Multi-output linear regression

P.L.Green

November 10, 2020

1 Abstract

The maths behind multi-output linear regression.

2 Problem statement

We have N input-output pairs $\{(\boldsymbol{x}_n, \boldsymbol{y}_n)\}_{n=1}^N$ where $\boldsymbol{y}_n \in \mathbb{R}^K$. We propose the model

$$y_{n,i} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_i^T \, \phi(\boldsymbol{x}_n) \tag{1}$$

where ϕ is a D-dimensional basis function. In general, we are therefore proposing that

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{n,1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{n,K} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\theta}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\theta}_K^T \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}_n)$$
 (2)

which we write as

$$\boldsymbol{y}_n = \boldsymbol{\Theta}^T \, \boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}_n) \tag{3}$$

3 Least-squares solution

Objective function:

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{K} (y_{n,i} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_i^T \boldsymbol{\phi}_n)^2$$
 (4)

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n} \sum_{i} \left(-2y_{n,i} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{n} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{n} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{n}^{T} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i} \right) + \text{const.}$$
 (5)

where we have adopted the notation $\phi_n \equiv \phi(x_n)$. Through standard vector calculus we can show that

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_j} = -\sum_n y_{n,j} \, \boldsymbol{\phi}_n + \left[\sum_n \boldsymbol{\phi}_n \, \boldsymbol{\phi}_n^T \right] \boldsymbol{\theta}_j \tag{6}$$

With the aim of evaluating

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{\Theta}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{\theta}_1} & \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{\theta}_2} & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$
 (7)

we can now write that

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{\Theta}} = \begin{bmatrix} -\sum_{n} y_{n,1} \, \boldsymbol{\phi}_{n} + \left[\sum_{n} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{n} \, \boldsymbol{\phi}_{n}^{T} \right] \boldsymbol{\theta}_{1} & -\sum_{n} y_{n,2} \, \boldsymbol{\phi}_{n} + \left[\sum_{n} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{n} \, \boldsymbol{\phi}_{n}^{T} \right] \boldsymbol{\theta}_{2} & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$
(8)

$$= -\sum_{n} \begin{bmatrix} y_{n,1} \phi_n & y_{n,2} \phi_n & \dots \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{n} \phi_n \phi_n^T \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 & \theta_2 & \dots \end{bmatrix}}_{\Theta}$$
(9)

Consequently, defining

$$\boldsymbol{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{y}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{y}_N^T \end{bmatrix} \qquad \boldsymbol{\Phi} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\phi}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\phi}_N^T \end{bmatrix}$$
(10)

we can write that

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{\Theta}} = -\mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{\Theta}$$
 (11)

Setting the above expression equal to zero and solving for the optimal parameter matrix we obtain

$$\mathbf{\Theta}^* = \left[\mathbf{\Phi}^T \, \mathbf{\Phi} \right]^{-1} \, \mathbf{\Phi}^T \, \mathbf{Y} \tag{12}$$

4 Regularised least-squares solution

If we use the classic regularisation term that is employed in ridge-regression then the objective function is

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{K} (y_{n,i} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_i^T \, \boldsymbol{\phi}_n)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \boldsymbol{\theta}_i^T \, \boldsymbol{\theta}_i$$
 (13)

then, following a procedure similar to the previous section, we can show that

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_{j}} = -\sum_{n} y_{n,j} \, \boldsymbol{\phi}_{n} + \left(\left[\sum_{n} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{n} \, \boldsymbol{\phi}_{n}^{T} \right] + \boldsymbol{I} \lambda \right) \boldsymbol{\theta}_{j}$$
 (14)

and so

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{\Theta}} = -\mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{Y} + \left[\mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{\Phi} + \mathbf{I}\lambda\right]^{-1} \mathbf{\Theta}$$
 (15)

which implies that our optimal parameters are given by

$$\mathbf{\Theta}^* = \left[\mathbf{\Phi}^T \, \mathbf{\Phi} + \mathbf{I} \lambda \right]^{-1} \mathbf{\Phi}^T \, \mathbf{Y} \tag{16}$$