

Reviewer 3

Dear authors,

the article is certainly useful and necessary. It is possible to argue a lot about fires, to write about them, to investigate and count, but if not to teach people not to be afraid of fire, to know it and to increase their practical level of professionalism, all this is useless.

The article is more general educational and social than deeply scientific. In this regard, it is impossible to put it in the structure of IMRAD, to set goals and objectives (although it could have been done there, since pedagogy is also a science), but then the whole article would have lost its identity.

We very much appreciate the reviewer's support for the paper. The reviewer has clearly identified our intended purpose and the audience that we sought to engage.

I have not seen such articles in Fire, but in other journals where scientific articles are printed, I have encountered these kinds of reports. If the editorial board considers it possible, such an article can be printed, about the sauce and the buffet should not be written in such detail; correcting Figures 9, 11, 12 - empty and too big pictures. Although, if we consider the article as a photo report, then why not.

Details about the sauce and buffet have been removed.

Size and whitespace around Figures 9, 11, and 12 is a consequence of the journal's formatting best resolved in the production stage if journal staff determine the pagination remains an issue. Indeed, as the reviewer notes, presenting photographic images in addition to data is a critical part of our general educational approach within the mission of the journal's Section.