

CS565: Intelligent Systems and Interfaces

Assignment 2

Topics: N-Gram Language Models, Smoothing, Vector Semantics

Roll no. - 170101022

Name – Devansh Gupta

1.N-gram language model

Linear Interpolation Model Link:

<https://colab.research.google.com/drive/14FXIU3ZEUngWwSkSgdRkByUplxVjWdil?usp=sharing>

Discounting Model Link:

<https://colab.research.google.com/drive/1BYK-vNJc0lGBM81yu9AKvmP2V29dUn1m?usp=sharing>

- Corpus of 8642 sentences was considered for both models.
- Training Data – 6999 sentences
- Development Data – 778 sentences
- Training Data – 865 sentences
- Starting Token – ‘S123T’
- Ending Token – ‘E321D’
- Unknown Token – ‘U345K’
- Words having less than 10 frequency in training set is mapped to ‘U345K’

➤ For Discounting Model (For 5 different training and development sets)

• 1st Set of Training and Development

- For beta = 0.1
- M = 21867
- log likelihood = -139244.25262179424
- Perplexity = 82.5834243600811

- For beta = 0.2
- M = 21867
- log likelihood = -131652.71208278582
- Perplexity = 64.92091907207453

- For beta = 0.3
- M = 21867
- log likelihood = -127706.6817432287
- Perplexity = 57.2877815219459

- For beta = 0.4
- M = 21867
- log likelihood = -125322.54668532494
- Perplexity = 53.11791672729417

- For beta = 0.5
- M = 21867
- log likelihood = -123878.33281852056
- Perplexity = 50.7410441162747

- For beta = 0.6

- $M = 21867$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -123142.45615992809$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 49.571153275359926$
- For $\beta = 0.7$
- $M = 21867$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -123072.85356624042$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 49.46190572644899$
- For $\beta = 0.8$
- $M = 21867$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -123830.2740051193$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 50.66380488500688$
- For $\beta = 0.9$
- $M = 21867$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -126159.63454401199$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 54.54622818075785$

- **2nd Set of Training and Development**

- For $\beta = 0.1$
- $M = 22021$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -143361.60668433734$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 91.15326099615137$
- For $\beta = 0.2$
- $M = 22021$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -135456.41121290493$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 71.07340754010262$
- For $\beta = 0.3$
- $M = 22021$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -131336.87490870323$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 62.42988416792307$
- For $\beta = 0.4$
- $M = 22021$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -128837.58719310687$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 57.70679227509784$
- For $\beta = 0.5$
- $M = 22021$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -127311.58125464234$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 55.000448392017645$
- For $\beta = 0.6$
- $M = 22021$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -126517.00796458471$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 53.6419192024763$
- For $\beta = 0.7$
- $M = 22021$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -126407.85482625241$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 53.4579339117612$
- For $\beta = 0.8$
- $M = 22021$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -127145.98047653053$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 54.71450133793638$

- For beta = 0.9
- M = 22021
- log likelihood = -129488.9728338983
- Perplexity = 58.90219271187139

- **3rd Set of Training and Development**

- For beta = 0.1
- M = 21710
- log likelihood = -139059.4329572454
- Perplexity = 84.76031480517166
- For beta = 0.2
- M = 21710
- log likelihood = -131409.70172422976
- Perplexity = 66.39290818630587
- For beta = 0.3
- M = 21710
- log likelihood = -127416.43540061083
- Perplexity = 58.445519122384844
- For beta = 0.4
- M = 21710
- log likelihood = -124987.1978493211
- Perplexity = 54.08383875103826
- For beta = 0.5
- M = 21710
- log likelihood = -123496.02847784705
- Perplexity = 51.569273615715765
- For beta = 0.6
- M = 21710
- log likelihood = -122707.8807801069
- Perplexity = 50.28779457062341
- For beta = 0.7
- M = 21710
- log likelihood = -122575.83955290727
- Perplexity = 50.07623987874931
- For beta = 0.8
- M = 21710
- log likelihood = -123250.8947106338
- Perplexity = 51.16724090315917
- For beta = 0.9
- M = 21710
- log likelihood = -125447.96214812965
- Perplexity = 54.88535135151738

- **4th Set of Training and Development**

- For beta = 0.1
- M = 21350
- log likelihood = -137076.90688750206
- Perplexity = 85.65490878872905

- For beta = 0.2
- M = 21350
- log likelihood = -129540.00829996995
- Perplexity = 67.06311985979318
- For beta = 0.3
- M = 21350
- log likelihood = -125607.67912652975
- Perplexity = 59.025385728361485
- For beta = 0.4
- M = 21350
- log likelihood = -123217.06872191168
- Perplexity = 54.617496245584086
- For beta = 0.5
- M = 21350
- log likelihood = -121751.195815899
- Perplexity = 52.07908233755648
- For beta = 0.6
- M = 21350
- log likelihood = -120978.3750607095
- Perplexity = 50.788657309265645
- For beta = 0.7
- M = 21350
- log likelihood = -120852.25428983604
- Perplexity = 50.58112195218155
- For beta = 0.8
- M = 21350
- log likelihood = -121521.27214142562
- Perplexity = 51.69177594104701
- For beta = 0.9
- M = 21350
- log likelihood = -123688.58311871924
- Perplexity = 55.460021036329415

• 5th Set of Training and Development

- For beta = 0.1
- M = 22396
- log likelihood = -145135.4745110167
- Perplexity = 89.28955237534049
- For beta = 0.2
- M = 22396
- log likelihood = -137071.94382860238
- Perplexity = 69.56916650032437
- For beta = 0.3
- M = 22396
- log likelihood = -132856.12354712424
- Perplexity = 61.059177645148345
- For beta = 0.4

- M = 22396
- log likelihood = -130284.09336968376
- Perplexity = 56.38708940643882

- For beta = 0.5
- M = 22396
- log likelihood = -128695.9660231957
- Perplexity = 53.682567393744776

- For beta = 0.6
- M = 22396
- log likelihood = -127842.77778585507
- Perplexity = 52.283586435388706

- For beta = 0.7
- M = 22396
- log likelihood = -127672.19582560609
- Perplexity = 52.00828557945955

- For beta = 0.8
- M = 22396
- log likelihood = -128336.4331707537
- Perplexity = 53.088531321907354

- For beta = 0.9
- M = 22396
- log likelihood = -130566.37676068401
- Perplexity = 56.88187666371085

- **For all the 5 set I found minimum likelihood at beta = 0.7. For test considered beta =0.7.**

- **Test Set Analysis using discounting**
 - ♦ For beta = 0.7
 - ♦ M = 24240
 - ♦ log likelihood = -138103.1591
 - ♦ Perplexity = 51.8878

- **Same Test using Laplace Smoothing**
 - ♦ M = 24240
 - ♦ log likelihood = -208644.5477
 - ♦ Perplexity = 390.03190

➤ **For Interpolation Model (For 5 different training and development sets and at last Test Set)**

- **1st Set of Training and Development**
 - For lambda1 = 0.1 lambda2 = 0.1 lambda3 = 0.8
 - M = 22047
 - log likelihood = -135980.98231391786
 - Perplexity = 71.89288663897669

 - For lambda1 = 0.1 lambda2 = 0.2 lambda3 = 0.7
 - M = 22047
 - log likelihood = -132665.91877992352
 - Perplexity = 64.7771752146211
 -

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.6$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -130380.78739853362$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 60.28660046830073$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.5$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -128756.32271423172$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 57.284923191314185$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.5$ $\lambda_3 = 0.4$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -127670.94548566578$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 55.36312316943219$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.6$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -127128.93200884367$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 54.42769261514737$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.7$ $\lambda_3 = 0.20000000000000007$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -127294.2416198627$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 54.71130359792055$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.8$ $\lambda_3 = 0.09999999999999998$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -128831.87628467311$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 57.42115771996189$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.7$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -133379.26212694874$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 66.24635749067228$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.6$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -130570.84915396098$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 60.647918544172036$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.5$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -128704.19775409461$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 57.19112246070115$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.39999999999999999$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -127482.74650274507$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 55.036513069353994$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.5$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -126857.02471475344$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 53.96439320203747$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.6$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -126968.89961215638$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 54.15453600927498$

-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.7$ $\lambda_3 = 0.10000000000000009$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -128473.3858491471$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 56.77761058820434$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.6$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -132017.32741601768$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 63.46965409235463$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.5$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -129527.83592732284$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 58.69141573488818$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.4$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -128001.9725958948$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 55.94231441309084$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -127196.53821548473$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 54.543502086884985$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.5$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -127193.08946747308$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 54.537588419458444$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.6$ $\lambda_3 = 0.10000000000000009$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -128622.29455052932$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 57.04404489449347$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.5$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -131462.73353286248$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 62.37257875680155$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.39999999999999999$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -129239.82102772745$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 58.16236087995466$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -128089.14243638908$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 56.095838981428685$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -127880.52714940195$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 55.72912345582119$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.5$ $\lambda_3 = 0.09999999999999998$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -129180.01409003326$

- Perplexity = 58.05310085054638
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.4$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -131600.1261396222$
- Perplexity = 62.64258346690845
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -129678.20937759963$
- Perplexity = 58.96954629729049
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -129089.43058693825$
- Perplexity = 57.88800649486367
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.09999999999999998$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -130168.0613348195$
- Perplexity = 59.8847486061526
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.6$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -132479.84798343256$
- Perplexity = 64.39933646622049
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.6$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -131035.87937277797$
- Perplexity = 61.54112461597707
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.6$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.10000000000000009$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -131704.23365482243$
- Perplexity = 62.847954239437776
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.7$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.20000000000000007$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -134368.2175858723$
- Perplexity = 68.33846401105792
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.7$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.10000000000000009$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -134084.1571569094$
- Perplexity = 67.7308691782336
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.8$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.09999999999999998$
- $M = 22047$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -138174.68080911564$
- Perplexity = 77.02624144079198

• 2nd Set of Training and Development

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.8$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -137572.99945177813$
- Perplexity = 73.50521218334536

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.7$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -134262.85727047655$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 66.284605942246$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.6$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -131979.04481138763$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 61.720641607336255$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.5$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -130355.29335391166$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 58.668176037382025$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.5$ $\lambda_3 = 0.4$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -129270.56698988062$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 56.71359353716312$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.6$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -128729.16865494536$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 55.76253969195336$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.7$ $\lambda_3 = 0.20000000000000007$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -128894.70630194565$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 56.051628187709966$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.8$ $\lambda_3 = 0.09999999999999998$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -130430.7507612405$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 58.80662335734735$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.7$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -135065.97344789974$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 67.9685131094139$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.6$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -132256.29426020736$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 62.25748946625839$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.5$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -130385.90972304178$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 58.72431082945441$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.39999999999999999$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -129160.8826816541$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 56.51961394215413$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.5$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -128531.9787767848$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 55.42012020798398$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.6$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -128640.69183873292$
- Perplexity = 55.6086391356538

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.7$ $\lambda_3 = 0.10000000000000009$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -130140.55468382854$
- Perplexity = 58.27596009362315

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.6$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -133769.1985765013$
- Perplexity = 65.27031291855941

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.5$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -131275.0857299486$
- Perplexity = 60.37824824823918

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.4$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -129742.54591819592$
- Perplexity = 57.55592554953289

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -128930.80510461923$
- Perplexity = 56.11486850938417

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.5$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -128921.3575902791$
- Perplexity = 56.098310825487914

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.6$ $\lambda_3 = 0.10000000000000009$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -130343.41670002187$
- Perplexity = 58.646414764813535

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.5$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -133270.87172929765$
- Perplexity = 64.26216938818695

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.39999999999999999$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -131040.20951032428$
- Perplexity = 59.93688565566655

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -129880.19570234022$
- Perplexity = 57.80393472591666

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -129662.61082365144$

- Perplexity = 57.4123919400989
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.5$ $\lambda_3 = 0.09999999999999998$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -130952.30354676757$
- Perplexity = 59.77253004286687
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.4$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -133463.02761986016$
- Perplexity = 64.64905385290818
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -131529.64069283145$
- Perplexity = 60.86026044662277
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -130928.37249014468$
- Perplexity = 59.72786483518923
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.09999999999999998$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -131993.99627301435$
- Perplexity = 61.74947419766425
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.6$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -134400.56166924478$
- Perplexity = 66.57034067597034
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.6$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -132940.10686257636$
- Perplexity = 63.60162612905961
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.6$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.10000000000000009$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -133591.00070332474$
- Perplexity = 64.90800467747758
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.7$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.20000000000000007$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -136354.43731834475$
- Perplexity = 70.75988145387888
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.7$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.10000000000000009$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -136045.96603460275$
- Perplexity = 70.08133542600282
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.8$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.09999999999999998$
- $M = 22190$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -140241.25512849912$
- Perplexity = 79.89429096366332
- **3rd Set of Training and Development**

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.8$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -129318.36212954458$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 71.96285521447591$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.7$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -126170.74092145891$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 64.8494342756749$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.6$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -124002.07011011652$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 60.36183824257893$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.5$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -122460.00426804642$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 57.36106928085884$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.5$ $\lambda_3 = 0.4$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -121428.32737326578$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 55.43723827200326$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.6$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -120910.14156974822$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 54.49542590931786$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.7$ $\lambda_3 = 0.20000000000000007$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -121059.04498663986$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 54.764410301070896$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.8$ $\lambda_3 = 0.09999999999999998$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -122499.55962372157$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 57.43614497046414$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.7$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -127036.26520448623$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 66.73224994213669$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.6$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -124357.98479206335$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 61.0764319903127$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.5$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -122577.02298542878$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 57.583454452455534$
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.39999999999999999$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -121409.2320321742$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 55.40224499238083$

-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.5$ $\lambda_3 = 0.300000000000000004$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -120806.01928086526$
- Perplexity = 54.308121034903074
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.6$ $\lambda_3 = 0.199999999999999996$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -120899.70366135651$
- Perplexity = 54.476620130210435
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.7$ $\lambda_3 = 0.100000000000000009$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -122305.24243649094$
- Perplexity = 57.06827467612124
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.6$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -125864.04973052473$
- Perplexity = 64.19509959100226
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.5$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -123482.50934173631$
- Perplexity = 59.33366510652047
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.4$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -122019.51299940915$
- Perplexity = 56.53162305696834
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.300000000000000004$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -121241.03366051416$
- Perplexity = 55.09496468581941
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.5$ $\lambda_3 = 0.199999999999999996$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -121221.65490715828$
- Perplexity = 55.05967146044733
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.6$ $\lambda_3 = 0.100000000000000009$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -122553.0945406588$
- Perplexity = 57.53791024721636
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.5$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -125430.90181475664$
- Perplexity = 63.2821970865157
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.39999999999999999$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -123297.49249802984$
- Perplexity = 58.9717744458125
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.300000000000000004$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -122185.73445342263$

- Perplexity = 56.84320003063945
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -121967.67452610163$
- Perplexity = 56.43480324494791
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.5$ $\lambda_3 = 0.09999999999999998$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -123173.29222634959$
- Perplexity = 58.73007926734154
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.4$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -125641.24858897683$
- Perplexity = 63.723890978458094
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -123788.18204662073$
- Perplexity = 59.93642906106061
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -123203.70702774065$
- Perplexity = 58.78917508203698
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.09999999999999998$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -124196.19625777926$
- Perplexity = 60.7505555360996
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.6$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -126550.00382987146$
- Perplexity = 65.66783386517122
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.6$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -125143.32637680072$
- Perplexity = 62.68328535472616
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.6$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.10000000000000009$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -125740.6872846732$
- Perplexity = 63.93376788395778
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.7$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.20000000000000007$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -128414.86264502283$
- Perplexity = 69.84470026445165
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.7$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.10000000000000009$
- $M = 20962$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -128095.95503853388$
- Perplexity = 69.11203902423333
-
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.8$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.09999999999999998$
- $M = 20962$

- log likelihood = -132102.93812618393
- Perplexity = 78.90362931642275

- **4th Set of Training and Development**

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.8$
- M = 22185
- log likelihood = -135999.87709076988
- Perplexity = 70.04754867266492
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.7$
- M = 22185
- log likelihood = -132657.31514921974
- Perplexity = 63.10117669422472
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.6$
- M = 22185
- log likelihood = -130330.78017327274
- Perplexity = 58.6770840292505
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.5$
- M = 22185
- log likelihood = -128657.31483541589
- Perplexity = 55.68793856377327
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.5$ $\lambda_3 = 0.4$
- M = 22185
- log likelihood = -127515.49747383496
- Perplexity = 53.736296645807535
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.6$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- M = 22185
- log likelihood = -126906.81848355959
- Perplexity = 52.72402048223815
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.7$ $\lambda_3 = 0.20000000000000007$
- M = 22185
- log likelihood = -126987.71051146998
- Perplexity = 52.85744302151083
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.8$ $\lambda_3 = 0.09999999999999998$
- M = 22185
- log likelihood = -128398.80324036039
- Perplexity = 55.23996282386069
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.7$
- M = 22185
- log likelihood = -133317.13283892983
- Perplexity = 64.41552869329257
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.6$
- M = 22185
- log likelihood = -130478.61547459748
- Perplexity = 58.94873801572459
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.5$
- M = 22185
- log likelihood = -128567.42987588377
- Perplexity = 55.53176608209703

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.3999999999999999$
- $M = 22185$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -127291.95131662033$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 53.36228494030713$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.5$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 22185$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -126601.05113468316$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 52.222726124077596$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.6$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 22185$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -126629.43950667081$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 52.26906640590316$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.7$ $\lambda_3 = 0.10000000000000009$
- $M = 22185$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -128007.83892079604$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 54.56929616380456$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.6$
- $M = 22185$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -131880.16535674088$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 61.58745412241415$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.5$
- $M = 22185$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -129355.79656696033$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 56.91659289553919$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.4$
- $M = 22185$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -127779.20498517057$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 54.18087326952674$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 22185$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -126910.05018103027$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 52.72934434892808$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.5$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 22185$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -126823.73210873362$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 52.587329212487354$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.6$ $\lambda_3 = 0.10000000000000009$
- $M = 22185$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -128126.86017051793$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 54.77260049964106$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.5$
- $M = 22185$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -131253.83080882087$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 60.39395477497045$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.3999999999999999$
- $M = 22185$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -128988.09035120878$

- Perplexity = 56.266442897972
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 22185$
- log likelihood = -127775.75586434473
- Perplexity = 54.17503482663644
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 22185$
- log likelihood = -127484.69821485871
- Perplexity = 53.68461157848009
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.5$ $\lambda_3 = 0.09999999999999998$
- $M = 22185$
- log likelihood = -128657.67379444125
- Perplexity = 55.688563124227656
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.4$
- $M = 22185$
- log likelihood = -131319.02014889376
- Perplexity = 60.51708890226749
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 22185$
- log likelihood = -129341.31111962876
- Perplexity = 56.89083925908714
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 22185$
- log likelihood = -128670.68629462383
- Perplexity = 55.71120858051495
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.09999999999999998$
- $M = 22185$
- log likelihood = -129621.84645622023
- Perplexity = 57.39168113672517
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.6$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 22185$
- log likelihood = -132120.90940766802
- Perplexity = 62.05244903882577
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.6$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 22185$
- log likelihood = -130598.24079590998
- Perplexity = 59.169475207333655
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.6$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.10000000000000009$
- $M = 22185$
- log likelihood = -131137.56676017
- Perplexity = 60.17496876001152
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.7$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.20000000000000007$
- $M = 22185$
- log likelihood = -133916.00523237634
- Perplexity = 65.63216369248737
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.7$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.10000000000000009$
- $M = 22185$

- $\log \text{likelihood} = -133501.7150646392$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 64.7880914078024$
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.8$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.09999999999999998$
- $M = 22185$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -137584.64576309378$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 73.6032161202169$

• 5th Set of Training and Development

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.8$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -131647.31120803198$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 71.18339249179311$
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.7$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -128405.579589897$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 64.08627524600296$
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.6$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -126160.21685629408$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 59.589680128902145$
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.5$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -124551.97878991328$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 56.564234046259244$
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.5$ $\lambda_3 = 0.4$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -123461.53706917327$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 54.60074379294788$
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.6$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -122890.50517416588$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 53.59986575370515$
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.7$ $\lambda_3 = 0.20000000000000007$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -122992.49002547062$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 53.77726449689657$
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.1$ $\lambda_2 = 0.8$ $\lambda_3 = 0.09999999999999998$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -124396.3941197725$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 56.27982196162713$
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.7$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -129146.54542639852$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 65.64338810985693$
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.6$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -126392.41502241087$
- $\text{Perplexity} = 60.039665024687054$

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.5$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -124547.68157707773$
- Perplexity = 56.55635938262043

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.3999999999999999$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -123323.3330945044$
- Perplexity = 54.35680514826146

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.5$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -122668.92640266746$
- Perplexity = 53.21645228697299

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.6$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -122716.61307343656$
- Perplexity = 53.29873563014661

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.2$ $\lambda_2 = 0.7$ $\lambda_3 = 0.10000000000000009$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -124085.42130468969$
- Perplexity = 55.715635535162406

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.6$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -127822.69394458446$
- Perplexity = 62.88736274133869

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.5$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -125373.99630028704$
- Perplexity = 58.09092978243172

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.4$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -123853.66085629824$
- Perplexity = 55.29884257260932

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -123023.58241550103$
- Perplexity = 53.83146521604039

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.5$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -122957.51751023854$
- Perplexity = 53.71636509563869

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ $\lambda_2 = 0.6$ $\lambda_3 = 0.10000000000000009$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -124250.71577722425$
- Perplexity = 56.014815370165756

- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.5$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -127269.14789640225$

- Perplexity = 61.76956811322603
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.3999999999999999$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -125073.11136625406$
- Perplexity = 57.52738670641366
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -123907.29761297471$
- Perplexity = 55.39502360396854
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -123640.47383243377$
- Perplexity = 54.91820532124313
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.4$ $\lambda_2 = 0.5$ $\lambda_3 = 0.09999999999999998$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -124805.11764653273$
- Perplexity = 57.03005212570151
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.4$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -127376.5669508136$
- Perplexity = 61.9849183298038
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -125462.78383849803$
- Perplexity = 58.25827704628633
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -124825.54328526315$
- Perplexity = 57.06780557134139
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ $\lambda_3 = 0.09999999999999998$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -125772.81135533538$
- Perplexity = 58.846408404856206
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.6$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.30000000000000004$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -128192.14597305274$
- Perplexity = 63.64464317684304
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.6$ $\lambda_2 = 0.2$ $\lambda_3 = 0.19999999999999996$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -126724.38398954463$
- Perplexity = 60.6889073726681
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.6$ $\lambda_2 = 0.3$ $\lambda_3 = 0.10000000000000009$
- $M = 21394$
- $\log \text{likelihood} = -127269.89511961502$
- Perplexity = 61.77106353481571
- For $\lambda_1 = 0.7$ $\lambda_2 = 0.1$ $\lambda_3 = 0.20000000000000007$
- $M = 21394$

- log likelihood = -129967.2726821566
 - Perplexity = 67.41231645218595
 - For lambda1 = 0.7 lambda2 = 0.2 lambda3 = 0.10000000000000009
 - M = 21394
 - log likelihood = -129584.6591093174
 - Perplexity = 66.58180803191198
 - For lambda1 = 0.8 lambda2 = 0.1 lambda3 = 0.09999999999999998
 - M = 21394
 - log likelihood = -133560.19087875812
 - Perplexity = 75.73460535540505
 - **For all the 5 set I found minimum likelihood at lambda1 = 0.2, lambda2 = 0.5 and lambda3 = 0.3.**
 - **Test Set Analysis using Linear Interpolation**
 - ◆ For lambda1 = 0.2 lambda2 = 0.5 lambda3 = 0.3
 - ◆ M = 23820
 - ◆ log likelihood = -137150.5706
 - ◆ Perplexity = 54.10976
 - **Same Test using Laplace Smoothing**
 - ◆ M = 23820
 - ◆ log likelihood = -205915.6957
 - ◆ Perplexity = 400.22169
- **Result: Both Linear Interpolation and Discounting Smoothing performed approximately equal in my case. Laplace Smoothing gave poor results as compared to both Linear Interpolation and Discounting Smoothing Techniques.**

2. Vector Semantics: GloVe implementation

Colab Link: https://colab.research.google.com/drive/13wiKCr-hc2iwmK6qcu7zYfk-V_tLrZEU?usp=sharing

Pre-Trained Vector Embedding Used For Comparision: <https://www.kaggle.com/fnugget/glove-wikipedia-2014-gigaword-5>

❖ **Comparision: My vector embedding had given mostly random similar words.**

- `print(mostSimilar(['two'], ['one']))`
Similar Words:-
 ('however', 0.6165489530455645), ('including', 0.6139982091478733), ('would', 0.588528429289489), ('cord', 0.5857220645489676), ('who', 0.5712874340646518), ('Arabic', 0.5697040374630845), ('A', 0.5667756873945056), ('about', 0.5635937513598844), ('Wisconsin', 0.5621473727901255), ('if', 0.5553539994099479)
- `print(mostSimilar(['was', 'has'], ['is']))`
Similar Words:-
 ('have', 0.9828102417065027), ('used', 0.9735759264042814), ('s', 0.9723950099335225), ('that', 0.9709112792483112), ('Derleth', 0.970736058307157), ('an', 0.970728567356585), ('his', 0.9704711833085259), ('are', 0.9696385243192851), ('Lovelace', 0.9695295696677386), ('had', 0.9684613148424044)

➤ `print(mostSimilar(['by', 'the'], ['to']))`

Most Similar:-

('an', 0.9925601985939683), ('ataxia', 0.991052540464115), ('his', 0.9908705132546708), ('her', 0.9905561385538236), ('are', 0.9902644731804793), ('that', 0.9902021010258358), ('and', 0.9897249363663992), ('in', 0.9887695283377845), ('was', 0.9886601018051455), ('s', 0.9875598838893258)

➤ `print(mostSimilar(['husband', 'father'], ['wife']))`

Most Similar:-

('important', 0.4454047487508519), ('could', 0.43799456374126017), ('common', 0.4364977003915271), ('potentials', 0.42733658286178866), ('MS', 0.42609557980060203), ('treatment', 0.4256605814279289), ('did', 0.4239630878646214), ('associated', 0.42305440550270407), ('seen', 0.42259954869152294), ('Himmler', 0.42223604473621146)

❖ **Pre-Trained Embedding had given better results than mine.**

❖ **Expression of derivatives required in optimization algorithm:**

$$J = \sum_{i=1}^V \sum_{j=1}^V f(X_{ij}) (\vec{w}_i^T \vec{w}_j + b_i + b_j - \log X_{ij})^2$$
$$\nabla_{\vec{w}_i} J = \sum_{j=1}^V f(X_{ij}) \vec{w}_j \cdot (\vec{w}_i^T \vec{w}_j + b_i + b_j - \log X_{ij})$$
$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial b_i} = \sum_{j=1}^V f(X_{ij}) (\vec{w}_i^T \vec{w}_j + b_i + b_j - \log X_{ij})$$