

MA 205 Complex Analysis: Cauchy Integral Formula and its Beautiful Consequences

August 5, 2017

Last time we saw Cauchy's theorem which said that if Ω is a domain in \mathbb{C} , γ is a simply closed contour in Ω and f is a holomorphic function on an open set containing γ and its interior, then $\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = 0$.

Last time we saw Cauchy's theorem which said that if Ω is a domain in \mathbb{C} , γ is a simply closed contour in Ω and f is a holomorphic function on an open set containing γ and its interior, then $\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = 0$. More generally if Ω is a simply-connected domain and $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function, then $\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = 0$ for every closed curve γ contained in Ω .

Last time we saw Cauchy's theorem which said that if Ω is a domain in \mathbb{C} , γ is a simply closed contour in Ω and f is a holomorphic function on an open set containing γ and its interior, then $\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = 0$. More generally if Ω is a simply-connected domain and $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function, then $\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = 0$ for every closed curve γ contained in Ω .

Recall that for a parametrized differentiable curve $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \Omega$, we define $\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = \int_a^b f(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)dt$.

Basic Example

Consider $f(z) = \int_C \frac{1}{z-z_0} dz$ where C is any circle around z_0 .

We can parametrize C as $z(t) = z_0 + re^{it}$ with $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$.

Basic Example

Consider $f(z) = \int_C \frac{1}{z-z_0} dz$ where C is any circle around z_0 .

We can parametrize C as $z(t) = z_0 + re^{it}$ with $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$.

$$\text{Then } \int_C f(z) dz = \int_C \frac{1}{z-z_0} dz = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{re^{it}} ire^{it} dt = 2\pi i$$

Note that the integral is independent of the circle chosen around z_0 . This is an instance of the following more general situation:

Basic Example

Consider $f(z) = \int_C \frac{1}{z-z_0} dz$ where C is any circle around z_0 .

We can parametrize C as $z(t) = z_0 + re^{it}$ with $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$.

$$\text{Then } \int_C f(z) dz = \int_C \frac{1}{z-z_0} dz = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{re^{it}} ire^{it} dt = 2\pi i$$

Note that the integral is independent of the circle chosen around z_0 . This is an instance of the following more general situation:

Theorem

(More General form of Cauchy's theorem) Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{C} . If γ and γ' are two closed contours in Ω which can be "continuously deformed" into each other, then

$$\int_C f(z) dz = \int_{C'} f(z) dz.$$

Remark: A similar computation shows that $\int_C \frac{1}{(z-z_0)^m} = 0$ for all $m \neq 1$. This follows from the fact that $\frac{1}{(z-z_0)^m}$ admits a primitive in $\mathbb{C} - \{z_0\}$ when $m \neq 1$. Note that for $m = 1$, $\frac{1}{(z-z_0)^m}$ does not admit a primitive; $\log(z - z_0)$ does not define a holomorphic function on any open set containing C .

Cauchy Integral Formula

We'll now use Cauchy's theorem to prove another very important, closely related theorem in this subject, namely the Cauchy Integral Formula.

Cauchy Integral Formula

We'll now use Cauchy's theorem to prove another very important, closely related theorem in this subject, namely the Cauchy Integral Formula.

Theorem (Cauchy Integral Formula)

Let f be holomorphic everywhere within and on a simple closed curve γ (oriented positively). If z_0 is interior to γ , then,

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z) dz}{z - z_0}.$$

Cauchy Integral Formula

We'll now use Cauchy's theorem to prove another very important, closely related theorem in this subject, namely the Cauchy Integral Formula.

Theorem (Cauchy Integral Formula)

Let f be holomorphic everywhere within and on a simple closed curve γ (oriented positively). If z_0 is interior to γ , then,

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z) dz}{z - z_0}.$$

We use the following fact in the proof, often called ML inequality :
If γ is a contour of length L and $|f(z)| \leq M$ on γ , then
 $\int_{\gamma} |f(z)| dz \leq ML$.

Proof: We need to show that

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)dz}{z - z_0} = \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z_0)dz}{z - z_0},$$

since the latter integral is $2\pi i \cdot f(z_0)$.

Cauchy Integral Formula

Thus, we need to show that the difference

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz$$

is zero. Since f is continuous at z_0 , given $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|z - z_0| < \delta \implies |f(z) - f(z_0)| < \epsilon.$$

Cauchy Integral Formula

Thus, we need to show that the difference

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz$$

is zero. Since f is continuous at z_0 , given $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|z - z_0| < \delta \implies |f(z) - f(z_0)| < \epsilon.$$

Choose $r < \delta$ and consider the circle $C_r : |z - z_0| = r$.

Cauchy Integral Formula

Thus, we need to show that the difference

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz$$

is zero. Since f is continuous at z_0 , given $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|z - z_0| < \delta \implies |f(z) - f(z_0)| < \epsilon.$$

Choose $r < \delta$ and consider the circle $C_r : |z - z_0| = r$. By Cauchy's theorem applied to $\gamma \cup (-C_r)$, we get

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz = \int_{C_r} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz.$$

Cauchy Integral Formula

Thus, we need to show that the difference

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz$$

is zero. Since f is continuous at z_0 , given $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|z - z_0| < \delta \implies |f(z) - f(z_0)| < \epsilon.$$

Choose $r < \delta$ and consider the circle $C_r : |z - z_0| = r$. By Cauchy's theorem applied to $\gamma \cup (-C_r)$, we get

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz = \int_{C_r} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz.$$

Now,

$$\left| \int_{C_r} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz \right| \leq \int_{C_r} \frac{|f(z) - f(z_0)|}{|z - z_0|} dz \leq \frac{\epsilon}{r} 2\pi r = 2\pi\epsilon.$$

ml theorem

Cauchy Integral Formula

Thus, we need to show that the difference

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz$$

is zero. Since f is continuous at z_0 , given $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|z - z_0| < \delta \implies |f(z) - f(z_0)| < \epsilon.$$

Choose $r < \delta$ and consider the circle $C_r : |z - z_0| = r$. By Cauchy's theorem applied to $\gamma \cup (-C_r)$, we get

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz = \int_{C_r} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz.$$

Now,

$$\left| \int_{C_r} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz \right| \leq \int_{C_r} \frac{|f(z) - f(z_0)|}{|z - z_0|} dz \leq \frac{\epsilon}{r} 2\pi r = 2\pi\epsilon.$$

Thus, $\left| \int_{C_r} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz \right|$ can be made arbitrarily small; i.e., it is zero.

Cauchy Integral Formula

Example:

(i) $\int_{|z|=2} \frac{e^z dz}{(z+1)(z-3)^2} = \int_{|z|=2} \frac{f(z) dz}{z+1}$, where $f(z) = \frac{e^z}{(z-3)^2}$. So by CIF, answer is $2\pi i f(-1) = \frac{\pi i}{8e}$.

(ii)

$$\int_{|z|=6} \frac{dz}{z^3-1} = 2\pi i \left[\frac{1}{(1-\omega)(1-\omega^2)} + \frac{1}{(\omega-1)(\omega-\omega^2)} + \frac{1}{(\omega^2-\omega)(\omega^2-1)} \right] = 0.$$

$$(iii) \int_{|z|=3} \frac{\cos \pi z}{z^2-1} dz = \frac{1}{2} \int_{|z|=3} \left[\frac{\cos \pi z}{z-1} - \frac{\cos \pi z}{z+1} \right] dz = 0$$

OR

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|z|=3} \frac{\cos \pi z}{z^2-1} dz &= \int_{|z-1|=\epsilon} \frac{\frac{\cos \pi z}{z+1}}{z-1} dz + \int_{|z+1|=\epsilon} \frac{\frac{\cos \pi z}{z-1}}{z+1} dz \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Example

Here is an example where the Cauchy Integral formula can be used to compute a seemingly hard real integral.

Let k be a real constant. Show that $\int_0^{2\pi} e^{k\cos\theta} \sin(k\sin\theta) d\theta = 0$ and $\int_0^{2\pi} e^{k\cos\theta} \cos(k\sin\theta) d\theta = 2\pi$.

Example

Here is an example where the Cauchy Integral formula can be used to compute a seemingly hard real integral.

Let k be a real constant. Show that $\int_0^{2\pi} e^{k\cos\theta} \sin(k\sin\theta) d\theta = 0$ and $\int_0^{2\pi} e^{k\cos\theta} \cos(k\sin\theta) d\theta = 2\pi$.

Applying CIF to $\int_{|z|=1} \frac{e^{kz}}{z} dz$ gives $(2\pi i)e^{k \cdot 0} = 2\pi i$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } 2\pi i &= \int_{|z|=1} \frac{e^{k(\cos\theta + i\sin\theta)}}{e^{i\theta}} i e^{i\theta} d\theta \\ &= i \int_0^{2\pi} e^{k\cos\theta} [\cos(k\sin\theta) + i\sin(k\sin\theta)] d\theta \end{aligned}$$

Equating the real and imaginary parts gives us the answer.

Summing Up

We saw two very important theorems, namely Cauchy's theorem and the Cauchy integral formula. The first said that the integral along a closed curve of a function is zero if the function is holomorphic on and within the curve. The second said:

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz$$

if f is holomorphic on and within the simple closed curve γ . We derived Cauchy's theorem by appealing to Green's theorem after assuming Goursat's theorem.

Summing Up

We saw two very important theorems, namely Cauchy's theorem and the Cauchy integral formula. The first said that the integral along a closed curve of a function is zero if the function is holomorphic on and within the curve. The second said:

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz$$

if f is holomorphic on and within the simple closed curve γ . We derived Cauchy's theorem by appealing to Green's theorem after assuming Goursat's theorem. We derived CIF from Cauchy's theorem by making use of the computation $\int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z - z_0} = 2\pi i$. In fact, Cauchy's theorem is equivalent to CIF. (Try and prove this little fact).

Poincare (1854-1912)



Poincare (1854 - 1912) was a great French mathematician and mathematical-physicist of the 19th and early 20th century. His mathematical contributions are as fundamental as those of Gauss and Riemann. He developed the branch of mathematics called Algebraic Topology; the notion of simply-connectedness being a small aspect of this subject. He also did fundamental work in differential equations, theory of several complex variables, number theory and possibly more. In physics, he made fundamental contributions in optics, electricity, elasticity, thermodynamics, potential theory, cosmology ... He also has his name attached to an important aspect of special relativity. He settled a special case of the 3-body problem in mechanics. One of the famous millenium prize problems, the Poincare conjecture is named after him. It was solved in 2006 by Perelman, roughly hundred years after its formulation.

Holomorphic \implies Analytic

Let f be holomorphic at z_0 . This means that f is holomorphic in a neighbourhood of z_0 . Let $R > 0$ be such that f is holomorphic in $|z - z_0| < R$. Let γ be a circle of radius r with $r < R$ centered at z_0 .

Holomorphic \implies Analytic

Let f be holomorphic at z_0 . This means that f is holomorphic in a neighbourhood of z_0 . Let $R > 0$ be such that f is holomorphic in $|z - z_0| < R$. Let γ be a circle of radius r with $r < R$ centered at z_0 . CIF gives us:

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w - z} dw,$$

for any z such that $|z - z_0| < r$. Note that we have chosen $r < R$ so that f is holomorphic on and within γ .

Holomorphic \implies Analytic

Let f be holomorphic at z_0 . This means that f is holomorphic in a neighbourhood of z_0 . Let $R > 0$ be such that f is holomorphic in $|z - z_0| < R$. Let γ be a circle of radius r with $r < R$ centered at z_0 . CIF gives us:

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w - z} dw,$$

for any z such that $|z - z_0| < r$. Note that we have chosen $r < R$ so that f is holomorphic on and within γ . The aim is to prove that f is analytic at z_0 ; i.e., to show that f can be expanded as a power series around z_0 in a neighborhood of z_0 . The idea is to get a power series in $(z - z_0)$ from the rhs of CIF.

Holomorphic \implies Analytic

Let f be holomorphic at z_0 . This means that f is holomorphic in a neighbourhood of z_0 . Let $R > 0$ be such that f is holomorphic in $|z - z_0| < R$. Let γ be a circle of radius r with $r < R$ centered at z_0 . CIF gives us:

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w - z} dw,$$

for any z such that $|z - z_0| < r$. Note that we have chosen $r < R$ so that f is holomorphic on and within γ . The aim is to prove that f is analytic at z_0 ; i.e., to show that f can be expanded as a power series around z_0 in a neighborhood of z_0 . The idea is to get a power series in $(z - z_0)$ from the rhs of CIF. The term in CIF which looks amenable to some manipulation is

Text

$$\frac{1}{w - z}.$$

Also always keep in mind that the only series that we know well is the geometric series! Let's look at it closely.

Holomorphic \implies Analytic

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{w-z} &= \frac{1}{w-z_0} \cdot \frac{w-z_0}{w-z} \\ &= \frac{1}{w-z_0} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \left[\frac{z-z_0}{w-z_0} \right]} \\ &= \frac{1}{w-z_0} \cdot \left[1 + \left(\frac{z-z_0}{w-z_0} \right) + \left(\frac{z-z_0}{w-z_0} \right)^2 + \dots, \right]\end{aligned}$$

since $\left| \frac{z-z_0}{w-z_0} \right| < 1$ for every $w \in \gamma$. We plug this in CIF.

Holomorphic \implies Analytic

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w - z} dw$$

Holomorphic \implies Analytic

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w - z} dw \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w - z_0} \left[1 + \left(\frac{z - z_0}{w - z_0} \right) + \left(\frac{z - z_0}{w - z_0} \right)^2 + \dots \right] dw \end{aligned}$$

Holomorphic \implies Analytic

$$\begin{aligned}f(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w - z} dw \\&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w - z_0} \left[1 + \left(\frac{z - z_0}{w - z_0} \right) + \left(\frac{z - z_0}{w - z_0} \right)^2 + \dots \right] dw \\&= \left[\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{(w - z_0)} dw \right] + \left[\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{(w - z_0)^2} dw \right] (z - z_0) \\&\quad + \left[\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{(w - z_0)^3} dw \right] (z - z_0)^2 + \dots \\&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n,\end{aligned}$$

for $|z - z_0| < r$ where

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w - z_0| = r} \frac{f(w)}{(w - z_0)^{n+1}} dw.$$

Holomorphic \implies Analytic

Remark: Integral of sum needn't be sum of integrals in general, but in the previous slide it can be justified. The key word is “uniform convergence”. We'll skip the details.

Holomorphic \implies Analytic

Thus, we have proved that if f is holomorphic in the disc $|z - z_0| < R$, then,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n,$$

where

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w-z_0|=r} \frac{f(w)}{(w - z_0)^{n+1}} dw,$$

for any $r < R$.

Holomorphic \implies Analytic

Thus, we have proved that if f is holomorphic in the disc $|z - z_0| < R$, then,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n,$$

where

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w-z_0|=r} \frac{f(w)}{(w - z_0)^{n+1}} dw,$$

for any $r < R$. Since the power series converges to $f(z)$ for $|z - z_0| < r$, the radius of convergence is at least r . We also know that

$$a_n = \frac{f^{(n)}(z_0)}{n!},$$

whenever $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$.

Holomorphic \implies Analytic

Thus, we have proved that if f is holomorphic in the disc $|z - z_0| < R$, then,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n,$$

where

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w-z_0|=r} \frac{f(w)}{(w - z_0)^{n+1}} dw,$$

for any $r < R$. Since the power series converges to $f(z)$ for $|z - z_0| < r$, the radius of convergence is at least r . We also know that

$$a_n = \frac{f^{(n)}(z_0)}{n!},$$

whenever $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$. In particular, a_n does not depend on r . Any $r < R$ gives same a_n . Thus the radius of convergence is at least R .