## **Problem**

Show that the class of DCFLs is not closed under the following operations:

- a. Union
- b. Intersection
- c. Concatenation
- d. Star
- e. Reversal

# Step-by-step solution

### Step 1 of 5

- a) Suppose  $L_1 = \left\{a^ib^jc^k: i, j, k \geq 0 \ and \ i \neq j\right\}_{and} L_2 = \left\{a^ib^jc^k: i, j, k \geq 0 \ and \ i \neq k\right\}_{are both deterministic context free languages ($ **DCFL's** $). However, <math>L_1 \cup L_2$  which is defined as  $L_1 \cup L_2 = \left\{a^ib^jc^k: i, j, k \geq 0 \ and \left(i \neq k \ or \ i \neq j\right)\right\}_{is not comes under DCFL's}$  which can be seen as follows.
- Suppose  $L_1 \cup L_2$  is a DCFL. Then its complement is also a DCFL as discussed in theorem.  $(L_1 \cup L_2) \cap \{a\} * \{b\} * \{c\} * = \{a^i b^j c^k : i, j, k \geq 0 \ and \ (i = k = j)\}$  is a (D)CFL. This shows a **contradiction**.
- Hence, it can be said that" Deterministic Context Free Language's (DCFL's) is not closed under union".

#### Comments (1)

# **Step 2** of 5

- b) Non-closure under intersection follows from the non-closure under union (as discussed above) and closure under complement:  $K \cup L = (K' \cap L')'$
- Thus, if the family of DCFLs would be closed under intersection, it follows with the help of closure under complement that it would also be closed under union. This shows a **contradiction**.
- · Hence, it can be said that" Deterministic Context Free Language's (DCFL's) is not closed under intersection".

## Comment

## **Step 3** of 5

- c) Suppose  $L_1 = \left\{a^ib^jc^k : i, j, k \geq 0 \text{ and } i \neq j\right\}$  and  $L_2 = \left\{a^ib^jc^k : i, j, k \geq 0 \text{ and } i \neq k\right\}$  be the languages. Now, suppose  $L_3 = \left\{d\right\}L_1 \cup L_2$ , where d is the symbol different from a,b,c. It is simple to see that  $L_3$  and  $\left\{d\right\}^*$  are **DCFL**. Now, it has intended to show that  $\left\{d\right\}^*L_3$  is not a DCFL.
- $\cdot \text{Consider } \left\{d\right\}^* L_3 \cap \left\{d\right\} \left\{a\right\}^* \left\{b\right\}^* \left\{c\right\}^* = \left\{d\right\} L_1 \cup \left\{d\right\} L_2 \text{. If } \left\{d\right\}^* L_3 \text{ would be in DCFL then also } \left\{d\right\} L_1 \cup \left\{d\right\} L_2 = \left\{d\right\} \left(L_1 \cup L_2\right) \text{ is a DCFL.} \right\}$
- Now, it is fairly easy to see that for all words w and all languages K that consist K are a DCFL whenever  $\{w\}^k$  is DCFL. Consequently, since  $L_1 \cup L_2$  is not a DCFL, also  $\{d\}(L_1 \cup L_2)$  is not a DCFL. This shows a **contradiction**.
- Hence, it can be said that" Deterministic Context Free Language's (DCFL's) is not closed under concatenation".

### Comment

### Step 4 of 5

d) Suppose  $L_4$  is defined as  $L_4 = \{d\} \cup \{d\} L_1 \cup L_2$  where  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  is defined same as the above discussion.

| Comment             |  |
|---------------------|--|
|                     | <b>Step 5</b> of 5   |
| e) Non-closure ur   | nder difference follows from the closure under complement and the non-closure under intersection as discussed in part (b):     |
| $K \cap L = K - L'$ | thus if the family of DCFL's would be closed under difference.   |
| The above terms     | s follows with the help of closure under complements that would also be closed under intersection. That shows a contradiction. |
| Hence, it can be    | e said that" Deterministic Context Free Language's (DCFL's) is not closed under reversal".                                     |