

CS 228 : Logic in Computer Science

Krishna. S

Resolution

- ▶ Resolution is a technique used to check if a formula in CNF is satisfiable.

Resolution

- ▶ Resolution is a technique used to check if a formula in CNF is satisfiable.
- ▶ Let C_1, C_2 be two clauses. Assume $A \in C_1$ and $\neg A \in C_2$ for some atomic formula A . Then the clause $R = (C_1 - \{A\}) \cup (C_2 - \{\neg A\})$ is a **resolvent** of C_1 and C_2 .

Resolution

- ▶ Resolution is a technique used to check if a formula in CNF is satisfiable.
- ▶ Let C_1, C_2 be two clauses. Assume $A \in C_1$ and $\neg A \in C_2$ for some atomic formula A . Then the clause $R = (C_1 - \{A\}) \cup (C_2 - \{\neg A\})$ is a **resolvent** of C_1 and C_2 .
- ▶ Let $C_1 = \{A_1, \neg A_2, A_3\}$ and $C_2 = \{A_2, \neg A_3, A_4\}$. As $A_3 \in C_1$ and $\neg A_3 \in C_2$, we can find the resolvent. The resolvent is $\{A_1, A_2, \neg A_2, A_4\}$.

Resolution

- ▶ Resolution is a technique used to check if a formula in CNF is satisfiable.
- ▶ Let C_1, C_2 be two clauses. Assume $A \in C_1$ and $\neg A \in C_2$ for some atomic formula A . Then the clause $R = (C_1 - \{A\}) \cup (C_2 - \{\neg A\})$ is a **resolvent** of C_1 and C_2 .
- ▶ Let $C_1 = \{A_1, \neg A_2, A_3\}$ and $C_2 = \{A_2, \neg A_3, A_4\}$. As $A_3 \in C_1$ and $\neg A_3 \in C_2$, we can find the resolvent. The resolvent is $\{A_1, A_2, \neg A_2, A_4\}$.
- ▶ Resolvent not unique : $\{A_1, A_3, \neg A_3, A_4\}$ is also a resolvent.

3 rules in Resolution

- ▶ Let G be any formula. Let F be the CNF formula resulting from the CNF algorithm applied to G . Then $G \vdash F$ (Prove!)

3 rules in Resolution

- ▶ Let G be any formula. Let F be the CNF formula resulting from the CNF algorithm applied to G . Then $G \vdash F$ (Prove!)
- ▶ Let F be a formula in CNF, and let C be a clause in F . Then $F \vdash C$ (Prove!)

3 rules in Resolution

- ▶ Let G be any formula. Let F be the CNF formula resulting from the CNF algorithm applied to G . Then $G \vdash F$ (Prove!)
- ▶ Let F be a formula in CNF, and let C be a clause in F . Then $F \vdash C$ (Prove!)
- ▶ Let F be a formula in CNF. Let R be a resolvent of two clauses of F . Then $F \vdash R$ (Prove!)

Completeness of Resolution

Show that resolution can be used to determine whether any given formula is satisfiable.

- ▶ Given F in CNF, let $Res^0(F) = \{C \mid C \text{ is a clause in } F\}$.

Completeness of Resolution

Show that resolution can be used to determine whether any given formula is satisfiable.

- ▶ Given F in CNF, let $Res^0(F) = \{C \mid C \text{ is a clause in } F\}$.
- ▶ $Res^n(F) = Res^{n-1}(F) \cup \{R \mid R \text{ is a resolvent of two clauses in } Res^{n-1}(F)\}$

Completeness of Resolution

Show that resolution can be used to determine whether any given formula is satisfiable.

- ▶ Given F in CNF, let $Res^0(F) = \{C \mid C \text{ is a clause in } F\}$.
- ▶ $Res^n(F) = Res^{n-1}(F) \cup \{R \mid R \text{ is a resolvent of two clauses in } Res^{n-1}(F)\}$
- ▶ $Res^0(F)$ = clauses in F , and there are finitely many clauses that can be derived from F .

Completeness of Resolution

Show that resolution can be used to determine whether any given formula is satisfiable.

- ▶ Given F in CNF, let $Res^0(F) = \{C \mid C \text{ is a clause in } F\}$.
- ▶ $Res^n(F) = Res^{n-1}(F) \cup \{R \mid R \text{ is a resolvent of two clauses in } Res^{n-1}(F)\}$
- ▶ $Res^0(F)$ = clauses in F , and there are finitely many clauses that can be derived from F .
- ▶ Hence, there is some m such that $Res^m(F) = Res^{m+1}(F)$. Denote it by $Res^*(F)$.

Example

Let $F = \{\{A_1, A_2, \neg A_3\}, \{\neg A_2, A_3\}\}$.

► $Res^0(F) = F$

Example

Let $F = \{\{A_1, A_2, \neg A_3\}, \{\neg A_2, A_3\}\}$.

- ▶ $Res^0(F) = F$
- ▶ $Res^1(F) = F \cup \{A_1, A_2, \neg A_2\} \cup \{A_1, \neg A_3, A_3\}$.

Example

Let $F = \{\{A_1, A_2, \neg A_3\}, \{\neg A_2, A_3\}\}$.

- ▶ $Res^0(F) = F$
- ▶ $Res^1(F) = F \cup \{A_1, A_2, \neg A_2\} \cup \{A_1, \neg A_3, A_3\}$.
- ▶ $Res^2(F) = Res^1(F) \cup \{A_1, A_2, \neg A_3\} \cup \{A_1, A_3, \neg A_2\}$

Resolution

Let F be a formula in CNF. If $\emptyset \in \text{Res}^*(F)$, then F is unsatisfiable.

- If $\emptyset \in \text{Res}^*(F)$. Then $\emptyset \in \text{Res}^n(F)$ for some n .

Resolution

Let F be a formula in CNF. If $\emptyset \in \text{Res}^*(F)$, then F is unsatisfiable.

- ▶ If $\emptyset \in \text{Res}^*(F)$. Then $\emptyset \in \text{Res}^n(F)$ for some n .
- ▶ Since $\emptyset \notin \text{Res}^0(F)$ (\emptyset is not a clause), there is an $m > 0$ such that $\emptyset \notin \text{Res}^m(F)$ and $\emptyset \in \text{Res}^{m+1}(F)$.

Resolution

Let F be a formula in CNF. If $\emptyset \in \text{Res}^*(F)$, then F is unsatisfiable.

- ▶ If $\emptyset \in \text{Res}^*(F)$. Then $\emptyset \in \text{Res}^n(F)$ for some n .
- ▶ Since $\emptyset \notin \text{Res}^0(F)$ (\emptyset is not a clause), there is an $m > 0$ such that $\emptyset \notin \text{Res}^m(F)$ and $\emptyset \in \text{Res}^{m+1}(F)$.
- ▶ Then $\{A\}, \{\neg A\} \in \text{Res}^m(F)$. By the rules of resolution, we have $F \vdash A, \neg A$, and thus $F \vdash \perp$. Hence, F is unsatisfiable.

Resolution

Prove the converse: If F is unsatisfiable, then $\emptyset \in \text{Res}^*(F)$.

Resolution : Converse

If F in CNF is unsatisfiable, then $\emptyset \in \text{Res}^*(F)$.

- ▶ Let F have k clauses C_1, \dots, C_k .

Resolution : Converse

If F in CNF is unsatisfiable, then $\emptyset \in \text{Res}^*(F)$.

- ▶ Let F have k clauses C_1, \dots, C_k .
- ▶ wlg, assume that no C_i has both p and $\neg p$

Resolution : Converse

If F in CNF is unsatisfiable, then $\emptyset \in \text{Res}^*(F)$.

- ▶ Let F have k clauses C_1, \dots, C_k .
- ▶ wlg, assume that no C_i has both p and $\neg p$
- ▶ Induct on the number n of propositional variables that occur in F .

Resolution : Converse

If F in CNF is unsatisfiable, then $\emptyset \in \text{Res}^*(F)$.

- ▶ Let F have k clauses C_1, \dots, C_k .
- ▶ wlg, assume that no C_i has both p and $\neg p$
- ▶ Induct on the number n of propositional variables that occur in F .
- ▶ If $n = 1$, then the possible clauses are p , $\neg p$ and $p \vee \neg p$. The third one is ruled out, by assumption.

Resolution : Converse

If F in CNF is unsatisfiable, then $\emptyset \in \text{Res}^*(F)$.

- ▶ Let F have k clauses C_1, \dots, C_k .
- ▶ wlg, assume that no C_i has both p and $\neg p$
- ▶ Induct on the number n of propositional variables that occur in F .
- ▶ If $n = 1$, then the possible clauses are p , $\neg p$ and $p \vee \neg p$. The third one is ruled out, by assumption.
- ▶ If $F = \{\{p\}\}$ or $F = \{\{\neg p\}\}$, F is satisfiable.

Resolution : Converse

If F in CNF is unsatisfiable, then $\emptyset \in Res^*(F)$.

- ▶ Let F have k clauses C_1, \dots, C_k .
- ▶ wlg, assume that no C_i has both p and $\neg p$
- ▶ Induct on the number n of propositional variables that occur in F .
- ▶ If $n = 1$, then the possible clauses are p , $\neg p$ and $p \vee \neg p$. The third one is ruled out, by assumption.
- ▶ If $F = \{\{p\}\}$ or $F = \{\{\neg p\}\}$, F is satisfiable.
- ▶ Hence, $F = \{\{p\}, \{\neg p\}\}$. Clearly, $\emptyset \in Res^1(F)$.

Resolution : Converse

- ▶ Inductive hypothesis : If F has $\leq n$ variables and is unsat, then $\emptyset \in Res^*(F)$.

Resolution : Converse

- ▶ Inductive hypothesis : If F has $\leq n$ variables and is unsat, then $\emptyset \in Res^*(F)$.
- ▶ Let F have $n + 1$ variables p_1, \dots, p_{n+1} .

Resolution : Converse

- ▶ Inductive hypothesis : If F has $\leq n$ variables and is unsat, then $\emptyset \in Res^*(F)$.
- ▶ Let F have $n + 1$ variables p_1, \dots, p_{n+1} .
 - ▶ Let G_0 be the conjunction of all C_i in F such that $\neg p_{n+1} \notin C_i$.
 - ▶ Let G_1 be the conjunction of all C_i in F such that $p_{n+1} \notin C_i$.

Resolution : Converse

- ▶ Inductive hypothesis : If F has $\leq n$ variables and is unsat, then $\emptyset \in Res^*(F)$.
- ▶ Let F have $n + 1$ variables p_1, \dots, p_{n+1} .
 - ▶ Let G_0 be the conjunction of all C_i in F such that $\neg p_{n+1} \notin C_i$.
 - ▶ Let G_1 be the conjunction of all C_i in F such that $p_{n+1} \notin C_i$.
- ▶ Clauses in $F =$ Clauses in $G_0 \cup$ Clauses in G_1

Resolution : Converse

- ▶ Inductive hypothesis : If F has $\leq n$ variables and is unsat, then $\emptyset \in Res^*(F)$.
- ▶ Let F have $n + 1$ variables p_1, \dots, p_{n+1} .

- ▶ Let G_0 be the conjunction of all C_i in F such that $\neg p_{n+1} \notin C_i$.
- ▶ Let G_1 be the conjunction of all C_i in F such that $p_{n+1} \notin C_i$.

- ▶ Clauses in $F =$ Clauses in $G_0 \cup$ Clauses in G_1

- ▶ Let $F_0 = \{C_i - \{p_{n+1}\} \mid C_i \in G_0\}$
- ▶ Let $F_1 = \{C_i - \{\neg p_{n+1}\} \mid C_i \in G_1\}$

Resolution

Let $F = \{\{p_1, p_3\}, \{p_2\}, \{\neg p_1, \neg p_2, p_3\}, \{\neg p_2, \neg p_3\}\}$ and $n = 2$.

- ▶ $G_0 = \{\{p_1, p_3\}, \{p_2\}, \{\neg p_1, \neg p_2, p_3\}\}$, $G_1 = \{\{p_2\}, \{\neg p_2, \neg p_3\}\}$.
- ▶ $F_0 = \{\{p_1\}, \{p_2\}, \{\neg p_1, \neg p_2\}\}$ and $F_1 = \{\{p_2\}, \{\neg p_2\}\}$
- ▶ If p_{n+1} is assigned *false* in F , then F is equivalent to F_0

Resolution

Let $F = \{\{p_1, p_3\}, \{p_2\}, \{\neg p_1, \neg p_2, p_3\}, \{\neg p_2, \neg p_3\}\}$ and $n = 2$.

- ▶ $G_0 = \{\{p_1, p_3\}, \{p_2\}, \{\neg p_1, \neg p_2, p_3\}\}$, $G_1 = \{\{p_2\}, \{\neg p_2, \neg p_3\}\}$.
- ▶ $F_0 = \{\{p_1\}, \{p_2\}, \{\neg p_1, \neg p_2\}\}$ and $F_1 = \{\{p_2\}, \{\neg p_2\}\}$
- ▶ If p_{n+1} is assigned *false* in F , then F is equivalent to F_0
- ▶ If p_{n+1} is assigned *true* in F , then F is equivalent to F_1

Resolution

Let $F = \{\{p_1, p_3\}, \{p_2\}, \{\neg p_1, \neg p_2, p_3\}, \{\neg p_2, \neg p_3\}\}$ and $n = 2$.

- ▶ $G_0 = \{\{p_1, p_3\}, \{p_2\}, \{\neg p_1, \neg p_2, p_3\}\}$, $G_1 = \{\{p_2\}, \{\neg p_2, \neg p_3\}\}$.
- ▶ $F_0 = \{\{p_1\}, \{p_2\}, \{\neg p_1, \neg p_2\}\}$ and $F_1 = \{\{p_2\}, \{\neg p_2\}\}$
- ▶ If p_{n+1} is assigned *false* in F , then F is equivalent to F_0
- ▶ If p_{n+1} is assigned *true* in F , then F is equivalent to F_1
- ▶ Hence $F \equiv F_0 \vee F_1$.

Resolution

Let $F = \{\{p_1, p_3\}, \{p_2\}, \{\neg p_1, \neg p_2, p_3\}, \{\neg p_2, \neg p_3\}\}$ and $n = 2$.

- ▶ $G_0 = \{\{p_1, p_3\}, \{p_2\}, \{\neg p_1, \neg p_2, p_3\}\}$, $G_1 = \{\{p_2\}, \{\neg p_2, \neg p_3\}\}$.
- ▶ $F_0 = \{\{p_1\}, \{p_2\}, \{\neg p_1, \neg p_2\}\}$ and $F_1 = \{\{p_2\}, \{\neg p_2\}\}$
- ▶ If p_{n+1} is assigned *false* in F , then F is equivalent to F_0
- ▶ If p_{n+1} is assigned *true* in F , then F is equivalent to F_1
- ▶ Hence $F \equiv F_0 \vee F_1$.
- ▶ As F is unsatisfiable, F_0 and F_1 are both unsatisfiable.

Resolution

- ▶ By induction hypothesis, $\emptyset \in \text{Res}^*(F_0)$ and $\emptyset \in \text{Res}^*(F_1)$.

Resolution

- ▶ By induction hypothesis, $\emptyset \in Res^*(F_0)$ and $\emptyset \in Res^*(F_1)$.
- ▶ Hence, $\emptyset \in Res^*(G_0)$ or $\{p_{n+1}\} \in Res^*(G_0)$, and $\emptyset \in Res^*(G_1)$ or $\{\neg p_{n+1}\} \in Res^*(G_1)$.

Resolution

- ▶ By induction hypothesis, $\emptyset \in Res^*(F_0)$ and $\emptyset \in Res^*(F_1)$.
- ▶ Hence, $\emptyset \in Res^*(G_0)$ or $\{p_{n+1}\} \in Res^*(G_0)$, and $\emptyset \in Res^*(G_1)$ or $\{\neg p_{n+1}\} \in Res^*(G_1)$.
- ▶ If $\emptyset \in Res^*(G_0)$ or $\emptyset \in Res^*(G_1)$, then $\emptyset \in Res^*(F)$.

Resolution

- ▶ By induction hypothesis, $\emptyset \in Res^*(F_0)$ and $\emptyset \in Res^*(F_1)$.
- ▶ Hence, $\emptyset \in Res^*(G_0)$ or $\{p_{n+1}\} \in Res^*(G_0)$, and $\emptyset \in Res^*(G_1)$ or $\{\neg p_{n+1}\} \in Res^*(G_1)$.
- ▶ If $\emptyset \in Res^*(G_0)$ or $\emptyset \in Res^*(G_1)$, then $\emptyset \in Res^*(F)$.
- ▶ Else, $\{p_{n+1}\} \in Res^*(G_0)$ and $\{\neg p_{n+1}\} \in Res^*(G_1)$.

Resolution

- ▶ By induction hypothesis, $\emptyset \in Res^*(F_0)$ and $\emptyset \in Res^*(F_1)$.
- ▶ Hence, $\emptyset \in Res^*(G_0)$ or $\{p_{n+1}\} \in Res^*(G_0)$, and $\emptyset \in Res^*(G_1)$ or $\{\neg p_{n+1}\} \in Res^*(G_1)$.
- ▶ If $\emptyset \in Res^*(G_0)$ or $\emptyset \in Res^*(G_1)$, then $\emptyset \in Res^*(F)$.
- ▶ Else, $\{p_{n+1}\} \in Res^*(G_0)$ and $\{\neg p_{n+1}\} \in Res^*(G_1)$.
- ▶ Hence $\emptyset \in Res^*(F)$.

Resolution Summary

Given a formula ψ , convert it into CNF, say ζ . ψ is satisfiable iff $\emptyset \notin \text{Res}^*(\zeta)$.

- ▶ If ψ is unsat, we might get \emptyset before reaching $\text{Res}^*(\zeta)$.
- ▶ If ψ is sat, then truth tables might be faster : stop when some row evaluates to 1.

Propositional Logic : Summary

- ▶ Syntax, Semantics
- ▶ Encoding problems into logic
- ▶ **Sound** and **Complete** Proof Engine
- ▶ **Semantic/Provable** equivalence of formulae
- ▶ **Normal forms**, satisfiability, hardness
- ▶ **Resolution** for SAT checking

Moving On

Propositional Logic

SAT solvers, heuristics, competitions for SAT solvers and so on. In many cases, parts of a complex problem reduced to SAT solving.

What we propose to do now

Move on to other logics, and their applications in CS.