Transcriptominc divergence of a parasite-populations: two common garden experients in two hosts

Emanuel G Heitlinger*1,2Horst Taraschewski¹and Mark Blaxter²

 1 Department of Ecology and Parasitology, Zoological Institute 1, University of Karlsruhe, Kornblumenstrasse 13, Karlsruhe, Germany 2 Institute of Evolutionary Biology, The Ashworth laboratories, The University of Edinburgh, King's Buildings Campus, Edinburgh, UK

Email: Emanuel G Heitlinger*- emanuelheitlinger@gmail.com; Horst Taraschewski- dc20@rz.uni-karlsruhe.de; Mark Blaxter - mark.blaxter@ed.ac.uk;

*Corresponding author

Abstract

Background:

Results:

Conclusions: Yeh!

Background Results

The populations differ

We sampled worms The gene expression does too

```
tGO_sex_MF_classic_10_all --- no of nodes: 24
tGO_sex_BP_classic_10_all --- no of nodes: 58
tGO_sex_CC_classic_10_all --- no of nodes: 24
tGO_eel_MF_classic_10_all --- no of nodes: 22
tGO_eel_BP_classic_10_all --- no of nodes: 14
```

label	sex	host	population	intensity	worms in prep	conc in prep
AA/T20F	female	AA	T	1	1	5.60
AA/T12F	female	AA	${ m T}$	14	1	6.80
AA/T45F	female	AA	${ m T}$	5	1	8.00
AA/T24M	male	AA	${ m T}$	6	3	4.80
AA/T42M	male	AA	${ m T}$	11	1	5.60
AA/T3M	male	AA	${ m T}$	5	4	4.88
AA/R18F	female	AA	R	4	1	4.80
AA/R28F	female	AA	R	10	1	5.20
AA/R8F	female	AA	R	27	1	5.20
AA/R16M	male	AA	R	10	4	5.20
AA/R11M	male	AA	R	25	14	6.40
AA/R2M	male	AA	R	10	4	6.60
AJ/T8F	female	AJ	${ m T}$	10	1	5.91
AJ/T5F	female	AJ	${ m T}$	2	1	4.80
AJ/T26F	female	AJ	${ m T}$	2	1	2.40
AJ/T25M	male	AJ	${ m T}$	24	5	4.05
AJ/T19M	$_{\mathrm{male}}$	AJ	${ m T}$	24	7	3.50
AJ/T20M	male	AJ	${ m T}$	20	8	3.80
AJ/R1F	female	AJ	R	3	1	5.92
AJ/R3F	female	AJ	R	3	1	6.90
AJ/R5F	female	AJ	R	10	1	4.04
AJ/R1M	male	AJ	R	3	1	2.50
AJ/R3M	male	AJ	R	3	2	2.60
AJ/R5M	male	AJ	R	10	1	2.23

```
tGO_eel_CC_classic_10_all --- no of nodes: 48

tGO_pop_MF_classic_10_all --- no of nodes: 33

tGO_pop_BP_classic_10_all --- no of nodes: 44

tGO_pop_CC_classic_10_all --- no of nodes: 30

tGO_sex_ortho_MF_classic_10_all --- no of nodes: 20

tGO_sex_ortho_BP_classic_10_all --- no of nodes: 64

tGO_sex_ortho_CC_classic_10_all --- no of nodes: 25

tGO_eel_ortho_MF_classic_10_all --- no of nodes: 23

tGO_eel_ortho_BP_classic_10_all --- no of nodes: 28

tGO_eel_ortho_CC_classic_10_all --- no of nodes: 50

tGO_pop_ortho_MF_classic_10_all --- no of nodes: 50

tGO_pop_ortho_MF_classic_10_all --- no of nodes: 53

tGO_pop_ortho_CC_classic_10_all --- no of nodes: 53
```

null device

library	raw.reads	raw.mapped	tax.mapped	screened
AA_R11M	11986442	8628520	7869248	6889908
AA_R16M	10810349	6858585	6219909	5277418
AA_R18F	9227615	6552527	5933941	5201576
AA_R28F	10135670	6665381	6007900	5173988
AA_R2M	12469746	7628428	6930848	5907261
AA_R8F	15270570	11527867	10758715	9453617
AA_T12F	11299438	7842479	7197313	6333734
$AA_{-}T20F$	11740839	7744179	7114509	6323566
$AA_{-}T24M$	8552723	5254194	4662363	3969533
$AA_{-}T3M$	11031751	6460836	5800115	4993790
AA_T42M	11573501	7567845	6787449	5694864
AA_T45F	10646847	7714472	7174696	6284382
AJ_R1F	9855005	6400558	5892964	5169577
AJ_R1M	10211903	5851063	5314793	4507265
AJ_R3F	9897937	6425201	5950371	5125838
AJ_R3M	8775211	4562324	4075888	3424353
AJ_R5F	11949105	8442537	7830725	6882456
AJ_R5M	11231532	7504494	6772805	5913268
AJ_T19M	9195576	4798404	4294094	3636579
$AJ_{-}T20M$	10862591	6880937	6253215	5281736
${ m AJ_T25M}$	11195315	7162880	6480780	5645562
AJ_T26F	11195335	7439917	6648897	6036513
$AJ_{-}T5F$	10357569	7413685	6795948	6009106
AJ_T8F	14196382	10275074	9497028	8364914

1

null device

1

Discussion Conclusions

Methods

General coding methods

The bulk of analysis (unless otherwise cited) presented in this paper was carried out in R [1] using custom scripts. We used a method provided in the R-packages Sweave [2] and Weaver [3] for "reproducible research" combining R and TEXcode in a single file. All intermediate data files needed to compile the present manuscript from data-sources are provided upon request. For visualistation we used the R-packages lattice [4] and ggplot2 [5].

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Authors contributions Acknowledgments

The work of EGH is funded by Volkswagen Foundation, "Förderinitiative Evolutionsbiologie".

References

1. R Development Core Team: R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria 2009, [http://www.R-project.org].

- 2. Leisch F: Sweave: Dynamic Generation of Statistical Reports Using Literate Data Analysis. In Compstat 2002 Proceedings in Computational Statistics. Edited by Härdle W, Rönz B, Physica Verlag, Heidelberg 2002:575–580, [http://www.stat.uni-muenchen.de/~leisch/Sweave]. [ISBN 3-7908-1517-9].
- 3. Falcon S: Caching code chunks in dynamic documents. Computational Statistics 2009, **24**(2):255–261, [http://www.springerlink.com/content/55411257n1473414].
- 4. Sarkar D: Lattice: Multivariate Data Visualization with R. New York: Springer 2008, [http://lmdvr.r-forge.r-project.org]. [ISBN 978-0-387-75968-5].
- 5. Wickham H: ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. Springer New York 2009, [http://had.co.nz/ggplot2/book].

- Figures
 Figure 1 Figure 2 Figure 3 Figure 4 Figure 5 -

Tables

Table 1 -

Table 7 -

Additional Files