data exploration 1 Data import Histograms Analysis of Environmental Data Scatterplot **Devon Parsons** Scatterplot seperate Scatterplot with best fit line Data import Scatterplot with best fit line seperate Loaded here, used read.csv(here("")) Questions require(here) ## Loading required package: here ## here() starts at /Users/devonparsons/environmental_data dat_hab <- read.csv(here("data", "hab.sta.csv"))</pre> dat_bird <- read.csv(here("data", "bird.sta.csv"))</pre> Histograms par(mfrow = c(3, 1))hist(dat_hab\$slope) hist(dat_hab\$elev) hist(dat_hab\$aspect) Histogram of dat_hab\$slope Frequency 40 60 80 100 dat_hab\$slope Histogram of dat_hab\$elev Frequency 200 400 600 800 dat hab\$elev Histogram of dat_hab\$aspect Frequency 100 300 dat_hab\$aspect Scatterplot par(mfrow = c(3, 1)) $(plot(x = dat_hab\$elev, y = dat_hab\$ba.tot, main = "Elevation and Basal Area", ylab = "Total Ba")$ sal Area", xlab = "Elevation")) ## NULL $(plot(x = dat_hab\$slope, y = dat_hab\$ba.tot, main = "Slope and Basal Area", ylab = "Total Basal$ Area", xlab = "Slope")) ## NULL (plot(x = dat_hab\$aspect, y = dat_hab\$ba.tot, main = "Aspect and Basal Area", ylab = "Total Bas al Area", xlab = "Aspect")) **Elevation and Basal Area** Total Basal Area 200 400 600 800 Elevation Slope and Basal Area Total Basal Ar 150 Slope **Aspect and Basal Area** Total Basal Area 350 Aspect ## NULL Scatterplot seperate this chunk is for myself to get conclusions from because the par version is hard to look at $(plot(x = dat_hab\$elev, y = dat_hab\$ba.tot, main = "Elevation and Basal Area", ylab = "Total Ba")$ sal Area", xlab = "Elevation")) **Elevation and Basal Area** 0 150 Total Basal Area 100 50 200 400 600 800 Elevation ## NULL $(plot(x = dat_hab\$slope, y = dat_hab\$ba.tot, main = "Slope and Basal Area", ylab = "Total Basal Area")$ Area", xlab = "Slope")) **Slope and Basal Area** 200 150 Total Basal Area 0 50 00 20 40 60 80 100 0 Slope ## NULL (plot(x = dat_hab\$aspect, y = dat_hab\$ba.tot, main = "Aspect and Basal Area", ylab = "Total Bas al Area", xlab = "Aspect")) **Aspect and Basal Area** 200 150 0 Total Basal Area 0 100 50 50 300 350 0 100 150 200 250 Aspect ## NULL Scatterplot with best fit line par(mfrow = c(3, 1)) $(plot(x = dat_hab\$elev, y = dat_hab\$ba.tot, main = "Elevation and Basal Area", ylab = "Total Ba")$ sal Area", xlab = "Elevation")) ## NULL data_center_x = mean(dat_hab\$elev) data_center_y = mean(dat_hab\$ba.tot) c(data_center_x, data_center_y) **##** [1] 400.52008 22.42639 points(x = data_center_x, y = data_center_y, col = "red") $line_point_slope = function(x, x1, y1, slope)$ get_y_intercept = function(x1, y1, slope) return(-(x1 * slope) + y1)linear = function(x, yint, slope) return(yint + x * slope)return(linear(x, get_y_intercept(x1, y1, slope), slope)) } curve(line_point_slope(Χ, data_center_x, data_center_y, -0.1),add = TRUE) $(plot(x = dat_hab\$slope, y = dat_hab\$ba.tot, main = "Slope and Basal Area", ylab = "Total Basal Area")$ Area", xlab = "Slope")) ## NULL data_center_x = mean(dat_hab\$slope) data_center_y = mean(dat_hab\$ba.tot) c(data_center_x, data_center_y) ## [1] 50.26864 22.42639 points(x = data_center_x, y = data_center_y, col = "red") $line_point_slope = function(x, x1, y1, slope)$ get_y_intercept = function(x1, y1, slope) return(-(x1 * slope) + y1)linear = function(x, yint, slope) return(yint + x * slope) return(linear(x, get_y_intercept(x1, y1, slope), slope)) } curve(line_point_slope(Х, data_center_x, data_center_y, -0.1),add = TRUE) $(plot(x = dat_hab\$aspect, y = dat_hab\$ba.tot, main = "Aspect and Basal Area", ylab = "Total Basal Area")$ al Area", xlab = "Aspect")) ## NULL data_center_x = mean(dat_hab\$aspect) data_center_y = mean(dat_hab\$ba.tot) c(data_center_x, data_center_y) **##** [1] 182.49140 22.42639 points(x = data_center_x, y = data_center_y, col = "red") line_point_slope = function(x, x1, y1, slope)get_y_intercept = function(x1, y1, slope) return(-(x1 * slope) + y1)linear = function(x, yint, slope) return(yint + x * slope) return(linear(x, get_y_intercept(x1, y1, slope), slope)) } curve(line_point_slope(Х, data_center_x, data_center_y, -0.1),add = TRUE)**Elevation and Basal Area** Basal Area Total 200 400 600 800 Elevation Slope and Basal Area Total Basal Area 100 Slope **Aspect and Basal Area** Total Basal Area 100 150 250 350 Aspect Scatterplot with best fit line seperate $(plot(x = dat_hab\$elev, y = dat_hab\$ba.tot, main = "Elevation and Basal Area", ylab = "Total Ba")$ sal Area", xlab = "Elevation")) ## NULL data_center_x = mean(dat_hab\$elev) data_center_y = mean(dat_hab\$ba.tot) c(data_center_x, data_center_y) **##** [1] 400.52008 22.42639 points(x = data_center_x, y = data_center_y, col = "red") $line_point_slope = function(x, x1, y1, slope)$ get_y_intercept = function(x1, y1, slope) return(-(x1 * slope) + y1)linear = function(x, yint, slope) return(yint + x * slope)return(linear(x, get_y_intercept(x1, y1, slope), slope)) curve(line_point_slope(Х, data_center_x, data_center_y, -0.1),add = TRUE)**Elevation and Basal Area** 0 200 150 0 Total Basal Area 100 20 0 200 800 400 600 Elevation $(plot(x = dat_hab$slope, y = dat_hab$ba.tot, main = "Slope and Basal Area", ylab = "Total Basal")$ Area", xlab = "Slope")) ## NULL data_center_y = mean(dat_hab\$ba.tot) c(data_center_x, data_center_y) ## [1] 50.26864 22.42639 points(x = data_center_x, y = data_center_y, col = "red") line_point_slope = function(x, x1, y1, slope)get_y_intercept = function(x1, y1, slope) return(-(x1 * slope) + y1)linear = function(x, yint, slope) return(yint + x * slope) return(linear(x, get_y_intercept(x1, y1, slope), slope)) } curve(line_point_slope(Χ, data_center_x, data_center_y, -0.1),add = TRUE)**Slope and Basal Area** 0 150 Total Basal Area 100 20 40 60 80 100 0 Slope $(plot(x = dat_hab$aspect, y = dat_hab$ba.tot, main = "Aspect and Basal Area", ylab = "Total Basal Area")$ al Area", xlab = "Aspect")) ## NULL

data_center_x = mean(dat_hab\$aspect) data_center_y = mean(dat_hab\$ba.tot) c(data_center_x, data_center_y) **##** [1] 182.49140 22.42639 points(x = data_center_x, y = data_center_y, col = "red") line_point_slope = function(x, x1, y1, slope)get_y_intercept = function(x1, y1, slope) return(-(x1 * slope) + y1)linear = function(x, yint, slope) return(yint + x * slope)return(linear(x, get_y_intercept(x1, y1, slope), slope)) } curve(line_point_slope(data_center_x, data_center_y, -0.1),add = TRUE)**Aspect and Basal Area** 0 200 150 0 Total Basal Area 0 100

Questions 2. Elevations ranging from 300 to 450 m had the highest frequencies for sampling sites. There were close to no sites with elevations from 50 to 100 m, as well as no elevations past 800 m. Many of the sites had elevations from 200 to 500 meters. There were much more low elevation sampling sites than high elevation sampling sites. The shape of the elevation histogram leans much closer to the left side, while the right side gets much more sparse. 3. The unit of this slope data set is percent slope. But, the slope is ratio over rise, so a 100% slope really means a 45 degree slope. 4. Most sample sites were not flat. Only a small amount of sites had an slope of 0 to 10%, meaning there were almost no flat sites. Most sample sites had some amount of slope. The majority of sites were between a slope of 20 and 80%, giving us a pretty even mixture of steep and shallow slopes. The histogram with the variables frequency vs slope has a relatively normal distribution. Both ends of the x-axis (0 and 100) are on the lower frequencies. Whereas slopes ranging from 20 to 80 have higher frequencies. Slopes from 40 to 50 have the highest frequency within the histogram. 5. Aspect is the topological appearance of an object. Appearance to the eye when seen from a specific view. In this dataset, aspect is in units of degrees. So is the histogram comparing aspect and frequency, an aspect of 180 is really 180 degrees, which translates to south-facing. 6. The shape of the aspect histogram is pretty evenly distributed. The histogram blocks are very similar in frequency, except for 360 degrees which is completely north-facing. All of the sites were evenly distributed between every possible direction (SE, SW, NE, NW, etc.) The smallest frequency ofs sites were in the observed direction Northwest. 7. For my scatterplot of basal area vs elevation, I noticed a denser amount of plot points on the left side of the graph. At elevations less than 500 meters, there were densely packed data points around 25 m²/ha total basal area. Higher amounts of total basal area showed points evenly distributed throughout the elevations, but were more sparse. The association line is linear, but it is not a good fit for the data because it does not include the points at the far right of the plot

data as it reaches all along the x-axis, from and aspect of 0 to 360, or North to South.

200

Aspect

250

with higher elevation. For basal area vs slope, the points are evenly distributed along the whole plot, but it is more densely

because all of the points are represented and there is an even amount of points on each side of the line. The point for best

fit is almost directly in the center. For basal area vs aspect, the data points were very evenly distributed. Even the denser

(darker) groups of point are evenly spread across the scatterplot. The best fit line is linear, and is a very good fit for the

packed towards the center of the plot (slope between 20 and 80). The best fit line is linear and is a good fit for the data

300

350

50

0

0

50

100

150