

## Problem

Show that the function  $K(x)$  is not a computable function.

## Step-by-step solution

### Step 1 of 4

Definition of complexity strings  $K(x)$  :-

[Comment](#)

### Step 2 of 4

If  $x$  be binary string, then the minimal description and descriptive complexity of  $x$ 's are  $d(x)$  and  $K(x)$  respectively. Turing machine  $M$  and small string  $w$  we get minimal description is  $\langle M, w \rangle$ . From several of such shorter strings we select lexicographically among them then we can get descriptive complexity of such strings  $K(x) = |d(x)|$ .

[Comment](#)

### Step 3 of 4

Now we must prove that  $K(x)$  is not a computable function.

Let  $y_n$  be the lexicographical first-string  $s$  that satisfies  $n < K(y)$ . Let  $M$  be the Turing machine such that if the input is  $n$ , the binary strings that are generated are  $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 \dots$ .

The Turing machine  $M$  computes  $K(x_i)$  and if  $K(x) > n$ , then the Turing Machine will generate  $x_i$  as the output and the machine will halt.

If the machine does not halt, the machine will examine the next lexicographical string  $x_{i+1}$ .

The Turing machine  $M$  will come across a string  $x$  which satisfies  $K(x) > n$  because  $K$  is unbounded.

[Comment](#)

### Step 4 of 4

For input  $n$ , the output generated is  $y_n$  but the length of the input  $n$  is  $\log_2(n)$ . So,  $K(n) < \log_2(n) + c$ , where  $c$  is the constant.

So, for all  $n$ ,  $n < K(y_n)$ , so it can be said that  $n < \log_2(n) + c$  but this is false, if  $n$  is large.

This is a contradiction because  $M$  cannot compute  $K(x)$  so, it is not a computable function.

[Comment](#)