

Predicting Rookie Running Back Grades

By Derrick Edwards

I. Introduction

With the immense rise in passing statistics, altering rules to favor offensive production, and ever increasing player contracts, the NFL running back has become the scapegoat for cutting costs. The average shelf-life for quality running backs (RB's) has been dwindling since the turn of the century as finding a second contract has become a goal instead of a guarantee. Therefore, it is imperative for NFL teams to find talent within the draft to acquire RB's for smaller monetary contracts who are ready to impact the game immediately. Using college stats, we will predict incoming rookie running back PFF player grades for their first season. The goal is to identify cost-effective running backs for NFL teams to acquire in the NFL draft.

II. Background

PFF's player grade is a statistics developed by Pro Football Focus as a method to compare and rank players quickly and easily. PFF player grades are calculated by assigning a value ranging from (-2, 2) to a player on selected plays during the game where 0 is the expected quality of a play (completing their assignment for a snap), -2 is a catastrophic event attributed to the player in question and 2 represents a clutch game breaking moment. We will use a players college's production for their last year of college to predict their first year's PFF player grade.

III. Data Description

The data used for this project was collected from sports-reference.com to collect the players college statistics and NFL draft history, and from pff.com to collect PFF player grades. We collected data ranging from 2010 through 2022 and data from the 2023 incoming draft class for predictions. Sports-Reference offers a library of statistics for nearly every sport and PFF is a cutting edge statistics company set out to develop new statistics centering around American Football.

The multiple data sources were cleaned and concatenated in R, exported to excel and csv files, and finally uploaded to Python and SAS. The predictors include a players Rush Yards, Attempts, Rushing Touchdowns, Receptions, Receiving Yards, Receiving Touchdowns, School and Conference. All predictors were used to predict a players first year of PFF player grades.

IV. Results

The accuracy results for the 6 models revealed that the Random Forest Regression model outperformed the XG Boost Regression model when performing analysis in R and Python. However, the SAS XG Boost Model reported slightly higher scores compared to the SAS Random Forest Model which may be in part to the seed set in each coding language respectively. Python produced the highest performing model utilizing Random Forest Regression.

When analyzing the future predictions for the 2023 draft class, the respective models produced varying PFF player grades suggesting that there is a key predictor not accounted for in this study. For future studies in this area, lagged variables describing a college players entire collegiate career, as well as measurable athletic traits should vastly improve the model. Specifically, the main area for concern in this

model lies within small sample sizes and artificially inflated efficiency. Collegiate players with less than 100 carries in a season with high touchdown production received the highest predicted PFF player grades.

V. Conclusion

The Python produced Random Forest Model proved to be the best predictor of an NFL running back's first year PFF player grade relying on collegiate production as predictors. The aforementioned model produced an 88.57% accuracy score at .2 level of significance, while producing the most tempered predictions. There is a lot of room for improvement in this study, even when achieving stronger accuracy scores.

VI. Appendix

A. Random Forest Regression

a. R Code

```
# merge datasets by RB name and year
```

```
college_stats <- read.csv("C:/Users/saedw/OneDrive/Desktop/STAT 574 Data Mining/Final Project - RB WAR/college_football_stats.csv")
```

nfl_draft <- read.csv("C:/Users/saedw/OneDrive/Desktop/STAT 574 Data Mining/Final Project - RB WAR/nfl_draft.csv")

pff_grade <- read.csv("C:/Users/saedw/OneDrive/Desktop/STAT 574 Data Mining/Final Project - RB WAR/nfl_player_grades.csv")

library(dplyr)

```
# future predictions
pred_data <- subset(college_stats, Year==2023)</pre>
```

write.csv(pred_data,"C:/Users/saedw/OneDrive/Desktop/STAT 574 Data Mining/Final Project - RB WAR/RB_pred_data.csv", row.names = FALSE)

```
# subset nfl_draft and pff_grade to only needed stats
draft_sub <- subset(nfl_draft, select = c(Player, Year, Pos))
```

pff_sub <- subset(pff_grade, select = c(Player, Year, grades_offense))</pre>

```
concat_data <- subset(yearly_stats, Pos=="RB")</pre>
# convert NA to 0
concat_data[is.na(concat_data)] <- 0
# subset to needed data
final_data <- subset(concat_data, select = c(School, Conf, G, Att, Rsh_Yds,
                         Rsh Avg, Rsh TD, Rec, Rec Yds, Rec Avg,
                         Rec_TD, Plays, grades_offense))
write.csv(final_data,"C:/Users/saedw/OneDrive/Desktop/STAT 574 Data Mining/Final Project - RB
WAR/project_data_og.csv", row.names = FALSE)
### Random Forest Model #########
library(randomForest)
#SPLITTING DATA INTO 80% TRAINING AND 20% TESTING SETS
set.seed(109283)
sample <- sample(c(TRUE, FALSE), nrow(final_data),</pre>
         replace = TRUE, prob = c(0.8, 0.2)
train <- final_data[sample,]</pre>
test <- final data[!sample,]
# build random forest regression model - grades offense
randfor <- randomForest(grades offense ~ School + Conf + G + Att + Rsh Yds +
              Rsh_Avg + Rsh_TD + Rec + Rec_Yds + Rec_Avg + Rec_TD +
              Plays, data=train, ntree=150,
             mtry=5, maxnodes=30)
# display variable importance #######
print(importance(randfor,type=2))
# computing prediction accuracy for testing data
p_grades_offense <- predict(randfor, newdata = test)</pre>
# accuracy 10,15, 20 store true false values - compute means for accuracy scores
# accuracy within 10%
accuracy10 <- ifelse(abs(test$grades_offense - p_grades_offense)
```

```
< 0.10*test$grades_offense,1,0)
# accuracy within 15%
accuracy15 <- ifelse(abs(test$grades offense - p grades offense)
          < 0.15*test$grades_offense,1,0)
# accuracy within 20%
accuracy20 <- ifelse(abs(test$grades offense - p grades offense)
          < 0.20*test$grades_offense,1,0)
# print means of accuracy scores
print("Accuracy Scores - Random Forest")
print(mean(accuracy10))
print(mean(accuracy15))
print(mean(accuracy20))
          b. R - Output
            IncNodePurity
       School 3
                     1272.7106
       Conf
                      792.5722
                      523.4567
       G
       Att
                      974.0574
       Rsh_Yds
                     1512.7737
                     1399.2879
       Rsh_Avg
                     1661.0861
       Rsh_TD
                     1044.7728
       Rec
       Rec_Yds
                     1559.1485
                     1291.7112
       Rec_Avq
                      831.5700
       Rec_TD
       Plays 960.2410
[1] "Accuracy Scores - Random Forest"
       1] 0.516129
1] 0.6774194
          0.8387097
          c. Python Code
   B. # final project STAT 574
   С.
   D. import pandas
   E. from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor
   F. from sklearn.model selection import train test split
   G.
   H. # dataset
   I. nfl_data=pandas.read_csv(r'C:/Users/saedw/OneDrive/Desktop/STAT 574 Data
      Mining/Final Project - RB WAR/project_data.csv')
   J.
   K. nfl_data=nfl_data.drop('School', axis=1)
   L. nfl data=nfl data.drop('Conf', axis=1)
   Μ.
   N. # Random Forest Regression
   0. X=nfl_data.iloc[:,0:12].values
```

```
P. y=nfl data.iloc[:,12].values
Q.
R. #SPLITTING DATA INTO 80% TRAINING AND 20% TESTING SETS
S. X train, X test, y train, y test=train test split(X, y, test size=0.20,
T. random state=348644)
U.
V. #FITTING RANDOM FOREST REGRESSION TREE
W. rf reg=RandomForestRegressor(n estimators=100, random state=323445,
X. max depth=50, max features=4)
Y. rf reg.fit(X train, y train)
Ζ.
AA. #DISPLAYING VARIABLE IMPORTANCE
BB.from sklearn.ensemble import ExtraTreesClassifier
DD.var_names=pandas.DataFrame(['School_Count','Conf_Count','G','Att','Rsh Yds
   ', 'Rsh Avg', 'Rsh TD', 'Rec', 'Rec Yds', 'Rec Avg', 'Rec TD'
                                ,'Plays'], columns=['var_name'])
EE.
FF.loss_reduction=pandas.DataFrame(rf_reg.feature_importances_,
   columns=['loss reduction'])
GG.var importance=pandas.concat([var names, loss reduction], axis=1)
HH.var importance=var importance.sort values("loss reduction", axis=0,
   ascending=False)
II.print(var importance)
JJ.
KK. #COMPUTING PREDICTION ACCURACY FOR TESTING DATA
LL.y pred=rf reg.predict(X test)
MM.
NN.ind10=[]
00.ind15=[]
PP.ind20=[]
00.
RR.for sub1, sub2 in zip(y pred, y test):
       ind10.append(1) if abs(sub1-sub2)<0.10*sub2 else ind10.append(0)</pre>
       ind15.append(1) if abs(sub1-sub2)<0.15*sub2 else ind15.append(0)</pre>
TT.
UU.
       ind20.append(1) if abs(sub1-sub2)<0.20*sub2 else ind20.append(0)
VV.
WW. #accuracy within 10%
XX.accuracy10=sum(ind10)/len(ind10)
YY.print(accuracy10)
ZZ.
         #accuracy within 15%
AAA.
BBB.
         accuracy15=sum(ind15)/len(ind15)
CCC.
         print(accuracy15)
DDD.
EEE. #accuracy within 20%
```

```
FFF. accuracy20=sum(ind20)/len(ind20)

GGG. print(accuracy20)

HHH.
```

d. Python Output

```
var_name loss_reduction
4
         Rsh Yds
                        0.109390
          Rsh TD
6
                        0.107606
8
         Rec_Yds
                        0.105852
9
         Rec Avg
                        0.100319
5
         Rsh_Avg
                        0.098297
11
           Plays
                        0.080639
0
    School Count
                        0.080532
3
             Att
                        0.079207
7
             Rec
                        0.075545
10
          Rec TD
                        0.063123
1
      Conf_Count
                        0.051894
                        0.047595
0.6285714285714286
0.7142857142857143
```

e. SAS Code

0.8857142857142857

```
proc import out=nfl data
file="\\vdi-fileshare01\UEMprofiles\017365554\Desktop\STAT 574\STAT 574
Final\project data og.csv"
dbms=csv replace;
run;
proc print data=nfl data;
run;
/*SPLITTING DATA INTO 80% TRAINING AND 20% TESTING*/
proc surveyselect data=nfl data rate=0.8 seed=502305
out=nfl new outall method=srs;
run;
/* random forest regression model */
proc hpforest data=nfl new seed=109283
maxtrees=60 vars to try=4 trainfraction=0.7
maxdepth=50;
target grades offense/level=interval;
input School Conf/level=nominal;
input G Att Rsh Yds Rsh Avg Rsh TD Rec Rec Yds Rec Avg Rec TD
Plays/level=interval;
partition rolevar=selected(train='1');
save file='\\vdi-fileshare01\UEMprofiles\017365554\Desktop\STAT
574\random forest final.bin';
run;
```

```
/*COMPUTING PREDICTED VALUES FOR TESTING DATA*/
data test;
set nfl new;
if(selected='0');
run;
proc hp4score data=test;
id grades offense;
score file='\\vdi-fileshare01\UEMprofiles\017365554\Desktop\STAT
574\random forest final.bin'
out=predicted;
run;
/*DETERMINING 10%, 15%, AND 20% ACCURACY*/
data accuracy;
set predicted;
if(abs(grades offense-P grades offense)
<0.10*grades offense)
then ind10=1; else ind10=0;
if(abs(grades offense-P grades offense)
<0.15*grades offense)
then ind15=1; else ind15=0;
if(abs(grades offense-P grades offense)
<0.20*grades offense)
then ind20=1; else ind20=0;
run;
proc sql;
 select sum(ind10)/count(*) as accuracy10,
sum(ind15)/count(*) as accuracy15,
 sum(ind20)/count(*) as accuracy20
 from accuracy;
 quit;
      f. SAS Output
                          accuracy10 accuracy15 accuracy20
                            0.470588
                                       0.558824
                                                   0.764706
B. XG Boost Regression
      a. R Code
# XGBoost Regression Model #######
library(xgboost)
xg_data <- subset(final_data, select = c(School, Conf, G, Att, Rsh_Yds,</pre>
                    Rsh_Avg, Rsh_TD, Rec, Rec_Yds, Rec_Avg,
                    Rec TD, Plays, grades offense))
```

#SPLITTING DATA INTO 80% TRAINING AND 20% TESTING SETS

```
set.seed(109283)
sample <- sample(c(TRUE, FALSE), nrow(xg_data),</pre>
         replace = TRUE, prob = c(0.8, 0.2)
train <- xg_data[sample,]
test <- xg_data[!sample,]
# numerical value is dependent variable
train.x<- data.matrix(train[-13])
train.y<- data.matrix(train[13])</pre>
test.x<- data.matrix(test[-13])
test.y<- data.matrix(test[13])
# fit extreme gradient boosted regression tree
xgb reg <- xgboost(data = train.x, label = train.y, max.depth=6, eta=0.01,
          subsample=0.8, colsample_bytree=0.5, nrounds=1000,
          objective="reg:linear")
# display feature importance
print(xgb.importance(colnames(train.x), model = xgb reg))
# compute prediction accuracy for testing data
pred.y <- as.data.frame(predict(xgb_reg, test.x))</pre>
# accuracy scores
# 10%
accuracy10 <- ifelse(abs(test.y-pred.y) < 0.10*test.y,1,0)
accuracy15 <- ifelse(abs(test.y-pred.y) < 0.15*test.y,1,0)
# 20%
accuracy20 <- ifelse(abs(test.y-pred.y) < 0.20*test.y,1,0)
# print accuracy scores
print(mean(accuracy10))
print(mean(accuracy15))
print(mean(accuracy20))
        b. R Output
Feature
                             Gain
                                                 Cover
                                                                Frequency
 <chr>
                             <dbl>
                                                  <dbl>
                                                                       <dbl>
```

0.12945271

0.12880323

Rsh_Yds

0.10582604

```
Rsh_Avg
                0.11929768
                                 0.10772122
                                                 0.09896934
                0.11115524
                                 0.10477508
                                                 0.15283108
School
Rec_Avg
                0.10655149
                                 0.08594971
                                                 0.07615680
                0.10276505
                                 0.11609675
                                                 0.07891673
Rec Yds
Rsh_TD
                0.09632641
                                 0.10435047
                                                 0.07408685
Att
                0.07316946
                                 0.07805099
                                                 0.11164776
Rec
                0.07031693
                                 0.07111633
                                                 0.07154254
                0.06645264
                                 0.05496563
                                                 0.08258226
Conf
Plays
                0.05375977
                                 0.06352214
                                                 0.05248178
G
                    0.04057916
                                        0.04375185
                                                            0.06309026
Rec_TD
                    0.03082294
                                        0.04024711
                                                            0.03186856
> print(mean(accuracy10))
[1] 0.483871
> print(mean(accuracy15))
[1] 0.6129032
> print(mean(accuracy20))
[1] 0.7741935
```

c. Python Codes

```
# xqboost regression
from sklearn.ensemble import GradientBoostingRegressor
#SPLITTING DATA INTO 80% TRAINING AND 20% TESTING SETS
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test=train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.20,
random state=348644)
#FITTING GRADIENT BOOSTED REGRESSION TREE
gbreg params = {'n estimators': 1000, 'max depth': 6, 'learning rate': 0.01,
'loss': 'squared error'}
gb reg=GradientBoostingRegressor(**gbreg params)
gb_reg.fit(X_train, y_train)
#DISPLAYING VARIABLE IMPORTANCE
var_names=pandas.DataFrame(['School_Count','Conf_Count','G','Att','Rsh_Yds',
'Rsh Avg', 'Rsh TD', 'Rec', 'Rec Yds', 'Rec Avg', 'Rec TD'
                            ,'Plays'], columns=['var name'])
loss reduction=pandas.DataFrame(gb reg.feature importances ,
columns=['loss reduction'])
var_importance=pandas.concat([var_names, loss_reduction], axis=1)
var importance=var importance.sort values("loss reduction", axis=0,
ascending=False)
print(var importance)
```

```
#COMPUTING PREDICTION ACCURACY FOR TESTING DATA
y_pred=gb_reg.predict(X_test)
ind10=[]
ind15=[]
ind20=[]
for sub1, sub2 in zip(y pred, y test):
    ind10.append(1) if abs(sub1-sub2)<0.10*sub2 else ind10.append(0)</pre>
    ind15.append(1) if abs(sub1-sub2)<0.15*sub2 else ind15.append(0)</pre>
    ind20.append(1) if abs(sub1-sub2)<0.20*sub2 else ind20.append(0)</pre>
#accuracy within 10%
accuracy10=sum(ind10)/len(ind10)
print(accuracy10)
#accuracy within 15%
accuracy15=sum(ind15)/len(ind15)
print(accuracy15)
#accuracy within 20%
accuracy20=sum(ind20)/len(ind20)
print(accuracy20)
      d. Python Output
```

```
var name loss reduction
4
        Rsh_Yds
                    0.140416
6
        Rsh_TD
                      0.136628
5
        Rsh_Avg
                    0.119844
                    0.107342
8
        Rec_Yds
9
        Rec Avg
                    0.094537
0
  School_Count
                    0.093963
10
        Rec_TD
                    0.059058
1
    Conf Count
                    0.058577
          Plays
11
                    0.053608
7
                    0.053425
           Rec
3
            Att
                    0.048302
2
                      0.034299
0.5428571428571428
0.6857142857142857
0.8285714285714286
     e. SAS Codes
```

SAS Code

proc import out=sasuser.nfl data

```
file="\\vdi-fileshare01\UEMprofiles\017365554\Desktop\STAT 574\STAT 574
Final\project data og.csv"
dbms=csv replace;
run;
/*Gradient boosted regression model is built
in Enterprise Miner*/
libname hw2q1 "\vdi-fileshare01\UEMprofiles\017365554\Desktop\STAT 574\STAT
574 Final\Final XGBoost\Workspaces\EMWS1\emsave";
data accuracy;
set hw2q1.em save test;
ind10=(abs(R_grades_offense)<0.10*grades_offense);</pre>
ind15=(abs(R grades offense)<0.15*grades offense);</pre>
ind20=(abs(R grades offense)<0.20*grades offense);</pre>
run;
proc sql;
select sum(ind10)/count(*) as accuracy10,
sum(ind15)/count(*) as accuracy15,
sum(ind20)/count(*) as accuracy20
from accuracy;
quit;
      f. SAS Output
                        accuracy10 accuracy15 accuracy20
                          0.514286
                                    0.628571
                                               0.771429
C. Predictions
      a. R Code
## Predictions on New Rookie Class ###
# pred_data - 2023 rookie running back class - subset to needed variables
sub_pred <- subset(pred_data, select = c(School, Conf, G, Att, Rsh_Yds,
                   Rsh_Avg, Rsh_TD, Rec, Rec_Yds, Rec_Avg,
                   Rec_TD, Plays))
pred_2023 <- predict(randfor, newdata = sub_pred)</pre>
rb_23_class <- as.data.frame(pred_2023)
#### Merge with player names ####
# create dummy variable for to merge player names
for(i in 1:nrow(rb_23_class)){
```

```
rb_23_class$row_count[i] <- i
# sub pred data to player names ##
player_pred <- subset(pred_data, select = c(Player))</pre>
# create dummy variable for to merge player names
for(i in 1:nrow(player_pred)){
player pred$row count[i] <- i
}
# merge pred with player names by row count
predictions <- merge(player_pred, rb_23_class, by.x = "row_count",
         by.y = "row_count", all=TRUE)
# convert sub pred to xgb readable matrix
mat_pred <- xgb.DMatrix(as.matrix(sub_pred))</pre>
# compute prediction accuracy for testing data
xg_23_pred <- as.data.frame(predict(xgb_reg, mat_pred))</pre>
mat_xg_23_pred <- as.data.frame(xg_23_pred)
for(i in 1:nrow(mat_xg_23_pred)){
mat_xg_23_pred$row_count[i] <- i
both preds <- merge(predictions, mat xg 23 pred, by.x = "row count",
         by.y = "row_count", all=TRUE)
both preds <- both preds %>% dplyr::rename("Random Forest Prediction"=pred 2023,
                    "XGBoost Prediction"="predict(xgb_reg, mat_pred)")
write.csv(both preds,"C:/Users/saedw/OneDrive/Desktop/STAT 574 Data Mining/Final Project - RB
WAR/r_predictions.csv", row.names = FALSE)
      b. Python Code
# Predictions for 2023 RB Class
# prediction data
```

```
pred data=pandas.read csv(r"C:/Users/saedw/OneDrive/Desktop/STAT 574 Data
Mining/Final Project - RB WAR/RB pred data.csv")
player info=pred data[['Player']]
# data cleaning - drop unused columns
pred data=pred data.drop('Player', axis=1)
pred_data=pred_data.drop('Rk', axis=1)
pred data=pred data.drop('Yds', axis=1)
pred_data=pred_data.drop('Avg', axis=1)
pred data=pred data.drop('TD', axis=1)
pred data=pred data.drop('Year', axis=1)
pred data.fillna(0, inplace=True)
#compute predictions for new data Random Forest
rf pred=rf reg.predict(pred data)
#compute predictions for new data XGBoost
xg_pred=gb_reg.predict(pred_data)
rf df=pandas.DataFrame(rf pred, columns=['Random Forest Prediction'])
xgb_df=pandas.DataFrame(xg_pred, columns=['XGBoost Prediction'])
# create id column for pred df and player info
rf df["id"] = rf df.index + 1
xgb df["id"] = xgb df.index + 1
player_info["id"] = player_info.index + 1
# merge prediction and player name dataframes
total_pred=pandas.merge(pandas.merge(player_info,rf_df,on='id'),xgb_df,on='id')
total pred.to csv (r'C:/Users/saedw/OneDrive/Desktop/STAT 574 Data Mining/Final
Project - RB WAR/pyth_predictions.csv', index = False, header=True)
```

c. R and Python Output

Running Backs	Random Forest - Python	Random Forest - R	XGB – Python	XGB - R	

Bijan Robinson				
	64.10	65.16	65.47	61.25
Jahmyr Gibbs	65.89	65.99	63.63	60.72
Roschon Johnson	64.38	67.68	69.66	67.63
Devon Achane	59.46	57.39	61.77	59.44
Tyjae Spears	66.03	61.56	67.53	71.38
Zach Charbonnet	63.55	60.16	62.76	60.59
Tank Bigsby	58.99	58.12	59.18	53.71
Zach Evans	63.82	66.24	62.24	55.37
Eric Gray	59.65	61.12	62.60	51.89
Kendre Miller	65.56	69.22	65.44	58.33

VII. References

- 1. "2022 College Football Rushing Stats." *College Football at Sports-Reference.com*, www.sports-reference.com/cfb/years/2022-rushing.html. Accessed 3 May 2023.
- 2. "PFF Player Grades." PFF, www.pff.com/grades.
- 3. "NFL and AFL Draft History | Pro-Football-Reference.com." *Pro-Football-Reference.com*, 2000, www.pro-football-reference.com/draft/.