Why Functional Programming Matters

Ted Neward

Neward & Associates

http://www.tedneward.com | ted@tedneward.com

History

In the beginning, God created objects....

History

Functional programming is a different way of thinking about modularizing applications

And, admittedly at times it is a different way of thinking that runs entirely contradictory to the way that object-oriented programmers think

Functional Programming

What's it mean, exactly?

Concepts

Functional languages

- functional as in mathematics' notion of function

  for every x, there is a corresponding value y
  this implies no side effects

- not imperative statements, but expressions

  "x = x+1" is not increment... it's impossible
  this implies expressions can be substituted
  ... or executed independently (parallellism)

- spectrum of "functional-ness", known as purity

  "pure" functional languages allow for no side effects
  "impure" functional languages allow for side effects

Concepts

What's wrong with imperative statements?

- dependences on mutable state
- compiler out-of-order rewrites
- difficulties reasoning about the code
- concurrency planning/programming

Concepts

Some basic functional concepts

- functions as first-class values
- currying, partial-application of functions
- strongly-typed, type-inferenced
- immutable values
- recursion
- expressions-not-statements
- tuples, lists
- pattern-matching
- laziness/deferred execution

Concepts

Functions as first-class values

- think about common operations--if we could vary the actual operation itself as a parameter, how much work could be saved?

  example: you need to iterate through a collection to...
  ... and each time you write it as a "for" loop, you're violating DRY

- this enables the use of functions as "higher-order" functions

  - **"take this function, and execute inside your context"**
  - **similar in some ways to a callback, but with clearer semantics**
  - **in a lot of ways, this is Inversion-of-Control all over again**

Concepts

Higher-order functions

```
let numbers = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]
let squares = numbers.map((num) -> num * num);
  // squares = [1, 4, 9, 16, 25]
```

Concepts

Partial application

- providing some of the parameters (but not all) to a function and capturing that as a function to be called

Currying

- it turns out (thank you Alonzo Church!) that all functions can be reduced to functions taking one parameter and either yielding a result or another function
- this permits easy "pipelining" and composition of functions in a highly reusable manner (at the micro level)

Concepts

Partial application

```
let add x y = x + y

let five = add 2 3  // = 5
let addBy2 = add 2  // = (anonymous fn) = 2 + y
let six = addBy2 addBy2 2 // = 6
```

Concepts

Function composition

- In functional languages, then, we achieve reuse through the composition/combination of functional parts into larger functions
- By doing so, we "build up" larger more complex functions
- When combining several in a row using currying, this is also called "pipelining"

Concepts

- Strongly-typed
  - the dynamic language community will have you believe that it's better to write unit tests by hand than to have a system that can do common-sense checking for you
- Type-inferenced
  - why do I have to be explicit to the language, when it can figure out what I'm trying to do and when?

Concepts

- Immutable values
  - once bound, a binding remains constant throughout its lifetime, and thus offers no opportunity for confusion
- Recursion
  - immutable values doesn't mean no state changes
  - instead, hold state on the stack, not in mutable memory

Concepts

Expressions-not-statements

- this is an outgrowth of the functions-as-first-class-citizens idea: if functions yield values, what is the practical difference between a keyword and a function?
- even C++ tried to make user-defined elements look and feel like built-in constructs and vice versa
- if we're really good about this, developers can create new "language" primitives and nobody will know the difference

Concepts

Tuples, lists

- "bundles" of data in different directions
- tuples give developers a "lightweight" object that needn't be named or otherwise formalized

Concepts

Pattern-matching

- switch/case is to pattern-matching as my kid's soccer team is to Arsenal or Manchester United
- pattern-matching also encourages "destructuring" of data when necessary/desired

Concepts

Laziness

- object-oriented laziness has nothing on functional laziness
- don't compute anything until absolutely necessary (but make sure to maintain the dependency graph so everything is there when needed)
- laziness is highly encouraged/permissible in pure FP
- just to be fair, laziness is highly desirable inside the process, not so across processes unless carefully managed

Concepts

Sequences

- lots of things can be seen as sequences

  characters in a string
  fields in a record
  records in a database
  files in a directory
  algorithmic calculations (factorial, fibonacci, ...)
  lines in a file

- sequences and collections have a deep relationship

  in many ways, this is the gateway to FP ideas/concepts

Application

- Continuations
  - instead of wiring steps together explicitly, do it implicitly by passing in the next "thing" to do as a function
- Concurrency
  - instead of locking explicitly, allow the underlying language library or runtime to manage the physical details of the parallelization, or (better yet) avoid the need entirely
- Abstractions
  - Parsing, for example, is made easier because the functional approach better matches what parsers do
  - How many tortured object designs must we build before we acknowledge that objects don't fit everything we build?

Summary

Functional programming is not going to replace object-orientation, but supplement it

- objects didn't replace procedural programming, but built on top of it and incorporated it
- most new FP languages are functional/object hybrids, not pure FP languages

Functional programming represents a new tool in your toolbox, not wholesale rejection of prior art