

## CS/ECE/ME532 Activity 17

*Estimated Time: 25 min for P1, 15 min for P2, 25 min for P3*

- 1. Alternative regularization formulas.** This problem is about two alternative ways of solving the  $L_2$ -regularized least squares problem.

- a) Prove that for any  $\lambda > 0$ , the following matrix identity holds:

$$(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T = \mathbf{A}^T (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1}$$

*Hint:* Start by considering the expression  $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T + \lambda \mathbf{A}^T$  and factor it in two different ways (from the right or from the left).

- b) The identity proved in part a) shows that there are actually two equivalent formulas for the solution to the  $L_2$ -regularized least squares problem. Suppose  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{8000 \times 100}$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{8000}$ , and use this identity to find  $\mathbf{w}$  that minimizes  $\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2$  in two different ways. Which formula will compute more rapidly? Why? *Note:* The number of operations required for matrix inversion is proportional to the cube of the matrix dimension.
- c) A breast cancer gene database has approximately 8000 genes from 100 subjects. The label  $y_i$  is the disease state of the  $i$ th subject (+1 if no cancer, -1 if breast cancer). Suppose we build a linear classifier that combines the 8000 genes, say  $\mathbf{g}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, 100$  to predict whether a subject has cancer  $\hat{y}_i = \text{sign}\{\mathbf{g}_i^T \mathbf{w}\}$ . Note that here  $\mathbf{g}_i$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  are 8000-by-1 vectors.
- Write down the least squares problem for finding classifier weights  $\mathbf{w}$  given 100 labels. Does this problem have a unique solution?
  - Write down a Tikhonov(ridge)-regression problem for finding the classifier weights given 100 labels. Does this problem have a unique solution? Which form of the identity in part a) leads to the most computationally efficient solution for the classifier weights?
- 2.** The key idea behind proximal gradient descent is to reformulate the general regularized least-squares problem into a set of simpler scalar optimization problems. Consider the regularized least-squares problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \lambda r(\mathbf{w})$$

An upper bound and completing the square was used to simplify the generalized least-squares problem into this form. Let the  $i^{\text{th}}$  elements of  $\mathbf{z}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  be  $z_i$  and  $w_i$ , respectively.

- a) Assume  $r(\mathbf{w}) = \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2$ . Write the regularized least-squares problem as a series of separable problems involving only  $w_i$  and  $z_i$ .
  - b) Assume  $r(\mathbf{w}) = \|\mathbf{w}\|_1$ . Write the regularized least-squares problem as a series of separable problems involving only  $w_i$  and  $z_i$ .
3. A script is available to compute a specified number of iterations of the proximal gradient descent algorithm for solving a Tikhonov-regularized least squares problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2$$

The provided script will get you started displaying the path taken by the weights in the proximal gradient descent iteration superimposed on a contour plot of the squared

error surface. Assume  $\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2} \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , the 4-by-2  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T$  has singular value

decomposition  $\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $\mathbf{V} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Complete

20 iterations of gradient descent in each case specified below.

Include the plots you generate below with your submission.

- a) What is the maximum value for the step size  $\tau$  that will guarantee convergence?
- b) Start proximal gradient descent from the point  $\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  using a step size of  $\tau = 0.5$  and tuning parameter  $\lambda = 0.5$ . How do you explain the trajectory the weights take toward the optimum, e.g., why is it shaped this way? What direction does each iteration move in the regularization step?
- c) Repeat the previous case with  $\lambda = 0.1$  What happens? How does  $\lambda$  affect each iteration and why?