

## Making a more idiomatic BLAS API

Deval Deliwala

Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms (BLAS) is a set of low-level routines that perform the most common linear algebra routines. These routines include vector addition, scalar multiplication, dot products, and most importantly, matrix multiplication.

They are the *de facto* standard low-level routines for linear-algebra libraries and were originally written in Fortran 77 in 1979. However, its API involved pointers for speed and is still standard today. Here's an example function call to the GEMM (General matrix-matrix vector multiply) routine in a C-written BLAS:

```
void cblas_sgemm(const CBLAS_LAYOUT Layout, const CBLAS_TRANSPOSE TransA,
                  const CBLAS_TRANSPOSE TransB, const CBLAS_INT M, const CBLAS_INT N,
                  const CBLAS_INT K, const float alpha, const float *A,
                  const CBLAS_INT lda, const float *B, const CBLAS_INT ldb,
                  const float beta, float *C, const CBLAS_INT ldc);
```

It has 14 arguments! Modern programming recommendations suggest functions to have no more than 7 arguments for codebase readability. NASA also [suggests](#) not using pointers at all!

The reason the BLAS API is standardized to this day is mostly because of *inertia* and prioritizing explicitness. It is verbose, but is modular, direct, and called from *many* higher-level linear algebra libraries like LAPACK that are also heavily used and have their own API-change inertia.

Put simply,

if it ain't broke, don't fix it.

### My first attempt at BLAS

I already made a BLAS in Rust that conforms to this pointer API. Using pointers required wrapping every function in `unsafe { }` blocks. And because the routines were *already* unsafe, I also used `unsafe` AArch64 NEON SIMD intrinsics for speed.

You can see my original [reddit post](#) introducing it. The [top comment](#) said, “*seeing the entire implementations for the kernels wrapped in unsafe {} is rather spooky.*”

u/Shnatsel was exactly right. At the time I retaliated saying reaching BLAS performance required unsafe code, to which he replied “*A handful of perfectly predictable branches per op should not be a problem.*” He is also Russian, so you know he’s cracked.

I realized if I am trying to write a BLAS in Rust, a modern language unique for prioritizing memory-safety and writing idiomatic code, **it should be safe**, and **have a cleaner API**.

### Designing the Safe API

#### Design Goals and Constraints

The standard BLAS interface operates on *descriptors*:

- vectors: (`x_ptr`, `n`, `incx`)
- matrices: (`A_ptr`, `m`, `n`, `lda`)
- scalars: (`alpha`, `beta`)

It *assumes* the user provided correct sizes `n` and strides `incx`. If not, BLAS will happily walk off the end of the buffer!

That means the caller is responsible for

- matching shapes to descriptors.
- ensuring buffers are large enough.
- avoiding overlapping mutable arguments in memory (aliasing).
- not mixing-up read-only vs output arguments.

If you get any of these wrong, you don’t get a helpful error, you get *silent memory corruption*.

Rust wants:

- no out-of-bounds reads/writes
- no mutable aliasing (no two `&mut` views to overlapping memory)
- clear lifetimes (a view cannot outlive the slice it views)
- and ideally: APIs that are *hard to misuse*

Hence the job is:

*encode the BLAS descriptors as safe Rust values whose constructor enforces the descriptor’s validity.*

#### Vectors

A BLAS vector is not defined by contiguous memory. It is defined by a *walk* through memory:

- a starting position.
- a number of elements to walk through.
- and the stride (index increment) between successive elements.

This is why BLAS takes (`x`, `n`, `incx`) rather than just some data buffer `x`. The cleanest mental model is

```
struct VectorRef<'a, T> {
    data: &'a [T], // backing storage
    n: usize, // logical length
    inc: usize, // stride in elements (BLAS: incx)
    offset: usize, // starting index within data
}

struct VectorMut<'a, T> {
    data: &'a mut [T],
    n: usize,
    inc: usize,
    offset: usize,
}
```

The type system makes the roles impossible to confuse:

- `VectorRef` means “this routine may only *read* from it.”
- `VectorMut` means “this routine may *mutate* it.”

Already, correctness at the call site and aliasing safety via `&mut [T]` is ensured.

#### Preventing out-of-bounds

To ensure the descriptor does not walk out of bounds we have two options:

- check inside every kernel (slow and repetitive)
- check once when *building* a vector (at construction time)

Option 1 means having

```
// let x = VectorRef::new(data, n, incx, offset);
```

```
let length = n;
let length_to_walk = incx * n;
```

```
assert!(length >= length_to_walk, "vector data isn't long enough!");
```

for every vector inside every routine. This goes against DRY (Don’t repeat yourself) principles of software development – it’s repetitive. Option 2 is better.

Option 2 validates each vector within its own `VectorRef/Mut::new()` construction, which either validates the vector and produces a trusted object, or fails early. This means `::new()` returns a `Result<Self, BufferError>`.

The constructor thus ensures:

- Out-of-bounds prevention:
  - if a routine iterates `i = 0..n`, accessing `offset + i * incx`,
  - then every accessed index is valid in `data`.

- Meaningful strides
  - `incx != 0`, otherwise the vector is just a scalar.

- Start is in range
  - `offset < data.len()` unless `n == 0` (empty vector).

After this, routines are allowed to work with the vectors. This also improves performance. Compilers are intelligent enough today to mostly avoid bounds-checks entirely when raw indexing (`data[idx]`) if out-of-bounds checking was already explicitly validated.

So the cost model is:

- pay a small constant validation cost at vector construction,

- get a hot inner loop that is as tight as CBLAS,

- and get a clearer `VectorRef/Mut` API instead of (`x`, `n`, `incx`, `stride`) engrossing the function call.

```
impl<'a, T> VectorRef<'a, T> {
    // Constructor
    pub fn new(
        data: &'a [T], // backing storage
        n: usize, // logical length
        inc: usize, // stride in elements (BLAS: incx)
        offset: usize // starting index within data
    ) -> Result<Self, BufferError> {

        // empty vector
        if n == 0 {
            return Ok( Self {
                data,
                n,
                stride,
                offset
            })
        }

        if stride == 0 {
            return Err(BufferError::ZeroStride);
        }

        let required_length = (n - 1)
            .saturating_mul(stride)
            .saturating_add(offset)
            .saturating_add(1);
        let data_len = data.len();

        // out-of-bounds prevention
        if required_length > data_len {
            return Err(BufferError::OutOfBounds {
                required: required_length,
                len: data_len
            });
        }

        Ok(Self { data, n, stride, offset })
    }

    // Getters to access internal data, n, stride, and offset fields
    ...
}
```

Once these rules are validated, kernels can compute addresses with `i + j*lda` freely.

#### Modern GEMM API

The GEMM routine is

$$C \leftarrow \alpha AB + \beta C,$$

where the matrix `C` gets overwritten with the result – a `MatrixMut`. `A` and `B` are not mutated, so they are `MatrixRef`. Hence, this API calls GEMM via

```
let a = vec![...];
let b = vec![...];
let mut c = vec![...];

let aview = MatrixRef::new(&a, m, k, lda, 0)?;
let bview = MatrixRef::new(&b, k, n, ldb, 0)?;
let cview = MatrixMut::new(&mut c, m, n, ldc, 0)?;

sgemm(NoTrans, NoTrans, alpha, beta, aview, bview, cview);
```

The 7 argument suggestion is also satisfied! The first two arguments are `enum` variants that specify GEMM does not transpose `A` or `B`.

The caller’s intent is also stated more clearly. By separating `A`, `B`, and `C` matrices and their own internal offsets, shapes, leading dimensions:

- this buffer represents an  $m \times k$  matrix with leading dimension `lda`
- start at offset 0
- and it is read-only (Ref) or writeable (Mut)

And if buffers are too small or `lda` is invalid, the routine fails immediately, near the bug, with a *controlled error*. No memory-corruption.

The kernels are free to be fast because the views are validated descriptors:

- avoid bounds checks
- branch to fast paths (contiguous memory) when `lda == m` and `inc == 1`

This system is an idiomatic API that is clean, strict, and typed.

It feeds into an interior that can be unapologetically fast.

CORAL

Wrappers around this API are written to conform to the standard API and LAPACK.