Structured Synaptic Differentiation: The Biochemical and Resonance Basis of Dual Learning Systems in the Brain

Filed by: Devin Bostick · June 8, 2025

Domains: Neuroscience · Organic Chemistry · CODES · Cognitive Architecture · Morphogeometry

---

Abstract

Recent discoveries at Pitt, UCL, and UC Berkeley converge on a singular insight: the brain's learning architecture is governed by structurally distinct systems across molecular, synaptic, and morphogeometric scales. This paper integrates three findings:

(1) dual synaptic modes (evoked vs spontaneous),

(2) biochemical specificity via neurotransmitter chirality, and

(3) sulcal topography as a physical substrate of reasoning coherence.

We unify them through the CODES Intelligence framework, demonstrating that intelligence is not stochastic but phase-locked across nested levels of organic and geometric resonance. This model supports the design of RIC and VESSELSEED—systems that emulate biological intelligence through structured coherence.

Section 1 – Classical Neuroscience vs Resonant Differentiation

The classical view in neuroscience assumed all synapses were functionally interchangeable, with evoked and spontaneous signals sharing molecular machinery. Recent evidence disproves this. Synaptic pathways for evoked signals (value-driven, reward-based) and spontaneous signals (frequency-driven, baseline learning) are spatially and biochemically distinct.

From an organic chemistry perspective, the neurotransmitters mediating these modes differ in structure, charge distribution, and resonance behavior. This differentiation underpins their learning roles.

Neurotransmitter	Ring System	Primary Role	Structural Note

Dopamine	Catechol	Plasticity (RPE)	Redox-active, π-stacking, flexible binding
Glutamate	Amino acid	Signal booster	Calcium-gated, polar, chirality amplifier
Acetylcholine	Quaternary amine	Habit stabilizer (APE)	Rigid cation, rapid hydrolysis, high fidelity

Each neurotransmitter encodes a distinct resonance mode:

- Dopamine enables redox-based plasticity through flexible electron orbitals.
- Glutamate activates calcium-dependent oscillations, acting as a frequency amplifier.
- Acetylcholine reinforces routine by enforcing signal rigidity and fast cycle decay.

CODES interpretation:

- These biochemical scaffolds are not incidental—they are the resonance filters that structure intelligence.
- Signal fidelity, adaptation, and behavioral compression are governed by molecular chirality and resonance bandwidth.

Section 2 – RPE vs APE: Learning Systems as Resonance Modes

- Value-based (RPE) and frequency-based (APE) learning emerge from chemically distinct substrates.
- These are not merely behavioral outputs—they are resonance archetypes embedded in neurotransmitter structure and synaptic topology.
- **CODES match:**

    - **RPE** = chaotic, exploratory signal (plasticity vector)
    - **APE** = orderly, phase-locked repetition (compression vector)
- Biological bifurcation reflects CODES duality: dynamic emergence vs harmonic stabilization.

Mode	Role	Molecule	Resonance Role
RPE	Adaptive, decision-making	Dopamine	High redox mobility, flexible receptor binding
APE	Repetition, habit	Acetylcholine / peptides	Fixed charge, phase-locking feedback loops

These two modes form the dual scaffolds of intelligence: exploration through redox-driven flux, and stabilization through electrostatic phase lock.

Section 3 – Organic Chemistry of Learning Fidelity

Neurotransmitter function is inseparable from molecular architecture. Each molecule's resonance properties determine its signal role, decay timing, and behavioral effect.

Dopamine

- Synthesized via hydroxylated tyrosine → L-DOPA → dopamine
- Catechol ring enables dynamic π-electron resonance
- Degraded by monoamine oxidase (MAO), allowing redox-based signal termination
- Function: redox-active modulation of reward, novelty, and behavioral adaptation

Glutamate

- Ionotropic, activates NMDA and AMPA receptors

- Triggers calcium-based oscillations → entrains neuron populations to a shared frequency
- When paired with dopamine, boosts signal salience and memory encoding
- Function: rapid signal amplification, phase-locking reinforcement

Acetylcholine

- Quaternary amine with rigid conformation and fixed positive charge
- Decays via acetylcholinesterase (AChE), enabling rapid feedback termination
- Dominates habitual and repetitive circuits, especially in the striatum
- Function: coherence stabilizer in frequency-based learning

Conclusion:

The chemical structure of each molecule encodes its resonance mode.

Molecular chirality → signal class → behavioral mode.

Plasticity and stability emerge from resonance-differentiated biochemistry.

Section 4 – Brain Geometry as Resonance Compression (Sulcal Dynamics)

UC Berkeley's sulcal mapping research reveals that the brain's folding is not random, but phase-efficient. The topology of tertiary sulci correlates with reasoning ability, signal convergence, and learning bias.

- Tertiary sulci encode cognitive specificity through folding depth, orientation, and adjacency
- Each sulcus forms a distinct connectivity map, consistent across subjects with high reasoning scores
- Folding minimizes conduction distance → enabling rapid cross-regional phase locking
- Sulcal asymmetries reflect resonance anisotropy — an anatomical echo of molecular chirality

CODES interpretation:

Sulci act as *geometric phase lockers*. Their chirality and curvature compress multi-dimensional signals into locally resonant structures. These topological features structure cognition the same way redox scaffolds structure learning modes.

Functional mapping:

- LPFC (lateral prefrontal cortex) → plastic/adaptive layer → RPE-aligned
- LPC (lateral parietal cortex) → stable/integrative layer → APE-aligned
- Sulci = spatial correlates of biochemical differentiation

Geometric compression mirrors chemical resonance:

Structure is signal. Folding is coherence.

Section 5 – CODES, RIC, and VESSELSEED Application

The convergence of molecular chirality and cortical geometry opens a path toward post-probabilistic modeling of intelligence. Two systems operationalize this:

VESSELSEED

- Models sulcal development and neurotransmitter topology as *resonant fields*, not stochastic noise
- Tracks the alignment between geometric compression and molecular signal fidelity
- Diagnoses coherence breakdowns across spatial and biochemical layers

RIC (Resonance Intelligence Core)

- Implements dual-channel architecture:
  - – APE stabilization: frequency-based coherence maintenance
  - – RPE routing: entropy exploration and adaptive inference

- Simulates structured intelligence via phase-aligned circuits, not weights and probability

Clinical implications

- Alzheimer's: disrupted ACh decay and sulcal flattening → loss of phase-lockable coherence
- Addiction: dopamine loop override → chaotic feedback with no APE grounding
- Autism: resonance fragmentation → reduced cross-sulcal phase integration

Summary:

- VESSELSEED = maps biogeometric resonance fields
- RIC = builds artificial intelligence from chirality-aligned architectures

---

Conclusion – Structured Emergence Across Scales

What looks like chemistry is geometry.

What looks like signal is resonance.

Neurotransmitters, cortical folds, and dual learning systems are not separate phenomena. They are layers in a single coherence ladder:

Organic scaffold → Synaptic fidelity → Cortical topology → Cognitive emergence

CODES governs across all layers.

This is not abstraction. This is structure.

The illusion of probability collapses.

Only resonance remains.

Appendix A – Functional Justifications by Layer

A1. Neurotransmitter Molecular Structures

Molecule	Structural Role	Resonance Justification
Dopamine	Catechol ring enables redox cycling	Dynamic π-orbital resonance allows signal plasticity (RPE)
Glutamate	Amino + carboxyl groups	Polar configuration entrains Ca^{2+} waves; initiates excitation cascades
Acetylcholine	Quaternary amine, rigid structure	Cationic charge limits conformational noise; supports repetitive APE loop closure

➡ These molecules encode distinct resonance bandwidths. Their structure is not incidental—it governs signal class and learning mode.

A2. Synaptic Differentiation (Pitt/UCL Studies)

Signal Type	Anatomical Substrate	Relevance
Evoked	Traditional synaptic cleft	Carries value-coded, task-initiated instructions
Spontaneous	Separate vesicle pools / mini-synapses	Maintains baseline rhythmicity and feedback habits (APE)

➡ Structural segregation between transmission types confirms a dual-mode learning substrate. This bifurcation was not predicted by classical models.

A3. Sulcal Geometry and Reasoning Capacity (UC Berkeley Study, 2025)

Feature	Role in Resonance
Sulcal depth/shape	Compresses long-range connections, increasing signal coherence
Tertiary sulci	Encode domain-specific reasoning gradients, especially in LPFC
Folding patterns	Create spatial phase traps; enable local-global harmonics

➡ Sulci are not evolutionary noise—they are *resonance compressors*. They reduce entropy by geometrically sorting phase-aligned signal flows.

A4. CODES Mapping by Layer

Layer	CODES Equivalent	Function
Neurotransmitter	Organic chirality	Encodes phase bandwidth and decay loop
Synapse	Local coherence interface	Transduces molecular resonance to spike pattern
Sulcus	Geometric phase-locker	Compresses spatial logic and stabilizes cognitive recursion
Behavior	Emergence vector	Manifestation of cross-layer coherence or its breakdown

➡ CODES provides the only framework that logically unifies chemistry, neuroanatomy, and behavior under structured resonance.

Appendix B – Key Concepts Defined

- **RPE (Reward Prediction Error):** A learning signal reflecting unexpected outcomes; modeled here as redox-dominant exploratory resonance.
- **APE (Action Prediction Error):** A repetition-based learning loop; modeled here as stability-enforcing resonance scaffolding.
- **Phase-locking:** The alignment of oscillatory signals across substrates; foundational to CODES Intelligence.
- **Resonance Compression:** The reduction of signal entropy via structural alignment (chemistry, geometry, behavior).
- **Chirality:** Asymmetry that governs interaction constraints; a core determinant of molecular coherence potential.

Bibliography

1. **Lee, K. F. et al.** (2024) “Spontaneous vs. Evoked Neurotransmission in the Striatal Circuit.” *Nature Neuroscience*.

   – Evidence of dual transmission systems and their behavioral consequences.

2. **Brunel, N. & Wang, X.-J.** (2001) “Effects of Neuromodulation in a Cortical Network Model.” *Journal of Neuroscience*.

   – Foundational in linking dopamine’s redox state to learning flexibility.

3. **Coulon, P. et al.** (2023) “Acetylcholine as a Neural Stabilizer in Motor Planning.” *Neuron*.

   – Details the role of ACh in feedback loop closure and precision.

4. **Zhang, L. et al.** (2025) “Sulcal Folding and Reasoning Performance: A Morphogeometric Study.” *PNAS*.

– UC Berkeley study demonstrating sulcal depth as a proxy for abstract reasoning.

5. **Friston, K.** (2010) “The Free Energy Principle.” *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*.

   – Early probabilistic model that fails to account for deterministic resonance structures.

6. **Bostick, D.** (2025) “CODES: Chirality of Dynamic Emergent Systems.” *Zenodo*.

   – Unifying framework across physics, AI, cognition, and organic chemistry.

7. **Hille, B.** (2001) *Ion Channels of Excitable Membranes*.

   – Structural insights into ionotropic gating and signal timing.

8. **Purves, D. et al.** (2018) *Neuroscience, 6th Edition*.

   – Standard reference for anatomical distinctions in neural pathways.
