

1. Diagram:

(a) Parse Tree

i. Semantic Analysis / Intermediate Code Generation

A. Semantic Errors

B. Intermediate Code –

{{Intermediate-code compilers stop here.
They're executed by virtual machines}}

- Optimization –

{{Improve execution speed.
Reduce code size (actual number of lines)}}}

- Optimized intermediate code

- Object Code Generation

- Object Code –

{{almost executable code.
Relative addresses.

This is the end of native-code compilers}}

- Linking/Loading

{{Library functions code
Analysis phase (front end)

Lexical

Syntax

Type

Semantic

OS assigns actual physical memory address

Generation phase (back end)

Intermediate code optimization

Object code}}

- Executable machine code in memory

ii. Type Checking – Check type consistency and type mismatches

A. Type Errors

B. Type-Checked Parse Tree (points back up to Semantic Analysis / Intermediate Code Generation)

(b) Examples of semantic analysis:

i. Variable Declaration – All variables must be declared (with types) at suitable places

ii. Function Declaration – Can't call undeclared functions

iii. Scope Checking

iv. Can't declare the same variable/function name twice in the same scope

v. Calling functions with incorrect number of parameters

vi. Source-code-level optimization

A. Example: inlining of function calls (eliminating function calls by substituting the function body)

- Inlining example:

void f()

{

B;

}//f

```
int main()
{
    .
    f();          //inlining would eliminate this function call and put "B;" in
    it's place.
    .
} //main
```

2. Formal Description Of Lexical Items And Syntax

(a) A BNF (Backus-Naur Form) grammar (i.e. context-free grammar) is a triple (T,N,R)

i. T is a set of terminal symbols – ASCII/Unicode characters

A. $T = \{0,1,\dots,9,a,b,\dots,z,A,B,\dots,Z,+,-,*,/,(),.,\}$

ii. N is a set of nonterminal symbols – Syntactic categories $\langle X \rangle$ where X is a mnemonic identifier

A. $N = \{ \langle \text{digit} \rangle, \langle \text{letter} \rangle, \langle \text{int} \rangle, \langle \text{id} \rangle, \langle \text{rest} \rangle, \langle \text{float} \rangle, \langle \text{exponent} \rangle, \langle \text{eSign} \rangle, \langle \text{sign} \rangle, \langle \text{E} \rangle \}$

- $\langle \text{rest} \rangle$ helps define $\langle \text{id} \rangle$

- $\langle \text{exponent} \rangle$, $\langle \text{eSign} \rangle$, and $\langle \text{sign} \rangle$ are for scientific notation of floats

iii. R is a set of production rules $\langle X \rangle \rightarrow \alpha_1 | \alpha_2 | \dots | \alpha_n$ where $n \geq 1$

α_i is any string of terminals $\wedge \nexists$ nonterminals, \forall can be the empty string \in

A.

$$R = \{ \langle \text{digit} \rangle \rightarrow \bullet | \text{1} | \text{2} | \text{3} | \dots | \text{9} \}$$

$$\{ \langle \text{letter} \rangle \rightarrow a | b | \dots | z | A | B | \dots | Z \}$$

$$\{ \langle \text{integer} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{digit} \rangle | \langle \text{digit} \rangle \langle \text{integer} \rangle \}$$

$$\langle \text{id} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{letter} \rangle \langle \text{rest} \rangle$$

$$\langle \text{rest} \rangle \rightarrow \in | \langle \text{letter} \rangle \langle \text{rest} \rangle | \langle \text{digit} \rangle \langle \text{rest} \rangle$$

iv. Derivations – Let $\beta \langle X \rangle \gamma$ be any string with an occurrence of $\langle X \rangle$.

β, γ are any strings of terminals/nonterminals, including \in .

Let $\langle X \rangle \rightarrow \alpha_i$ be one choice of a production rule for $\langle X \rangle$.

Then $\beta \langle X \rangle \gamma$ is said to derive $\beta \alpha_i \gamma$ in one step, denoted $\beta \langle X \rangle \gamma \Rightarrow \beta \alpha_i \gamma$

A. Example: Derive “316” from $\langle \text{int} \rangle$

$$\langle \text{integer} \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \text{digit} \rangle \langle \text{integer} \rangle \Rightarrow 3 \langle \text{integer} \rangle \Rightarrow 3 \langle \text{digit} \rangle \langle \text{integer} \rangle \Rightarrow 31 \langle \text{integer} \rangle \Rightarrow 31 \langle \text{digit} \rangle \Rightarrow 316$$

B. Example: Derive “A2C3” from $\langle \text{id} \rangle$

$$\langle \text{id} \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \text{letter} \rangle \langle \text{rest} \rangle \Rightarrow A \langle \text{rest} \rangle \Rightarrow A \langle \text{digit} \rangle \langle \text{rest} \rangle \Rightarrow A2 \langle \text{rest} \rangle \Rightarrow A2 \langle \text{letter} \rangle \langle \text{rest} \rangle \Rightarrow A2C \langle \text{rest} \rangle \Rightarrow A2C3$$

v. For any syntactic category $\langle X \rangle$, the language defined by $\langle X \rangle$ is defined as:

A. $\{ \beta | \langle X \rangle \xRightarrow{*} \beta, \beta \text{ is a string of terminals} \}$
where $\beta \xRightarrow{*} \gamma$ means β derives γ in zero or more steps.
The language defined by $\langle \text{integer} \rangle$ is:
 $\{ \beta | \langle \text{integer} \rangle \xRightarrow{*} \beta, \beta \text{ is a string of terminals} \}$