

Round 1B 2016

- [A. Getting the Digits](#)
- [B. Close Match](#)
- C. Technobabble**

[Contest Analysis](#)

[Questions asked](#)

Submissions	
Getting the Digits	
11pt	Not attempted 7826/9436 users correct (83%)
12pt	Not attempted 6839/7763 users correct (88%)
Close Match	
10pt	Not attempted 2847/6107 users correct (47%)
23pt	Not attempted 938/1528 users correct (61%)
Technobabble	
14pt	Not attempted 1558/4118 users correct (38%)
30pt	Not attempted 568/733 users correct (77%)

Top Scores	
ikatanic	100
rng..58	100
Anta0	100
EgorKulikov	100
simonlindholm	100
Snuke	100
enot.1.10	100
zerokugi	100
mk.al13n	100
bmerry	100

Problem C. Technobabble

This contest is open for practice. You can try every problem as many times as you like, though we won't keep track of which problems you solve. Read the [Quick-Start Guide](#) to get started.

Small input
14 points

Solve C-small

Large input
30 points

Solve C-large

Problem

Every year, your professor posts a blank sign-up sheet for a prestigious scientific research conference on her door. If a student wants to give a lecture at the conference, they choose a two-word topic that is not already on the sheet and write it on the sheet. Once the deadline has passed, the professor has one of her grad students put the topics in a random order, to avoid biasing for or against students who signed up earlier. Then she presents the topics to you for review.

Since the snacks at the conference are excellent, some students try to fake their way into the conference. They choose the first word of some topic already on the sheet and the second word of some topic already on the sheet, and combine them (putting the first word first, and the second word second) to create a new "topic" (as long as it isn't already on the sheet). Since your professor is open-minded, sometimes this strategy actually works!

The fakers are completely unoriginal and can't come up with any new first or second words on their own; they must use existing ones from the sheet. Moreover, they won't try to use an existing first word as their own second word (unless the word also already exists on the sheet as a second word), or vice versa.

You have a list of all **N** of the submitted topics, in some arbitrary order; you don't know the order in which they were actually written on the sheet. What's the largest number of them that could have been faked?

Input

The first line of the input gives the number of test cases, **T**. **T** test cases follow. Each consists of one line with an integer **N**, followed by **N** lines, each of which represents a different topic and has two strings of uppercase English letters: the two words of the topic, in order.

Output

For each test case, output one line containing Case #*x*: *y*, where *x* is the test case number (starting from 1) and *y* is an integer: the largest number of topics that could have possibly been faked.

Limits

1 ≤ **T** ≤ 100.
1 ≤ length of each word ≤ 20.
No topic is repeated within a case.

Small dataset

1 ≤ **N** ≤ 16.

Large dataset

1 ≤ **N** ≤ 1000.

Sample

Input	Output
3	Case #1: 1
3	Case #2: 0
HYDROCARBON COMBUSTION	Case #3: 0
QUAIL BEHAVIOR	
QUAIL COMBUSTION	
3	
CODE JAM	
SPACE JAM	
PEARL JAM	
2	
INTERGALACTIC PLANETARY	
PLANETARY INTERGALACTIC	

In sample case #1, one possibility is that the topics were added to the sheet in this order:

QUAIL BEHAVIOR (real)
HYDROCARBON COMBUSTION (real)
QUAIL COMBUSTION (fake)

There is no scenario in which more than one of the topics can be fake.

In sample case #2, all of the topics must be real. Whatever order they were written in, at no point would it have been possible to use existing words to create a new topic that was not already on the list.

In sample case #3, neither topic can be fake. For example, if INTERGALACTIC PLANETARY had been the first and only topic written on the sheet, a faker could only have used INTERGALACTIC as the first word of a new topic and could only have used PLANETARY as the second word of a new topic... but the only topic that the faker could have formed would have been INTERGALACTIC PLANETARY, which would have been off limits since it was already on the sheet. So PLANETARY INTERGALACTIC must have also been a real topic.

All problem statements, input data and contest analyses are licensed under the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#).

© 2008-2017 Google [Google Home](#) - [Terms and Conditions](#) - [Privacy Policies and Principles](#)

Powered by



Google Cloud Platform