#### **Problem**

Let SET-SPLITTING =  $\{\langle S, C \rangle | S \text{ is a finite set and } C = \{C_1, \dots, C_k\}$ 

collection of subsets of S, for some k > 0, such that elements of S can be colored *red* or *blue* so that no  $C_i$  has all its elements colored with the same color}. Show that *SET-SPLITTING* is NP-complete.

### Step-by-step solution

### Step 1 of 3

#### NP -Complete:

A language B is NP-complete if it satisfies 2 conditions

- 1. B is in NP
- 2. Every A in NP is polynomial time reducible to B.

Comment

### Step 2 of 3

### 1. SET - SPLITING is in NP:

SET – SPLITING is in NP because we can verify in polynomial time that no subset  $C_i$  is monochromatic.

2.  $3 SAT \leq_{P} SET - SPLITING$ :

To prove that the problem is NP complete, we give a polynomial time reduction from 3SAT to SET-SPLITING.

Given an instance of 3SAT  $\phi$ , set  $S = \left\{x_1, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_n, y\right\}$ , where  $x_i$ 's are the variables and y is a special color variable.

Comment

## Step 3 of 3

# The splitting is done as follows:

For every clause  $C_i$  in  $\phi$ , Let  $C_i$  be a subset of S containing the elements corresponding to the literally, in  $C_i$  and the special elements  $y \in s$ , Then  $C = C_1, ..., C_k$ 

If  $\phi$  is satisfiable, consider a satisfying assignment.

If we color all the true literals red, all the false ones are blue, and y blue, then every subset  $C_i$  of S has at least one red element (because it is satisfiable and it also contain one blue element y.

In addition, for a given splitting  $\langle S,C \rangle$ , we can able to set the literals that are colored differently from y to true.

In the same way, we can able to set the literals that have the same color as y to false.

This concludes that satisfying assignment for  $\phi$ .

Thus, SET - SPLITTING is NP-Complete.

Comment