# MA 205 Complex Analysis: Gamma Function and Harmonic Functions

September 5, 2017

Now, let's introduce ourselves to a very important function; the Gamma function. It's defined as:

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt,$$

for Re(z) > 0.

Now, let's introduce ourselves to a very important function; the Gamma function. It's defined as:

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt,$$

for Re(z) > 0. One checks that this integral exists and defines a holomorphic function in the right half plane.  $\Gamma(1) = 1$ .

Integration by parts in the right half plane gives:

$$\Gamma(z+1)=z\Gamma(z).$$

Integration by parts in the right half plane gives:

$$\Gamma(z+1)=z\Gamma(z).$$

Thus,

$$\Gamma(n+1) = n\Gamma(n) = n(n-1)\Gamma(n-1)\dots\Gamma(1) = n!.$$

The Gamma function interpolates the factorial function!

We would like to define the Gamma function throughout  $\mathbb{C}$ . Right now, it's defined only on the right half plane.

We would like to define the Gamma function throughout  $\mathbb{C}$ . Right now, it's defined only on the right half plane. For this, use the identity

$$\Gamma(z+1)=z\Gamma(z).$$

We would like to define the Gamma function throughout  $\mathbb{C}$ . Right now, it's defined only on the right half plane. For this, use the identity

$$\Gamma(z+1)=z\Gamma(z).$$

Plug in z = 0, and we get:

$$0 \cdot \Gamma(0) = 1$$
.

We would like to define the Gamma function throughout  $\mathbb{C}$ . Right now, it's defined only on the right half plane. For this, use the identity

$$\Gamma(z+1)=z\Gamma(z).$$

Plug in z = 0, and we get:

$$0 \cdot \Gamma(0) = 1.$$

Thus 0 must be a pole for the extended Gamma function.

We would like to define the Gamma function throughout  $\mathbb{C}$ . Right now, it's defined only on the right half plane. For this, use the identity

$$\Gamma(z+1)=z\Gamma(z).$$

Plug in z = 0, and we get:

$$0 \cdot \Gamma(0) = 1.$$

Thus 0 must be a pole for the extended Gamma function. Similarly, all negative integers are also poles. And these are the only poles.

We would like to define the Gamma function throughout  $\mathbb{C}$ . Right now, it's defined only on the right half plane. For this, use the identity

$$\Gamma(z+1)=z\Gamma(z).$$

Plug in z = 0, and we get:

$$0\cdot\Gamma(0)=1.$$

Thus 0 must be a pole for the extended Gamma function. Similarly,

all negative integers are also poles. And these are the only poles.

Exercise: Check that these poles are simple and

$$\operatorname{Res}(\Gamma; -n) = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!}.$$

negative

What is  $\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)$ ?

$$\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-u-v} u^{x-1} v^{y-1} du dv.$$

Put

$$u=zt;\ v=z(1-t),$$

and apply the change of variables formula from MA 105.

What is  $\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)$ ?

$$\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-u-v} u^{x-1} v^{y-1} du dv.$$

Put

$$u=zt;\ v=z(1-t),$$

and apply the change of variables formula from MA 105. Check:

$$\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) = \Gamma(x+y)B(x,y),$$

where

$$B(x,y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt.$$

What is  $\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)$ ?

$$\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-u-v} u^{x-1} v^{y-1} du dv.$$

Put

$$u=zt;\ v=z(1-t),$$

and apply the change of variables formula from MA 105. Check:

$$\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) = \Gamma(x+y)B(x,y)$$
, ye ho kya raha hai

where

$$B(x,y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt.$$

Put  $t = \frac{s}{s+1}$  to get:

$$B(1-c,c) = \int_0^\infty \frac{s^{-c}}{1+s} ds,$$
 x+y=1

for 0 < c < 1.

Thus, for 0 < x < 1,

$$\Gamma(x)\Gamma(1-x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{-x}}{1+t} dt = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi x}.$$

Thus, for 0 < x < 1,

$$\Gamma(x)\Gamma(1-x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{-x}}{1+t} dt = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi x}.$$

In particular,

$$\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi}.$$

By identity theorem,

$$\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z)=\frac{\pi}{\sin\pi z},$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ 

Thus, for 0 < x < 1,

$$\Gamma(x)\Gamma(1-x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{-x}}{1+t} dt = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi x}.$$

In particular,

$$\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi}.$$

By identity theorem,

$$\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z)=\frac{\pi}{\sin\pi z},$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  domain minus pts of pole Introduce meromorphic functions. Why is the identity theorem valid for meromorphic functions?

### Quote



"A mathematician is one to whom  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-x^2}dx=\sqrt{\pi}$  is as obvious as that twice two makes four is to you. " - Lord Kelvin

We now revisit a topic we studied at the beginning of the course, namely harmonic functions. Recall that a function u(x,y) of real variables is said to be harmonic if it is twice differentiable and  $u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0$ . It turns out that harmonic functions share many properties similar to holomorphic functions. We'll see some of them.

We now revisit a topic we studied at the beginning of the course, namely harmonic functions. Recall that a function u(x,y) of real variables is said to be harmonic if it is twice differentiable and  $u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0$ . It turns out that harmonic functions share many properties similar to holomorphic functions. We'll see some of them.

Recall that if u is a harmonic function, then a harmonic conjugate of u is another harmonic function v such that u+iv is holomorphic. We saw some examples of computing harmonic conjugates and that time I commented that if the domain is "nice", then a harmonic conjugate always exists. The mathematical notion that replaces "nice" is simply connectedness.

conjugate up to a constant.

We now revisit a topic we studied at the beginning of the course, namely harmonic functions. Recall that a function u(x,y) of real variables is said to be harmonic if it is twice differentiable and  $u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0$ . It turns out that harmonic functions share many properties similar to holomorphic functions. We'll see some of them.

Recall that if u is a harmonic function, then a harmonic conjugate of u is another harmonic function v such that u+iv is holomorphic. We saw some examples of computing harmonic conjugates and that time I commented that if the domain is "nice", then a harmonic conjugate always exists. The mathematical notion that replaces "nice" is simply connectedness. Theorem: Let U be a simply-connected domain in  $\mathbb C$  and let u be a harmonic fuction on U. Then u admits exactly one harmonic

Proof: Let's dismiss the uniqueness first. Suppose u has a harmonic conjugate v. Let f(z) = u + iv. By CR equations,  $v_x$  and  $v_y$  are determined and hence v is determined upto a constant. To prove existence, let  $g(z) = u_x - iu_y$ . Then by CR equations, g(z) is holomorphic. Now fix  $z_0 \in U$ , and define f to be the anti-derivative of g:

Proof: Let's dismiss the uniqueness first. Suppose u has a harmonic conjugate v. Let f(z)=u+iv. By CR equations,  $v_x$  and  $v_y$  are determined and hence v is determined upto a constant. To prove existence, let  $g(z)=u_x-iu_y$ . Then by CR equations, g(z) is holomorphic. Now fix  $z_0\in U$ , and define f to be the anti-derivative of g:

 $f(z) = u(z_0) + \int_{z_0}^{z} g(z)dz$  with the integral being along a path in U connecting  $z_0$  and z. As U is simply-connected, this function is well-defined. By construction, f is holomorphic and

$$f'=g=u_X-iu_y.$$

Proof: Let's dismiss the uniqueness first. Suppose u has a harmonic conjugate v. Let f(z) = u + iv. By CR equations,  $v_x$  and  $v_y$  are determined and hence v is determined upto a constant. To prove existence, let  $g(z) = u_x - iu_y$ . Then by CR equations, g(z) is holomorphic. Now fix  $z_0 \in U$ , and define f to be the anti-derivative of g:

 $f(z)=u(z_0)+\int_{z_0}^z g(z)dz$  with the integral being along a path in U connecting  $z_0$  and z. As U is simply-connected, this function is well-defined. By construction, f is holomorphic and  $f'=g=u_x-iu_y$ . Letting  $\tilde{u}=Re(f)$ , by the Cauchy-Riemann for f,  $f'=\tilde{u}_x-i\tilde{u}_y$ . On comparing the two equations, one concludes that  $\tilde{u}_x=u_x$  and  $\tilde{u}_y=u_y$ .

harmonic conjugate v. Let f(z) = u + iv. By CR equations,  $v_x$ and  $v_v$  are determined and hence v is determined upto a constant. To prove existence, let  $g(z) = u_x - iu_y$ . Then by CR equations, g(z) is holomorphic. Now fix  $z_0 \in U$ , and define f to be the anti-derivative of g:  $f(z) = u(z_0) + \int_{z_0}^{z} g(z)dz$  with the integral being along a path in U connecting  $z_0$  and z. As U is simply-connected, this function is well-defined. By construction, f is holomorphic and  $f' = g = u_x - iu_y$ . Letting  $\tilde{u} = Re(f)$ , by the Cauchy-Riemann for f,  $f' = \tilde{u}_x - i\tilde{u}_y$ . On comparing the two equations, one concludes that  $\tilde{u}_x = u_x$  and  $\tilde{u}_y = u_y$ . Therefore  $u - \tilde{u}$  is a constant. Since u and  $\tilde{u}$  are equal at  $z_0$ , they are equal throughout.

Proof: Let's dismiss the uniqueness first. Suppose u has a

Corollary: Harmonic functions are infinitely differentiable. (Why?)

<u>Corollary</u>: Harmonic functions are infinitely differentiable. (Why?) Let us now derive an expression analogues to the CIF.

Corollary: Harmonic functions are infinitely differentiable. (Why?)

Let us now derive an expression analogues to the CIF.

<u>Theorem:</u> (Mean-Value Property): Let u be a harmonic function on a disc of radius R. Then for any r < R, we have,

$$u(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(w + re^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

In particular, *u* does not attain its maximum at any interior point unless it is constant.

Corollary: Harmonic functions are infinitely differentiable. (Why?)

Let us now derive an expression analogues to the CIF.

**Theorem:** (Mean-Value Property): Let u be a harmonic function on a disc of radius R. Then for any r < R, we have,

$$u(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(w + re^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

In particular, *u* does not attain its maximum at any interior point unless it is constant.

Proof: Can assume w=0 without loss of generality. Since u is harmonic and the domain is simply-connected, there exists a holomorphic function f(z) such that Re(f)=u. By Cauchy's integral formula,

$$f(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{f(z)}{z} dz$$

Hence

$$f(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(re^{i\theta}) d\theta$$

Taking real parts on both sides, we get the desired result.

Hence

$$f(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(re^{i\theta}) d\theta$$

Taking real parts on both sides, we get the desired result. Note that this in particular implies that if u is a harmonic function on a domain  $\Omega$  and  $z \in \Omega$ . Suppose  $u(z) \geq u(w)$  for all w in a neighborhood of z, then u is a constant. By considering -u instead of u, the same holds for  $\geq$  replaced by  $\leq$ .

Hence

$$f(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(re^{i\theta}) d\theta$$

Taking real parts on both sides, we get the desired result. Note that this in particular implies that if u is a harmonic function on a domain  $\Omega$  and  $z \in \Omega$ . Suppose  $u(z) \geq u(w)$  for all w in a neighborhood of z, then u is a constant. By considering -u instead of u, the same holds for  $\geq$  replaced by  $\leq$ .

**Identity Principle:** Let u be a harmonic function on a domain  $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}$ . If u=0 on a non-empty open subset  $U\subseteq \Omega$ , then u=0 throughout  $\Omega$ .

Hence

$$f(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(re^{i\theta}) d\theta$$

Taking real parts on both sides, we get the desired result. Note that this in particular implies that if u is a harmonic function on a domain  $\Omega$  and  $z \in \Omega$ . Suppose  $u(z) \geq u(w)$  for all w in a neighborhood of z, then u is a constant. By considering -u instead of u, the same holds for  $\geq$  replaced by  $\leq$ .

**Identity Principle:** Let u be a harmonic function on a domain  $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}$ . If u=0 on a non-empty open subset  $U \subseteq \Omega$ , then u=0 throughout  $\Omega$ .

Proof: Set  $f=u_x-iu_y$ . Then as before, f is holomorphic on  $\Omega$ . Since u=0 on U then so is f. Hence, by the Identity Principle for the holomorphic functions f=0 on  $\Omega$ , and consequently,  $u_x=x_y=0$  on  $\Omega$ . Therefore u is constant on  $\Omega$ , and as it is zero on U, it must be zero on  $\Omega$ .

### Identity Theorem

**Remark:** Recall that the identity theorem for holomorphic functions is stronger; namely if a holomorphic function vanishes on a set of points having a limit point, then it is identically zero. This is not true for harmonic functions. The function Re(z) vanishes identically on imaginary axis but is non-zero elsewhere. However the following stronger identity theorem holds:

### **Identity** Theorem

**Remark:** Recall that the identity theorem for holomorphic functions is stronger; namely if a holomorphic function vanishes on a set of points having a limit point, then it is identically zero. This is not true for harmonic functions. The function Re(z) vanishes identically on imaginary axis but is non-zero elsewhere. However the following stronger identity theorem holds:

**Theorem:** Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbb C$  and  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are two harmonic functions that extend continuously to the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  of  $\Omega$ . If  $u_1=u_2$  on  $\partial\Omega$  then these two functions are equal throughout  $\Omega$ .

#### Dirichlet Problem

A very important problem in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics is the Dirichlet Problem. It asks if  $\Omega$  is a domain with boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , and f is a continuous real function on the boundary, does there exists a function u on  $\bar{\Omega}$  which is harmonic on  $\Omega$  and equals f on the boundary. The problem has a positive answer if  $\partial\Omega$  is "sufficiently smooth". (the precise condition is more technical). Many mathematicians have contributed to the solution - Green, Gauss, Kelvin, Dirichlet (who solved it for the ball), Riemann, Poincare, Hilbert ....

#### Dirichlet Problem

A very important problem in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics is the Dirichlet Problem. It asks if  $\Omega$  is a domain with boundary  $\partial \Omega$ , and f is a continuous real function on the boundary, does there exists a function u on  $\bar{\Omega}$  which is harmonic on  $\Omega$  and equals f on the boundary. The problem has a positive answer if  $\partial\Omega$ is "sufficiently smooth". (the precise condition is more technical). Many mathematicians have contributed to the solution - Green. Gauss, Kelvin, Dirichlet (who solved it for the ball), Riemann, Poincare, Hilbert .... Riemann gave a physical argument roughly as follows: any charge distribution on the boundary should, by the laws of electrostatics, determine an electrical potential as solution. I confess I don't understand this I In case of the disc in  $\mathbb{C}$ , the solution can be simply given as:

#### Dirichlet Problem

A very important problem in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics is the Dirichlet Problem. It asks if  $\Omega$  is a domain with boundary  $\partial \Omega$ , and f is a continuous real function on the boundary, does there exists a function u on  $\bar{\Omega}$  which is harmonic on  $\Omega$  and equals f on the boundary. The problem has a positive answer if  $\partial\Omega$ is "sufficiently smooth". (the precise condition is more technical). Many mathematicians have contributed to the solution - Green. Gauss, Kelvin, Dirichlet (who solved it for the ball), Riemann, Poincare, Hilbert .... Riemann gave a physical argument roughly as follows: any charge distribution on the boundary should, by the laws of electrostatics, determine an electrical potential as solution. I confess I don't understand this! In case of the disc in  $\mathbb{C}$ , the solution can be simply given as:

$$u(z)=rac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}f(e^{i\psi})rac{(1-|z|^2)}{|z-e^{i\psi}|^2}$$
 on  $\mathbb D$ 

and equal to f(z) on  $\partial \mathbb{D}$ .

#### Exercise

**Exercise:** Show that the function  $\log(\sqrt{X^2 + Y^2})$  on  $\mathbb{C}^*$  admits no harmonic conjugate.