

time	source	#chars
0.107	487614	1000
0.204	487614	2000
0.302	487614	8000
1.440	487614	16000
2.825	487614	32000
5.674	487614	64000

1) Yes they do, the timings are represented by $O(NT)$ which is confirmed when keeping T fixed and N as the dependent variable, the growth is linear, as well as when you keep N fixed and T variable, but when both are variable, the growth is quadratic.

2) The timings seen by both are linear when the other is held constant. When T is constant, time increases by 0.0169 on average $(0.193-0.024/10)$ for every increment in size of characters $(+487614)$ representing a *linear* increase.

0.024	487614	4096
0.041	975228	4096
0.062	1462842	4096
0.091	1950456	4096
0.100	2438070	4096
0.134	2925684	4096
0.148	3413298	4096
0.159	3900912	4096
0.185	4388526	4096
0.193	4876140	4096

3)

4) I found it interesting how different algorithms were more efficient for large datasets and then also small datasets. I assumed algorithms that are fast for big sets would also be very quick on small sets, but this is not the case. There is also jack of all trades algorithms that do pretty well on most data sets such as GPT-3, but gets beat out by the more specific ones such as GPT-3-175B.

I liked the part in the article that discussed AI learning upon itself, and in particular the quote “AI will be able to teach itself and thus improve upon its intelligence.” This is both scary and exciting at the same time. AI will create avenues for innovation we have never seen before, and if it can be created in a way that is safe it could push humanity forward so far.

