## Report(Assignment 3)

<u>Q1:</u>


<u>Hypothesis:</u> Categorical data is being converted into numerical data

Duplicate data is being dropped assuming it is not useful


Dimensionality reduction is also done on data to plot in 2d

## **Kmeans Clustering:**

Elbow graph gives us the optimal value of k



We choose k=7 which is same as no of labels



## Below are the 7 coordinates of centroid


5.19602985, -0.87961759 -7.49513969, -0.36778561 12.3083726, 0.06186192 -15.20524395, 4.57871685 20.29885041, 0.89872538 -15.16118422, -1.27644496 0.11770502, -0.89097185

Accuracy of the model:

0.5314872711031711

## **Birch Clustering:**

Graph when no of cluster =7:



Coordinates of centroid are:

0.68494156, 0.06476856

-0.13950582, -0.0706801

-0.2147147, 0.08327481

0.37597863, 0.01924579

-0.35994927, -0.00490497

0.04114547, -0.2432552


-0.21809382, -0.06236326

Accuracy of Birch clustering:

0.47967842786958464

### **Guassian Mixture:**

Graph for number of cluster =7



Here we have reduced dataset into 3 components using pca thus have each coordinate have 3 axes

### Coordinates of centroid are:

0.66569938, 0.01396668, 0.01230368

-0.13721734, -0.29237947, -0.21589035

-0.09231701, -0.31254835, 0.41700747

-0.16259177, 0.70616846, -0.14158195


-0.52682399, 0.03730288, -0.22055236

0.01403345, 0.07407912, -0.39089778


-0.11479241, 0.37549658, 0.57124209

Accuracy of Gaussian Mixture clustering: 0.4242965609647164

<u>Hierarchial clustering</u>: <u>Agglomerative clustering</u> <u>Dendogram Graph</u>:



# Graph plotting:



### Coordinates of centroid are:

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.5764235 \;,\;\; 0.02099307, \; -0.08420748 \\ -0.21031729, \; -0.19309133, \; -0.28323077 \end{array}$ 

```
0.11152992, -0.05240793, 0.41716702
-0.05172056, 0.47001617, 0.47032419
-0.53160811, 0.19467304, -0.09955337
-0.16773611, 0.69237907, -0.12287428
-0.21932041, -0.33972807, 0.41939733
```

Accuracy of Hierarchial clustering : Agglomerative clustering: **0.44707458686913804** 

# Comparing accuracy of 4 models:

CLUSTERING	ACCURACY
Kmeans:	0.5314872711031711
Birch Clustering:	0.47967842786958464
Hierarchical clustering : Agglomerative clustering	0.44707458686913804
Gaussian Mixture:	0.4242965609647164

**Observation:** Kmeans is performing better than others as its accuracy is higher and giving better results after that Birch is performing better than hierarchical and gaussian. Gaussian performing lower than other 3 clustering algorithms on the given data.

### Q2:

**Hypothesis:** One hot encoding is used on data to convert categorical data Duplicate data is being dropped

### Approach:

For testing on the train data, it is split into 80:20 ratio

**Kmeans** is used for the data the results of the **grid search** on number of clusters and algorithms is as follows:

auto 7 0.45982142857142855 auto 9 0.45982142857142855 auto 11 0.45982142857142855 auto 13 0.45982142857142855 auto 15 0.45089285714285715 auto 17 0.46651785714285715 auto 19 0.43973214285714285

- auto 21 0.453125
- auto 23 0.484375
- auto 25 0.44866071428571436
- auto 27 0.46875
- auto 29 0.45982142857142855
- auto 31 0.48214285714285715
- auto 33 0.4732142857142857
- auto 35 0.48660714285714285
- auto 37 0.45535714285714285
- auto 39 0.44642857142857145
- auto 41 0.46651785714285715
- auto 43 0.43080357142857145
- auto 45 0.4419642857142857
- auto 47 0.47098214285714285
- auto 49 0.45089285714285715
- full 7 0.45982142857142855
- full 9 0.45982142857142855
- full 11 0.45982142857142855
- full 13 0.45982142857142855
- full 15 0.453125
- full 17 0.46651785714285715
- full 19 0.44642857142857145
- full 21 0.453125
- full 23 0.45535714285714285
- full 25 0.43080357142857145
- full 27 0.43080357142857145
- full 29 0.44866071428571436
- full 31 0.4642857142857143
- full 33 0.45982142857142855
- full 35 0.42410714285714285
- full 37 0.46875
- full 39 0.46651785714285715
- full 41 0.4799107142857143
- full 43 0.43080357142857145
- full 45 0.45089285714285715
- full 47 0.47767857142857145
- full 49 0.45089285714285715
- elkan 7 0.45982142857142855
- elkan 9 0.45982142857142855
- elkan 11 0.45982142857142855
- elkan 13 0.45982142857142855
- elkan 15 0.45089285714285715
- elkan 17 0.46651785714285715
- elkan 19 0.43973214285714285

elkan 21 0.453125

elkan 23 0.484375

elkan 25 0.44866071428571436

elkan 27 0.46875

elkan 29 0.45982142857142855

elkan 31 0.48214285714285715

elkan 33 0.4732142857142857

elkan 35 0.48660714285714285

elkan 37 0.45535714285714285

elkan 39 0.44642857142857145

elkan 41 0.46651785714285715

elkan 43 0.43080357142857145

elkan 45 0.4419642857142857

elkan 47 0.47098214285714285

elkan 49 0.45089285714285715

Gaussian is also applied with 7 clusters but was giving F1 score: 0.3794642857142857

So, After analyzing all things it came out that the best model is Kmeans with 35 clusters, algorithm: auto or elkan gives results on the training data as:

F1 Score as :0.48660714285714285