### **KAI WEN TAY**

# FINM 33000: Homework 4 Solutions

#### Problem 1

(a) The following argument tries to prove that the no-arbitrage time-0 price of the call is zero. The argument presupposes that the following perfectly replicates the call on  $S_t$  with strike 105, and that hence the call price at time zero is zero:

$$\Theta_t^{rep} \coloneqq \begin{cases} (0 \text{ shares of asset, 0 bonds}), & \text{if } S_t \leq K \\ (1 \text{ shares of asset, -}K \text{ bonds}), & \text{if } S_t > K \end{cases}$$

However, this proof is flawed

## 1. Logical Thought Process:

Given there we are starting at  $S_0=100$ , we can see a non-zero probability that  $S_{12}\geq 105$ . This means that the price of the option cannot be zero, as there is a non-zero dollar value that has to be assigned to a non-zero probability of being above 105 at time T=12. This means that the presupposed option price of zero dollars represents zero initial investment, no risk of loss, and some chance of gain. A "type 1" arbitrage. Hence, given that this is a multi-period scenario where we can reach at or above stock price 105 if given enough time, this "proof" is flawed.

#### 2. Mathematical Proof:

Given  $V_t = \{C_t(105)\}$ :

$$P(V_{12} \ge 0) = 1$$

Consequently, as well:

$$P(V_{12} > 0) > 0$$

If, in the event,  $V_0=0$ , we have a clear "type 1" arbitrage. Hence, this price cannot be a no-arbitrage price

(b) Firstly, we have to understand what our risk-neutral probability is, i.e. we want to see how our stock price moves and what our "Q" measure is, let's look at  $S_1$ :

$$S_0 = q(S_0 + 1) + (1 - q)(S_0 - 1)$$

Hence, we can clearly see that:

$$q = \frac{1}{2}$$

Furthermore, we can extrapolate that, given all the stock price movements are +1 or -1 of the prior time period's stock price, the risk-neutral probability for any "branch" is the same. Using this idea, we can now price our call.

Given that our call only yields a return that is  $\geq 0$  when  $S_{12} \geq 105$ , we are essentially looking for all permutations where we yield at or above five +1 moves, i.e.:

$$C_0(105) = \frac{1}{2^{12}} \sum_{n=5}^{12} {12 \choose n} (n-5)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2^{12}} \left( {12 \choose 6} + 2 {12 \choose 7} + \dots + {12 \choose 12} 7 \right)$$

#### **Problem 2**

(a) Let's assume our lower and upper bound to be (2.00, 3.00) respectively. Given that our asset price is a bounded martingale, we can see that:

$$M_0 = 16$$
,  $EM_T = M_0 = 100p$ 

Hence:

$$p = 0.16$$

(b) Given that  $M_t = A^{S_t}$ , we want to solve for:

$$\mathrm{E}(M_{t+1}-M_t)=0$$

Firstly, we can see that:

$$M_{t+1} - M_t = A^{S_{t+1}} - A^{S_t}$$

Taking this into our equation, and taking the idea where we have information up till time t, and taking our measurements in numbers of cents:

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{E}(M_{t+1} - M_t) &= E(A^{S_{t+1}} - A^{S_t}) = 0 \\ uA^{S_t+1} + (1-u)A^{S_t-1} - A^{S_t} &= 0 \\ uA^1 + (1-u)A^{-1} &= 1 \\ uA^2 - A + (1-u) &= 0 \\ (uA - (1-u))(A-1) &= 0 \\ A &= \frac{1-u}{u} \end{split}$$

(c) Given that:

$$E(M_T) = E(M_0) = A^{216}$$

Then:

$$\begin{split} P\{S_t = 200\} \cdot A^{200} + P\{S_t = 300\} \cdot A^{300} &= A^{216} \\ (1 - P\{S_t = 300\}) \cdot A^{200} + P\{S_t = 300\} \cdot A^{300} &= A^{216} \\ P\{S_t = 300\} &= \frac{A^{216} - A^{200}}{A^{300} - A^{200}} \end{split}$$