$\{\langle G \rangle | G \text{ is an ambiguous CFG} \}.$

Let AMBIGCEG =

reduction from PCP. Given an instance

Show that AMBIGCFG is undecidable. (Hint: Use a

$$P = \left\{ \left[\frac{t_1}{b_1} \right], \left[\frac{t_2}{b_2} \right], \dots, \left[\frac{t_k}{b_k} \right] \right\}$$

of the Post Correspondence Problem, construct a CFG G with the rules

$$S \to T \mid B$$

$$T \to t_1 T \mathbf{a}_1 \mid \cdots \mid t_k T \mathbf{a}_k \mid t_1 \mathbf{a}_1 \mid \cdots \mid t_k \mathbf{a}_k$$

$$B \to b_1 B \mathbf{a}_1 \mid \cdots \mid b_k B \mathbf{a}_k \mid b_1 \mathbf{a}_1 \mid \cdots \mid b_k \mathbf{a}_k,$$

where a_1, \ldots, a_k are new terminal symbols. Prove that this reduction works.)

Step-by-step solution

Step 1 of 1

Un-decidability

- 1. If P has match with $t_{i1}t_{i2}\cdots t_{il}=b_{i1}b_{i2}\cdots b_{il}$ then it can be observed that string $t_{i1}t_{i2}\cdots t_{il}a_{il}\cdots a_{i2}a_{i1}$ has minimum two derivations, first from T and other one from B.
- 2. If the Context free grammar G is ambiguous, then some string s should have multiple derivations. As G generate s, s can be written as $wa_{j_1}a_{j_2}\cdots a_{j_m}$ for some w that do not have symbols from $a_i's$.

After checking the grammar G, It can be observe that the derivation of B and derivation of T can each generate maximum one strings of same form as S. The multiple derivations of S as follows:

$$S \Rightarrow T \stackrel{\bullet}{\Rightarrow} s = t_{jm}t_{jm-1} \cdots t_{j1}a_{j1}a_{j2} \cdots a_{jm}$$

$$S \Rightarrow B \stackrel{\bullet}{\Rightarrow} s = b_{jm}b_{jm-1} \cdots b_{j1}a_{j1}a_{j2} \cdots a_{jm}$$

Thus,
$$t_{jm}t_{jm-1}\cdots t_{j1}=b_{jm}b_{jm-1}\cdots b_{j1}$$

By combining (1) and (2), P has a match iff G is ambiguous.

So, the reduction from PCP to $AMBIG_{CFG}$ works. Thus, $AMBIG_{CFG}$ is un-decidable.

Comment