

Mathematical Objects

Mathematical assertions can be regarded as statements about objects in some abstract “mathematical universe”; *e.g.*:

Sets: Represent (unordered) collections of objects (perhaps other sets).

Functions: Abstract the idea of a “black box” that takes an object as input and produces an object as output.

Relations: Abstract the idea of a property that can be true or false of an object or sequence of objects.

Ordered pairs and tuples: Represent sequences (ordered collections) of objects.

Natural numbers: $0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ Usually considered together with operations such as $+$ $*$.

Sets

Sets can be considered “the data structures of mathematics” .

- All normal mathematical objects can be constructed from “*pure sets*.”
- The logic of sets can be formalized as a relatively small set of axioms and inference rules.
- The standard version of set theory is called *ZFC* (Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory with Choice).
- Most working mathematicians assume ZFC as their foundation.
- Mostly, we can work “naively” with sets, without having to worry about axiomatics.

Properties of Sets

Set theory can be formulated as a “first-order” logic with predicates $=$ (equality) and \in (element of). Basic properties are:

Extensionality: Two sets are equal if and only if they have the same elements:

$$\forall A \ B. A = B \leftrightarrow (\forall X. X \in A \leftrightarrow X \in B).$$

Def: $A \subseteq B \equiv \forall X. X \in A \rightarrow X \in B.$

Comprehension: Given a set A and a predicate P , there is a set B whose elements are precisely those elements of A that satisfy P :

$$\forall A. \exists B. (\forall X. X \in B \leftrightarrow X \in A \wedge P(X)).$$

Def: $\{X \in A. P(X)\} \equiv$ *the set asserted to exist.*

Note that it will be unique, due to extensionality.

Empty set: There exists a set with no elements:

$$\exists A. \forall X. X \notin A.$$

Def: ϕ or $\{\}$ \equiv *the set asserted to exist.*

Pairing: Given sets A and B , there exists a set having A and B as its only members:

$$\forall A \ B. \ \exists C. \ \forall X. \ X \in C \leftrightarrow (X = A \vee X = B).$$

Given $A \ B$, the set C is the *unordered pair* $\{A, B\}$.

Union: Given sets A and B , there exists a set having as members exactly those sets that are either a member of A or a member of B :

$$\forall A \ B. \exists C. \forall X. X \in C \leftrightarrow (X \in A \vee X \in B).$$

Def: $A \cup B \equiv$ *the set asserted to exist.*

Intersection: Given sets A and B , there exists a set having as members exactly those sets that are a member of both A and B :

$$\forall A \ B. \exists C. \forall X. X \in C \leftrightarrow (X \in A \wedge X \in B).$$

Def: $A \cap B \equiv$ *the set asserted to exist.*

“Big- \cup ”: Given A , there is a set having as its elements precisely those sets that are elements of *some* element of A :

$$\forall A. \exists B. \forall X. X \in B \leftrightarrow (\exists Y. Y \in A \wedge X \in Y).$$

Def: $\cup A \equiv$ *the set asserted to exist.*

“Big- \cap ”: Given A , there is a set having as its elements precisely those sets that are elements of *all* elements of A :

$$\forall A. \exists B. \forall X. X \in B \leftrightarrow (\forall Y. Y \in A \rightarrow X \in Y).$$

Def: $\cap A \equiv$ *the set asserted to exist.*

Powerset: Given A , there is a set having as its elements precisely those sets that are subsets of A :

$$\forall A. \exists B. \forall X. X \in B \leftrightarrow X \subseteq A.$$

Def: $\mathcal{P}(A) \equiv$ *the set asserted to exist.*

From the empty set and powerset axioms, we can prove the existence of *lots* of sets:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}(\phi) &= \{\phi\} \\ \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\phi)) &= \{\phi, \{\phi\}\} \\ \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\phi))) &= \{\phi, \{\phi\}, \{\{\phi\}\}, \{\phi, \{\phi\}\}\} \\ &\dots\end{aligned}$$

Derived Constructions

Various “encoding tricks” can be used to define standard mathematical constructions in terms of sets; e.g.:

Ordered Pair: Given A , there is a set having $\{A\}$ and $\{A, B\}$ as its only members:

$$\forall A \ B. \ \exists C. \ \forall X. \ X \in C \leftrightarrow X = \{A\} \vee X = \{A, B\}.$$

Def: $(A, B) \equiv$ *the set asserted to exist.*

Sets A and B are uniquely determined by (A, B)
(i.e. the property we want an ordered pair to satisfy holds).

Cartesian Product: Given A and B , there is a set having as its members precisely the ordered pairs (X,Y) where $X \in A$ and $Y \in B$:

$$\forall A \ B. \ \exists C. \ \forall Z. \ Z \in C \leftrightarrow (\exists X \ Y. \ X \in A \wedge Y \in B \wedge Z = (X,Y)).$$

Def: $A \times B \equiv$ *the set asserted to exist.*

We can iterate this construction to define:

$$\begin{aligned} &A_1 \times (A_2 \times A_3) \\ &A_1 \times (A_2 \times (A_3 \times A_4)) \\ &A_1 \times (A_2 \times (A_3 \times (A_4 \times A_5))) \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

The elements of these sets are *lists*: (X_1, X_2, X_3) , (X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) , $(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5)$, *etc.*

The Natural Numbers

Another encoding trick can be used to define the natural numbers as sets:

$$\begin{array}{lll} 0 & = \phi & = \{\} \\ 1 & = \{\phi\} & = \{0\} \\ 2 & = \{\phi, \{\phi\}\} & = \{0, 1\} \\ 3 & = \{\phi, \{\phi\}, \{\phi, \{\phi\}\}\} & = \{0, 1, 2\} \\ \dots & & \end{array}$$

The *successor* of a number is defined to be the set whose elements are that number and all of its elements:

Def: $S(X) \equiv X \cup \{X\}$

Infinity: There exists a set X such that ϕ in X and such that whenever Y in X , then also $S(Y) \in X$:

$$\exists X. \phi \in X \wedge (\forall Y. Y \in X \rightarrow S(Y) \in X).$$

Def: $\mathcal{N} \equiv$ *the smallest such set (w.r.t. \subseteq).*

Don't assign too much importance to these particular encoding tricks – other versions are possible.

The point is that the properties of sets are given by a few axioms, which means we don't need additional axioms for other concepts (such as ordered pairs, numbers, lists, *etc.*) if we can *define* them in terms of sets.

Set Theory: Some History

Set theory was invented by Georg Cantor and Richard Dedekind in the late 1800's:

- The theory was originally used in a “naive” (non-axiomatic) form.
- Cantor was able to formulate many mathematical notions in terms of sets.

- The need for a formal axiomatic theory became evident with the discovery of paradoxes in “Cantor’s Paradise”; *e.g.*
 - **Russell’s Paradox:** “Let A be the *set of all sets*. Let $P(X)$ be the property of sets which holds of a set X exactly when *X is not an element of X* . Form the set $B = \{X \in A. P(X)\}$. Then B contains all sets that are not members of themselves. *Is B a member of itself?*”
- The resolution of the paradoxes involved specifying careful restrictions on what could be considered to be a set. These rule out the existence of: “the set of all sets.”

The point here is: set theory is far from trivial, even though “high school presentations” make it seem to be.

Functions

The intuitive idea of a function is that of a “black box”, which takes an input from some *domain* and produces an output in some *codomain*.

For each input, there is one and only one possible output.

- The concept of function is much older than that of a set.
- In set theory, functions are defined in terms of sets, rather than taken as primitive.
- Mathematics can also be based upon a theory of “pure functions”, as opposed to sets (*e.g.* Church’s λ -calculus).

Relations and Functions as Sets

Given sets A and B , a *binary relation* on A and B is defined to be a subset R of the cartesian product $A \times B$; e.g.

$$A = \{1, 2, 3\}$$

$$B = \{4, 5\}$$

$$A \times B = \{(1, 4), (1, 5), (2, 4), (2, 5), (3, 4), (3, 5)\}$$

$$R = \{(1, 5), (3, 5), (2, 4)\} \subseteq A \times B$$

A *function* from A to B is a binary relation $F \subseteq A \times B$ such that:

$$\forall a. a \in A \rightarrow \exists! b. b \in B \wedge (a, b) \in F.$$

Here $\exists!$ means “there exists unique” and $\exists! x. P(x)$ abbreviates:

$$(\exists x. P(x)) \wedge (\forall x y. P(x) \wedge P(y) \rightarrow x = y).$$

Special Classes of Functions

A function F from A to B is:

- *One-to-one*, or *injective*, if

$$\forall a \ a' \ b. (a, b) \in F \wedge (a', b) \in F \rightarrow a = a'.$$

- *Onto*, or *surjective*, if

$$\forall b. b \in B \rightarrow (\exists a. (a, b) \in F).$$

- A *one-to-one correspondence*, or *bijective*, if it is both injective and surjective; or equivalently:

$$\forall b. b \in B \rightarrow (\exists! a. (a, b) \in F).$$

Using Functions to Compare Sets

- Sets A and B are *equinumerous* $|A| = |B|$ if there exists a bijection from A to B .
- Set A has *smaller cardinality* than B ($|A| \leq |B|$) if there exists an injection from A to B .
 - *Cantor-Schröder-Bernstein Theorem*:
 $|A| \leq |B|$ and $|B| \leq |A|$ implies $|A| = |B|$.
(Not as trivial as it looks!)
 - *Pigeonhole Principle*: If $|A| < |B|$ (i.e. $|A| \leq |B|$ but not $|A| = |B|$), then there is no injection from B to A .
(“If $m < n$ and you put n pigeons in m holes, then some hole contains more than one pigeon.”)

Finite and Infinite Sets

Characteristic properties of finite versus infinite sets:

- *No* finite set can be placed into one-to-one correspondence with a proper subset of itself.
- *Every* infinite set can be placed into one-to-one correspondence with some proper subset of itself.
(e.g. $n \mapsto 2n$ on natural numbers)

Actually, in set theory these are used as the *definitions* of finite and infinite:

Def: A set A is *finite* if every injection $f : A \rightarrow A$ is also a surjection. A set that is not finite is called *infinite*.

Theorem: A set is finite if and only if it is equinumerous with some proper initial segment of the natural numbers.

e.g.

$$\{\text{red, blue, green}\} \leftrightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}$$

Countable and Uncountable Sets

Def: A set is *countably infinite* if it is equinumerous with the set \mathcal{N} of *all* natural numbers. A set is *countable* if it is finite or countably infinite. A set is *uncountable* if it is not countable.

The bijection $n \mapsto 2n$, called an *enumeration* of the set of even numbers, shows that the set of even numbers is countably infinite.

Theorem: A set A is countable if and only if there is a *surjection* $f : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow A$.

The surjection f is called an *enumeration with repetition*.

Countability of the Rational Numbers

Theorem: The set of rational numbers is countable.

Proof: Every rational number can be represented as a fraction p/q (not unique), where p and q are natural numbers, and q is not 0. We can construct an enumeration with repetition of all the fractions:

$0/1, 1/1, 0/2, 2/1, 1/2, 0/3, 3/1, 2/2, 1/3, 0/4, \dots$

p/q	1	2	3	...
0	0/1	0/2	0/3	...
1	1/1	1/2	1/3	...
2	2/1	2/2	2/3	...
3	3/1	3/2	3/3	...
...				

Existence of Uncountable Sets

Theorem: The set B of all functions $f : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is uncountable.

Proof (using Cantor's diagonal argument):

Assume (justification?) that B is countable.

Choose (justification?) enumeration f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots of the elements of B .

Consider the following table:

$f_i(j)$	0	1	2	3	...
f_0	$f_0(0)$	$f_0(1)$	$f_0(2)$	$f_0(3)$...
f_1	$f_1(0)$	$f_1(1)$	$f_1(2)$	$f_1(3)$...
f_2	$f_2(0)$	$f_2(1)$	$f_2(2)$	$f_2(2)$...
f_3	$f_3(0)$	$f_3(1)$	$f_3(2)$	$f_3(3)$...
...					

Define $f : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ so that $f(k) = 1 - f_k(k)$. Then f *cannot be one of the f_i* , so not all functions $f : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ are included among the f_i ; contradicting our assumption. We conclude B is uncountable.

A more general version of this argument shows:

Theorem: For all sets A , we have $|A| < |\mathcal{P}(A)|$.

i.e. no set A is equinumerous with its own powerset $\mathcal{P}(A)$.

More about Binary Relations

A binary relation $R \subseteq A \times A$ is called:

- *reflexive* if $\forall a. a \in A \rightarrow (a, a) \in R$.
- *symmetric* if $\forall a \ b. (a, b) \in R \rightarrow (b, a) \in R$.
- *antisymmetric* if $\forall a \ b. (a, b) \wedge (b, a) \in R \rightarrow a = b$.
- *transitive* if $\forall a \ b \ c. (a, b) \in R \wedge (b, c) \in R \rightarrow (a, c) \in R$.
- an *equivalence* if it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.
- a *partial order* if it is reflexive, transitive, and antisymmetric.
- a *total (or linear) order* if it is a partial order, and in addition satisfies *dichotomy*: $\forall a \ b. (a, b) \in R \vee (b, a) \in R$.

Examples:

Example: $R = \{(m, n) \in \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}. m = n \pmod{3}\}$ is an equivalence relation.

Example: $R = \{(m, n) \in \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}. m \leq n\}$ is a total order.

Example: $R = \{(m, n) \in \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}. m \text{ evenly divides } n\}$ is a partial order.

Alphabets and Strings

Def: An *alphabet* is a *nonempty* finite set.
(we call the elements “symbols”)

Def: Let Σ be an alphabet. A *string “over” Σ* is a finite sequence $w = w_1w_2 \dots w_n$, where each $w_i \in \Sigma$.

- The number n is called the *length* $|w|$ of string w .
- The *empty string* ($n = 0$) is denoted by ϵ .
- The set of all strings over Σ is denoted by Σ^* .

If we wanted to, we could *define* $\Sigma^* = \bigcup \{\Sigma^n : n \in \mathcal{N}\}$, but such details are not so important for us.

String Notation

- The i th symbol in string w ($1 \leq i \leq |w|$) is $w(i)$.
- The *concatenation* of strings u and v is the string uv such that:
 1. $|uv| = |u| + |v|$
 2. $uv(i) = u(i)$, for $1 \leq i \leq |u|$
 3. $uv(i) = v(i - |u|)$, for $|u| + 1 \leq i \leq |u| + |v|$.
- Concatenation of strings is *associative*: and it has the empty string as an *identity*:

$$\forall u \ v \ w. (uv)w = u(vw) \qquad \forall u. u\epsilon = u = \epsilon u.$$

(Algebraically, $(\Sigma^*, \cdot, \epsilon)$ is a *monoid*)

Cardinality of Σ^*

Theorem: If Σ is an alphabet, then Σ^* is countably infinite.

Proof: We can enumerate Σ^* in *lexicographic order*:

- Choose an enumeration $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of Σ .
(justification?)
- Extend to an enumeration of Σ^* , by the following rules:
 - For each k , all strings of length k are enumerated before strings of length $k + 1$.
 - The n^k strings of length exactly k are enumerated so that $v_1 \dots v_k$ precedes $w_1 \dots w_k$ provided that for some i with $0 \leq i < k$, we have $v_j = w_j$ for all $j < i$ and $v_{i+1} < w_{i+1}$ (order based on “first mismatch”).

Languages

Def: If Σ is an alphabet, then a subset of Σ^* is called a *language “over” Σ* . Important examples of languages:

- ϕ – the *empty language*.
- Σ^* – the language containing every string.
- $\{w\}$ – the *singleton language* containing w .
- Σ – the language consisting of all single-symbol strings.
(We are “punning” here, regarding $\Sigma \subseteq \Sigma^*$.)

As they are sets, we can form unions, intersections, and complements (with respect to Σ^*) of languages.

The Set of All Languages (over Σ)

The set of all languages over alphabet Σ is just $\mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)$, the powerset of Σ^* .

Theorem: If Σ is an alphabet, then $\mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)$ is uncountable.

Proof: We have already noted (by Cantor's Diagonal Argument) that $|A| < |P(\mathcal{A})|$ for *any* set A .