Problem

We define the *avoids* operation for languages A and B to be

A avoids B = {wl w ? A and w doesn't contain any string in B as a substring}.

Prove that the class of regular languages is closed under the avoids operation.

Step-by-step solution

Step 1 of 3

Regular Language:

- Regular language is the language which is generated or expressed with the help of regular expression and is recognizable by the finite automata.
- It is possible to get different types of regular languages from different types of regular grammar.
- By the definition of regular language it is known that if a finite automata recognizes a language then only it is said to be regular.
- Thus, in this case of "avoids" operation over two regular languages A and B
- If a finite automata accepting the language of "avoids" can be constructed
- Then it can be said that the set of regular languages is closed under the operation "avoids".

Comment

Step 2 of 3

As per given details there are two languages as A and B for which the avoid operations is defines as follows:

A avoids $B = \{w | w \in A \text{ and } w \text{ does not contain any string in B as a substring} \}$.

Here, it is required to prove that class of regular languages is closed under avoids operation.

Comment

Step 3 of 3

Proof:

· In the above:

(A avoids B) can be written as follows:

$$(A-(A has B))$$

Where (A has B) are string of A which contain strings of B as substrings.

- After that (A avoids B) will be strings of A that means strings of B will not contain B as substring.
- (A has B) can be written as follows:

(A has B) as
$$A \cap (\Sigma^* B \Sigma^*)$$

- · After that (A has B) that means these are the strings of A that contains the strings of B as substring.
- · Similarly, (A avoids B) can be written as follows:

$$(A - (A \cap (\Sigma^*B\Sigma^*)))$$

Comments (1)			