```
316 10-22-09
Semantics of expressions given by Eval (E, a)
Semantics of expressions given by M(S, oc)
 assignment X:=E
  M(\chi_i = E, \{(\chi_1, V_1), \dots, (\chi_n, V_n)\}) =
  if (ve= Lv) then Is
  else {< x, v, >, ... < xi, ve>, ... < xn, v, >}
  where ve = Evel (E, {<x, V, >, ... < x, V, > })
 IF(B) S, else So
 M(if(B) \leq else \leq 2, \alpha) =
if (Eval (B,d)=Lv) then Is
  clse if (Eval (B, a) = true) then M(S, a)
- else M(Sz, s)
 M(if(B)S, \alpha) =
  if (Eval (B, oc) = Lv) then Lo
  clse Eval (B, x) = frue then M(S, x)
else of
While (B) do S
  M(white (3) do S, &)=
  of (Eval (B,ox) = I) then Is
 clse if Eval (B, or) = false then or
  else if (M(S, d) = Ls) then Is
- else M(While (B) do S, M(S, S)) // texate the loop from the new state M(S, S)
do Swhle (B)
 M(do 5 while (B) x)=
iF(M(S, \alpha) = \perp_S) then \perp_S
  dse if (Eval (B, M(S, a) = IV) then Is
 else if (Eval(B, M(S, \alpha) == false) then M(S, \alpha)
-else M(do S' while (B), M(S, Q))
```

```
\alpha \rightarrow S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n \rightarrow \emptyset
          M(SA, ... M(S2, M(S1, Q))...)
          S1: x=3;
          52: a=2;
          S<sub>3</sub>: if (x < a) x = x + a; dec a = x + a
             do = initial program state = {< x, 1>, < a, 1>}
             \alpha_1 = M(s_1, \alpha_0) = \{ < x, 3 >, < a, \bot > \}
            \alpha_2 = M(s_2, \alpha_1) = \{(x, 3), (a, 2)\}
           &z= M(S3, d2) 3
                   Eval (x < a, o(x)) = 3 < 2 = false
            d_3 = M(S_3, \alpha_2) = M(S_5, \alpha_2) = \{\langle x, 3 \rangle, \langle a, 5 \rangle \}
          Si: 4 = 3;
          S3: While (X<5) do X=X+a
          do, d, d, are the same as before
          d_2 = M(S_2, d_2)?
               Eval (x<5, x2) = 3<5 = frue
     \alpha_{4} = M(S_{4}, \alpha_{9}) = \{(x, 5), (a, 2)\}
          \alpha_3 = M(S_3, \alpha_2) = M(S_5, \alpha_4)
            Eval (x<5,d4) = 5<5= false
           M(S_3, C_4) = C_4
          \alpha_3 = M(S_3, \alpha_2) = M(S_3, \alpha_4) = \alpha_4
        · Eval (E, or) and M(S, or) can be implemented by a program (iz by recursive functions)
        · E and S are implemented by explicit parse trees.
        · Data structures for program state of
          · List or vator of (x; Vi> pairs
          · More efficient table structures like Hash Tables.
```

· Routing program is pure interpreter Semantics By Equivalence = Applicable to any semantic description method (operational, denotational, axiomatic, etc.) do S while (B) is equivalent to: S; while (B) do S for (init statement; B; inc. statement) & is equivalent to: inc. while (B) d Controlling Function Calls by Runtine Stack code for f, code for f2 code for f3 code for f4 main code for main