

**Homework 2**  
**Due Friday, October 23**

**Note:** Every time we use  $\log$  in this homework, we refer to natural  $\log$ .

**Problem 1.** Derive the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\theta$  based on the samples  $\mathcal{X}$ :

(a)  $p(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} \exp(-\frac{(x-2)^2}{2\theta^2}), \theta > 0$ :

We know that the likelihood function for  $\mathcal{X}$  is

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} \exp(-\frac{(x_i - 2)^2}{2\theta^2})$$

We can simplify it by calculating the product for each part:

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X}) = (\frac{1}{2\pi})^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{\theta^n} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - 2)^2}{2\theta^2}\right)$$

Take the  $\log$  of the function:

$$\log(\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X})) = -\log(2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}\theta^n) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - 2)^2}{2\theta^2}$$

Or equivalently:

$$\log(\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X})) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - n \log(\theta) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - 2)^2}{2\theta^2}$$

Now, we take the derivative of the function with respect to  $\theta$  and set it equal to 0:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log(\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X})) = -\frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - 2)^2}{\theta^3} = 0$$

Now, let's multiply both sides by  $\theta$  and add  $n$  to get:

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - 2)^2}{\theta^2} = n$$

From this point, we can rearrange the equation by multiplying both sides by  $\frac{\theta^2}{n}$  and taking the square root:

$$\theta = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - 2)^2}{n}}$$

Hence, we derived the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\theta$  in (a).

(b)  $p(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} \exp(-\frac{x}{\theta}), 0 \leq x < \infty, \theta > 0$ :

We know that the likelihood function for  $\mathcal{X}$  is

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} \exp\left(-\frac{x_i}{\theta}\right)$$

Applying the product for each term:

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X}) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta}\right)$$

Let's take the log of the likelihood function:

$$\log(\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X})) = -n \log(\theta) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta}$$

Now, take the derivative of the function with respect to  $\theta$  and set it equal to 0:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log(\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X})) = -\frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta^2} = 0$$

Multiply both sides by  $\theta$ , add then add  $n$ . Then, multiply by  $\frac{\theta}{n}$  to get:

$$\theta = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n} = \bar{x}$$

Hence, we derived the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\theta$  in (b).

(c)  $p(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{2\theta^3}x^2 \exp(-\frac{x}{\theta}), 0 \leq x < \infty, \theta > 0$ :

We know that the likelihood function for  $\mathcal{X}$  is

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2\theta^3} x_i^2 \exp\left(-\frac{x_i}{\theta}\right)$$

Applying the product for each term:

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X}) = \frac{1}{2^n \theta^{3n}} \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta}\right)$$

Let's take the log of the likelihood function:

$$\log(\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X})) = -3n \log(\theta) - n \log(2) + \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta}$$

Simplify by using properties of logarithm, we get:

$$\log(\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X})) = -3n \log(\theta) - n \log(2) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta}$$

Now, take the derivative of the function with respect to  $\theta$  and set it equal to 0:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log(\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X})) = -\frac{3n}{\theta} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta^2} = 0$$

Now we multiply both sides by  $\theta$ , add  $3n$  and rearrange to get:

$$\theta = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{3n}$$

Hence, we derived the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\theta$  in (c).

(d)  $p(x|\theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1}, 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 < \theta < \infty$ :

We know that the likelihood function for  $\mathcal{X}$  is

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta x_i^{\theta-1}$$

Applying the product for each term:

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X}) = \theta^n \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\theta-1}$$

Let's take the log of the likelihood function:

$$\log(\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X})) = \log(\theta^n) \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i^{\theta-1})$$

Simplify by using the log identity:

$$\log(\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X})) = n \log(\theta) + (\theta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i)$$

Now, take the derivative of the function with respect to  $\theta$  and set it equal to 0:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log(\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X})) = \frac{n}{\theta} + \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i) = 0$$

Then we multiply both sides by  $\theta$ , subtract  $n$  and rearrange to get equation for  $\theta$ :

$$\theta = -\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i)}$$

Hence, we derived the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\theta$  in (d).

(e)  $p(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}, 0 \leq x \leq \theta, \theta > 0$ :

We know that the likelihood function for  $\mathcal{X}$  is

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} = \frac{1}{\theta^n}$$

Let's take the log of the likelihood function:

$$\log(\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X})) = -n \log(\theta)$$

Now, take the derivative of the function with respect to  $\theta$ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log(\mathcal{L}(\theta|\mathcal{X})) = \frac{-n}{\theta}$$

From the equation above, it follows that the log-likelihood and the likelihood is a decreasing function. In order to maximize it, we would need to minimize  $\theta$ . Given the constraints  $0 \leq x \leq \theta, \theta > 0$ , we should be able to minimize  $\theta$  by setting it to the maximum of the  $x_i$  values. Although the maximum may not occur in this interval, we can still maximize the likelihood within the interval.

Hence, we showed how to get the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\theta$  in (e).

## Problem 2.

(a) Derive the maximum likelihood estimates for the mean  $\mu$  and covariance  $\Sigma$  based on the sample set  $\mathcal{X}$ .

First, we will need the following three formulas from The Matrix Cookbook:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} = (x - s)^T \mathbf{W}(x - s) = -2\mathbf{W}(x - s) \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln |\det(\mathbf{X})|}{\partial \mathbf{X}} = (\mathbf{X}^{-1})^T = (\mathbf{X}^T)^{-1} \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial a^T \mathbf{X} a}{\partial \mathbf{X}} = \frac{\partial a^T \mathbf{X}^T a}{\partial \mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^T \quad (3)$$

(In the book, these are equations 86, 57 and 72 respectively. I listed them here to avoid references to the book.)

We have the likelihood function as the joint density for  $\mu$  and  $\Sigma$ :

$$\mathcal{L} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x_i - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x_i - \mu)\right)$$

Apply the product for each term:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{nd}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{n}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x_i - \mu)\right)$$

Now we take the log and then simplify the equation using log properties to get:

$$\log(\mathcal{L}) = -\frac{nd}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log(|\Sigma|) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x_i - \mu)$$

First, we find the maximum likelihood estimate for  $\mu$ . For that, we will take the partial derivative with respect to  $\mu$  and set it to 0. After that, we can apply the equation (1) from above to simplify:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \log(\mathcal{L}(\mu|\mathcal{X})) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n [-2\Sigma^{-1}(x_i - \mu)] = 0$$

Let's further simplify the equation by dividing both sides by  $\Sigma^{-1}$  and rewriting it:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log(\mathcal{L}(\mu|\mathcal{X})) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu) = 0$$

Now we can expand the sum and add  $n\mu$  to both sides. Then we will divide by  $n$  to obtain  $\mu$ :

$$\mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \bar{x}$$

Similarly, we now consider the log-likelihood function for  $\Sigma$ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log(\mathcal{L}(\Sigma|\mathcal{X})) = -\frac{nd}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log(|\Sigma|) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x_i - \mu)$$

Using properties of log, we can rewrite the equation as:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log(\mathcal{L}(\Sigma|\mathcal{X})) = -\frac{nd}{2} \log(2\pi) + \frac{n}{2} \log(|\Sigma^{-1}|) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x_i - \mu)$$

Now, we take the derivative with respect to  $\Sigma^{-1}$  and use equations (2) and (3) to arrive at:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \Sigma^{-1}} \log(\mathcal{L}(\Sigma|\mathcal{X})) = \frac{n}{2} \Sigma - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n [(x_i - \mu)(x_i - \mu)^T] = 0$$

From here, we can rewrite the equation by multiplying each side by 2, adding the sum to both sides and divide by  $n$  to get the equation for  $\Sigma$ :

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [(x_i - \mu)(x_i - \mu)^T]$$

Hence, we derived the maximum likelihood estimates for the mean  $\mu$  and covariance  $\Sigma$  based on the sample set  $\mathcal{X}$ .

(b) Let  $\hat{\mu}_n$  be the estimate of the mean.

Let's compute  $E[\hat{\mu}_n]$ :

$$E[\hat{\mu}_n] = E\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n}\right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[x_i] = \frac{n\mu}{n} = \mu$$

Hence,  $\hat{\mu}_n$  is an **unbiased** estimate of the true mean  $\mu$ .

(c) Let  $\hat{\Sigma}_n$  be the estimate of the covariance.

Let's compute  $E[\hat{\Sigma}_n]$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
E[\hat{\Sigma}] &= E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [(x_i - \mu)(x_i - \mu)^T]\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{n} E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n [(x_i - \mu)(x_i - \mu)^T]\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[(x_i - \mu)(x_i - \mu)^T] \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[x_i x_i^T] - n E[\mu \mu^T] \\
&= \frac{n-1}{n} \Sigma \\
&\neq \Sigma
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Therefore,  $\hat{\Sigma}_n$  is a **biased** estimate of the true covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ . However, from the formula, it is evident that the greater  $n$  we have, the more accurate the estimate is. In other words, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\hat{\Sigma}_n \rightarrow \Sigma$ .

### Problem 3.

**Note:** I added some random noise to Digits dataset in order to avoid singular covariance matrices. The value of epsilon is  $10^{-6}$ , so it shouldn't affect the output in any significant way. The implementation of it can be found in *datasets.py* file.

### Summary of results:

| MultiGaussClassify with full covariance matrix on Boston50 |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Fold 1   | Fold 2 | Fold 3 | Fold 4 | Fold 5 | Mean   | SD     |
| 0.1569   | 0.2178 | 0.2178 | 0.1584 | 0.2574 | 0.2017 | 0.0388 |

| MultiGaussClassify with full covariance matrix on Boston25 |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Fold 1   | Fold 2 | Fold 3 | Fold 4 | Fold 5 | Mean   | SD     |
| 0.1176   | 0.0990 | 0.1386 | 0.0693 | 0.1287 | 0.1107 | 0.0245 |

| MultiGaussClassify with full covariance matrix on Digits |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Fold 1   | Fold 2 | Fold 3 | Fold 4 | Fold 5 | Mean   | SD     |
| 0.1111   | 0.1556 | 0.1003 | 0.0780 | 0.0808 | 0.1051 | 0.0280 |



| MultiGaussClassify with diagonal covariance matrix on Boston50 |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Fold 1   | Fold 2 | Fold 3 | Fold 4 | Fold 5 | Mean   | SD     |
| 0.1275   | 0.1881 | 0.2772 | 0.1980 | 0.2178 | 0.2017 | 0.0483 |

| MultiGaussClassify with diagonal covariance matrix on Boston25 |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Fold 1   | Fold 2 | Fold 3 | Fold 4 | Fold 5 | Mean   | SD     |
| 0.2059   | 0.1386 | 0.1584 | 0.0990 | 0.1089 | 0.1422 | 0.0382 |

| MultiGaussClassify with diagonal covariance matrix on Digits |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Fold 1   | Fold 2 | Fold 3 | Fold 4 | Fold 5 | Mean   | SD     |
| 0.5556   | 0.5083 | 0.4513 | 0.3928 | 0.4568 | 0.4729 | 0.0552 |

| Logistic Regression on Boston50 |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Fold 1                          | Fold 2 | Fold 3 | Fold 4 | Fold 5 | Mean   | SD     |
| 0.0686                          | 0.1881 | 0.1386 | 0.1287 | 0.1980 | 0.1444 | 0.0465 |

| Logistic Regression on Boston25 |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Fold 1                          | Fold 2 | Fold 3 | Fold 4 | Fold 5 | Mean   | SD     |
| 0.1078                          | 0.0990 | 0.1485 | 0.1287 | 0.0792 | 0.1127 | 0.0240 |

| Logistic Regression on Digits |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Fold 1                        | Fold 2 | Fold 3 | Fold 4 | Fold 5 | Mean   | SD     |
| 0.0444                        | 0.0306 | 0.0474 | 0.0306 | 0.0306 | 0.0367 | 0.0075 |