## **Problem**

A Turing machine with stay put instead of left is similar to an ordinary Turing machine, but the transition function has the form

$$\delta: Q \times \Gamma \longrightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{R, S\}.$$

At each point, the machine can move its head right or let it stay in the same position. Show that this Turing machine variant is *not* equivalent to the usual version. What class of languages do these machines recognize?

## Step-by-step solution

## Step 1 of 2

A Deterministic Finite State automaton can be simulated on the Turing Machine with stay put instead of left. The modifications can be done if transitions are added from state in F to  $q_{accept}$  and from the states outside F to  $q_{reject}$  when a blank symbol is read.

Assume there is a Turing Machine M, such that  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, q_{accept}, q_{reject})$  with stay put instead of left. Create a DFA such that the DFA  $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  recognizes the same language.

The machine M cannot move left and cannot write anything that it can written on the tape while moving to the right. Thus, the access is one-way.

For every DFA, there exists a Turing Machine that accepts the same language because a DFA is a Turing Machine with read only tape and tape head with moves to right.

Comments (2)

## Step 2 of 2

The transition function  $\delta'$  for the NFA is as follows:

First, set  $\delta'(q_{\text{start}}, P) = \{q_{oP}\}_{\text{where}} q_{oP}$  is the start state of TM variant.

Next, set 
$$\delta'(q_{accept}, i) = \{q_{accept}\}$$
 For any  $i$ 

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta(p,a) = (q_{\text{accept}},b,w) \text{ where } w = R \text{ or } S, \text{ set } \delta'(q_{pa},\in) = \{q_{\text{accept}}\}$$

R is RIGHT S is stay put.

If 
$$\delta(p,a) = (q_{reject},b,w)_{\text{where } w = R \text{ or } S$$
, we set  $\delta'(q_{pa} \in) = \{q_{reject}\}$ 

- $\bullet \text{ For each } a \in \Sigma, \text{set } \delta `(q_{\textit{start}}, a) = \big\{ \big\langle q_0, a \big\rangle \big\}, \text{ where } q_0 \text{ is start state of S}.$
- For each  $p,q \in \mathcal{Q}$  where  $p \notin \{q_{accept},q_{reject}\}$ , for each  $a \in \Gamma$ , if S has transition of form  $\delta(p,a) = (q_{accept},b,w)_{or}$   $\delta(p,a) = (q_{reject},b,w)_{or}$   $\delta(p,a) = (q_{reject},b,w)_{or}$
- For each  $p,q \in \mathcal{Q}$  where  $p \notin \{q_{accept}, q_{reject}\}$  , for each  $a \in \Sigma$ , if S has transition of form  $\delta(p,a) = (q_{accept}, b, w)_{or} \delta(p,a) = (q_{reject}, b, w)_{or} \delta(p,a) = (q_{reject},$

Thus, an NFA is constructed which is defined as follows:

$$\left( Q' = Q, \Sigma' = \Sigma, \delta', q_{op} = q_{\mathit{start}}, F \right) \text{ From our TM variant } S.$$

The language recognized by NFA is regular languages.

Comment