

Homework #3

7. Let $T(x, y)$ mean that student x likes cuisine y , where the domain for x consists of all students at your school and the domain for y consists of all cuisines. Express each of these statements by a simple English sentence.

- a) $\neg T(\text{Abdallah Hussein}, \text{Japanese})$
- b) $\exists x T(x, \text{Korean}) \wedge \forall x T(x, \text{Mexican})$
- c) $\exists y(T(\text{Monique Arsenault}, y) \vee T(\text{Jay Johnson}, y))$
- d) $\forall x \forall z \exists y((x \neq z) \rightarrow \neg(T(x, y) \wedge T(z, y)))$
- e) $\exists x \exists z \forall y(T(x, y) \leftrightarrow T(z, y))$
- f) $\forall x \forall z \exists y(T(x, y) \leftrightarrow T(z, y))$

a) $\neg T(\text{Abdallah Hussein}, \text{Japanese})$

Abdallah Hussein does not like Japanese cuisine.

b) $\exists x T(x, \text{Korean}) \wedge \forall x T(x, \text{Mexican})$

In your school there exists a student who likes Korean and everyone likes Mexican cuisine

c) $\exists y(T(\text{Monique Arsenault}, y) \vee T(\text{Jay Johnson}, y))$

There exists some cuisine that either Monique Arsenault or Jay Johnson likes.

d) $\forall x \forall z \exists y((x \neq z) \rightarrow \neg(T(x, y) \wedge T(z, y)))$

For every pair of distinct student at your school, there is some cuisine that at least one of them does not like.

e) $\exists x \exists z \forall y(T(x, y) \leftrightarrow T(z, y))$

There exists two students at your school, who like the same cuisine.

10. Let $F(x, y)$ be the statement " x can fool y ," where the domain consists of all people in the world. Use quantifiers to express each of these statements.

- a) Everybody can fool Fred.
- b) Evelyn can fool everybody.
- c) Everybody can fool somebody.
- d) There is no one who can fool everybody.
- e) Everyone can be fooled by somebody.
- f) No one can fool both Fred and Jerry.
- g) Nancy can fool exactly two people.
- h) There is exactly one person whom everybody can fool.
- i) No one can fool himself or herself.
- j) There is someone who can fool exactly one person besides himself or herself.

- a) Everybody can fool Fred.

$$\forall x F(x, \text{Fred})$$

$F(x, y) = "x" \text{ can fool } "y"$

- b) Evelyn can fool everybody.

$$\forall y F(\text{Evelyn}, y)$$

- c) Everybody can fool somebody.

$$\text{All people in the World} \quad \forall x \exists y F(x, y)$$

- d) There is no one who can fool everybody.

$$\text{All people in the World} \quad \neg \exists x \forall y F(x, y) \rightarrow \text{Can negate this using DM Law: } \forall x \exists y \neg F(x, y)$$

- e) Everyone can be fooled by somebody.

$$\forall y \exists x F(x, y)$$

- f) No one can fool both Fred and Jerry.

$$\neg \exists x (F(x, \text{Fred}) \wedge F(x, \text{Jerry}))$$

- g) Nancy can fool exactly two people.

$$\exists x \exists y (F(Nancy, x) \wedge F(Nancy, y) \wedge (x \neq y) \wedge \forall z (F(Nancy, z) \rightarrow (z = x) \vee (z = y)))$$

- h) There is exactly one person whom everybody can fool.

$$\text{Exactly One} \rightarrow \exists ! \forall y F(x, y)$$

- i) No one can fool himself or herself.

$$\forall x \neg F(x, x)$$

- j) There is someone who can fool exactly one person besides himself or herself.

$$\exists ! x \exists ! y F(x, x) \wedge F(x, y)$$

31. Express the negations of each of these statements so that all negation symbols immediately precede predicates.

- $\forall x \exists y \forall z T(x, y, z)$
- $\forall x \exists y P(x, y) \vee \forall x \exists y Q(x, y)$
- $\forall x \exists y (P(x, y) \wedge \exists z R(x, y, z))$
- $\forall x \exists y (P(x, y) \rightarrow Q(x, y))$

$$\neg \exists_x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg P(x)$$

$$\neg \forall_x P(x) \equiv \exists_x \neg P(x)$$

a) $\forall x \exists y \forall z T(x, y, z)$

b) $\forall x \exists y P(x, y) \vee \forall x \exists y Q(x, y)$

$\neg \forall_x \exists_y \forall_z T(x, y, z)$

$\neg \forall_x \exists_y P(x, y) \wedge \neg \forall_x \exists_y Q(x, y)$

$\exists_x \forall_y \neg \forall_z T(x, y, z)$

$\exists_x \neg \exists_y P(x, y) \wedge \exists_x \neg \exists_y Q(x, y)$

$\exists_x \forall_y \exists_z \neg T(x, y, z)$

$\exists_x \forall_y \neg P(x, y) \wedge \exists_x \forall_y \neg Q(x, y)$

c) $\forall x \exists y (P(x, y) \wedge \exists z R(x, y, z))$

d) $\forall x \exists y (P(x, y) \rightarrow Q(x, y))$

$\neg \forall_x \exists_y (P(x, y) \wedge \exists z R(x, y, z))$

$\neg \forall_x \exists_y (P(x, y) \rightarrow Q(x, y))$

$\exists_x \neg \exists_y (P(x, y) \wedge \exists z R(x, y, z))$

$\exists_x \neg \exists_y (P(x, y) \rightarrow Q(x, y))$

$\exists_x \forall_y (\neg P(x, y) \vee \neg \exists z R(x, y, z))$

$\exists_x \forall_y (P(x, y) \rightarrow \neg Q(x, y))$

46. Determine the truth value of the statement $\exists x \forall y (x \leq y^2)$ if the domain for the variables consists of

- the positive real numbers.
- the integers.
- the nonzero real numbers.

- a) the positive real numbers.

True There exists an x for all y that $x \leq y^2$
 Counter example

- b) the integers. ~ includes 0

True

- c) the nonzero real numbers. - could be
 $-1, -2, \dots$

True

Section 1.6

18. What is wrong with this argument? Let $S(x, y)$ be “ x is shorter than y .” Given the premise $\exists s S(s, \text{Max})$, it follows that $S(\text{Max}, \text{Max})$. Then by existential generalization it follows that $\exists x S(x, x)$, so that someone is shorter than himself.

$S(\text{Max}, \text{Max})$ does not follow from the previous premise $S(\text{Max}, \text{Max})$

23. Identify the error or errors in this argument that supposedly shows that if $\exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x)$ is true then $\exists x(P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ is true.

- 1. $\exists x P(x) \vee \exists x Q(x)$ Premise
- 2. $\exists x P(x)$ Simplification from (1)
- 3. $P(c)$ Existential instantiation from (2)
- 4. $\exists x Q(x)$ Simplification from (1)
- 5. $Q(c)$ Existential instantiation from (4)
- 6. $P(c) \wedge Q(c)$ Conjunction from (3) and (5)
- 7. $\exists x(P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ Existential generalization

Simplification

$$\frac{P \wedge q}{\therefore q}$$

Existential Instantiation (EI)

$$\frac{\exists x P(x)}{\therefore P(c) \text{ for some } c}$$

- 1. $\exists x P(x) \vee \exists x Q(x)$ Premise
- 2. $\exists x P(x)$ Simp ①
- 3. $P(c)$ EI ④
- 4. $\exists x Q(x)$ Simp ①
- 5. $Q(c)$ EI ④

Error → 5. $Q(c)$

- We can't assume that c that makes P true is the same as the c that makes Q true.

24. Identify the error or errors in this argument that supposedly shows that if $\forall x(P(x) \vee Q(x))$ is true then $\forall xP(x) \vee \forall xQ(x)$ is true.

1. $\forall x(P(x) \vee Q(x))$ Premise
2. $P(c) \vee Q(c)$ Universal instantiation from (1)
3. $P(c)$ Simplification from (2)
4. $\forall xP(x)$ Universal generalization from (3)
5. $Q(c)$ Simplification from (2)
6. $\forall xQ(x)$ Universal generalization from (5)
7. $\forall x(P(x) \vee \forall xQ(x))$ Conjunction from (4) and (6)

Universal Instantiation (UI)

$$1. \forall x(P(x) \vee Q(x)) \quad \text{Premise}$$

$$2. P(c) \vee Q(c) \quad \text{UI } ①$$

ERROR → 3. $P(c)$

Simplify ②

$$\frac{\forall x P(x)}{\therefore P(c)}$$

Simplification

$$\frac{P \wedge Q}{\therefore Q}$$

- We can't simplify here at step 3 because Simplification requires a \wedge not a \vee .

- Some Error Occur at Step 5.

27. Use rules of inference to show that if $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow (Q(x) \wedge S(x)))$ and $\forall x(P(x) \wedge R(x))$ are true, then $\forall x(R(x) \wedge S(x))$ is true.

$$\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow (Q(x) \wedge S(x))) \wedge \forall x(P(x) \wedge R(x)) = \underline{\forall x(R(x) \wedge S(x))}$$

1. $\forall x(P(x) \wedge R(x))$	Premise	<u>Universal Instantiation (UI)</u>
2. $P(a) \wedge R(a)$	UI ①	$\frac{\forall x P(x)}{\therefore P(c)}$
3. $P(a)$	Simplify ②	<u>Universal Modus Ponens</u>
4. $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow (Q(x) \wedge S(x)))$	Premise	$\frac{\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))}{\begin{array}{l} P(c) \\ \text{where } c \text{ is a particular} \\ \text{element in the domain} \end{array}}$
5. $Q(a) \vee S(a)$	UMP ③ and ④	$\therefore Q(a)$
6. $S(a)$	Simplify ⑤	
7. $R(a)$	Simplify ②	
8. $R(a) \wedge S(a)$	Conjunction ⑦ and ⑥	<u>Conjunction</u>
9. $\forall x(R(x) \wedge S(x))$	UG ⑤	$\frac{\begin{array}{l} P \\ q \end{array}}{\therefore P \wedge q}$
		<u>Universal Generalization (UG)</u>
		$\frac{P(c) \text{ for an arbitrary } c}{\therefore \forall x P(x)}$

L7 Proofs

- Going thru Video Series

6. Use a direct proof to show that the product of two odd numbers is odd.

- 18.** Prove that if n is an integer and $3n + 2$ is even, then n is even using
- a) a proof by contraposition.
 - b) a proof by contradiction.

- 20.** Prove the proposition $P(1)$, where $P(n)$ is the proposition “If n is a positive integer, then $n^2 \geq n$.” What kind of proof did you use?

- 24.** Show that at least three of any 25 days chosen must fall in the same month of the year.

- 34.** Is this reasoning for finding the solutions of the equation $\sqrt{2x^2 - 1} = x$ correct? (1) $\sqrt{2x^2 - 1} = x$ is given; (2) $2x^2 - 1 = x^2$, obtained by squaring both sides of (1); (3) $x^2 - 1 = 0$, obtained by subtracting x^2 from both sides of (2); (4) $(x - 1)(x + 1) = 0$, obtained by factoring the left-hand side of $x^2 - 1$; (5) $x = 1$ or $x = -1$, which follows because $ab = 0$ implies that $a = 0$ or $b = 0$.