

	Proposal Attribute	Honors (H)	Satisfactory (S)	Unsatisfactory (U)
1	<b>Project Objectives, Scope</b>	Completer high level project objective(s) and scope were clearly listed. Client approval obtained, as necessary.	Adequate project objective(s) and scope were clearly listed. Some participation from the client.	Inadequate project objective(s) and scope to implement the project. No client participation or approval obtained.
2	<b>Stakeholder Identification</b>	Team identified and listed all the stakeholders involved and their responsibilities.	Team identified and listed adequate stakeholders. Some additional clarifications were required.	Team did not adequate identify all the stakeholders and their responsibilities.
3	<b>Functional Requirements Identification</b>	Team enumerated all the functional requirements along with high level use case scenarios.	Team enumerated adequate functional requirements with some high level use case scenarios.	Inadequate functional requirements and little or no use case scenarios.
4	<b>Issues, Risks with Mitigation</b>	Complete of known issues, expected risks with mitigation plan(s) identified.	Adequate list of issues, expected risks with mitigation plan(s) identified. Some were missing.	Many know issues and risks were not identified with inadequate mitigation plans.
5	<b>Technology and Tools</b>	With extensive research, a complete list of platform, language, database and software tools to implement functional requirements were identified.	An adequate list of platform, language, database and software tools to implement functional requirements were identified. Some evidence of research.	Inadequate identification of technology and tools. No evidence of research on this topic.
6	<b>Initial Project Backlog Created</b>	Complete Product Backlog list of requirements/use case scenarios was created and reviewed with the customer and was approved by the customer.	Product Backlog list was not complete and customer mostly agreed with the list.	Incomplete Product Backlog list and no customer approval.
7	<b>Initial System Architecture Design</b>	Design covers all systems and subsystems meeting the functional requirements for implementation using object oriented approach with supporting activity diagrams and use case scenarios.	Design covers adequate systems and subsystems to meet the some of the functional requirements for implementation using object oriented approach with supporting activity diagrams and use case scenarios.	Inadequate design covers only a few functional requirements or none.
8	<b>Agile Team Formation</b>	All team responsibilities for all development functions identified along with responsible team member(s).	- Responsibilities for most development functions identified along with responsible team member(s). Some were missing or incomplete.	- Inadequate identification of development functions and unclear team member responsibilities
9	<b>Iteration Plan to Execute</b>	All functional requirements were prioritized (back-log plan) with estimates to be completed in 4 iterations, with working demonstrable user case/storiey(s) software in each iteration.	Adequate functional requirements were prioritized (back-log plan) with estimates to be completed in 4 iterations, with working demonstrable user case/storiey(s)software in each iteration.	Iteration plans were not clearly laid out for implementation in 4 iterations.
10	<b>Comprehension</b>	- Sophisticated and precise word choice demonstrating clear understanding of the subject matter - Writing is easy to comprehend.	- Has minimal awkward or unclear passages - Reader is able to comprehend majority of the time	- Writing is choppy, with many awkward or unclear passages - Reader is not able to comprehend
11	<b>Presentation</b>	Presentation was very clear and easy to follow with objectives and project related items (e.g. scope, risk, schedule,etc.). Agile processes and product backlog explanation was very clear.	Presentation was adequately clear to follow with objectives and project related items (e.g. scope, risk, schedule,etc.). Most Agile processes and product backlog explanation was mostly clear.	Presentation was was difficult to follow. Agile processes and product backlog explanation was mostly unclear.