

Homework 2 solutions: expectation, conditional distributions, functions of \mathbf{rv}

EE 325 (DD): Probability and Random Processes, Autumn 2016
Instructor: Animesh Kumar, EE, IIT Bombay

Set-A

1. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. random variables with an exponential distribution with parameter λ . Let $X_{(n)}$ and $X_{(1)}$ be the largest and the smallest random variable in the sequence X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n . Find the marginal (cumulative) distribution functions of $X_{(n)}$ and $X_{(1)}$.

An exponential random variable Y with parameter $\lambda > 0$ has the pdf

$$f_Y(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}, \quad x \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

Solution: The random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n have the same pdf

$$f_X(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

First note that

$$\mathbb{P}(X_i \leq x) = \int_0^x f_{X_i}(\nu) d\nu = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$$

for $x \geq 0$. Similarly, $\mathbb{P}(X_i > x) = e^{-\lambda x}$ for $x \geq 0$. To evaluate the cdf of $X_{(1)}$ and $X_{(n)}$, the i.i.d. property of the random variables will be used. The complement of cdf of $X_{(1)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X_{(1)} > x) &= \mathbb{P}(\min(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) > x) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_1 > x, X_2 > x, \dots, X_n > x) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_1 > x) \mathbb{P}(X_2 > x) \dots \mathbb{P}(X_n > x) \text{ since } X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \text{ are i.i.d.,} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\lambda x} \\ &= e^{-n\lambda x}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathbb{P}(X_{(1)} \leq x) = 1 - e^{-n\lambda x}$ for $x \geq 0$. For $x < 0$, the cdf will be zero since all the random variables X_1, \dots, X_n are positive.

For the cdf of $X_{(n)}$ a similar approach will be used. The cdf of $X_{(n)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X_{(n)} \leq x) &= \mathbb{P}(\max(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \leq x) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_1 \leq x, X_2 \leq x, \dots, X_n \leq x) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_1 \leq x) \mathbb{P}(X_2 \leq x) \dots \mathbb{P}(X_n \leq x) \text{ since } X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \text{ are i.i.d.,} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - e^{-\lambda x}) \\ &= (1 - e^{-\lambda x})^n. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the solution.

2. Let $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ and $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu)$, with $\lambda, \mu > 0$. Assume that X and Y are independent, and n is a non-negative integer.
 - (a) Find the pmf of $Z = X + Y$.

- (b) Find the conditional distribution of Y conditioned on $Z = n$, i.e., the pmf $p_{Y|Z}(y|n)$.
(c) What is the conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}(Y|Z)$?

Solution:

- (a) If $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ then X is a discrete random variable with the pmf

$$p_X(k) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!}.$$

Using discrete convolution, we can find the pmf of Z .

$$\begin{aligned} p_Z(n) = \sum_k p_X(k) p_Y(n-k) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!} \right) \left(\frac{e^{-\mu} \mu^{n-k}}{(n-k)!} \right) \\ &= \frac{e^{-(\lambda+\mu)}}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{n! \lambda^k \mu^{n-k}}{k! (n-k)!} \\ &= \frac{e^{-(\lambda+\mu)} (\lambda + \mu)^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Z is also a discrete random variable with a Poisson distribution and parameter $(\lambda + \mu)$.

- (b) The conditional pmf is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{Y|Z}(y|n) = \mathbb{P}(Y = y|Z = n) &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y = y, X = n - y)}{\mathbb{P}(Z = n)} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\mu} \mu^y}{y!} \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{(n-y)}}{(n-y)!} \frac{n!}{e^{-(\lambda+\mu)} (\lambda + \mu)^n} \\ &= \frac{n!}{(n-y)! y!} \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda + \mu} \right)^y \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \mu} \right)^{n-y} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $Y|(Z = n) \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$ with $p = \mu/(\lambda + \mu)$.

- (c) It has been showed that $Y|(Z = n) \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$ with $p = \frac{\mu}{\mu+\lambda}$. The expectation of a $\text{Bin}(n, p)$ random variable is np . Thus, $\mathbb{E}(Y|Z = n) = n\mu/(\lambda + \mu)$.

3. Assume that X is a continuous random variable with,

$$f_X(x) = \frac{c}{1 + |x|^4}, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The constant c is selected such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_X(x) dx = 1$. Find the values of $\mathbb{E}(X)$ and $\mathbb{E}(X^3)$.

Solution: Whenever expectation is well defined, for a continuous random variable, it will be given by $\mathbb{E}(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_X(x) dx$. First note that $\mathbb{E}(X^+)$ is finite since

$$\mathbb{E}(X^+) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{cx}{1 + x^4} dx < \infty.$$

Similarly, $\mathbb{E}(X^-) < \infty$. Therefore, $\mathbb{E}(X)$ exists. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{cx}{1 + |x|^4} dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{cx}{1 + |x|^4} dx + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{cx}{1 + |x|^4} dx \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} - \int_0^{\infty} \frac{cx}{1 + |x|^4} dx + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{cx}{1 + |x|^4} dx \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where (a) follows by doing the substitution $x = -x$ in the first integral term (the integral from $-\infty$ to 0). Here, $\mathbb{E}[X]$ is 0, because $\mathbb{E}[X^+]$ converges, and is equal to $\mathbb{E}[X^-]$.

Let $Y = X^3$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[Y^+] &= \int_0^\infty \frac{cx^3}{1+x^4} dx \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} \int_1^\infty \frac{cdt}{4t} \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{=} \frac{c}{4} \log(t)|_1^\infty \\ &= \infty\end{aligned}$$

where (b) follows by substituting $t = 1 + x^4$, and (c) follows because integral of $\frac{1}{x}$ is $\log(x)$.

Similarly, $\mathbb{E}[Y^-] = \infty$, and hence, $\mathbb{E}[X^3] = \mathbb{E}[Y]$ is not defined.

Set-B

1. Assume that $\mathbb{E}(X^2) < \infty$. Show that $\alpha = \mathbb{E}(X)$ is the unique value of α that minimizes $\mathbb{E}((X - \alpha)^2)$.

Solution: The cost function $\mathbb{E}((X - \alpha)^2)$ can be expanded as a quadratic expression in α . Observe that $\mathbb{E}((X - \alpha)^2) = \mathbb{E}(X^2 + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha X) = \mathbb{E}(X^2) + \alpha^2 - 2\alpha\mathbb{E}(X)$. The quadratic expression can be minimized by taking derivatives.

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha}[\mathbb{E}((X - \alpha)^2)] = 2\alpha - 2\mathbb{E}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d^2}{d\alpha^2}[\mathbb{E}((X - \alpha)^2)] = 2 > 0$$

Equating the first derivative to 0 gives the point of (unique) minima as $\alpha = \mathbb{E}(X)$.

2. Assume that $g(x)$ and $h(y)$ are measurable functions on the set of real numbers. If the random variables (X, Y) are independent, then show that $(g(X), h(Y))$ are also independent.

Solution:

It is given that $F_{X,Y}(x, y) = F_X(x)F_Y(y)$. We will break down the solution into three parts. First, we will show that $\mathbb{P}(X \in I_x, Y \in I_y) = \mathbb{P}(X \in I_x)\mathbb{P}(Y \in I_y)$, i.e., the events $\{X \in I_x\}$ and $\{Y \in I_y\}$ are independent. Second, we will show that for a union of disjoint intervals $I_x := I_{x_1}, I_{x_2, x_3}, \dots, I_{x_n}$ and $I_y := I_{y_1}, I_{y_2, y_3}, \dots, I_{y_m}$, the events $\{X \in I_x\}$ and $\{Y \in I_y\}$ are independent. Finally, since $g(x)$ and $h(y)$ are measurable functions, therefore, $\{g(X) \leq u\}$ and $\{h(Y) \leq v\}$ will correspond to independence of X and Y on the union of disjoint intervals.

Step 1: First, assume that $x_1 < x_2$ and $y_1 < y_2$. Also assume that these variables are finite. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(X \in (x_1, x_2], Y \in (y_1, y_2]) &= F_{X,Y}(x_2, y_2) - F_{X,Y}(x_2, y_1) - F_{X,Y}(x_1, y_2) + F_{X,Y}(x_1, y_1) \\ &= F_X(x_2)F_Y(y_2) - F_X(x_2)F_Y(y_1) - F_X(x_1)F_Y(y_2) + F_X(x_1)F_Y(y_1) \\ &= (F_X(x_2) - F_X(x_1))(F_Y(y_2) - F_Y(y_1)) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X \in (x_1, x_2])\mathbb{P}(Y \in (y_1, y_2]).\end{aligned}$$

It is left as an exercise for you to prove that the above equality is true for closed and open intervals on either side. Further, the equality also holds if either x_1 or x_2 are infinite. Similarly, either y_1 or y_2 can be infinite.

Step 2: Denote $I_{x_1} = (-\infty, x_1]$ and $I_{x_n} = [x_n, \infty)$. Now assume that $I_x = I_{x_1} \cup I_{x_2, x_3}, \dots, I_{x_n}$ and similarly $I_y = I_{y_1}, I_{y_2, y_3}, \dots, I_{y_m}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(X \in I_x, Y \in I_y) &= \sum_{i,j} \mathbb{P}(X \in [x_i, x_{i+1}], Y \in [y_j, y_{j+1}]) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \mathbb{P}(X \in [x_i, x_{i+1}])\mathbb{P}(Y \in [y_j, y_{j+1}]) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X \in I_x)\mathbb{P}(Y \in I_y).\end{aligned}$$

Step 3: Finally, since $g(x)$ and $h(y)$ are measurable functions, therefore, $\{g(X) \leq u\}$ and $\{h(Y) \leq v\}$ will be equivalent to $X \in I_x, Y \in I_y$, where x_1^n and y_1^m will be functions of u and v respectively. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(g(X) \leq u, h(Y) \leq v) &= \mathbb{P}(X \in I_{x(u)}, Y \in I_{y(v)}) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X \in I_{x(u)})\mathbb{P}(Y \in I_{y(v)}) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(g(X) \leq u)\mathbb{P}(h(Y) \leq v)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $g(X)$ and $h(Y)$ are independent. (Note: this proof is not rigorous but it contains all the essential ideas.)

3. Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a sequence of i.i.d. continuous random variables with probability density function $f(x)$.
- Find $\mathbb{P}(X_1 \leq X_2)$.
 - Find $\mathbb{P}(X_1 \leq X_2, X_1 \leq X_3)$.
 - Let N be a new integer-valued random variable defined as follows. N is the index of the first random variable that is less than X_1 , that is,

$$\mathbb{P}(N = n) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 \leq X_2, X_1 \leq X_3, \dots, X_1 \leq X_{n-1}, X_1 > X_n). \quad (2)$$

Find $\mathbb{P}(N > n)$ as a function of n .

- Show that $\mathbb{E}(N) = \infty$

Solution:

- Using disjoint events $X_1 > X_2$, $X_1 = X_2$, and $X_1 < X_2$, we get $\mathbb{P}(X_1 > X_2) + \mathbb{P}(X_1 = X_2) + \mathbb{P}(X_1 < X_2) = 1$. Since X_1, X_2 are continuous, therefore $\mathbb{P}(X_1 = X_2) = 0$. Further X_1 and X_2 are i.i.d. Therefore, $\mathbb{P}(X_1 < X_2) = \mathbb{P}(X_2 < X_1)$. Hence $\mathbb{P}(X_1 \leq X_2) = 1/2$.
- Using a similar argument as in the previous part, there are six ways in which X_1, X_2, X_3 can be ordered (or arranged). The chance that any two or all three are equal is zero. Out of those six ways, X_1 is smallest in two ways. Finally, due to i.i.d. nature of random variables, all these orderings are equiprobable. Hence $\mathbb{P}(X_1 \leq X_2, X_1 \leq X_3) = 2/6 = 1/3$.
- We again utilize the fact that two or more random variables are equal with zero probability, and any ordering of these random variables is equiprobable. Fixing X_N in least and X_1 in least but one position, there are $(n-2)!$ ways in which $N = n$ can happen. Hence, $\mathbb{P}(N = n) = \frac{(n-2)!}{n!} = \frac{1}{n(n-1)}$. For $N > n$, Fixing X_1 in the least value position, now with remaining elements we can have $(n-1)!$ combinations.

$$\mathbb{P}(N > n) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 \leq X_2, X_1 \leq X_3, \dots, X_1 \leq X_{n-1}, X_1 \leq X_n) = \frac{(n-1)!}{(n)!} = 1/n \quad (3)$$

- Using (3), we note that $\mathbb{E}(N) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(N \geq n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(N > k)$. Since $\mathbb{P}(N > k)$ is decreasing as $1/k$, its summation leads to infinity.
4. Let X_1 and X_2 be IID Gaussian random variables with $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$, $i = 1, 2$. Let $Y = X_1 + X_2$. Then answer the following questions.
- Find the distribution of Y by using ‘functions of random variable’ approach. You can use the convolution of pdf formula if it is required.
 - Find the conditional distribution of X_1 given Y . Interpret the result obtained. What will you expect the conditional distribution of X_2 given Y to be?

Solution:

- The pdf of Y will be a convolution of the pdfs of X_1 and X_2 . Thus,

$$f_Y(y) = f_X(y) * f_X(y) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left(-\frac{x^2 + (y-x)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) dx$$

Upon simplifying the above expression we get,

$$\begin{aligned} f_Y(y) &= \left(\frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})\sigma\sqrt{2}} \exp(-y^2/(4\sigma^2)) \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}(\sigma/\sqrt{2})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-y/2)^2}{2(\sigma/\sqrt{2})^2}\right) dx \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})\sigma\sqrt{2}} \exp(-y^2/(4\sigma^2)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 2\sigma^2)$.

- (b) Since $f_{X_1}(x)$ and $f_Y(y)$ are non-zero everywhere, we can use the Baye's rule to find the conditional pdfs. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X_1|Y}(x|y) &= \frac{f_{Y|X_1}(y|x)f_{X_1}(x)}{f_Y(y)} \\ &= \left(\frac{\exp(-x^2/(2\sigma^2))}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \right) \left(\frac{\exp(-(y-x)^2/(2\sigma^2))}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \right) \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{2}\sigma}{\exp(-y^2/(4\sigma^2))} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\exp(-(x-y/2)^2/\sigma^2)}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma/\sqrt{2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $X_1|(Y=y) \sim \mathcal{N}(y/2, \sigma^2/2)$.

5. Let X, Y be a continuous random variables having a cumulative distribution function $F(x, y)$. Let their marginal (cumulative) distributions be $G(x)$ and $H(y)$.
- (a) Show that $G(X)$ is uniformly distributed in $(0, 1)$.
- (b) Suppose that you have access to a random variable U uniformly distributed in $(0, 1)$ (for example, in MATLAB or C, you will have access to a uniform random variable). How would you use it to simulate a continuous random variable X having a distribution function G ? Justify rigorously.
- (c) Suppose now you have two IID random variables U_1 and U_2 distributed uniformly in $(0, 1)$. How would you use them to simulate random variable pair (X, Y) having a joint distribution $F(x, y)$?

Solution:

- (a) First assume that $f_X(x)$ is non-zero on some support $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Let $U = G(X)$. The random variable X takes values in I and $G(x)$ is strictly increasing on I . Thus $G^{-1}(x)$ exists for all $x \in I$. Thus, G is invertible on the support set I of the pdf of X .
- Consider the cdf of U . For $0 \leq u \leq 1$, we have $F_U(u) = \mathbb{P}(U \leq u) = \mathbb{P}(G(X) \leq u) = \mathbb{P}(X \leq G^{-1}(u)) = G(G^{-1}(u)) = u$, which is the cdf of a uniformly distributed random variable in $(0, 1)$. $G^{-1}(u)$ exists since $G(x)$ is monotonically increasing (cdf).¹ Finally, if $u < 0$, then $\mathbb{P}(U \leq u) = 0$ and if $u \geq 1$, then $\mathbb{P}(U \leq u) = 1$. Thus, $U \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$.
- (b) Based on part (a) and invertibility of G , we expect $G^{-1}(U)$ to satisfy the requirements. $\mathbb{P}(G^{-1}(U) \leq x) = \mathbb{P}(U \leq G(x)) = G(x)$ for $x \in I$. Therefore, $X = G^{-1}(U)$ can be used to simulate a continuous random variable X with any arbitrary cdf $G(x)$ of a continuous random variable.
- (c) One can use U_1 to generate X using G^{-1} and U_2 to generate Y using H^{-1} . However, since U_1 and U_2 are independent, so we will not obtain the dependence between X and Y using this procedure. To obtain dependence, we need to use the conditional cdf of Y given X . Let $G(x)$ and $F_{Y|X}(y|x)$ be the cdfs. Both of these are valid cdfs and hence they will be invertible. For each $x \in I$, let $F_{Y|X}^{-1}(y|x)$ be the inverse function. Then $X_1 = G^{-1}(U_1)$ will have the cdf $G(x)$. Further, depending on the realized value of X_1 from U_1 , generate $Y_1 = F_{Y|X}^{-1}(U_2|X_1)$. Then (X_1, Y_1) have the same joint cdf as $F(x, y)$. To see this formally,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(x - \delta x < X_1 \leq x, Y_1 \leq y) &= (f_X(x)\delta x) \mathbb{P}(F_{Y|X}^{-1}(U_2|x) \leq y) \\ &= (f_X(x)\delta x) \mathbb{P}(U_2 \leq F_{Y|X}(y|x)) = (f_X(x)\delta x) F_{Y|X}(y|x). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating over x gives the result. We have conditioned on $X_1 = x$, and utilized the fact that U_2 is independent of X_1 .

¹One needs to show that if G^{-1} is also monotonic; it is a routine exercise in mathematical analysis. Use derivatives or see Walter Rudin's book.