# Option 3: Build an agent using advanced search techniques (for example: killer heuristic, principle variation search (not in lecture), or monte carlo tree search (not in lecture))

Create a performance baseline using run\_search.py to evaluate the effectiveness of a baseline agent (e.g., an agent using your minimax or alpha-beta search code from the classroom)

```
In [3]:
```

```
print("The effectiveness of alpha-beta search is as below:")
print("Minmax: 60%")
print("Random: 100%")
print("Greedy: 100%")
```

The effectiveness of alpha-beta search is as below:

Minmax: 60% Random: 100% Greedy: 100%

## Use run\_search.py to evaluate the effectiveness of your agent using your own custom search techniques

#### In [2]:

```
print("The effectiveness of principle variation search is as below:")
print("Minmax: 50%")
print("Random: 90%")
print("Greedy: 52.5%")
```

The effectiveness of principle variation search is as below:

Minmax: 50% Random: 90% Greedy: 52.5%

## You must decide whether to test with or without "fair" matches enabled--justify your choice in your report

I decide test with "fair" matches enabled. Because in some games, picking a winning move for the opening guarantees the player a victory. Playing "fair" matches this way will balance out the advantage of picking perfect openings.

### Q1:How much performance difference does your agent show compared to the baseline?

A1: My agent(principle variation search) won 5% more games than baseline(alpha-beta search).

A2	A2: Because principle variation search will never examine a node that can be pruned by alpha-beta.		
In	[	]:	

Q2:Why do you think the technique you chose was more (or less) effective than the baseline?