### Case name: K.P. Joseph v. State of Kerala & Ors.

### Case Type: Civil Writ Petition

### Arguments by Plaintiff:

The petitioners, represented by Advocate K.P. Joseph, argued that the rules governing intestate succession in the State of Kerala discriminated against women by providing unequal shares of property to daughters and widows compared to sons. They contended that these rules were violative of Article 14 of the Constitution and hence unconstitutional and void.

### Arguments by Defendant:

The respondents, represented by Advocate K.V. Prasad, argued that Section 29(2) of the Indian Succession Act, 1951, deemed the Indian Succession Act to have adopted all laws relating to intestate succession, including the Travancore Christian Act, 1925, for Indian Christians. They contended that the Travancore Christian Act was still applicable.

### Case:

The petitioners challenged the rules governing intestate succession in the State of Kerala, which provided unequal shares of property to daughters and widows compared to sons. They also challenged Sections 24, 28, and 29 of the Travancore Christian Act, 1925.

### Summary:

The court held that on the coming into force of the Part-B States (Law) Act, 1951, the Travancore Christian Act, 1925, stood repealed, and Chapter II of Part V of the Indian Succession Act became applicable. The court declared that intestate succession to property of Indian Christians is governed by the provisions contained in Chapter II of Part V of the Indian Succession Act.

### Main Arguments:

The main arguments revolved around the applicability of the Indian Succession Act, 1951, to Indian Christians in the State of Kerala. The petitioners contended that the Act should govern

intestate succession, while the respondents argued that the Travancore Christian Act, 1925, was still applicable.

### Court Decisions:

The court rejected the respondents' contention that the Travancore Christian Act, 1925, was still applicable. The court held that on the coming into force of the Part-B States (Law) Act, 1951, the Travancore Christian Act stood repealed.

### Legal Precedents or Statutes Cited:

The Indian Succession Act, 1951, was the primary statute cited in the case. The court also referred to the Travancore Christian Act, 1925, and the Part-B States (Law) Act, 1951.

### Quotations from the court:

None specified in the provided judgment.

### Judgement:

The court allowed the writ petitions and declared that intestate succession to property of Indian Christians is governed by the provisions contained in Chapter II of Part V of the Indian Succession Act.

### Conclusion:

The court held that the Travancore Christian Act, 1925, stood repealed on the coming into force of the Part-B States (Law) Act, 1951, and that the Indian Succession Act, 1951, governs intestate succession to property of Indian Christians in the State of Kerala.