# 07 - Confidence Regions for the Mean

Junvie Pailden

SIUE, F2017, Stat 589

September 10, 2017

#### Confidence Regions for $\mu$

- The set of of all  $\mu$  satisfying this inequality form an ellipsoid.
- For p > 3, this is hard to visualize and so this equality is of more mathematical interest than of practical use.
- The hypothesized mean value  $\mu_0$  lies within the confidence region if the computed the generalized square distance satisfies

$$n(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \mu_0)' \mathbf{S}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \mu_0) \le \frac{(n-1)p}{(n-p)} F_{p,n-p}(\alpha)$$

This approach is analogous to testing

$$H_0: \mu = \mu_0 \text{ vs } H_0: \mu \neq \mu_0$$

where  $T^2$ -test would not reject  $H_0$  when

$$T^2 \le \frac{(n-1)p}{(n-p)} F_{p,n-p}(\alpha).$$

### Simultaneous Confidence Statements for $\mathbf{a}'\mu$

- A  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  simultaneous confidence intervals involving the  $(p\times 1)$  vector  ${\bf a}$  for  ${\bf a}'\mu$  is

$$\mathbf{a}'\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)p}{(n-p)}} F_{p,n-p}(\alpha) \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{a}'\mathbf{S}\mathbf{a}}{n}} \le \mathbf{a}'\mu \le \mathbf{a}'\bar{\mathbf{X}} + \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)p}{(n-p)}} F_{p,n-p}(\alpha) \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{a}'\mathbf{S}\mathbf{a}}{n}}$$

• The Simultaneous  $T^2$  confidence intervals for all  $\mathbf{a}'\mu$  are just the shadows (projection), of the confidence ellipsoid on the component axes.

In particular, if we let  $\mathbf{a}'=[0,\dots,0,1,0,\dots,0]$  where 1 is on the ith row of  $\mathbf{a}$ , then a  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  confidence interval for  $\mathbf{a}'\mu=\mu_i$  (p=1) is

$$\bar{X}_i - \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)p}{(n-p)}} F_{p,n-p}(\alpha) \sqrt{\frac{s_{ii}}{n}} \le \mu_i \le$$

$$\bar{X}_i + \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)p}{(n-p)}} F_{p,n-p}(\alpha) \sqrt{\frac{s_{ii}}{n}}$$

$$\bar{X}_i - \sqrt{F_{1,n-1}(\alpha)} \sqrt{\frac{s_{ii}}{n}} \le \mu_i \le \bar{X}_i + \sqrt{F_{1,n-1}(\alpha)} \sqrt{\frac{s_{ii}}{n}}$$

$$\bar{X}_i - t_{n-1}(\alpha/2)\sqrt{\frac{s_{ii}}{n}} \le \mu_i \le \bar{X}_i + t_{n-1}(\alpha/2)\sqrt{\frac{s_{ii}}{n}}$$

## Simultaneous Confidence Statements for $\mathbf{a}'\mu$

• We can also make statements about the differences  $\mu_i - \mu_k$  corresponding to  $\mathbf{a}' = [0, \dots, 0, a_i, 0, \dots, a_k, \dots, 0]$ , where  $a_i = 1$  and  $a_k = -1$ . In this case (p = 2),  $\mathbf{a}'\mathbf{S}\mathbf{a} = s_{ii} - 2s_{ik} + s_{kk}$ , we have the interval

$$(\bar{X}_{i} - \bar{X}_{k}) - \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)p}{(n-p)}} F_{p,n-p}(\alpha) \sqrt{\frac{s_{ii} - 2s_{ik} + s_{kk}}{n}} \le \mu_{i} \le (\bar{X}_{i} - \bar{X}_{k}) + \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)p}{(n-p)}} F_{p,n-p}(\alpha) \sqrt{\frac{s_{ii} - 2s_{ik} + s_{kk}}{n}}$$

- Which set of intervals is better (smaller) depends on the relative sizes of n and p, and even the number of means compared, say  $m \mu_i$ 's.
- Perhaps the best way is to calculate both sets (critical values) and use the set yielding the narrower intervals.

#### Patients Example : Simultaneous Statenents

Test to see if  $\mu_2 = \mu_5$ .

$$H_0: \mu_2 = \mu_5$$
 vs  $H_1: \mu_2 \neq \mu_5$ 

```
patients <- read.csv("patients.csv", header=TRUE)
(Xbar <- colMeans(patients))</pre>
```

```
# WEIGHT FASTING GLUCOSE INSULIN RESIST
# 0.92 90.41 340.83 171.37 97.78
```

```
S <- cov(patients) # cov matrix
n <- nrow(patients)
```

We want to see if  $\mu_2 = \mu_5$ .

$$H_0: \mu_2 = \mu_5$$
 vs  $H_1: \mu_2 \neq \mu_5$ 

# [1] 6.6

```
a <- c(0,1,0,0,-1)
Ybar <- t(a)%*%Xbar
SY <- t(a)%*%S%*%a
LL <- Ybar - sqrt(cval)*sqrt(SY/n)
UL <- Ybar + sqrt(cval)*sqrt(SY/n)
data.frame(Mean.D = Ybar, Lower.lim = LL, Upper.lim = UL)</pre>
```

# Mean.D Lower.lim Upper.lim # 1 -7.4 -25 11

The 95% simultaneous confidence interval for mu2-mu5 is (-25, 11). Since 0 is inside the confidence interval, then it is plausible that H0 holds.

#### Bonferroni Method of Multiple Comparisons

- Suppose prior to the collection of data, confidence statementts about m linear combinations  $\mathbf{a}'_1\mu, \mathbf{a}'_2\mu, \dots, \mathbf{a}'_m\mu$  are required.
- Let  $C_i$  denote the confidence statement about he value  $\mathbf{a}_i'\mu$  with  $P(C_i \text{ true}) = 1 \alpha_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

$$P[\mathsf{all}\,C_i\,\mathsf{true}] \geq 1 - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i$$

- A special case of the Bonferroni allows the investigator to control the overall error rate  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i$ , regardless of the correlation structure.
- We consider the individual t-intervals

$$\bar{X}_i \pm t_{n-1} \left(\frac{\alpha_i}{2}\right) \sqrt{\frac{s_{ii}}{n}}, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

where  $\alpha_i = \alpha/m$ .

bct <- CI(bc.tci,Xbar,S,n)
# Hotelling T2-intervals
T2 <- CI(T2ci,Xbar,S,n)</pre>

```
# t.LL t.UL Bonf.t.LL Bonf.t.UL T2.LL T2.UL # WEIGHT 0.88 0.96 0.87 0.97 0.85 0.99 # FASTING 87.92 92.91 87.08 93.74 85.88 94.95 # GLUCOSE 330.95 350.70 327.64 354.02 322.87 358.78 # INSULIN 156.88 185.86 152.02 190.72 145.02 197.72 # RESIST 84.06 111.51 79.45 116.11 72.83 122.74
```

#### Comparison of Interval Widths

```
# t.width Bonf.t.width T2.width
# WEIGHT 0.076 0.1 0.14
# FASTING 4.989 6.7 9.07
# GLUCOSE 19.756 26.4 35.92
# INSULIN 28.986 38.7 52.70
# RESIST 27.452 36.7 49.91
```

#### Observations

- In general, the width of  $T^2$ -intervals, relative to t and bonferroni corrected t intervals, increases as p increases (for fixed p).
- The confidence level associated with any collection of  $T^2$ -intervals, for fixed n and p, is  $1-\alpha$ , and the overall confidence associated with a collection of t intervals, for the same n, can be much less.
- The bonferroni correction guarantees that overall confidence level is greater than or equal to 0.95.
- Because Bonferroni correction is easy to apply and provide relatively short confidence intervals; it often used in practice.