

## Problem

Prove that if  $\text{NEXPTIME} \neq \text{EXPTIME}$ , then  $\text{P} \neq \text{NP}$ . You may find the function  $\text{pad}$ , defined in Problem 9.13, to be helpful.

## Step-by-step solution

### Step 1 of 1

If  $\text{EXPTIME} \neq \text{NEXPTIME}$  then  $\text{P} \neq \text{NP}$  can be proved by taking its contra positive . If  $\text{P} = \text{NP}$  is assumed then  $\text{EXPTIME} = \text{NEXPTIME}$  will have to proof.

• Suppose  $L \in \text{NTIME}(2^{2^x})$  then the following language  $L_{\text{pad}} = \{ \langle x, 1^{2^{|x|}} \rangle : x \in L \}$  is in  $\text{NP}$  (in fact in  $\text{NTIME}(n)$ ). This process of adding a string of symbols to each string in the language is called **padding**.

• Hence, if  $\text{P} = \text{NP}$  then  $L_{\text{pad}}$  is in  $\text{P}$  but if  $L_{\text{pad}}$  is in  $\text{P}$  then  $L$  is in  $\text{EXPTIME}$ .

• To conclude whether an input  $x$  is in  $L$ , it just pads the input and decides whether it is in  $L_{\text{pad}}$ . This can be achieved by using the polynomial-time machine for  $L_{\text{pad}}$ .

Therefore, it can be said that if  $\text{P} = \text{NP}$  is assumed then  $\text{EXPTIME} = \text{NEXPTIME}$ . Thus, from the above explanation it may also be concluded that if  $\text{EXPTIME} \neq \text{NEXPTIME}$  then  $\text{P} \neq \text{NP}$ .

---

[Comment](#)