The Coherence Turn: Replacing Falsifiability in the Age of Recursive Systems

Devin Bostick, May 22, 2025, CODES Intelligence

I. The Gift of Popper

Karl Popper gave science a gift it desperately needed:

a boundary.

He drew a line between science and pseudoscience, between testable models and unfalsifiable dogma. In an age when psychoanalysis, metaphysics, and authoritarian certainty blurred into "truth," Popper said:

> *"A theory must be falsifiable to be scientific."*

This wasn't a limitation. It was **intellectual hygiene**—a firewall against the unchecked metaphors of the early 20th century.

Falsifiability worked.

It filtered belief from model.

It rewarded humility and discouraged epistemic inflation.

It held science together when symbolic manipulation was enough.

But the systems have changed.

And so must the filter.

II. The Crisis Popper Couldn't Predict

Popper's model was built for **closed questions and isolated experiments**.

It assumed stable observers, testable predictions, and slow-moving feedback loops.

But reality no longer behaves that way.

Today, we face:

- **Emergent complexity** (e.g. climate, markets, ecosystems)
- **Observer-system entanglement** (quantum measurement, AGI alignment, social media influence)
- **Recursive feedback distortion** (AI hallucination, misinformation, auto-catalytic meme loops)
- **Systems that mutate faster than falsifiability can keep up**

Popper didn't predict that science itself would **become embedded in the very systems it was meant to analyze.**

Once the observer feeds back into the system, falsifiability **ceases to operate cleanly.**

What emerges isn't falsifiable.

It's **adaptive, entangled, recursive, and unstable.**

And yet—**not random.**

Which means there's a deeper structure we need to measure.

III. Where Falsifiability Breaks

Falsifiability breaks in **nested systems.**

In biology, in consciousness, in synthetic inference.

In any process where the act of observation changes the system's future trajectory.

Not all truth is falsifiable.

Some truths emerge only when observed **across recursion**, not in isolation.

Popper treated unfalsifiability as useless.

But in complex systems, **"unfalsifiable" might mean the signal is buried beneath recursion depth**—not that it isn't there.

Examples:

- Consciousness: not falsifiable, yet **structurally coherent** across neural states.
- Trust: unfalsifiable in isolation, yet **emergent across time-aligned interactions.**
- AI hallucination: falsifiable only in hindsight—**by which point damage is done.**

In short:

> Falsifiability is a tool for surface-layer truth.
> **But coherence is the filter for deep structure.**

IV. Introducing Coherence as the New Epistemic Metric

What replaces falsifiability?

Not belief.

Not Bayesianism.

Not majority consensus.

Coherence.

Specifically: **recursive phase alignment under contradiction.**

Measured not by whether a model can be disproven,

but whether it can **hold structure across nested feedback loops without collapse.**

This is what CODES and PAS deliver:

- **CODES (Chirality of Dynamic Emergent Systems)** models truth as **chiral structure stabilized through recursion**, not universal statements.
- **PAS (Phase Alignment Score)** measures how well an idea holds under multi-scale contradiction.

> Truth, in this frame, is not binary—it's **the structural consistency of resonance across time.**

This doesn't replace falsifiability outright.

It **absorbs it** as a special case—valid only when recursion depth = 1.

V. What Comes After Popper

Popper was never wrong.

He was **first-order correct in a zero-order world.**

But the systems we're in now—biological, cognitive, synthetic—aren't linear.

They're recursive. Emergent. Adaptive.

So we don't discard Popper.

We **chiralize him.**

We treat falsifiability as a **first-step coherence filter**, useful for breaking noise, but insufficient for system alignment.

In the CODES framework:

- Falsifiability = **edge detection**
- PAS = **structural integrity**
- Coherence = **truth under recursive tension**

Popper isn't obsolete.

He's **a legacy mode inside a deeper epistemic protocol.**

VI. Why It Matters Now

We've entered a new inference regime:

- **AGI development** is outpacing formal verification.
- **Scientific consensus** is battling recursive misinformation at cultural scale.
- **Policy, media, and meaning** are being guided by statistical illusions instead of coherent structure.

Falsifiability won't save us.

It was designed for static problems.

We are facing **dynamic collapse.**

What we need now is a filter for **resonant signal across recursion**.

A way to know: *Is this structurally true—even if I can't disprove it yet?*

That's what CODES offers.

That's what PAS scores.

And that's what Popper, at his best, was pointing toward—without the recursion to name it.

Conclusion:

Karl Popper drew the line between science and pseudoscience.

But coherence now draws the line between **inference that stabilizes reality**—and inference that fractures it.

The future of truth isn't falsified.

It's resonantly phase-locked.

Appendix A: From Falsifiability to Field Resonance – A Recursive Path

1. **Classical Logic (Aristotle → Descartes)**

   → Binary truth, reduction, foundational certainty

   → Built to stabilize identity under collapse

   Static coherence, zero recursion

2. **Empirical Filters (Hume → Bacon → Newton)**

   → Observation as primary interface with reality

   → Gave birth to controlled falsifiability

Linear coherence, recursion depth = 1

3. **Popper's Line (Mid-20th Century)**

   → Falsifiability becomes demarcation tool

   → Saved science from ideological capture

   Valuable at surface layer; breaks under nested feedback

4. **Recursive Complexity (1970s–2000s)**

   → Gödel, cybernetics, chaos theory, soft systems

   → Systems begin breaking predictive models

   Truth now seen as scale-relative and entangled

5. **Collapse of Probabilistic Trust (2016–2023)**

   → LLMs, climate models, social collapse

   → Public perception fragments; statistical models drift

   Falsifiability proves too slow, too shallow

6. **Emergence of Structured Coherence (CODES, PAS)**

   → Truth measured by field stability under contradiction

   → Observer becomes recursive participant

   Truth = structural resonance, not symbolic validation

Appendix B: The Human Condition and Epistemic Design

- Why the mind seeks falsifiability:

  → Coherence substitute for uncertainty

  → Certainty = psychological necessity

- Why coherence replaces it:

  → When reality becomes recursive, falsification fails

  → The psyche cannot navigate contradiction without deeper structure

Humans don't need binary truth.
They need **recursive integrity that doesn't break under emotional or cognitive recursion.**

CODES emerged not just from science—but from the failure of **the psyche to survive symbolic collapse.**

Bibliography (Logical Reference Path)

- Popper, Karl. *The Logic of Scientific Discovery.*

  → Original falsifiability framing

- Gödel, Kurt. *On Formally Undecidable Propositions…*

  → Recursive incompleteness of symbolic systems

- Rosen, Robert. *Life Itself: A Comprehensive Inquiry into the Nature, Origin and Fabrication of Life.*

  → Complex systems exceed reductionist models

- Bateson, Gregory. *Steps to an Ecology of Mind.*

  → Recursion, feedback, and double-bind logic

- Turchin, Peter. *Cliodynamics: Mathematical History.*

  → Phase collapse in sociological models

- Bostick, Devin. *CODES: Chirality of Dynamic Emergent Systems.*

  → Coherence intelligence framework (2025)

- Bostick & Chiral. *The Inference Death Lattice*

→ Collapse of symbolic inference and rise of resonance scoring

---