post infection comparisons	Ef genes different (FDR≤1%)	Mouse genes different (FDR≤1%/5%)
NMRI 0 vs NMRI 3 NMRI 0 vs NMRI 5 NMRI 0 vs NMRI 7 NMRI 3 vs NMRI 5 NMRI 3 vs NMRI 7 NMRI 5 vs NMRI 7 C57BL/6 0 vs C57BL/6 5 Rag1-/- 0 vs Rag1-/- 5	NA NA NA 111 1385 1895 NA NA	274 1736 2802 1 1407 873 914
Day post infection, parasite relevant comparisons		
Oocysts vs NMRI 3 Oocysts vs NMRI 5 Oocysts vs NMRI 7 Oocysts vs sporozoites Sporozoites vs NMRI 3 Sporozoites vs NMRI 5 Sporozoites vs NMRI 7	3310 3605 3085 3421 1663 1605 2473	NA NA NA NA NA NA
First and second infection comparisons		
NMRI 3 1st vs NMRI 3 2nd NMRI 5 1st vs NMRI 5 2nd NMRI 7 1st vs NMRI 7 2nd C57BL/6 1st vs C57BL/6 2nd (day 5) Rag1-/- 1st vs Rag1-/- 2nd (day 5)	0 0 0 0 0	5 1 902 mouse mouse

#### Additional Files

Additional file 1 — Raw and normalized counts

Raw counts of reads mappins to the *E. falciformis* and mouse genome for individual samples in our study. Normalized counts for seperately for the host and parasite mappings (three compressed csv files).

Additional file 2 — Results of statistical tests (edgeR)

Focal contrast, fold-changes, likelihood ratio in/excluding this difference in models, p-values , and false discovery rates (adjusted p-values) are given for all tested contrasts (one compressed csv file).

Additional file 3 — Additional methods and resuts

Document containing additional figures and summary tables (pdf).

Additional file 4 — Results of enrichment analyses (topGO)

Tables listing all tested gene sets and resulting significatn GO terms.