

Advent of Code 2025 Day 02

Deval Deliwala

Part 1

Given a string of integer ranges, separated by commas:
11-22,998-1012,1188511880-1188511890,222220-222224,
1698522-1698528,1464443-1464449,38593856-38593862,565653-565659,
82482821-82482827,21212118-2121212124.
the goal is to find all integers within each range made only of some sequence of digits repeated twice. In other words, integers that could be periodic with period 2. These integers are "invalid".
For example, in the range 998-1012, the integer 1010 is invalid.
We return the sum of all invalid integers.

Naive Implementation

Given an inclusive [min, max], we iterate over all numbers inside the range and convert them to a String. If the length of the string is odd, it can't be periodic with period 2. If the length of the string is even, we split the string in the middle, and equate the left and right hand sides.

If the left and right hand sides are equal, the integer contained in the string is invalid. Hence we add it to an accumulating sum, and return this sum after iterating over all ranges.

Therefore this algorithm is $O(\sum_{m=1}^n k(m))$ where n is the number of ranges provided, and $k(m)$ returns the number of integers contained in the m th range.

We will divide this algorithm into two parts:

1. Parsing through the input string and collecting all the given [min, max] ranges.
2. Iterating through all ranges, finding invalid integers, and summing them together.

```
use std::fs::File;
use std::io::BufReader, Error, ErrorKind;
use crate::DAY2_FILE; // the .txt file containing ranges

// Given the text line as a [String], which is a list of ranges
// `245204-286195, ...` separated by commas, this outputs a buffer
// containing `(min, max)` bounds for each range.
// Arguments:
// - line: [String] - input text line of ranges separated by commas.
// Returns:
// - [Vec<(<[u32, u32]>)>]: a vector of 2-tuples for min and max bounds for every range.
fn get_ranges(line: String) -> Result<Vec<(<u32, u32>), Error> {
    line
        // good practice, in case trailing comma at EOL
        .split_terminator(',')
        .map(chunk) {
            let chunk = chunk.trim();
            let (lower, upper) = chunk
                .split_once('-')
                .ok_or_else(|| Error::new(ErrorKind::InvalidInput, "Expected `min-max` integer bounds"))?;

            let min = lower.trim().parse().map_err(|_| Error::new(ErrorKind::InvalidInput, "Expected an integer"))?;
            let max = upper.trim().parse().map_err(|_| Error::new(ErrorKind::InvalidInput, "Expected an integer"))?;

            Ok((min, max))
        }
        .collect()
}

pub fn run_pt1() -> Result<u32, Error> {
    let mut buf_reader = BufReader::new(File::open(DAY2_FILE)?);
    let mut string_buf = String::new();
    buf_reader.read_line(&mut string_buf);

    let mut sum = 0;
    let ranges = get_ranges(string_buf)?;
    for &(lower, upper) in ranges.iter() {
        for number in lower..upper {
            // allocates a String buffer for every number
            // crazy slowdown
            let num_str = number.to_string();
            let num_len = num_str.len();

            if num_len % 2 != 0 {
                continue;
            }

            // split at center and compare
            let mid_idx = num_len / 2;
            let (left, right) = num_str.split_at(mid_idx);
            if left == right {
                sum += number;
            }
        }
    }
    Ok(sum)
}


```

Analysis

This code is readable and clean and takes **142.15ms** on average. There are two things that jump out for optimization:

1. We build and *push* to a Vec to store all the ranges, *and afterwards* iterate through that buffer.

We could have avoided initializing the Vec (a heap allocated buffer) and just iterated through the ranges in the String directly: finding invalid integers and summing them in **one pass** instead of two separated ones.

Additionally, this line:

```
buff_reader.read_line(&mut string_buf)?;

// later parsing
.split_terminator(',')
.map(...)


```

takes time proportional to the input length, call it L . Therefore, while this algorithm elegantly separates function responsibilities, the total runtime is actually $O(L) + O(\sum_{m=1}^n k(m))$. And from a hardware standpoint, creating the buffer and pushing to it is also expensive.

2. Do we even need a pass to check through all integers in a range? Is it possible to calculate what all invalid integers in a range are or their sum beforehand?

Optimized Implementation

Consider a k -digit long "invalid" integer x . As x can be periodic with period two, let y denote the digit subsequence that makes up x . For example,

$$x = 123123, \text{ then } y = 123, \quad k = 3. \quad (1)$$

Numerically,

$$x = 123 \cdot 10^k + 123 = y(10^k + 1). \quad (2)$$

So inside a range $[L, U]$, we want all y such that:

$$L \leq y(10^k + 1) \leq U \Rightarrow \left\lceil \frac{L}{10^k + 1} \right\rceil \leq y \leq \left\lfloor \frac{U}{10^k + 1} \right\rfloor. \quad (3)$$

Then x is $y | y$ periodic and within $[L, U]$, y must also be k digits, so $10^{k-1} \leq y \leq 10^k - 1$. The intersection of these two bounds isolates y further. Let $[L', U']$ be the intersection "clip" of these two bounds.

Therefore, the total sum of all invalid x is

$$\sum x = (10^k + 1) \sum_{y=L'}^{U'} y, \quad (4)$$

summing over Equation 2 in the $[L', U']$ range. We can also avoid iterating over $\sum_{y=L'}^{U'} y$ if we use Gauss' formula:

$$\text{From } \sum_{y=1}^n y = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \Rightarrow \sum_{y=L'}^{U'} y = \sum_{y=1}^{U'} y - \sum_{y=1}^{L'-1} y = \frac{(U'+1)(U'+1+1)}{2} - \frac{(L'-1)(L'-1+1)}{2} = \frac{(U'+U')(U'+1) - (L'+L')(L'+1)}{2} = \frac{(U'+L')(U'+1) - (L'+L')(L'+1)}{2} = \frac{(U'+L')(U'-L'+1)}{2}. \quad (5)$$

This problem reduced to iterating over every range $[L', U']$, and accumulating

$$(10^k + 1) \cdot \frac{(U'+L')(U'-L'+1)}{2}, \quad (6)$$

in one pass.

```
use std::io::BufReader, Error, ErrorKind;
use std::fs::File;
use std::time::Instant;
use crate::DAY2_FILE;

/// Calculates the sum of invalid integers within `<[l, u]>`.
/// Arguments:
/// - l: &[str] - lower integer bound as a &[str].
/// - r: &[str] - upper integer bound as a &[str].
/// Returns:
/// - Ok(u64) - sum of invalid integers.
/// - Err - [Error] - if invalid input given.
fn sum_range_pt1(l: &str, u: &str) -> Result<u64, Error> {
    let l = l.trim();
    let mut sum: u64 = 0;

    let num_digits_l = l.len();
    let num_digits_u = u.len();

    // lower bound for k
    // (num_digits_l + 1) / 2
    let kmin = num_digits_l.div_ceil(2);
    // upper bound for k
    let kmax = num_digits_u / 2;

    let u64 = u.parse().map_err(|_| Error::new(ErrorKind::InvalidInput, "Expected an integer"))?;
    let l: u64 = l.parse().map_err(|_| Error::new(ErrorKind::InvalidInput, "Expected an integer"))?;
    for k in kmin..kmax {
        let factor = 10u64.pow(k as u32) + 1;

        // y bounds from inequality
        let y_lo = l.div_ceil(factor);
        let y_hi = u / factor;

        // y must be k-digit
        let k_lo = 10u64.pow(k - 1) as u32;
        let k_hi = 10u64.pow(k as u32) - 1;

        // clip
        let lprime = y_lo.max(k_lo);
        let uprime = y_hi.min(k_hi);

        if lprime <= uprime {
            // gauss
            sum += factor * ((uprime + lprime) * (uprime - lprime + 1)) / 2;
        }
    }
    Ok(sum)
}

pub fn run_pt1() -> Result<u64, Error> {
    let mut buf_reader = BufReader::new(File::open(DAY2_FILE)?);
    let mut string_buf = String::new();

    // convert .txt to String
    buff_reader.read_line(&mut string_buf);
    let mut string_buf = string_buf.as_str().trim();

    let mut sum = 0;
    while string_buf.is_empty() {
        // get `(l, r)` from a string of the form `l-r, ...`
        let (l, rest) = string_buf
            .split_once(',')
            .ok_or_else(|| Error::new(ErrorKind::InvalidInput, "Expected a `min-max` input"))?;
        let (u, rest) = match rest.split_once(',') {
            Some((u, rest)) => (u, rest),
            None => (rest, ""),
        };

        let range_sum = sum_range_pt1(l, u)?;
        sum += range_sum;

        // iteratively partitioning string to contain ranges leftover
        string_buf = rest;
    }
    Ok(sum)
}
```

This code takes **78.29μs**, which is **3095×** faster than the naive implementation.

Part 2

Now an ID is invalid if it is made only of some sequence of digits repeated at least twice. So, 12341234 (1234 two times), 123123123 (123 three times), 12121212 (12 five times), and 11111111 (1 seven times) are all invalid IDs.

Naive implementation

Given an inclusive [min, max], we iterate over all numbers in this range. For each number, we compute its length, n , and calculate all proper divisors $\{k_1, k_2, \dots\}$ of n . We iterate through all possible k_i and determine if k_i produces a periodic string that matches the given number. If yes, we add it to a running sum. If no k_i works, we move to the next number.

To determine if a k , works, we look at an example string: $s = "121212"$. Here we see $k = 2$, and $n = 6$. It's critical to notice given an index $i \in [0, 6)$ and see $s[i] == s[(i \% k)]$. This is just modular arithmetic.

We can iterate over all indices $i \in [0, n)$ and see if $s[i] == s[(i \% k)]$. If, for any index, this equivalence fails, we move onto the next potential divisor k . If k passes for all indices i , then the number is periodic, and we add it to a running sum.

```
// Returns a [Vec] containing all proper divisors of a positive integer `n`.
fn get_divisors(n: u32) -> Vec<u32> {
    let mut divs: Vec<u32> = Vec::new();
    if n == 1 {
        return divs;
    }

    let mut i = 1;
    // only need to go until sqrt(n)
    while i <= n {
        if n % i == 0 {
            // main divisor
            divs.push(i);
            // pair divisor
            divs.push(n / i);
        }
        i += 1;
    }
    divs
}
```

```
pub fn run_pt2() -> Result<u32, Error> {
    let mut buf_reader = BufReader::new(File::open(DAY2_FILE)?);
    let mut string_buf = String::new();

    let mut sum = 0;
    while string_buf.is_empty() {
        let (l, rest) = string_buf
            .split_once(',')
            .ok_or_else(|| Error::new(ErrorKind::InvalidInput, "Expected a `min-max` input"))?;
        let (u, rest) = match rest.split_once(',') {
            Some((u, rest)) => (u, rest),
            None => (rest, ""),
        };

        let mut sum = 0;
        for s in l..u {
            if s == 1 {
                continue;
            }

            let mut passed = true;
            for d in get_divisors(s)? {
                if d == s {
                    continue;
                }

                let mut i = 1;
                let mut k = d;
                let mut y = s;
                let mut valid = true;
                while i < k {
                    if y[i] != y[(i \% k)] {
                        valid = false;
                        break;
                    }
                    i += 1;
                }
                if valid {
                    sum += s;
                    passed = false;
                }
            }
            if !passed {
                break;
            }
        }
        sum += s;
    }
    Ok(sum)
}
```

This code is elegant and readable, but it's also very slow. The function has four nested loops, including the call to `get_divisors()`. It takes **271.74ms** on average.

We will do the following:

```
for d = digits(L)..digits(U)
    for each divisor k of d
        calculate r = k
        calculate S_{k,r}
        calculate the effective [L', U'] bound
        for all y in [L', U']
            accumulate yS_{k,r}
        end
    return accumulated sum,

```

while also ensuring no duplicates (i.e. "1111" being "11" × 2 and "1" × 4). So we'll use a HashSet.

```
// Returns a [Vec] containing all proper divisors of a positive integer `n`.
fn get_divisors(n: u32) -> Vec<u32> {
    let mut divs: Vec<u32> = Vec::new();
    if n == 1 {
        return divs;
    }

    let mut i = 1;
    // only need to go until sqrt(n)
    while i <= n {
        if n % i == 0 {
            // main divisor
            divs.push(i);
            // pair divisor
            divs.push(n / i);
        }
        i += 1;
    }
    divs
}
```

```
pub fn run_pt2() -> Result<u32, Error> {
    let mut buf_reader = BufReader::new(File::open(DAY2_FILE)?);
    let mut string_buf = String::new();

    let mut sum = 0;
    while string_buf.is_empty() {
        let (l, rest) = string_buf
            .split_once(',')
            .ok_or_else(|| Error::new(ErrorKind::InvalidInput, "Expected a `min-max` input"))?;
        let (u, rest) = match rest.split_once(',') {
            Some((u, rest)) => (u, rest),
            None => (rest, ""),
        };

        let mut sum = 0;
        for s in l..u {
            if s == 1 {
                continue;
            }

            let mut passed = true;
            for d in get_divisors(s)? {
                if d == s {
                    continue;
                }

                let mut i = 1;
                let mut k = d;
                let mut y = s;
                let mut valid = true;
                while i < k {
                    if y[i] != y[(i \% k)] {
                        valid = false;
                        break;
                    }
                    i += 1;
                }
                if valid {
                    sum += s;
                    passed = false;
                }
            }
            if !passed {
                break;
            }
        }
        sum += s;
    }
    Ok(sum)
}
```

This implementation runs in **385.084μs**, which is about **706×** faster. This is not the optimal implementation, but it is fast. We can make it even faster by avoiding a HashSet entirely. Each HashSet::insert does hashing + table probing. It is even slower than a Vec::push. Let's see if accumulating into a Vec and doing one de-duplication at the end is faster. We change the `find_invalid` function:

```
// Accumulates invalid integers between `l` and `u` in a [Vec]
fn find_invalid(l: &str, u: &str) -> Result<u32, Error> {
    let mut invalid: Vec<u32> = Vec::new();
    let l_digits = l.len();
    let u_digits = u.len();

    for d in l..u {
        for k in 1..d {
            let mut i = 1;
            let mut y = d;
            let mut valid = true;
            while i < k {
                if y[i] != y[(i \% k)] {
                    valid = false;
                    break;
                }
                i += 1;
            }
            if valid {
                invalid.push(d);
            }
        }
    }
    Ok(invalid)
}
```

This implementation runs in **385.084μs**, which is about **706×** faster. This is not the optimal implementation, but it is fast. We can make it even faster by avoiding a HashSet entirely. Each HashSet::insert does hashing + table probing. It is even slower than a Vec::push. Let's see if accumulating into a Vec and doing one de-duplication at the end is faster. We change the `find_invalid` function:

```
// Accumulates invalid integers between `l` and `u` in a [Vec]
fn find_invalid(l: &str, u: &str) -> Result<u32, Error> {
    let mut invalid: Vec<u32> = Vec::new();
    let l_digits = l.len();
    let u_digits = u.len();

    for d in l..u {
        for k in 1..d {
            let mut i = 1;
            let mut y = d;
            let mut valid = true;
            while i < k {
                if y[i] != y[(i \% k)] {
                    valid = false;
                    break;
                }
                i += 1;
            }
            if valid {
                invalid.push(d);
            }
        }
    }
    Ok(invalid)
}
```

This implementation runs in **385.084μs**, which is about **706×** faster. This is not the optimal implementation, but it is fast. We can make it even faster by avoiding a HashSet entirely. Each HashSet::insert does hashing + table probing. It is even slower than a Vec::push. Let's see if accumulating into a Vec and doing one de-duplication at the end is faster. We change the `find_invalid` function:

```
// Accumulates invalid integers between `l` and `u` in a [Vec]
fn find_invalid(l: &str, u: &str) -> Result<u32, Error> {
    let mut invalid: Vec<u32> = Vec::new();
    let l_digits = l.len();
    let u_digits = u.len();

    for d in l..u {
        for k in 1..d {
            let mut i = 1;
            let mut y = d;
            let mut valid = true;
            while i < k {
                if y[i] != y[(i \% k)] {
                    valid = false;
                    break;
                }
                i += 1;
            }
            if valid {
                invalid.push(d);
            }
        }
    }
    Ok(invalid)
}
```

This implementation runs in **385.084μs**, which is about **706×** faster. This is not the optimal implementation, but it is fast. We can make it even faster by avoiding a HashSet entirely. Each HashSet::insert does hashing + table probing. It is even slower than a Vec::push. Let's see if accumulating into a Vec and doing one de-duplication at the end is faster. We change the `find_invalid` function:

```
// Accumulates invalid integers between `l` and `u` in a [Vec]
fn find_invalid(l: &str, u: &str) -> Result<u32, Error> {
    let mut invalid: Vec<u32> = Vec::new();
    let l_digits = l.len();
    let u_digits = u.len();

    for d in l..u {
        for k in 1..d {
            let mut i = 1;
            let mut y = d;
            let mut valid = true;
            while i < k {
                if y[i] != y[(i \% k)] {
                    valid = false;
                    break;
                }
                i += 1;
            }
            if valid {
                invalid.push(d);
            }
        }
    }
    Ok(invalid)
}
```

This implementation runs in **385.084μs**, which is about **706×** faster. This is not the optimal implementation, but it is fast. We can make it even faster by avoiding a HashSet entirely. Each HashSet::insert does hashing + table probing. It is even slower than a Vec::push. Let's see if accumulating into a Vec and doing one de-duplication at the end is faster. We change the `find_invalid` function:

```
// Accumulates invalid integers between `l` and `u` in a [Vec]
fn find_invalid(l: &str, u: &str) -> Result<u32, Error> {
    let mut invalid: Vec<u32> = Vec::new();
    let l_digits = l.len();
    let u_digits = u.len();

    for d in l..u {
        for k in 1..d {
            let mut i = 1;
            let mut y = d;
            let mut valid = true;
            while i < k {
                if y[i] != y[(i \% k)] {
                    valid = false;
                    break;
                }
                i += 1;
            }
            if valid {
                invalid.push(d);
            }
        }
    }
    Ok(invalid)
}
```

This implementation runs in **385.084μs**, which is about **706×** faster. This is not the optimal implementation, but it is fast. We can make it even faster by avoiding a HashSet entirely. Each HashSet::insert does hashing + table probing. It is even slower than a Vec::push. Let's see if accumulating into a Vec and doing one de-duplication at the end is faster. We change the `find_invalid` function:

```
// Accumulates invalid integers between `l` and `u` in a [Vec]
fn find_invalid(l: &str, u: &str) -> Result<u32, Error> {
    let mut invalid: Vec<u32> = Vec::new();
    let l_digits = l.len();
    let u_digits = u.len();

    for d in l..u {
        for k in 1..d {
            let mut i = 1;

```