

Problem

Show that $PRIMES = \{m \mid m \text{ is a prime number in binary}\} \in NP$. (Hint: For $p > 1$, the multiplicative group $Z_p^* = \{x \mid x \text{ is relatively prime to } p \text{ and } 1 \leq x < p\}$ is both cyclic and of order $p - 1$ iff p is prime. You may use this fact without justifying it. The stronger statement $PRIMES \in P$ is now known to be true, but it is more difficult to prove.)

Step-by-step solution

Step 1 of 2

Here, $PRIMES(P) = \{m \mid m \text{ is a prime number in binary}\} \in NP$ can be proved by the following approach.

Now, consider two situations:

1. Consider a situation where $P > 1$: (Because all prime numbers are greater than 1).
2. The multiplicative group $Z_p^* = \{x \mid x \text{ is relatively prime to } P \text{ and } 1 \leq x \leq P\}$.

[Comment](#)

Step 2 of 2

Here, a situation is considered where x is a relative prime number the value of x lies between 0 and 1.

- Both conditions are cyclic as the both situations can be combined and can lie between 1 and P .
- **Order of these conditions is $P-1$ if P is prime as the range lies between 1 and P then the order between $P-1$. This fact is alone sufficient to prove the statement** $PRIMES(P) = \{m \mid m \text{ is a prime number in binary}\} \in NP$ and second considered situation are quite enough itself to justify the statement.
- It can be proved by the fact of belonging of prime numbers to $co-NP$ and consider prime numbers belong to $co-RP$.
- **Thus, required statement will be true as well, because belongingness of NP can be proved only if belongs to $co-NP$ and $co-RP$ as well.**

This it is quite obvious that, $PRIMES(P) = \{m \mid m \text{ is a prime number in binary}\} \in NP$.

[Comment](#)