

**Homework 4**  
**Due Friday, December 11**

**Problem 1.** (30 points) Let  $\mathcal{X} = \{\mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^N\}$  with  $\mathbf{x}^t \in \mathbb{R}^D, t = 1, \dots, N$  be a given training set. Assume that the dataset is centered, i.e.,  $\sum_{t=1}^N \mathbf{x}^t = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ . We focus on performing linear dimensionality reduction on the dataset using PCA (principal component analysis). With PCA, for each  $\mathbf{x}^t \in \mathbb{R}^D$ , we get  $\mathbf{z}^t = W\mathbf{x}^t$ , where  $\mathbf{z}^t \in \mathbb{R}^d, d < D$ , is the low dimensional projection, and  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times D}$  is the PCA projection matrix. Let  $\Sigma = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N \mathbf{x}^t (\mathbf{x}^t)^T$  be the sample covariance matrix. Further, let  $\mathbf{v}^t = W^T \mathbf{z}^t$  so that  $\mathbf{v}^t \in \mathbb{R}^D$ .

(a) Professor HighLowHigh's statement is NOT correct.

Consider

$$\mathbf{z}^t = W\mathbf{x}^t \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v}^t = W^T \mathbf{z}^t$$

We are given that the matrix  $W$  is the projection matrix such that  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times D}, d < D$ .

The equation

$$\mathbf{z}^t = W\mathbf{x}^t$$

is the projection from the original space to a new subspace.

The equation

$$\mathbf{v}^t = W^T \mathbf{z}^t$$

is the projection from the subspace back to the original space.

Hence, we can write it as

$$\mathbf{v}^t = W^T W \mathbf{x}^t$$

This equation implies that we can have  $\mathbf{v}^t = \mathbf{x}^t$  only if  $W^T W$  is an identity matrix, i.e.  $W^T W = I$ . However, from the definition of identity matrices, for  $W^T W$  to be an identity matrix,  $W$  has to be an orthogonal square matrix,

so we would have  $W^T = W^{-1}$ . But the definition of the problem states what  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times D}$ ,  $d < D$ . Therefore,  $W$  can't be orthogonal, since it isn't a square matrix. Then it follows that  $W^T W$  is not an identity matrix, i.e.  $W^T W \neq I$ . Hence, we have that

$$\mathbf{v}^t \neq \mathbf{x}^t$$

Thus, as we stated above, Professor HighLowHigh's statement is false.

(b) This statement is correct.

Let's consider a couple of different cases:

- If the projection matrix  $W$  is formed from all covariance matrix eigenvalues and all the eigenvalues are distinct, then the transformation  $W^T W$  is an identity matrix  $I$  and hence

$$\mathbf{v}^t = \mathbf{x}^t$$

Therefore, we can rewrite

$$\sum_{t=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}^t\|_2^2 - \sum_{t=1}^N \|\mathbf{v}^t\|_2^2 = \sum_{t=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{v}^t\|_2^2$$

as

$$\sum_{t=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}^t\|_2^2 - \sum_{t=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}^t\|_2^2 = \sum_{t=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{x}^t\|_2^2 = 0$$

which is trivially true.

- If  $d < D$ , then we know that transformation to a lower dimensional subspace is implicit and hence some information is lost. The transformation  $\mathbf{z} = W\mathbf{x}^t$  yields an orthogonal projection to the lower dimensional subspace.

The transformation back to the original space,  $\mathbf{v} = W^T \mathbf{z}^t$ , loses no more information from the lower dimensional subspace and therefore  $\|\mathbf{z}^t\| = \|\mathbf{v}^t\|$ .

Given that no information is lost in transformation from the lower dimensional subspace to the original space, we can imagine our problem geometrically, with  $\|\mathbf{x}\|$  and  $\|\mathbf{v}\|$  being two vectors (imagine that  $\|\mathbf{v}\|$  spans along x-axis, for convenience) and  $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\|$  being a distance between their endpoints.

Observe that we can use the Pythagoras' Theorem, if we prove that  $\mathbf{v}^t \perp (\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{v}^t)$ .

Remember that if any two vectors are orthogonal, then their inner product is 0. That is,

$$(\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{v}^t) \cdot \mathbf{v}^t = 0$$

We know that  $\mathbf{v}^t = W^T W \mathbf{x}^t$ . Let's try to show that the inner product is 0 by using this fact:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{v}^t) \cdot \mathbf{v}^t &= \\ (\mathbf{x}^t - W^T W \mathbf{x}^t) \cdot W^T W \mathbf{x}^t &= \\ \mathbf{x}^t W^T W \mathbf{x}^t - (W^T W \mathbf{x}^t)(W^T W \mathbf{x}^t) &= \\ \mathbf{x}^t W^T W \mathbf{x}^t - (\mathbf{x}^t W^T W)(W^T W \mathbf{x}^t) &= \\ \mathbf{x}^t W^T W \mathbf{x}^t - (\mathbf{x}^t W^T W W^T W \mathbf{x}^t) & \end{aligned}$$

Note that we assumed that the projection matrix  $W$  contains the eigenvectors of  $\Sigma$  associated with unique eigenvalues. It means that the columns of  $W$  are orthonormal and hence  $W W^T = I$ . Then we continue:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^t W^T W \mathbf{x}^t - (\mathbf{x}^t W^T W W^T W \mathbf{x}^t) &= \\ \mathbf{x}^t W^T W \mathbf{x}^t - (\mathbf{x}^t W^T I W \mathbf{x}^t) &= \\ \mathbf{x}^t W^T W \mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{x}^t W^T W \mathbf{x}^t &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that  $(\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{v}^t) \cdot \mathbf{v}^t = 0$  and therefore  $\mathbf{v}^t \perp (\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{v}^t)$ .

Then, by the Pythagoras' Theorem, we get:

$$\|\mathbf{x}^t\|_2^2 = \|\mathbf{v}^t\|_2^2 + \|\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{v}^t\|_2^2$$

which we can rearrange to get:

$$\|\mathbf{x}^t\|_2^2 - \|\mathbf{v}^t\|_2^2 = \|\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{v}^t\|_2^2$$

Therefore, for our case, we get

$$\sum_{t=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}^t\|_2^2 - \sum_{t=1}^N \|\mathbf{v}^t\|_2^2 = \sum_{t=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}^t - \mathbf{v}^t\|_2^2$$

(trivially true, since if it's true for a vector, it is also true for sum of vectors)

Therefore, Professor HighLowHigh's statement is indeed correct.

**Problem 2.** (30 points) Let  $\mathcal{Z} = \{(\mathbf{x}^1, \mathbf{r}^1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}^N, \mathbf{r}^N)\}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}^t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\mathbf{r}^t \in \mathbb{R}^k$  be a set of  $N$  training samples. We consider training a multilayer perceptron as shown in Figure 1 of Homework 4. We consider a general setting where the transfer functions at each stage are denoted by  $g$ , i.e.,

$$z_h^t = g(a_h^t) = g\left(\sum_{j=1}^d w_{h,j}x_j^t + w_0\right) \quad \text{and} \quad y_i^t = g(a_i^t) = g\left(\sum_{h=1}^H v_{i,h}z_h^t + v_{i0}\right)$$

where  $a_h^t, a_i^t$  respectively denote the input activation for hidden node  $h$  and output node  $i$ . Further, let  $L(\cdot, \cdot)$  be the loss function, so that the learning focuses on minimizing:

$$E(W, V | \mathcal{Z}) = \sum_{t=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^k L(r_i^t, y_i^t).$$

(a) We know that the gradient descent update rule for  $\Delta v_{i,h}$  can be expressed as

$$\Delta v_{i,h} = -\eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial v_{i,h}}$$

Since we only need to update one weight,  $v_{i,h}$ , and we are using stochastic gradient descent, we can remove the summations over  $k$  and  $N$ , and rewrite the loss function that we need to evaluate in the following form:

$$E(W, V | Z) = L(r_i^t, y_i^t) = L(r_i^t, g(a_i^t)) = L(r_i^t, g(\sum_{h=1}^H v_{i,h}z_h^t + v_{i0}))$$

According to our book, we need to evaluate the following chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial v_{i,h}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i^t} \frac{\partial y_i^t}{\partial v_{i,h}}$$

However, we have an activation function,  $g(a_i^t)$ . Then we can account for it with:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial v_{i,h}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i^t} \frac{\partial y_i^t}{\partial a_i^t} \frac{\partial a_i^t}{\partial v_{i,h}}$$

Now, let's apply the chain rule:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i^t} &= \frac{\partial L(r_i^t, y_i^t)}{\partial y_i^t} \\ \frac{\partial y_i^t}{\partial a_i^t} &= g'(a_i^t) \\ \frac{\partial a_i^t}{\partial v_{i,h}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{i,h}} \left( \sum_{h=1}^H v_{i,h} z_h^t + v_{i0} \right) = z_h^t \end{aligned}$$

Then we know that the update rule is a product of learning rate  $\eta$ , error  $\Delta_i^t$  and input  $z_h^t$ :

$$\Delta v_{i,h} = \eta \Delta_i^t z_h^t$$

Where our error is

$$\Delta_i^t = g'(a_i^t) \left( - \frac{\partial L(r_i^t, y_i^t)}{\partial y_i^t} \right)$$

Hence, we showed that the stochastic gradient descent update for  $v_{i,h}$  is of the form  $v_{i,h}^{new} = v_{i,h}^{old} + \Delta v_{i,h}$  with the update

$$\Delta v_{i,h} = \eta \Delta_i^t z_h^t, \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta_i^t = g'(a_i^t) \left( - \frac{\partial L(r_i^t, y_i^t)}{\partial y_i^t} \right)$$

(b) In the book, we are given that the update rule for  $w_{h,j}$  is:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{h,j}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i^t} \frac{\partial y_i^t}{\partial z_h} \frac{\partial z_h}{\partial w_{h,j}}$$

However, we have to account for two activation functions,  $g(a_i^t)$  and  $g(a_h^t)$ . Then, using the information provided to us, we get:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{h,j}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i^t} \frac{\partial y_i^t}{\partial a_i^t} \frac{\partial a_i^t}{\partial z_h} \frac{\partial z_h}{\partial a_h^t} \frac{\partial a_h^t}{\partial w_{h,j}}$$

Now we need to take the partial derivative of  $a_i^t$  with respect to  $z_h$ . Therefore, we get:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_h} \left( \sum_{h=1}^H v_{i,h} z_h^t + v_{i0} \right) = v_{i,h}$$

Also observe that the error from the hidden layer to the output layer is propagated to that layer. It can be observed in the following terms:

$$\Delta_i^t = \frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i^t} \frac{\partial y_i^t}{\partial a_i^t}$$

Note that if there are  $k$  output nodes, then we also have  $k$  weights ( $v_{i,h}$ ) and we need to account for error for each of them. We can simply do it with:

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \Delta_i^t v_{i,h}$$

Similarly to what we did above, we will also get the rest of the terms in the chain rule as follows:

$$\frac{\partial z_h}{\partial a_h^t} = g'(a_h^t)$$

and also

$$\frac{\partial a_h^t}{\partial w_{h,j}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{h,j}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^d w_{h,j} x_j^t + w_0 \right) = x_j^t$$

Then we have the update rule as the product of learning rate  $\eta$ , error  $\Delta_h^t$  and input  $x_j^t$ :

$$\Delta w_{h,j} = \eta \Delta_h^t x_j^t$$

Where we have the error  $\Delta_h^t$ :

$$\Delta_h^t = g'(a_h^t) \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta_i^t v_{i,h} \right)$$

Hence, we showed that the stochastic gradient descent update for  $w_{h,j}$  is of the form  $w_{h,j}^{new} = w_{h,j}^{old} + \Delta w_{h,j}$  with the update

$$\Delta w_{h,j} = \eta \Delta_h^t x_j^t, \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta_h^t = g'(a_h^t) \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta_i^t v_{i,h} \right)$$

**Problem 3.** (40 points)

**Description:** For this problem, we are using 2-class linear SVMs with parameters  $(\mathbf{w}, w_0)$  where  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d, w_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . The implementation is quite similar to Linear Regression from previous homework, except we are using the new objective function given in the homework write-up and this time we implemented the mini-batch gradient descent. We are using Boston50 and Boston25 datasets, similarly to previous homeworks. One major change is that now we are using 1 and -1 for labels (instead of 0, 1).

**Results:**

Error rates for MySVM2 with $m = 40$ for Boston50						
Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	Mean	SD
0.2255	0.1188	0.1089	0.1584	0.1584	0.1540	0.0410

Error rates for MySVM2 with $m = 200$ for Boston50						
Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	Mean	SD
0.1863	0.1386	0.1782	0.1485	0.1485	0.1600	0.0187

Error rates for MySVM2 with $m = n$ for Boston50						
Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	Mean	SD
0.1471	0.1584	0.1386	0.1386	0.1683	0.1502	0.0116

Error rates for LogisticRegression for Boston50						
Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	Mean	SD
0.1863	0.1089	0.1980	0.1089	0.1485	0.1501	0.0374

Error rates for MySVM2 with $m = 40$ for Boston25						
Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	Mean	SD
0.1765	0.1683	0.1188	0.0891	0.0792	0.1264	0.0398

Error rates for MySVM2 with m = 200 for Boston25						
Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	Mean	SD
0.1275	0.0990	0.0693	0.1386	0.1287	0.1126	0.0254

Error rates for MySVM2 with m = n for Boston25						
Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	Mean	SD
0.0980	0.0792	0.1386	0.1485	0.0792	0.1087	0.0294

Error rates for LogisticRegression for Boston25						
Fold 1	Fold 2	Fold 3	Fold 4	Fold 5	Mean	SD
0.1275	0.0891	0.0990	0.1287	0.0990	0.1087	0.0163

**Extra Credit Problem:** Consider Problem 2 with specific choices of the activation function  $g(a)$ . We will assume  $L(r_i^t, y_i^t) = (r_i^t - y_i^t)^2$ .

(a) We know that the gradient descent update rule for  $\Delta v_{i,h}$  can be expressed as

$$\Delta v_{i,h} = -\eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial v_{i,h}}$$

Since we only need to update one weight,  $v_{i,h}$ , and we are using stochastic gradient descent, we can remove the summations over  $k$  and  $N$ , and rewrite the loss function that we need to evaluate in the following form:

$$E(W, V|Z) = L(r_i^t, y_i^t) = (r_i^t - y_i^t)^2 =$$

$$(r_i^t - g(a_i^t))^2 = (r_i^t - \max(0, a_i^t))^2$$

According to our book, we need to evaluate the following chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial v_{i,h}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i^t} \frac{\partial y_i^t}{\partial v_{i,h}}$$



However, we have an activation function,  $g(a_i^t)$ . Then we can account for it with:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial v_{i,h}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i^t} \frac{\partial y_i^t}{\partial a_i^t} \frac{\partial a_i^t}{\partial v_{i,h}}$$

Now, let's apply the chain rule:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E}{\partial y_i^t} &= \frac{\partial L(r_i^t, y_i^t)}{\partial y_i^t} = \frac{\partial (r_i^t - y_i^t)^2}{\partial y_i^t} = 2y_i^t - 2r_i^t \\ \frac{\partial y_i^t}{\partial a_i^t} &= g'(a_i^t) = a_i^{t'} \text{ if } a_i^t > 0, \text{ otherwise } 0 \\ \frac{\partial a_i^t}{\partial v_{i,h}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{i,h}} \left( \sum_{h=1}^H v_{i,h} z_h^t + v_{i0} \right) = z_h^t \end{aligned}$$

Then we know that the update rule is a product of learning rate  $\eta$ , error  $\Delta_i^t$  and input  $z_h^t$ :

$$\Delta v_{i,h} = \eta \Delta_i^t z_h^t$$

Where our error is

$$\Delta_i^t = g'(a_i^t)(2r_i^t - 2y_i^t)$$

Note that both our error rate and our update rule is 0 if  $a_i^t \leq 0$ .

Otherwise, we have the error rate

$$\Delta_i^t = z_h^t(2r_i^t - 2y_i^t)$$

And our update rule is

$$\Delta v_{i,h} = 2\eta(z_h^t)^2(r_i^t - y_i^t)$$

Hence, we showed that the stochastic gradient descent update with specific  $g(\cdot)$  and  $L(\cdot, \cdot)$  for  $v_{i,h}$  is of the form  $v_{i,h}^{new} = v_{i,h}^{old} + \Delta v_{i,h}$  with the update

$$\Delta v_{i,h} = \eta \Delta_i^t z_h^t, \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta_i^t = g'(a_i^t)(2r_i^t - 2y_i^t)$$

where both of them are zero when  $a_i^t \leq 0$  (otherwise, it has an equation specified before the conclusion).

(b) Consider

$$g(a) = \max(0, a) + \alpha \min(0, a)$$

Then we have

$$g(a) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } a > 0 \\ \alpha \cdot a & \text{if } a < 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } a = 0 \end{cases}$$

Now we take the derivative of each of them and get:

$$g'(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a > 0 \\ \alpha & \text{if } a < 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } a = 0 \end{cases}$$

As we can see, first and third case is not dependent on  $\alpha$ . For the second case, however, we get:

$$\frac{\partial a_i^t}{\partial v_{i,h}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{i,h}} \alpha \left( \sum_{h=1}^H v_{i,h} z_h^t + v_{i0} \right) = \alpha z_h^t$$

(c) Consider

$$g(a) = \max(0, a) + \alpha \min(0, a)$$

We are given that  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . If we choose  $\alpha = 1$ , then the function above can be simplified to

$$g(a) = \max(0, a) + \min(0, a) = a$$

Then, from the definition of Problem 2, we know that two layer perceptron is linear, since  $a$  denote the input activation.

Hence, there indeed exists a specific choice of  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  which makes the two layer perceptron a linear model.