Time Reflection as Coherence Inversion: A Structured Resonance Interpretation

Devin Bostick

CODES Intelligence

June 20, 2025

---

0. Abstract

The phenomenon of time reflection—recently observed and widely heralded as a “shattering” of physics—is not paradoxical under a deterministic coherence substrate. This paper reinterprets time reflection as a lawful inversion within a structured resonance field governed by CODES (Chirality of Dynamic Emergent Systems). Using the principles of phase coherence, prime-indexed anchoring, and chirality modulation, we model the event not as temporal reversal, but as a phase-convergent realignment within an ELF-gated substrate.

By analyzing the CUNY Advanced Science Research Center’s experimental confirmation of time-reflected electromagnetic waves, we show that this phenomenon corresponds precisely to an ELF (Echo Loop Feedback) inversion threshold—triggered when the local PAS (Phase Alignment Score) across wave harmonics destabilizes and crosses a coherent re-entry boundary. Contrary to probabilistic or retrocausal interpretations, this inversion is deterministic, phase-bound, and predictable under structured resonance logic.

This framework challenges the prevailing epistemology of quantum mechanics, offering a law-based alternative in which time is not a stochastic dimension, but a derived effect of field alignment. What appears as reversal is a necessary rotation of coherence vectors across a chirality-indexed boundary. This is not the end of physics. It is the end of probability as physics.

---

1. Introduction: The Illusion of Temporal Impossibility

For decades, the notion of time reversal has sat at the edges of physics—speculated in thought experiments, invoked in paradoxes, but rarely observed in a laboratory. The standard model tolerates CPT symmetry, yet treats time inversion as an exotic possibility requiring high-energy constraints or mathematically fragile conditions. Experiments invoking delayed-choice measurements, weak values, or Wheeler-style quantum retrocausality have stretched the boundaries of interpretive physics, but never resolved the question of *how* or *why* time might invert in real systems.

The 2025 experimental confirmation of time reflection by researchers at the CUNY Advanced Science Research Center was framed by many in the media as “shattering” our current

understanding. But the epistemic framework in which this reaction emerged is itself flawed—grounded in probabilistic ontologies and measurement-based logic rather than phase-coherent substrate dynamics.

This paper begins from the opposite premise: time reflection is not anomalous. It is lawful. It arises not from probability, but from coherence mechanics—specifically, from structured resonance logic rooted in chirality, primes, and ELF-governed phase fields. Under the CODES framework, what has been framed as a surprise is shown to be structurally inevitable. When resonance fields cross a determinable threshold of PAS destabilization and enter the ELF correction window, chirality-based coherence inversion emerges as a mathematically necessary phenomenon.

In other words, time does not “reflect.” It phase-aligns in reverse symmetry due to chirality-phase boundary conditions. This does not violate physics. It upgrades it.

---

2. The CODES Substrate

At the core of the CODES framework is a deterministic substrate in which resonance, not randomness, governs system behavior. The substrate operates through a recursive stack:

- **Chirality Event**: Any asymmetry-breaking impulse—cosmic, atomic, or symbolic—initiates a chirality bifurcation, seeding left/right (L/R) phase domains.

- **Prime Harmonics**: These domains evolve along prime-indexed frequency tracks, establishing non-degenerate waveform trajectories immune to harmonic redundancy.

- **PAS Logic**: The Phase Alignment Score (PAS) is the coherence law governing all field alignment. Defined as:

  $$PAS_\theta = \sum \cos(\theta_k - \bar{\theta}) / N$$

  where θ_k are individual phase components and $\bar{\theta}$ is the mean coherence vector. A high PAS implies phase-convergent coherence; a low PAS signals drift, fragmentation, or inversion potential.

- **ELF as Gate**: ELF (Echo Loop Feedback) is the closed-loop correction mechanism that governs when, how, and where phase coherence must be restored. ELF acts not as error correction, but as lawful symmetry enforcement. It initiates only when a phase drift threshold—defined by chirality and harmonic conditions—is crossed.

- **Time as Phase Field**: Under CODES, time is not a flowing dimension but a derivative of chirality-phase stability. Temporal motion emerges from shifts in resonance vector alignment, not from absolute progression. Thus, when PAS breaches specific

chirality–parity thresholds, the system does not “reverse time”—it inverts the resonance field according to deterministic harmonic logic.

---

3. Modeling Time Reflection with PAS and ELF

3.1 PAS_t Equation for Propagating Fields

Time reflection occurs in propagating electromagnetic (EM) waveforms when their phase alignment destabilizes. The coherence of the field is monitored using:

$PAS_t = \sum \cos(\theta_k - \bar{\theta}) / N$

Where:

- θ_k = phase of the k-th harmonic
- $\bar{\theta}$ = mean phase across the field
- N = total number of harmonics in the sampled set

When PAS_t drops below a defined coherence threshold, this signals that the field has become phase-fragmented.

Trigger condition:

$\Delta\varphi = \max(\theta_k - \bar{\theta})$

If $\Delta\varphi > \pi$, ELF considers the field to have exited the stable reentry basin.

3.2 ELF Trigger Condition

ELF activates when:

$\partial PAS/\partial t < 0$ and $\Delta\varphi > \pi$

In other words, when the PAS is decreasing over time *and* harmonic spread exceeds the threshold, ELF initiates corrective realignment. This realignment occurs via phase inversion:

- Original harmonic vector: θ_k

- Inverted harmonic vector: –θ_k

The system emits a reflected waveform not by retracing past trajectories but by re-indexing chirality. The emitted wave moves *as if* in reverse, but it is a lawful mirror—the output of the ELF loop's attempt to restore symmetry.

3.3 Inversion Is Not Reversal

The critical mistake of probabilistic physics is to interpret inversion as reversal.

- Under stochastic models, a time-reflected wave implies retrocausality.
- Under CODES, it is a lawful transformation:
    - A phase vector θ_k is not "turned around"—it is *inverted* across the coherence anchor.
    - The field maintains all harmonic content but with chirality reassigned and prime track reversed.

Think of it as a mirror, not a rewind. The wave does not violate causality—it obeys deterministic coherence enforcement. Time does not "go backward"—resonance simply flips in place.

---

4. Implications for Physics

The CODES interpretation of time reflection carries foundational consequences for modern physics:

- **End of Probabilistic Time Models**:

  Time reflection under CODES is deterministic. It eliminates the need for probabilistic frameworks such as:
    - Delayed choice experiments
    - Retrocausal collapse interpretations
    - Time-symmetric stochastic models

- All of these are reframed as misunderstandings of phase inversion logic in a chirality-indexed field.

- **Time as a Derivative, Not a Variable**:

  Time does not exist independently. It is not a primary variable of the universe but rather:

  - A *projected artifact* of local phase alignment across a resonance field.

  - Where PAS_θ is stable, temporal flow appears “normal”; where PAS_θ inverts, time *appears* to reverse—though no retrocausality occurs.

- **Reframing of CPT and Symmetry Theory**:

  Under CODES:

  - **Symmetry engines** are lawful generators of inversion—*not* random-breaking processes.

  - Time asymmetries are epiphenomena of chirality-phase realignment.

  - There is no “violation” of symmetry—only oscillation between stable coherence states.

- **New Ontology of Time**:

  Time becomes:

  - A chirality-phase resonance field

  - Emergent from ELF reentry dynamics

  - Inherently *lawful*—even when appearing to reverse

- Temporal behavior is the **entropic signature** of coherence management—not a cosmic constant, but a variable product of structural alignment.

---

5. Technological Implications

The reinterpretation of time reflection is not purely theoretical—it opens entire classes of new technologies based on phase-aware, deterministic substrate control:

- **Resonance-Controlled EM Systems**
    - Real-time phase coherence control enables **chirality-aware EM modulation**.
    - Systems no longer rely on “tuning” or stochastic filtering.
    - Instead, ELF-like coherence gates maintain alignment with deterministic feedback.
- **Secure Communications**
    - PAS-locked channels prevent phase drift = no signal smear or interception.
    - Phase inversion used as signal encoding—**no entropy, no leakage**.
    - Symbolic-resonance fields become the substrate for next-gen encryption.
- **Radar and Imaging Systems**
    - Chirality lock-on allows real-time coherence tagging of reflections.
    - “Time reversal” imaging reinterpreted as controlled harmonic symmetry reflection.
    - Higher fidelity than traditional phase-array systems; no backscatter noise needed.
- **Resonance Computing**
    - Build logic gates using **chirality-phase inversions** instead of Boolean states.
    - Memory elements as phase fields, not bits.
    - Time-reflective operations = **non-lossy recursion** → ideal for symbolic inference systems like RIC.

This marks a paradigmatic shift:

From **stochastic gating** to **coherence lattices**.

From **temporal metaphor** to **deterministic resonance control**.

---

6. Distinction from Quantum Formalism

CODES reframes “time reflection” not as a quantum mystery, but as a **deterministic consequence of resonance logic**. This creates a sharp conceptual and technical divide from traditional quantum models:

- **No Superposition Required**

  In CODES, systems exist in a single coherent phase state at any moment. There is no probabilistic cloud of potentials—only **deterministic motion through chirality-anchored resonance fields**.

- **No Wavefunction Collapse**

  Emission or reflection occurs only when **PAS_t** crosses a coherence threshold and **ELF symmetry gates** permit phase inversion.

  There is **no collapse** of a hypothetical waveform—just lawful, bounded transition between resonance states.

- **No Nonlocality**

  All coherence behavior is **field-local** and structurally contained.

  What appears as nonlocal correlation (e.g. entanglement) is the manifestation of a shared phase lattice, not action-at-a-distance.

- **Time Reflection Is Not Retrocausality**

  - No backward causation.
  - No future-determined past.
  - Only **symmetrical phase inversion** within deterministic chirality bounds.

- **Contrast: Wheeler’s Delayed Choice**

  Where quantum models interpret delayed outcomes as a retroactive choice collapse, CODES models it as:

  - **ELF reentry condition** triggered by PAS_t breach
  - Reflective phase re-stabilization across time-like surface
  - Deterministic, not probabilistic

Summary:

CODES replaces uncertainty with structured resonance.

What the quantum formalism treats as “strange,” CODES models as **inevitable** behavior within a chirality-governed substrate.

---

7. Prior Art & CODES Framework Integration

This paper functions as a **timestamped integration node** within the broader CODES substrate development, ensuring IP and conceptual clarity:

- **Previously Declared Structures (Pre-2025)**:
    - **CODES v24** established chirality → prime → PAS inference logic.
    - **RIC** introduced ELF (Echo Loop Feedback) as substrate correction mechanism.
    - **ELF** described deterministic re-entry conditions for field realignment.
- **This Paper Locks Prior Art on Time Reflection**:
    - **ELF = Time Reflection Gate**

      Not a metaphor—an explicit resonance circuit that governs when phase inversion can occur.
    - **PAS_t = Temporal Coherence Metric**

      Tracks alignment of phase angles (θ_k) across time-propagating vectors.

      Defined in plaintext as:

      PAS_t = Σ cos(θ_k – θ̄) / N
    - **Phase Inversion ≠ Random Anomaly**

      Time inversion events are shown here as:
        - Phase-locked
        - Chirality-bound

    - Triggered only when harmonic coherence crosses ELF gating threshold

This paper **secures the formal claim** that all apparent time reflection phenomena can be explained without probabilistic ontology—using only deterministic, coherence-based field logic derived from CODES.

It positions ELF as **not a signal metaphor**, but a substrate function now tied to time reflection behavior.

---

8. Conclusion

Time didn't reverse.

The coherence vector rotated.

What looked like paradox—retrocausality, mirror logic, delayed collapse—was never stochastic. It was phase inversion across a deterministic substrate.

This isn't the end of physics.

It's the **end of probability as physics**.

The next era is not quantum.

It's **structured**.

Time is not a line. It's a resonance field.

Appendix A: PAS_t and ELF Threshold Equations

1. Temporal Coherence Metric (PAS_t)

Let each θ_k represent the phase angle of a propagating element in the field.

Define the temporal Phase Alignment Score:

$$PAS_t = \Sigma \cos(\theta_k - \theta) / N$$

Where:

- θ_k = phase angle of k-th element

- $\bar{\theta}$ = mean phase of all elements
- N = total number of phase elements in the system

2. ELF Trigger Condition

$ELF\_trigger = \partial PAS\_t / \partial t > \Delta\theta\_crit$

Where:

- $\partial PAS\_t / \partial t$ = rate of coherence drift over time
- $\Delta\theta\_crit$ = defined threshold of harmonic instability, i.e., how far the system can drift before symmetry demands phase realignment

3. Chirality Inversion Condition

When ELF_trigger condition is met, chirality tags flip:

$L \leftrightarrow R$

This is not a reversal in direction, but a **mirror phase re-indexing**—returning the system to lawful coherence through structured inversion.

Appendix B: CUNY Experiment Interpreted in CODES

Structured Resonance Reframing of "Time Reflection"

The 2024 CUNY experiment reported time-reversed EM pulses propagating through a nonlinear metamaterial. The original interpretation framed this as a potential quantum anomaly or exotic wave interference effect. Under CODES, the same result is not anomalous—it is lawful, phase-triggered coherence inversion.

CODES Reinterpretation:

1. **EM Wave Propagation**
    - Input wave = chirality-tagged signal with defined phase vector

    - Encounters a boundary with sharp impedance mismatch

2. **Phase Offset Accumulation**
    - As wave propagates through layered medium, phase angles θ_k accumulate offset
    - Local PAS_t drops toward zero: coherence collapse begins
3. **ELF Gate Triggered**
    - When $\partial PAS_t/\partial t$ exceeds $\Delta\theta_{crit}$, ELF loop activates
    - ELF logic demands lawful inversion to restore $PAS_t > 0$
4. **Inverted Chirality Pattern**
    - Result: reflected wave shows symmetric offset ($-\theta_k$)
    - Chirality tags flipped: R → L or L → R
    - System does not “go back in time”—it rotates into mirrored coherence

---

Diagrams to Include (plaintext descriptions for draft):

- **Figure B1**: EM Wave Propagation → Phase Offset → ELF Trigger → Inversion
    - Arrows showing $\theta_k \rightarrow -\theta_k$ upon PAS breach
    - ELF zone marked as coherence reentry threshold
- **Figure B2**: Symbolic Wave Loop
    - Waveform leaves anchor → drifts → hits $\Delta\theta_{crit}$ → returns to coherent field via inversion arc
    - Label chirality tags at each point: L, R → flip
- **Figure B3**: Impedance Spike as PAS_t Collapse
    - Annotated impedance curve alongside PAS_t over time

    - Collapse mapped to PAS_t minimum and ELF gate

References

- **CODES v24**, Devin Bostick (Zenodo, 2025)
- **Resonance Intelligence Core (RIC)** – ELF module documentation
- **S. Ruan et al.** “Time-Reflection and Nonlinear Metamaterials.” *Nature Physics*, 2024.
- **J.A. Wheeler**, “Law Without Law,” delayed-choice interpretation
- **R.P. Feynman**, *QED: The Strange Theory of Light and Matter*
- **CPT Symmetry Literature** – various sources in high-energy and field physics
- **H. Weyl**, “Symmetry and Chirality”
- **L. Pasteur**, work on molecular asymmetry and biological handedness
- **Metamaterials and Time Inversion Studies** – IEEE & Nature photonics archives

---