Integration

August 3, 2017

Definite Integrals

Let $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{C}$ be a piecewise continuous function. Let f(t)=u(t)+iv(t). We define

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t)dt$$

to be

$$\int_{a}^{b} u(t)dt + i \int_{a}^{b} v(t)dt$$

where both these integrals are defined to be the usual Riemann Integrals.

Some basic properties:

$$1.Re \int_{a}^{b} f(t)dt = \int_{a}^{b} Ref(t)dt = \int_{a}^{b} u(t)dt$$

$$2.Im \int_{a}^{b} f(t)dt = \int_{a}^{b} Imf(t)dt = \int_{a}^{b} v(t)dt$$

$$3. \int_{a}^{b} (c_{1}f_{1}(t) + c_{2}f_{2}(t))dt = c_{1} \int_{a}^{b} f_{1}(t) + c_{2} \int_{a}^{b} f_{2}(t)dt$$

$$4. \quad |\int_{a}^{b} f(t)dt| \leq \int_{a}^{b} |f(t)|dt$$

Some basic properties:

$$1.Re \int_{a}^{b} f(t)dt = \int_{a}^{b} Ref(t)dt = \int_{a}^{b} u(t)dt$$

$$2.Im \int_{a}^{b} f(t)dt = \int_{a}^{b} Imf(t)dt = \int_{a}^{b} v(t)dt$$

$$3. \int_{a}^{b} (c_{1}f_{1}(t) + c_{2}f_{2}(t))dt = c_{1} \int_{a}^{b} f_{1}(t) + c_{2} \int_{a}^{b} f_{2}(t)dt$$

$$4. |\int_{a}^{b} f(t)dt| \leq \int_{a}^{b} |f(t)|dt$$

Length of a parametrized curve

Recall that if $\gamma(t) = x(t) + iy(t)$ is a parametrized curve, then we define the lenth of γ to be

$$\int_{a}^{b} |\gamma'(t)| dt = \int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{x'(t)^{2} + y'(t)^{2}} dt$$

In case γ is not continuously differentiable, i.e. x(t), y(t) are not C^1 functions of t, we define the length of the curve as follows:

In case γ is not continuously differentiable, i,e, x(t), y(t) are not C^1 functions of t, we define the length of the curve as follows: Choose n points $P_1, ..., P_n$ on the curve. Consider the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |P_{i+1} - P_i|$, where $|P_i - P_{i-1}|$ denotes the length of the arc joining P_i with P_{i-1} .

In case γ is not continuously differentiable, i,e, x(t), y(t) are not C^1 functions of t, we define the length of the curve as follows: Choose n points $P_1, ..., P_n$ on the curve. Consider the sum $\sum_1^{n-1} |P_{i+1} - P_i|$, where $|P_i - P_{i-1}|$ denotes the length of the arc joining P_i with P_{i-1} . As n tends to infinity and the length of each of these line segments goes to zero, we define the length of the curve to be the limit (if it exists) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |P_{i+1} - P_i|$.

In case γ is not continuously differentiable, i,e, x(t), y(t) are not C^1 functions of t, we define the length of the curve as follows: Choose n points $P_1, ..., P_n$ on the curve. Consider the sum $\sum_{1}^{n-1} |P_{i+1} - P_i|$, where $|P_i - P_{i-1}|$ denotes the length of the arc joining P_i with P_{i-1} . As n tends to infinity and the length of each of these line segments goes to zero, we define the length of the curve

to be the limit (if it exists)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |P_{i+1} - P_i|$$
. The curve is called

rectifiable if the length exists. Not that if the curve is C^1 , i.e, both x(t) and y(t) are C^1 functions of t, then the length exists.

Countour Integration

Contour Integration

We now discuss the complex analogue of line integrals form calculus.

We say a curve $\gamma(t)=x(t)+iy(t)$ is **smooth** if $\gamma'(t)\neq 0$ for all t. Such a curve is also called regular parametrized curve. A **contour** is a curve consisting of a finite number of smooth curves joined end to end. It is said to be **simple** if the parametrization map is one to one except possibly at the end-points. (Intuitively it means that the curve does not cross itself). It is said to be **closed** if the initial and end-point are the same. i.e, $\gamma(a)=\gamma(b)$.

Jordan Curve Theorem

Jordan Curve Theorem

Any simple closed curve in \mathbb{R}^2 seperates the plane in to two connected components. The curve is the common boundary of both of them. Exactly one of the components is bounded.

Jordan Curve Theorem

Jordan Curve Theorem

Any simple closed curve in \mathbb{R}^2 seperates the plane in to two connected components. The curve is the common boundary of both of them. Exactly one of the components is bounded. The

theorem was first discovered by Camille Jordan in 1887 although his proof was not rigorous. The first rigorous proof was due to Oswald Veblenin 1905. Although intuitively very believable the proof of this theorem is non-trivial. We shall not prove this here. Generalizations of this theorem to higher dimensions also exist. Note that in the above theorem, one does not make any assumptions of differentiability of the curve. This is what makes the proof all the more non-trivial.

Complex Integration

Let $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{C}$ be a complex function defined on a domain Ω and let C be a contour with initial point z_0 and terminal point z. We define the integral of f along C to be

$$\int_C f(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_a^b f(z(t))z'(t)dt$$

For f(z) = u(x, y) + v(x, y) and dz = dx + idy, we have

$$\int_{C} f(z)dz = \int_{C} udx - vdy + i \int_{C} udy + vdx$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} [(u(x(t), y(t))x'(t) - v(x(t), y(t))y'(t)]dt$$

$$+i \int_{a}^{b} [(u(x(t), y(t))y'(t) + v(x(t), y(t))x'(t)]dt$$

Properties

The usual properties of real line integrals get carried over to the complex analogues:

- 1. This integral is independent of parametrization.
- 2. $\int_{-C} f(z)dz = -\int_{C} f(z)dz$ where -C is the opposite curve, i,e curve with the opposite parametrization.
- 3. $\int_{C_1 \cup C_2 \cup ... C_n} f(z) dz = \int_{C_1} f(z) dz + ... + \int_{C_n} f(z) dz$
- 4. $\left| \int_C f(z) dz \right| \leq \int_C \left| f(z) \right| dz$

Properties

The usual properties of real line integrals get carried over to the complex analogues:

- 1. This integral is independent of parametrization.
- 2. $\int_{-C} f(z)dz = -\int_{C} f(z)dz$ where -C is the opposite curve, i,e curve with the opposite parametrization.
- 3. $\int_{C_1 \cup C_2 \cup ... C_n} f(z) dz = \int_{C_1} f(z) dz + ... + \int_{C_n} f(z) dz$
- 4. $\left| \int_C f(z) dz \right| \leq \int_C \left| f(z) \right| dz$

Basic Example

Consider $f(z) = \int_C \frac{1}{z-z_0} dz$ where C is any circle around z_0 .

We can parametrize C as $z(t)=z_0+re^{it}$ with $0 \le t \le 2\pi$. Then $\int_C f(z)dz=\int_C \frac{1}{z-z_0}dz=\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{re^{it}}ire^{it}dt=2\pi i$

Note that the integral is independent of the circle chosen around z_0 . This is an instance of a more general situation

Path independence

We will show that f has a primitive iff $\int f(z)dz$ is path independent. Suppose f has a primitive; i.e., there is F such that F' = f. Then,

$$\int_C f(z)dz = \int_C F'(z)dz = \int_a^b F'(z(t))z'(t)dt$$
$$= \int_a^b \left[\frac{d}{dt}F(z(t))\right]dt = F(z(b)) - F(z(a)).$$

Thus, the integral depends only on the end points.

On the other hand, suppose the integral depends only on the end points of the path and not the path itself. This means that the integral is independent of the path on which you integrate. We need to find an F, show that it is differentiable, and F'(z) = f(z) for all $z \in \Omega$.

On the other hand, suppose the integral depends only on the end points of the path and not the path itself. This means that the integral is independent of the path on which you integrate. We need to find an F, show that it is differentiable, and F'(z) = f(z) for all $z \in \Omega$. How do we go about getting such an F? Intuitively, something whose derivative is the given function should be an integral of that function! To get a function of z, we'll integrate "up to" z. Fix z_0 .

On the other hand, suppose the integral depends only on the end points of the path and not the path itself. This means that the integral is independent of the path on which you integrate. We need to find an F, show that it is differentiable, and F'(z) = f(z) for all $z \in \Omega$. How do we go about getting such an F? Intuitively, something whose derivative is the given function should be an integral of that function! To get a function of z, we'll integrate "up to" z. Fix z_0 . Let z be an arbitrary point in Ω . Choose any path joining z_0 to z; this exists since Ω is connected. Thus, our candidate for the primitive is

$$F(z) = \int_{\gamma(z_0,z)} f(z) dz.$$

This function is well defined because of the hypothesis of independence of integral on the path.

We have a good candidate for the primitive. We only have to check that it is indeed a primitive. To this end, consider a small neighborhood of z which is completely contained in Ω . Let $h \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that |h| is very small. Join z to z+h via a straight line; z+ht, $t\in [0,1]$. Now,

We have a good candidate for the primitive. We only have to check that it is indeed a primitive. To this end, consider a small neighborhood of z which is completely contained in Ω . Let $h \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that |h| is very small. Join z to z+h via a straight line; z+ht, $t\in [0,1]$. Now,

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{F(z+h) - F(z)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \left[\int_{\gamma(z_0, z+h)} f(w) dw - \int_{\gamma(z_0, z)} f(w) dw \right]$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_{z}^{z+h} f(w) dw$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_{0}^{1} f(z+ht) h dt$$

$$= f(z).$$

This finishes the proof.



Cauchy's theorem

We now come to the most important, central theorem in this subject on which most of complex analysis depends, namely Cauchy's theorem.

Theorem

Let C be a simple closed contour and let f be a holomorphic theorem defined on an open set containing C as well as its interior. Then $\int_C f(z)dz = 0$.

 $\underline{\mathbf{Remark}}$ Note that by Jordan curve theorem, interior of C makes sense.

Cauchy's theorem

Proof.

Let f(z) = u(x,y) + v(x,y). The proof uses Green's theorem. Recall that by Greens theorem if P and Q are two real valued functions with continuous first partial derivatives, then

$$\int_{C} (Pdx + Qdy) = \int \int_{\Omega} (Q_{x} - P_{y}) dxdy$$

Note that a priori we do not have the hypothesis to guarantee continuity of the first partial derivatives of u and v since we do not know if f'(z) is continuous. However it is a fact that if f(z) is holomorphic, then f'(z) is continuous. This is called Goursat's theorem. We will assume this theorem without proof.

ones a complex funtion is differentiable then it is forever differentiable

Cauchy's theorem

Proof.

Let f(z) = u(x,y) + v(x,y). The proof uses Green's theorem. Recall that by Greens theorem if P and Q are two real valued functions with continuous first partial derivatives, then

$$\int_{C} (Pdx + Qdy) = \int \int_{\Omega} (Q_{x} - P_{y}) dxdy$$

Note that a priori we do not have the hypothesis to guarantee continuity of the first partial derivatives of u and v since we do not know if f'(z) is continuous. However it is a fact that if f(z) is holomorphic, then f'(z) is continuous. This is called Goursat's theorem. We will assume this theorem without proof.

Thus by Green's theorem, $\int_C f(z)dz = \int_C (udx - vdy) + i \int_C (vdx + udy) = \int_{\Omega} (-v_x - u_y)dxdy + i \int_{\Omega} (u_x - v_y)dxdy = 0 \text{(By CR equations)}$

Integration

Simply-Connectedness

Definition

An open subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is said to be **simply connected** if every simple closed curve in Ω has all its interior points belonging to Ω .

Simply-Connectedness

Definition

An open subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is said to be **simply connected** if every simple closed curve in Ω has all its interior points belonging to Ω .

Examples: \mathbb{C} , any open disc in \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{C} minus negative reals etc. Open annulus i.e, area between two concentric circle is **NOT** simply connected. Similarly any open set minus a non-empty set of finitely many points is **NOT** simply connected.

Remark The notion of simply connectedness makes sense for much more general spaces but the definition is slightly complicated. This notion is the beginning of a very deep and beautiful area of mathematics called Algebraic Topology. This area also has strong connections with complex analysis and its higher dimensional analogue, namely the area of several complex variables.

Cauchy's theorem- More general form

Theorem

(More general form of Cauchy's theorem) Let Ω be a simply connected domain in \mathbb{C} . Let f(z) be a holomorphic function defined on Ω . Let C be a simple closed contour in Ω . Then $\int_{C} f(z)dz = 0$

Integral when the curve is non-smooth

In case the path of integration is not differentiable, i.e, x(t) and y(t) are not differentiable functions of the parameter t, one can still make sense of the integral much the same way as we define the Riemann Integral. C be a continuous rectifiable curve lying in a domain Ω joining points p and q. Let $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function on Ω . Divide the curve into p parts in any manner $p=z_0,z_1,...,z_n=q$. On each of the paths z_{i-1} to z_i on the curve, choose points ζ_i on the curve between z_i and z_{i+1} .

Integral when the curve is non-smooth

In case the path of integration is not differentiable, i.e, x(t) and y(t) are not differentiable functions of the parameter t, one can still make sense of the integral much the same way as we define the Riemann Integral. C be a continuous rectifiable curve lying in a domain Ω joining points p and q. Let $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function on Ω . Divide the curve into n parts in any manner $p = z_0, z_1, ..., z_n = q$. On each of the paths z_{i-1} to z_i on the curve, choose points ζ_i on the curve between z_i and z_{i+1} . Consider the sum $I_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - z_{i-1}) f(\zeta_i)$. One shows that as n tends to infinity, this sum appraches a limit provided the lengths of each of the paths $z_i...z_{i+1}$ tends to zero and furthermore this limit is independent of the choice of the subdivision as well as the point inside each path chosen to define this sum. We define this limit to be the integral of f along C, denoted as before as $\int_{p}^{q} f(z)dz$.

Still more general form of Cauchy's theorem

Theorem

(Even stronger form of Cauchy's theorem) Let Ω be a simply connected domain in \mathbb{C} . Let f(z) be a holomorphic function defined on Ω . Let C be a simple closed rectifiable curve in Ω . Then $\int_C f(z)dz = 0$.