as

by As As

Submission date: 17-Apr-2023 07:56AM (UTC-0400)
Submission ID: 2067130608
File name: UKS31072.docx (31.49K)
Word count: 3916
Character count: 22278

ANALYTICAL SOFTWARE

PREMISES VS CLOUD COMPUTING

Table of Contents

1.0 Introduction 3

2.0 Situation analysis 3

2.1 The smart factory analytics project and its goals 3

2.2 Highlights the type and amount of data which requires to be transferred 4

2.3 The disadvantages and scopes of an on-premise solution versus a private/public cloud approach 5

3.0 Aspects to consider 7

3.1 The factors to consider when choosing between on-premise and cloud-based solutions, including: flexibility and scaling options, data privacy and security, cost considerations, maintenance and support requirements as well as integration with other systems and tools 7

4.0 Assumptions 8

4.1 The assumptions made in choosing between an on-premise solution and a cloud-based approach for the smart factory analytics project 8

4.2 The manners by which these assumptions can be validated or tested during the project 10

5.0 Conclusion 12

Reference list 13

1.0 Introduction

The expansion of digital information and technological improvements has had a big impact on how businesses gather, examine, and base decisions on data. Choosing between an on-premises and cloud-based solution is one of the most important choices to make when constructing an analytics stack. The analytics project's success can be greatly impacted by the solution chosen, thus it is important to take into account a number of variables, including data security, flexibility, as well as scaling possibilities, among others. In this study, we'll examine a particular case in which a manufacturer wants to apply machine learning to forecast the optimum production step to do next in a smart factory.

2.0 Situation analysis

2.1 The smart factory analytics project and its goals

By utilizing machine learning and advanced analytics, the smart factory analytics project takes a novel approach to increasing the productivity and efficiency of industrial operations. By foreseeing the next optimal production step based on the current condition of the plant and the planned output, this initiative seeks to optimise the manufacturing process. Individuals could employ an instance of an enterprise which manufactures car components to illustrate this (Xia *et al*. 2021). Casting, machining, installation, and quality control are just a few of the processes that go into the company's production process. Each stage calls for a unique set of resources—people, tools, and equipment—and any delays or setbacks at one level can have an effect on the total production process. The company intends to deploy a smart factory analytics programme which will employ machine learning algorithms to forecast the following ideal production phase on the basis of current information from production detectors, maintenance logs, and previous machine performance data in order to address this issue. The project's objectives are to eliminate waste, maximise productivity, and minimise downtime. This project's first step is to gather and store data from a variety of sources, including production detectors which gauge pressure, temperature, as well as other variables, logs of maintenance which record breakdowns and repairs, as well as historical information on machine performance, such as uptime, interruptions, and throughput. The preprocessed data is then translated into a format that machine learning algorithms can utilize. The following stage entails training machine learning approaches which can forecast the best production sequences based on the condition of the plant at the moment and the intended output. These models acquire information from the information and create predictions utilizing cutting-edge techniques like decision trees, neural networks, and clustering. The precision of the appraoch's predictions and input from the manufacturing process are utilized to continuously improve and update them. The solution utilized in the smart factory analytics programme will depend on the company's particular needs, like data security, adaptability, and growth choices. If the business has the required infrastructure and knowledge to manage the processing and storage of data requirements, an on-premise solution can be appropriate (Ding *et al*. 2020). A private/public cloud-based method can be preferable, nevertheless, if the company lacks the essential resources or needs flexibility and scalability. The smart factory analytics project, as a whole, has the potential to revolutionize the manufacturing sector by utilizing the power of cutting-edge analytics and machine learning to enhance production processes, boost productivity, and cut costs. Manufacturers may enhance product quality, lower waste, and boost profitability by precisely forecasting the next optimum manufacturing step.

2.2 Highlights the type and amount of data which requires to be transferred

A huge amount of information is generated in an intelligent manufacturing analytics project from a variety of sources like production detectors, maintenance records, and historical machine performance data. The kind and volume of data that must be sent relies on the project's particular requirements and the solution type selected. For instance, with an on-premise solution, the majority of the processing and storage of the data takes place locally, as well as only a small amount could need to be sent to the cloud for remote access or backup. In contrast, a cloud-based solution might need the transfer of a greater volume of data to the cloud for analysis, processing, and storage. Sensor data, which offers real-time details on industrial processes like temperature, pressure, and humidity, is one of the types of data that must be provided (Li *et al*. 2020). This information is essential for tracking the manufacturing process and spotting any problems. Equipment performance can be gleaned from maintenance logs, which keep track of repairs and failures. These records can also be used to optimize maintenance plans and cut downtime. For training machine learning algorithms which could foretell the next most effective production step, historical information on machine performance, like uptime, interruptions, as well as throughput, is also essential. The previous performance of the equipment is documented by this data, which can also be utilized to spot patterns and trends. There can also be more pertinent data which has to be uploaded, like information from supply chain management systems, inspection systems, and other production systems (Kotsiopoulos *et al*. 2021). This information can shed light on the whole manufacturing process and aid in the detection of potential bottlenecks as well as inefficiencies. Depending on the exact project requirements and the solution type selected, the amount of information which needs to be exchanged can vary dramatically. As the data is handled and kept locally in an on-premises approach for instance, the quantity of information required to be transported may be minimal. As the information is processed and kept in the cloud, a cloud-based solution could require a substantially bigger volume of data to be transported. It is crucial to take the network infrastructure's capacity, latency, and stability into account to ensure effective data transport. These variables may affect how quickly and accurately data is transferred, which could have a big effect on how well the smart factory analytics project functions as a whole. Manufacturers can fulfil the project's goals by carefully evaluating the kind and volume of information which needs to be transported, selecting the best solution, and setting up the necessary network infrastructure.

2.3 The disadvantages and scopes of an on-premise solution versus a private/public cloud approach

Manufacturers must decide between an on-premises and a cloud-based solution when establishing an analytics layer for a smart factory. The option mostly depends on the unique project requirements, the type and volume of information which needs to be handled, as well as the manufacturer's resources and capabilities. Both approaches offer benefits and drawbacks.

Scopes of on-premise solution:

- With an on-premise solution, the information storage and processing infrastructure is entirely within the manufacturer's control. As a result, companies are able to establish unique security standards and privacy protections and have full insight into as well as control over the data.
- Since the data is kept inside the company's own firewall, an on-premise solution is typically thought to be safer than a cloud-based one. For highly confidential data like trade secrets or private client information, this can be especially crucial.
- As there is no need to transport the data to the cloud for processing, an on-premise solution can offer lower latency. This might be especially crucial for real-time

applications like tracking equipment performance or spotting irregularities in the manufacturing process.

Disadvantages:

- On-premise solutions often ask for a large investment in staff, infrastructure, and software (Koike, 2014). Because of this, they may be unaffordable for smaller producers or those with scarce funds.
- Scaling on-premise systems can be challenging because the manufacturer must buy and set up more gear as well as software as their requirements increase. This might be particularly difficult for manufacturers which encounter rapid expansion or erratic need.
- Since on-premise solutions are connected to a particular place and may be challenging to access remotely, they can be more limited than cloud-based options. This might hinder communication and adaptability, especially for teams who are spread out geographically.

Scope of cloud approach:

- Since the provider is unable to purchase as well as manage their personal hardware as well as software infrastructure, cloud-based solutions often need less initial expenditure. For smaller producers or those with fewer resources, this can make them more accessible and affordable.
- Since the manufacturer may simply add and remove capabilities as their needs change, solutions which are cloud-based can be very scalable. As a result, they may be perfect for businesses that cope with erratic demand or abrupt growth.
- Solutions that are hosted in the cloud are very versatile and accessible from any location with an internet link. It could allow for flexibility and remote cooperation, which could be crucial for teams who are spread out geographically.

Disadvantage:

- Since they are administered by a third-party supplier, cloud-based solutions could give individuals less control over the infrastructure for data processing and storage. Consequently, putting in place unique security or privacy protocols may be challenging.
- Since the data is housed outside of the business's own firewall, cloud-based solutions are typically thought to be less safe than on-premise alternatives. For largely confidential data like trade secrets or private client information, this might be very problematic.

- Since data must be transmitted to as well as from the internet for processing, cloud-based solutions could have a higher latency. This can be particularly tough for real-period applications, such checking on the performance of machinery or looking for irregularities in the production process.

3.0 Aspects to consider

3.1 The factors to consider when choosing between on-premise and cloud-based solutions, including: flexibility and scaling options, data privacy and security, cost considerations, maintenance and support requirements as well as integration with other systems and tools

There are a number of things to take into account while deciding between on-premise and cloud-based solutions. The following are some important things to bear in mind:

Scaling & Flexibility Options: The fact that cloud-based solutions offer more flexibility and scalability is one of its main benefits. Cloud-based solutions make it simple to add or subtract resources in accordance with market’s current demands, which can be very helpful if the company encounters swings in demand. On-premise solutions might be more challenging to scale up or down fast and often need a bigger initial investment in hardware and infrastructure (Gurjar and Rathore, 2013).

Data Privacy and Security: While deciding between on-premise and cloud-based solutions, data privacy and security are crucial factors to take into account. On-premise solutions might provide more control over data because the business is in charge of maintaining and protecting business equipment and servers. Cloud-based systems, on the other hand, frequently include strong security safeguards and are run by knowledgeable teams with a wealth of knowledge in data protection.

Cost considerations: When deciding among on-premise and cloud-based solutions, cost is a crucial component. The upfront costs for infrastructure and hardware, as well as maintenance expenses, are often higher for on-premise solutions. Cloud-based solutions frequently provide more adaptable pricing structures that let the company just pay for the resources the company utilises (Gurjar and Rathore, 2013).

Maintenance and Support Requirements: On-premise solutions must be regularly maintained and supported, which can be time-consuming and expensive. Updates and patches are often automatically applied by the service provider in cloud-based solutions, which typically enable more efficient maintenance and support.

Integration with Other Systems and Tools: While deciding between on-premise and cloud-based solutions, integration with other systems and tools is a crucial factor to take into account. Since two systems are not made to function together, integrating on-premise solutions might be more challenging. Integration is frequently made simpler and more effective with cloud-based solutions since they frequently feature pre-built linkages with other widely used tools and systems (Gurjar and Rathore, 2013).

Lastly, the unique business demands and priorities will determine whether the company chooses on-premise or cloud-based solutions. To examine alternatives and make a wise choice, it might be helpful to speak with a reputable IT supplier or consultant (Prakash et al. 2022).

4.0 Assumptions

The use of smart factories is growing in popularity as a result of Industry 4.0 and the Internet of Things (IoT). Artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML), and data analytics are some of the cutting-edge technologies that smart factories use to streamline operations, cut costs, and boost productivity. Choosing between an on-premises solution and a cloud-based strategy for the analytics portion of the project is one of the crucial choices that must be taken when building a smart factory. The analysis will consider the presumptions used to decide between these two possibilities in this analysis (Krutz et al. 2010).

4.1 The assumptions made in choosing between an on-premise solution and a cloud-based approach for the smart factory analytics project

On-Premise Solution: Installing the required hardware and software on-site at the facility is part of an on-premise solution. The factory has complete control over the processing procedure with this option because all data is analysed and kept locally. As the manufacturer can build its own security protocols and is not dependent on a third-party source, on-premise solutions also give improved protection.

Assumptions:

Cost: Because hardware and software installation is required for on-premise solutions, these solutions often have greater upfront costs. As there are no recurring subscription fees or expenditures for data storage and transfer, they might, nonetheless, end up being more affordable in the long term.

Scalability: Cloud-based methods are typically more scalable than on-premise alternatives. The plant will need to make investments in more technology and software as it expands and the volume of data that has to be examined grows (Haddara, 2018).

Maintenance: In order to maintain and update the factory's hardware and software, on-premise solutions call for on-site IT personnel. This may be time- and money-consuming (Gurjar and Rathore, 2013).

Cloud-Based Approach: A cloud-based strategy involves storing and processing data through a third-party service. This choice offers scalability because the factory can alter its processing and storage capacity as necessary. Increased accessibility is another benefit of cloud-based methods since the data is accessible from any location with an internet connection.

Assumptions:

Cost: While it's not necessary to buy hardware or software, cloud-based methods typically offer reduced upfront costs. Ongoing monthly subscriptions and the price of storing and transferring data, however, can mount up over time.

Scalability: The factory may quickly grow or decrease its processing and storage capacity as needed, making cloud-based techniques more scalable.

Maintenance: Factory maintenance is minimal to nonexistent for cloud-based methods. However, the upkeep and updating of the hardware and software will be the responsibility of the supplier, not the factory.

Flexibility: Compared to on-premise solutions, cloud-based methods offer less customization and flexibility. It could be necessary for the factory to modify its analytics procedure to work with the provider's framework (Rimal et al. 2011).

For smart factory analytics, deciding amongst an on-premise solution and a cloud-based strategy necessitates careful analysis of the presumptions covered above. In the end, the choice will be determined by the particular requirements and conditions of the factory. A manufacturer may find that an on-premise solution is the ideal option if it values ownership, security, and customisation. A cloud-based strategy can be preferable if the factory emphasises scalability, availability, and ease of maintenance. Regardless of the decision, smart manufacturing analytics can have a major positive impact on production processes, efficiency, and cost (Gai and Li, 2012).

4.2 The manners by which these assumptions can be validated or tested during the project

It is essential to validate or test the assumptions throughout the project to make sure they are precise and trustworthy once they have been formed. Here are a few methods to verify or test the presumptions:

Cost:

- **On-premises solution price:** The cost of data storage and transport can be forecast based on the amount of data that needs to be processed, and the cost of hardware and software can be determined depending on quotes from vendors (Subashini and Kavitha, 2011).
- **Cloud-based approach:** Cloud-based strategy Based on the price options provided by the cloud service provider, it is possible to estimate the cost of subscription services as well as data storage and transmission.

A cost-benefit analysis that contrasts the up-front and recurring costs of each option over a specific time period, such as several years, can be carried out to verify cost assumptions. The expenses of IT staff, subscriptions, data storage, and data transport should all be considered in this study, in addition to the price of gear and software. To gain a clear image of which alternative is more cost-effective, it's crucial to take into account both short-term and long-term costs (Subashini and Kavitha, 2011).

Scalability:

- **On-premise solution:** By modelling an increase in the amount of information that needs to be processed, the solution's scalability may be tested to see if the hardware and software are capable of handling it.
- **Cloud-based approach:** By varying the storage and processing capacity of the cloud service and seeing how well the factory operations can adjust as a result, it is possible to assess the solution's scalability.

By looking at the factory's growth predictions and estimating how rapidly the amount of data will raise, scalability assumptions may be put to the test. A cloud-based strategy may be more scalable if the factory expects significant development because it may easily increase storage and processing capacity without requiring the installation of additional gear and software. On the other hand, an on-premise solution can be more suitable if the factory expects slower growth or has a limited budget because it can be ramped up gradually over time (Nayar and Kumar, 2018).

Maintenance:

- **On-site solution:** Routine maintenance and software updates can be scheduled in order to verify the hardware and software's maintenance requirements while also analysing how they affect manufacturing operations.
- **Cloud-based approach:** By assessing the responsiveness and dependability of the provider's support services, it is possible to test the maintenance needs of the cloud service.

The level of IT expertise present in the factory and the company's maintenance and support procedures should both be taken into account while validating maintenance assumptions. An on-premise solution might be easier to run if the factory has a dedicated IT staff with experience in hardware and software management because the team can oversee maintenance and updates internally. A cloud-based method might be more practicable if the manufacturer has limited IT resources because the provider is in charge of upkeep and updates. Review the supplier's servicing policies to make sure they meet the requirements and expectations of the factory (Duan et al. 2013).

Flexibility:

- **On-premise solution:** By altering the analytics process and determining whether it can be connected with the factory's current hardware and software, the solution's adaptability may be assessed.
- **Cloud-based strategy:** The solution's adaptability may be checked by determining how simple it is to change the analytics process so that it is compatible with the provider's framework and by determining whether it can satisfy the particular needs of the factory (Saa et al. 2017).

By looking at the unique analytics requirements of the factory and the customization possibilities provided by each system, flexibility assumptions may be put to the test. An on-premise solution can be more suitable if the factory has special needs for data processing and analysis and requires a high level of customisation because it offers more customization and control. A cloud-based strategy may be more adaptable and give more pre-built solutions if the manufacturer has more generic analytics requirements and is ready to modify its processes to meet the provider's framework.

5.0 Conclusion

When deciding between an onsite solution and a private/public cloud method for the analytics project, the report has looked at the kind and volume of data which has to be transferred, the design decisions, and the assumptions made. The report has also taken into account any additional pertinent factors, such as the solution's pricing, upkeep requirements, and support needs. The report has shed light on the trade-offs related to selecting between a cloud-based approach and an on-premise option. It has also gone through how crucial it is to take the specific needs of the project as well as the organization into account when making this choice. Overall, the information in this research will be invaluable in assisting companies in selecting the best option for their analytics projects.

Reference list

Ding, H., Gao, R.X., Isaksson, A.J., Landers, R.G., Parisini, T. and Yuan, Y., 2020. State of AI-based monitoring in smart manufacturing and introduction to focused section. IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics, 25(5), pp.2143-2154.

Duan, J., Faker, P., Fesak, A. and Stuart, T., 2013. Benefits and drawbacks of cloud-based versus traditional ERP systems. *Proceedings of the 2012-13 course on Advanced Resource Planning*.

Gai, K. and Li, S., 2012, November. Towards cloud computing: a literature review on cloud computing and its development trends. In *2012 Fourth international conference on multimedia information networking and security* (pp. 142-146). IEEE.

Gurjar, Y.S. and Rathore, V.S., 2013. Cloud business intelligence–is what business need today. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, *1*(6), pp.81-86.

Haddara, M., 2018. ERP systems selection in multinational enterprises: a practical guide. *International Journal of Information Systems and Project Management*, *6*(1), pp.43-57.

Koike, N., 2014, April. A Cyber Laboratory for Device Dependent Hardware Experiments in a Hybrid Cloud. In CSEDU (1) (pp. 440-445).

Kotsiopoulos, T., Sarigiannidis, P., Ioannidis, D. and Tzovaras, D., 2021. Machine learning and deep learning in smart manufacturing: The smart grid paradigm. Computer Science Review, 40, p.100341.

Krutz, R.L., Krutz, R.L. and Russell Dean Vines, R.D.V., 2010. *Cloud security a comprehensive guide to secure cloud computing*. Wiley.

Li, C., Chen, Y. and Shang, Y., 2022. A review of industrial big data for decision making in intelligent manufacturing. Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal, 29, p.101021.

Nayar, K.B. and Kumar, V., 2018. Cost benefit analysis of cloud computing in education. *International Journal of Business Information Systems*, *27*(2), pp.205-221.

Prakash, V., Savaglio, C., Garg, L., Bawa, S. and Spezzano, G., 2022. Cloud-and Edge-based ERP systems for Industrial Internet of Things and Smart Factory. *Procedia Computer Science*, *200*, pp.537-545.

Rimal, B.P., Jukan, A., Katsaros, D. and Goeleven, Y., 2011. Architectural requirements for cloud computing systems: an enterprise cloud approach. *Journal of Grid Computing*, *9*, pp.3-26.

Saa, P., Moscoso-Zea, O., Costales, A.C. and Luján-Mora, S., 2017, June. Data security issues in cloud-based Software-as-a-Service ERP. In *2017 12th Iberian Conference on Information Systems and Technologies (CISTI)* (pp. 1-7). IEEE.

Subashini, S. and Kavitha, V., 2011. A survey on security issues in service delivery models of cloud computing. *Journal of network and computer applications*, *34*(1), pp.1-11.

Xia, K., Sacco, C., Kirkpatrick, M., Saidy, C., Nguyen, L., Kircaliali, A. and Harik, R., 2021. A digital twin to train deep reinforcement learning agent for smart manufacturing plants: Environment, interfaces and intelligence. Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 58, pp.210-230.

as

ORIGINALITY REPORT

2%	1%	0%	0%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	www.coursehero.com Internet Source	1%
2	link.springer.com Internet Source	<1%
3	Submitted to University of York Student Paper	<1%
4	www.mdpi.com Internet Source	<1%

Exclude quotes	Off	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	On		