# Coordinate-Wise Parameter-Free

## 1 Introduction

To the best of my knowledge, DoG [Ivgi et al., 2023], DoWG, and existing parameter-free results are all for scalar step sizes, which can be non-desirable when training large models. Previously, we have seen the benefits of coordinate-wise step size [Duchi et al., 2011, Liu et al., 2024]. I tried to make DoG coordinate-wise and it seems to work. Let's take the algorithm to be

$$\mathbf{w}_{t+1} = \Pi_{\mathcal{W}}^{\mathbf{\Lambda}_t} \left( \mathbf{w}_t - \eta_t \mathbf{\Lambda}_t^{-1} \mathbf{g}_t \right)$$

where  $\Lambda_t = \text{diag}[\lambda_{t,1}, \dots \lambda_{t,d}]$  and

$$\lambda_{t,j}^2 = \lambda_{t-1,j}^2 + \mathbf{g}_{t,j}^2$$

and we take  $\eta_t = \bar{r}_t$  with

$$\bar{r}_t = \max\{\max_{k < t} r_t, r_\epsilon\} \quad \text{where} \quad r_t \triangleq \left\|\mathbf{w}_t - \mathbf{w}_0\right\|_{\infty}.$$

The settings are similar to that of DoG.

We have the following two key lemmas for obtaining convergence in the deterministic nonsmooth case:

$$\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \eta_t \langle \mathbf{g}_t, \mathbf{w}_t - \mathbf{w}_* \rangle \leq \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \left( \|\mathbf{w}_t - \mathbf{w}_*\|_{\mathbf{\Lambda}_t}^2 - \|\mathbf{w}_{t+1} - \mathbf{w}_*\|_{\mathbf{\Lambda}_t}^2 \right) + \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \eta_t^2 \|\mathbf{g}_t\|_{\mathbf{\Lambda}_t^{-1}}^2$$
(1)

$$\leq 2(\bar{d}_T^2 + \bar{r}_T^2) \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{\Lambda}_{T-1}) = 2(\bar{d}_T^2 + \bar{r}_T^2) \sum_{i=1}^d \sqrt{\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbf{g}_{t,j}^2}$$
(2)

$$= \mathcal{O}\left(D_{\infty}^2 G_1 \sqrt{T}\right),\tag{3}$$

here we follow DoG to take  $\bar{d}_t \triangleq \max_t \|\mathbf{w}_t - \mathbf{w}_*\|_{\infty}$  and  $D_{\infty}$  denotes the infinite-norm diameter of W and  $G_1$  is the upper bound on gradient 1-norm. Then based on Lemma 3 of DoG, we can obtain the convergence rate

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{D_{\infty}G_1}{\sqrt{T}}\log\frac{D_{\infty}}{r_{\epsilon}}\right)$$

in the nonsmooth case.

We have convergence results of this coordinate-wise version of DoG:

**Theorem 1** (Nonsmooth Convergence). Assume convex and infinite-norm diameter  $D_{\infty}$  of W, the Coordinate-wise DoG has the following convergence:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(\bar{\mathbf{w}}_T) - f^*\right] \le \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{D_\infty}{T} \sum_{j=1}^d \sqrt{\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbf{g}_{t,j}^2 \log \frac{D_\infty}{r_\epsilon}}\right). \tag{4}$$

Or if we assume a coordinate-wise bound bound G on the subgradient  $g_t$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(\bar{\mathbf{w}}_T) - f^*\right] \le \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{D_{\infty} \|\mathbf{G}\|_1}{\sqrt{T}} \log \frac{D_{\infty}}{r_{\epsilon}}\right).$$

#### Algorithm 1 Coordinate-wise DoG (without projection)

```
1: Input: \mathbf{w}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d, r_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{R}, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R} and batch size M \in \mathbb{N} (Possibly r_{\epsilon} can be chosen by \alpha(1 + \|\mathbf{w}_0\|_{\infty})
       with \alpha \in [10^{-8}, 10^{-6}] for language models; \epsilon should be small, similar to the \epsilon for Adam.)
 2: Initialize \mathbf{v}_{-1} = \epsilon^2 \mathbf{1}_d, \, \eta_{-1} = r_{\epsilon}
 3: for t = 0 to T - 1 do
 4:
           Sample mini-batch \mathcal{B}_t with |\mathcal{B}_t| \equiv M uniformly
           \mathbf{g}_t = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{B}_t} \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} f(\mathbf{w}_t; \xi)
           \mathbf{v}_t = \mathbf{v}_{t-1} + (\mathbf{g}_t \odot \mathbf{g}_t)
                                                                                ▷ ⊙ implies coordinate-wise multiplication just like Adam
 6:
 7:
           \mathbf{\Lambda}_t = \operatorname{diag}(\sqrt{\mathbf{v}_t})
                                                                                                    \triangleright Make the square root of \mathbf{v}_t a diagonal matrix
          \eta_t = \max \left\{ \eta_{t-1}, \left\| \mathbf{w}_t - \mathbf{w}_0 \right\|_{\infty} \right\}
                                                                                              \triangleright Update step size, need to store \mathbf{w}_0 to implement
           \mathbf{w}_{t+1} = \mathbf{w}_t - \eta_t \mathbf{\Lambda}_t^{-1} \mathbf{g}_t
10: end for
```

#### Algorithm 2 Coordinate-wise DoG with Momentum

```
1: Input: \mathbf{w}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d, r_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{R}, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}, \beta \in [0,1] (Possibly we can just choose \beta = 0.9 to have a try) and
       batch size M \in \mathbb{N}
 2: Initialize \mathbf{v}_{-1} = \epsilon^2 \mathbf{1}_d, \, \eta_{-1} = r_{\epsilon}, \, \mathbf{m}_{-1} = 0
  3: for t = 0 to T - 1 do
           Sample mini-batch \mathcal{B}_t with |\mathcal{B}_t| \equiv M uniformly
           \mathbf{g}_t = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{B}_t} \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} f(\mathbf{w}_t; \xi)
           \mathbf{m}_t = \beta \mathbf{m}_{t-1} + (1 - \beta) \mathbf{g}_t
  6:
  7:
           \mathbf{v}_t = \mathbf{v}_{t-1} + (\mathbf{m}_t \odot \mathbf{m}_t)
                                                                     \triangleright \odot implies coordinate-wise multiplication, here \mathbf{m}_t instead of \mathbf{g}_t
           \Lambda_t = \operatorname{diag}(\sqrt{\mathbf{v}_t})
                                                                                                        \triangleright Make the square root of \mathbf{v}_t a diagonal matrix
           \eta_t = \max \left\{ \eta_{t-1}, \|\mathbf{w}_t - \mathbf{w}_0\|_{\infty} \right\}
                                                                                                 \triangleright Update step size, need to store \mathbf{w}_0 to implement
  9:
           \mathbf{w}_{t+1} = \mathbf{w}_t - \eta_t \mathbf{\Lambda}_t^{-1} \mathbf{m}_t
10:
11: end for
```

**Theorem 2** (Smooth Convergence). Assume convex, infinite-norm diameter  $D_{\infty}$  of W, and coordinate-wise smoothness  $\mathbf{L}$ , the Coordinate-wise DoG has the following convergence:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(\bar{\mathbf{w}}_T) - f^*\right] \le \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{D_{\infty}^2 \|\mathbf{L}\|_1}{T} \log^2 \frac{D_{\infty}}{r_{\epsilon}}\right)$$

These results are generally consistent with the results of AdaGrad compared to SGD. A stochastic version proof should also be applicable if we follow the proof of DoG [Ivgi et al., 2023], which assumes bounded gradients and obtains high-probability results. I am still checking whether convergence in expectation can be applicable.

Possible next steps:

- 1. stochastic convergence
- 2. empirical check
- 3. extensions of the algorithm: exponential moving average, momentum
- 4. nonconvex

### References

John Duchi, Elad Hazan, and Yoram Singer. Adaptive subgradient methods for online learning and stochastic optimization. *Journal of machine learning research*, 12(7), 2011.

Maor Ivgi, Oliver Hinder, and Yair Carmon. Dog is sgd's best friend: A parameter-free dynamic step size schedule. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 14465–14499. PMLR, 2023.

Yuxing Liu, Rui Pan, and Tong Zhang. Large batch analysis for adagrad under anisotropic smoothness. arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.15244, 2024.