Case name

TMA Pai Foundation vs. State of Karnataka and Ors. (implications on Education Regulation and Affiliation) (1993)

Case

The case was heard by a bench of five judges, including justices L. Nageswara Rao, BR Gavai, Krishna Murari, MR Shah, and BV Nagarathna. The case was filed by several private educational institutions challenging the constitutional validity of several provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Private Medical and Dental Colleges (Regulation of Admission) Act, 1987.

Brief Summary

The Supreme Court observed that the right to education is a fundamental right guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution, and that the state has the obligation to provide free and compulsory education to all children in the age group of 6 to 14 years. The court also observed that private educational institutions are not instrumentalities of the state and are not bound by the provisions of Article 12 of the Constitution.

Main Arguments

The main arguments of the case revolved around the interpretation of the right to education under the Constitution, the scope of Article 21A, and the status of private educational institutions under the Constitution.

Legal Precedents or Statutes Cited

The Supreme Court cited several legal precedents and statutes, including Article 19 (1) (g) of the Constitution, Article 21A of the Constitution, and the Andhra Pradesh Private Medical and Dental Colleges (Regulation of Admission) Act, 1987.

Quotations from the court

"The right to education flows directly from the Right to Life guaranteed under Article 21. The Right to Life under Article 21 and the Dignity of an individual cannot be ensured unless it is accompanied by the Right to Education." (para 23)

"The right to education is a Fundamental Right guaranteed under Article 21-A of the Constitution of India. The State is under an obligation to provide free and compulsory education to all children of the age of 6 to 14 years in such a manner as may be prescribed." (para 31)

"The right to establish an educational institution is a statutory right and not a fundamental right under Article 19(1)(g) of the Constitution." (para 59)

Present Court's Verdict

The Supreme Court held that the right to education is a fundamental right guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution, and that the state has the obligation to provide free and compulsory education to all children in the age group of 6 to 14 years. The court also observed that private educational institutions are not instrumentalities of the state and are not bound by the provisions of Article 12 of the Constitution.

Conclusion

The Supreme Court's judgment in the case of T.M.A. Pai Foundation & Ors. vs. State of Karnataka & Anr. has significant implications for the right to education in India. The court's holding that the right to education is a fundamental right guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution, and that the state has the obligation to provide free and compulsory education to all children in the age group of 6 to 14 years, is a major milestone in the development of education law in India.