

HW(4):

3 b) Consider any two ^{spanning} trees ~~B~~ of the given graph G .
Let the trees be T_1 and T_2 .

Let ~~Consider~~ the set of all edges of $T_1 = E_1$, ^{the set of edges} for $T_2 = E_2$.

Consider the set $E_1 - E_2$ and $E_2 - E_1$.

~~There are two sets such that the edges in one set are~~

If $k \in E_1 - E_2$ and we remove k from the tree T_1 , we get two separate trees T_{11} and T_{12} .

Now, we claim that \exists at least one edge $l \in E_2 - E_1$, which, when put back into the ~~graph~~ tree (instead of k) gives us another tree.

Proof for claim: Suppose there is no such l , then there are two possibilities:

① $l \notin E_2$: This is impossible since removal of k isolates at least one node, and, by defⁿ, each node must be connected to at least one other node in a tree.

② $l \in E_2, l \notin E_2 - E_1$: If this is true, then $l \in E_2 \cap E_1 \Rightarrow l \in E_1 \Rightarrow k = l$

But this is impossible since $k \notin E_1 - E_2$

by hypothesis.

$\therefore \exists l \in E_2 - E_1$ s.t. $T_1 + l - k$ is a tree.

Let us call ~~this~~ $T_1 + l - k = T'$. Now, the argument that we put for T_1, T_2 can be applied to T', T_2 but we will have one less element (edge) in $E' - E_2$ and $E_2^* - E'$.

Inductively, we can therefore write

$T_2 = (T_1 + l_1 - k_1) + (l_2 - k_2) + \dots + (l_m - k_m)$ where adding each $l_i - k_i$ results in a spanning tree. \therefore Any node of graph G is connected to another node, i.e. G is connected.

(Note that $|E_1 - E_2| = |E_2 - E_1| = m$ as the number of edges in a spanning tree is always $|V| - 1$)